## THE <sup>13</sup>C-NMR SPECTRA OF 1,2,10-TRIOXYGENATED APORPHINES

BRUCE K. CASSELS, ANDRÉ CAVÉ,\* and MICHEL LEBOEUF

Laboratoire de Pharmacognosie, UA 496 CNRS, Faculté de Pharmacie, 92296 Châtenay-Malabry Cedex, France

Since the appearance of the first studies on the <sup>13</sup>C-nmr spectra of aporphines (1,2), several tabulations of carbon chemical shifts have been published for a large number of aporphinoids (3-5). Some useful relationships between structure and chemical shifts are stated explicitly in these papers, and others can be deduced from the tables and from a more recent listing of the properties and occurrence of these compounds (6). Perusal of these tables reveals that out of more than 60 aporphinoid <sup>13</sup>C-nmr spectra reported only 9 represent the four possible ring-D monooxygenation patterns.

As a considerable amount of zenkerine [1] had been isolated a decade ago in our laboratory and partially characterized (7), we decided to attempt its purification from the remnants of the *Isolona zenkeri* (Annonaceae) fractions from which it was originally obtained with the hope of being able to determine at least the sign of its optical rotation and its  $^{13}$ C-nmr spectrum. The successful purification of zenkerine allowed this modest goal to be reached, and also the  $^{13}$ C analysis of the previously unknown O-



methylzenkerine [2], of pulchine (Nmethylzenkerine) [3] isolated from Ocotea pulchella (Lauraceae) (8), and of 1,2,10-trimethoxyaporphine [4] isolated from Thalictrum foliolosum (Ranunculaceae) (9).

Although the free base of zenkerine is rather unstable and could only be obtained as a highly colored glass, it was possible to determine its optical rotation at 589 nm. It proved to be levorotatory, and its absolute configuration is, therefore, 6a(R) (10), establishing it as a biogenetic derivative of (R)-coclaurine presumably via crotsparine and sparsiflorine. Therefore, the N-methylcrotsparine which co-occurs with zenkerine in *I. zenkeri* (7) most probably belongs to the same stereochemical and biogenetic series.

The <sup>13</sup>C-nmr chemical shifts of compounds 1-4 are listed in Table 1. Resonances were assigned by correlation with published values (3,5) and by <sup>1</sup>H off-resonance decoupling experiments. On comparing the aromatic ring carbon resonance assignments given in the litera-1-hydroxy-2-methoxyaporture for phines it became apparent that references (3) and (5) disagree with regard to the chemical shifts of C-1b and C-3a. Severini Ricca and Casagrande (3) based their attempted identification of the C-1b signal on the upfield shift expected for the carbon resonances upon protonation of the nitrogen atom. Unfortunately, these authors did not carry out any direct comparisons of the <sup>13</sup>C-nmr spectra of base-salt pairs, but relied on the spectra of four salts, two of which correspond to noraporphines, another to a dehydroaporphine, and the last to a 7hydroxyaporphine. Considering all these structural variations with regard to the aporphine bases tabulated in their

Atom	Compounds							
	<b>5</b> ª	6ª	1 <sup>ь</sup>	<b>2</b> <sup>b</sup>	<b>3</b> <sup>b</sup>	<b>4</b> <sup>b</sup>	1-HCl <sup>c</sup>	4-HCl <sup>c</sup>
C-1 C-2 C-3 C-3a	141.6 146.5 110.2 122 9	144.3 151.3 111.6 128.6 <sup>d</sup>	141.5 145.9 110.1 123.9	145.0 152.0 111.8 128.8 <sup>d</sup>	141.4 145.7 109.5 123.8	145.1 152.0 111.3 128.4 <sup>d</sup>	142.9 148.1 110.9 121.2 <sup>d</sup>	147.3 152.9 112.2 126.7 <sup>d</sup>
C-1a C-1b C-4	119.4 127.5 28.4	125.9 127.7 <sup>d</sup> 28.7	119.1 129.1 <sup>d</sup> 28.9	126.4 127.6 <sup>d</sup> 29.0	119.3 128.2 <sup>d</sup> 28.8	126.8 127.5 <sup>d</sup> 28.9	119.0 121.9 24.7	125.9 <sup>d</sup> 118.3 25.6
C-5 C-6a C-7	52.8 62.5 33.6	52.5 62.3 33.5	43.2 53.8 36.5	43.0 53.7 36.4	53.3 62.6 34.0	53.1 62.5 34.8	52.2 32.3	51.4 61.4 30.0
C-8 C-9 C-10	127.9 113.2 <sup>e</sup> 155.3	128.4 114.0 <sup>e</sup> 155.7	128.1 112.4 <sup>e</sup> 159.2	128.2 128.2 113.2 <sup>e</sup> 158.4	127.9 128.2 112.4 <sup>e</sup> 158.2	127.8 128.4 113.1° 158.5	129.1 128.7 112.1 <sup>e</sup> 158.2	129.9 129.3 113.5 <sup>e</sup> 158.6
C-11 C-11a N-Me 1-OMe	115.4° 133.0 43.5	114.5° 132.1 43.5 59.6	114.1° 133.3 —	113.5° 132.9 — 60.1	114.0° 133.1 43.8	113.7° 132.8 43.6 60.2	115.1° 132.9 	113.5° 131.9  60.9
2-OMe 10-OMe	<b>55</b> .7	55.5	56.1 <sup>f</sup> 55.2 <sup>f</sup>	55.7 <sup>f</sup> 55.2 <sup>f</sup>	56.0 <sup>f</sup> 55.2 <sup>f</sup>	55.7 <sup>f</sup> 55.3 <sup>f</sup>	56.2 <sup>f</sup> 55.2 <sup>f</sup>	56.0 <sup>f</sup> 55.2 <sup>f</sup>

TABLE 1. <sup>13</sup>C-nmr Chemical Shifts of Compounds 1-6 and of the Hydrochlorides of 1 and 4

<sup>a</sup>Data from Severini Ricca and Casagrande (3), revised; 25.2 MHz, DMSO. <sup>b</sup>20 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>.

 $^{\circ}20 \text{ MHz}, \text{DMSO-}d_6.$ 

<sup>d,e,f</sup>Assignments interchangeable within columns. <sup>g</sup>Obscured by solvent signal.

study, we were unable to find any clearcut distinction between the chemical shifts of C-1b and C-3a. On the other hand, Jackman *et al.* (5) used relaxation time measurements, selective irradiation experiments, and comparisons of the spectra of aporphines with different substitution patterns to obtain a coherent set of assignments that has generally been taken as a standard by the authors of more recent papers.

We have recorded the  ${}^{13}C$ -nmr spectra of the hydrochlorides of **1** and **4** (Table 1) as examples of a 1-hydroxy-2methoxynoraporphine and a 1,2-dimethoxyaporphine. The assignment of the C-7a resonance is reached unambiguously by considering relaxation times (5), and this atom, like C-3a, lies three bonds away from the nitrogen and belongs to an aromatic ring. Although both situations are not strictly identical, it seems reasonable to assume that the  ${}^{13}C$ -nmr signals of C-3a and C-7a should

be displaced to a similar extent by Nprotonation. In the cases of 1 and 4 we found that N-protonation shifts the C-7a resonance upfield by 3.6 and 1.9 ppm, respectively. Basing our assignments on reference (5), the C-3a signals appear shifted upfield by 2.7 and 1.7 ppm, in agreement with our assumption, while the C-1b peaks are much more strongly displaced 7.2 and 9.2 ppm upfield. If we use the work of Severini Ricca and Casagrande (3) as the basis of our assignments, the C-3a resonances undergo large upfield shifts and the signals attributed to C-1b are only weakly displaced. The report of Jackman et al. (5), therefore, leads to a much more reasonable distribution of protonation shifts. If the C-1 phenolic function is methylated, Jackman et al. (5) indicate that the metacarbon signals (C-1b and C-3) are hardly displaced at all, and the para-carbon peak (C-3a) undergoes a downfield shift of 4.9 to 5.0 ppm. Severini Ricca and

Casagrande (3) suggest that the pararesonance should be practically unchanged as a result of O-methylation, and the two meta-carbon signals should be displaced downfield to very different degrees: C-3 by 0.9 to 1.8 ppm and C-1b by 5.1 to 6.0 ppm. We view such a situation as quite unlikely. Taking both N-protonation and O-1-methylation shifts into account, we believe that all the C-1b and C-3a assignments in Severini Ricca and Casagrande (3) for 1-hydroxy-2-methoxyaporphines (caaverine, lirinidine, apoglaziovine, isoboldine, and bracteoline) ought to be inverted. As apoglaziovine [5] and nuciferoline [6] share the 1,2,10-trioxygenation pattern with compounds 1 to 4, we have included the pertinent <sup>13</sup>C-nmr data in Table 1 for comparison.

It now becomes possible to analyze the changes produced in the <sup>13</sup>C-nmr spectra of this group of alkaloids by methylation of the phenol group at C-10. The ipso-(C-10) peak moves downfield by 2.8 to 2.9 ppm, both ortho-(C-9 and C-11) signals undergo upfield shifts of less than 2 ppm, the meta-(C-8 and C-11a) resonances are virtually unchanged, and the para-(C-7a) peak is displaced downfield by 0.9 to 2.2 ppm. A similar analysis of the O-methylation displacements in the <sup>13</sup>C-nmr spectra of aporphines with a single ring-D oxygen atom at C-9, although necessarily based on less data (6), suggests that the chemical shifts of these compounds are also rather insensitive to this structural change. It is worth noting that the O-methylation shifts predicted empirically for simple phenols are quite small, particularly at the meta- and para-positions (11, 12). The <sup>13</sup>C-nmr behavior of the aporphines with ring-D monooxygenation at C-9 or C-10 would, thus, seem to be in line with that of relatively uncrowded phenols, as O-methylation should not introduce any major change in the conjugation of the oxygen atom with the aromatic ring. A similar situation can be predicted for aporphines with a single oxygen atom on ring D at C-8 or C-11. On the contrary, downfield 0-methylation shifts of several ppm should be expected for the <sup>13</sup>C resonances of the atoms para with regard to the hydroxyl group in the cases of 8-hydroxy-9-substituted, 3-hydroxy-2-substituted, and ring A- and D-trisubstituted aporphines. Here, replacement of the phenol hydrogen atom should result in torsion of the arvl-oxygen bond, and as a result of this, greater localization of the oxygen lone electron pairs, decreased electron density at the ring positions, and, therefore, relative deshielding of the carbon nuclei, particularly ortho and para with regard to the modified phenol function, as has already been suggested for 1-hydroxy-2-methoxy- and 11-hydroxy-10methoxyaporphines (5). This hypothesis should clearly be checked experimentally and, in view of the pharmacological importance of some aporphine derivatives, points to the potential interest of quantum-chemical studies of the electronic structure of these compounds.

## **EXPERIMENTAL**

GENERAL EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES.— Analytical tlc was carried out on silica-gel precoated foils; preparative chromatography was effected on silica gel, either with precoated plates (0.5 mm thickness) or on "flash" columns;  $CH_2Cl_2$ -MeOH-NH<sub>4</sub>OH (90:9:1) was used as eluent in every case, saturating the tlc chamber with NH<sub>3</sub> vapor. <sup>1</sup>H-nmr spectra were recorded at 60 MHz in CDCl<sub>3</sub> with TMS as internal reference. <sup>13</sup>C-nmr spectra were recorded at 20 MHz in CDCl<sub>3</sub> or DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> (for the salts).

(-)ZENKERINE [1].—Amorphous, purplered solid,  $[\alpha]^{23}D-99^{\circ}$  (c=0.10 MeOH); <sup>1</sup>H nmr  $\delta$  3.80 s (3H, MeO-2 or -10), 3.86 s (3H, MeO-10 or -2), 6.57 s (1H, H-3), 6.73 dd J=8.0; 2.5 Hz (1H, H-9), 7.13 d J=8.0 Hz (1H, H-8), 8.02 d J=2.5 Hz (1H, H-11); <sup>13</sup>C nmr  $\delta$  in Table 1.

(-)-0-METHYLZENKERINE [2].—Zenkerine, dissolved in MeOH, was methylated with  $CH_2N_2$  in  $Et_2O$  at 5°. The major product was separated by column chromatography: dark brown, glassy solid; <sup>1</sup>H nmr  $\delta$  3.68 s (3H, MeO-1), 3.79 s (3H, MeO-2 or -10), 3.83 s (3H, MeO-10 or -2), 6.63 s (1H, H-3), 6.78 dd J=8.0; 2.5 Hz (1H, H-9), 7.16 d J=8.0 Hz (1H, H-8), 8.10 d J=2.5 Hz (1H, H-11); <sup>13</sup>C nmr  $\delta$  in Table 1.

(-)-PULCHINE [3].—Zenkerine was Nmethylated with HCHO-NaBH<sub>4</sub> in MeOH. The dark, glassy product was purified by column chromatography:  $[\alpha]^{21}D-130^{\circ}$  (c=0.10, MeOH); <sup>1</sup>H nmr  $\delta$  2.52 s (3H, N-Me), 3.81 s (3H, MeO-2 or -10), 3.84 s (3H, MeO-10 or -2), 6.59 s (1H, H-3), 6.79 dd J=8.0; 2.5 Hz (1H, H-9), 7.20 d J=8.0 Hz (1H, H-8), 8.06 d J=2.5 Hz (1H, H-11); <sup>13</sup>C nmr  $\delta$  in Table 1.

(-)-1,2,10-TRIMETHOXYAPORPHINE [4]. 0-Methylzenkerine was N-methylated with HCHO-NaBH<sub>4</sub> in MeOH. Glassy solid,  $\{\alpha\}^{23}D$ - 169° (c=0.10, MeOH); <sup>1</sup>H nmr  $\delta$  2.54 s (3H, N-Me), 3.68 s (3H, MeO-1), 3.82 s (3H, MeO-2 or -10), 3.87 s (3H, MeO-10 or -2), 6.63 s (1H, H-3), 6.81 dd J=8.0; 2.5 Hz (1H, H-9), 7.18 d J=8.0 Hz (1H, H-8), 8.03 dJ=2.5 Hz (1H, H-11); <sup>13</sup>C nmr  $\delta$  in Table 1.

## LITERATURE CITED

- E. Wenkert, B.L. Buckwalter, I.R. Burfitt, M.J. Gasić, H.E. Gottlieb, E.W. Hagaman, F.M. Schull, and P.M. Wovkulich, in: "Topics in Carbon-13 NMR Spectroscopy," vol. 2. Ed. by G.C. Levy, Wiley, New York, 1976.
- 2. S. Kano, Y. Takahagi, E. Komiyama, T.

Yokomatsu, and S. Shibuya, *Heterocycles*, 4, 1013 (1976).

- G. Severini Ricca and C. Casagrande, Gazz. Chim. Ital., 109, 1 (1979).
- A.J. Marsaioli, F. de A.M. Reis, A.F. Magalhães, E.A. Rúveda, and A.M. Kuck, *Phytochemistry*, 18, 165 (1979).
- L.M. Jackman, J.C. Trewella, J.L. Moniot, M. Shamma, R.L. Stephens, E. Wenkert, M. Leboeuf, and A. Cavé, J. Nat. Prod., 42, 437 (1979).
- H. Guinaudeau, M. Leboeuf, and A. Cavé, J. Nat. Prod., 46, 761 (1983).
- R. Hocquemiller, P. Cabalion, A. Bouquet, and A. Cavé, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. C, 285, 447 (1977).
- D.L. Edie, Diss. Abstr. Intern. B, 35, 3827 (1975).
- D.S. Bhakuni and R.S. Singh, J. Nat. Prod., 43, 252 (1982).
- 10. M. Shamma, Experientia, 18, 64 (1962).
- M. Hesse, H. Meier, and B. Zeeh, "Spektroskopische Methoden in der organischen Chemie," 2nd ed., Thieme, Stuttgart, 1984, p. 228.
- H.O. Kalinowski, S. Berger, and S. Braun, <sup>"13</sup>C-NMR-Spektroskopie," Thieme, Stuttgart, 1984, p. 286.

Received 29 July 1986