

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/nonrwa



Corrigendum

Corrigendum to "Multiple stability and uniqueness of the limit cycle in a Gause-type predator-prey model considering the Allee effect on prey" [Nonlinear Anal. RWA 12 (2011) 2931–2942]

Eduardo González-Olivares ^{a,*}, Héctor Meneses-Alcay ^a, Betsabé González-Yañez ^a, Jaime Mena-Lorca ^a, Alejandro Rojas-Palma ^a, Rodrigo Ramos-Jiliberto ^b

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 4 May 2012 Accepted 18 May 2012

Keywords: Predator-prey model Functional response Allee effect Bifurcation Limit cycles Separatrix curve

ABSTRACT

This work deals with some typographical mistakes into the above-referenced paper. Although they do not affect the main results, it is necessary to make due corrections.

We affirm that the results and conclusions obtained are correct and the errors have no further implications in the aforementioned paper.

© 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The aim of this presentation is to correct several minor typographical mistakes that crept into the article by González-Olivares et al. [1].

Although those typos do not affect the main results, they may, unfortunately, lead to a misunderstanding of the results obtained.

It should be stressed that errors appear in both the statements and proofs of Theorems 4 and 7. For this reason, we provide the corresponding corrections for an adequate understanding of these aspects of the aforementioned theorems.

2. The correction

The statement of Theorem 4 in [1] (page 2935) has typos in the inequalities for S. It must be replaced by the following one:

Theorem 1. (Theorem 4 in [1]) Let us assume that $(u^*, v^s) \in W^s$ (M, 0) and $(u^*, v^u) \in W^u$ (1, 0), where v^s and v^u are functions of the parameters A, E, S and M. Let us further assume that $v^s \ge v^u$.

^a Grupo de Ecología Matemática, Instituto de Matemáticas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Chile

^b Centro Nacional de Medio Ambiente. Universidad de Chile. Chile

DOI of original article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2011.04.003.

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: ejgonzal@ucv.cl (E. González-Olivares), hmeneses@ucv.cl (H. Meneses-Alcay), betsabe.gonzalez@ucv.cl (B. González-Yañez), jmena@ucv.cl (J. Mena-Lorca), alejandro.rojas.p@mail.ucv.cl (A. Rojas-Palma), roramos@uchile.cl (R. Ramos-Jiliberto).

- (a) If $A > \frac{-3E^2 + 2EM + 2E M}{2E M 1}$, then the trace is negative and the equilibrium Q_e is a local attractor.
 - (a1) If $S > \frac{(-3E^2 2EA + 2E + A)^2}{4(1-E)(A+E)}$, then Q_e is an attracting focus. (a2) If $S < \frac{(-3E^2 2EA + 2E + A)^2}{4(1-E)(A+E)}$, then Q_e is an attracting node.
- (b) If $A < \frac{-3E^2 + 2EM + 2E M}{2E M 1}$, then the trace is positive and the equilibrium Q_e is a repellor.
 - (b1) If $S > \frac{\left(-3E^2 2EA + 2E + A\right)^2}{4(1-E)(A+E)}$, then Q_e is an unstable focus surrounded by a stable limit cycle.
 - (b2) If $S < \frac{\left(-3E^2 2EA + 2E + A\right)^2}{4(1-E)(A+E)}$, then Q_e is an unstable node and the limit cycle disappears. In this last case, the singularity (0,0) is globally asymptotically stable.
- (c) If $A = \frac{-3E^2 + 2EM + 2E M}{2E M 1} < 1$, then $trDY_{\nu}(E, \ \nu_e) = 0$ and the equilibrium point is a weak focus of order 1 [2].

An analogous second typo appears in the statement of Theorem 7 in [1] (page 2936) in the expressions for S. It must be replaced by the following correction:

Theorem 2. (Theorem 7 in [1]) Let $(u, v^s) \in W^s(0, 0)$ be the stable manifold of 0 and $(u, v^u) \in W^u(1, 0)$ be the unstable manifold of Q_1 .

7.1 Assuming that $v^s > v^u$ we obtain that:

- (a) If $A > \frac{2E-3E^2}{2E-1}$, the singularity Q_e is a local attractor.
 - (a1) If $S > \frac{\left(3E^2 + 2EA 2E A\right)^2}{4(1-E)(A+E)}$, the point Q_e is an attracting focus. (a2) If $S < \frac{\left(3E^2 + 2EA 2E A\right)^2}{4(1-E)(A+E)}$, the point Q_e is an attracting node.
- (b) If $A < \frac{2E-3E^2}{2E-1}$, the singularity Q_e is a repellor.

 - (b1) If $S > \frac{\left(-3E^2 2EA + 2E + A\right)^2}{4(1-E)(A+E)}$, then Q_e is an unstable focus surrounded by a stable limit cycle. (b2) If $S < \frac{\left(-3E^2 2EA + 2E + A\right)^2}{4(1-E)(A+E)}$, then Q_e is an unstable node and the limit cycle disappears. In this last case the singularity (0,0) is globally asymptotically stable.
- (c) If $A = \frac{2E 3E^2}{2E 1}$ and $S > \frac{1}{4} \frac{\left(3E^2 + 2EA 2E A\right)^2}{(1 E)(A + E)}$, Q_e is a weak focus of order 1.

7.2 If $v^s < v^u$, then the point Q_e is a repellor, the limit cycle disappears and the origin is globally asymptotically stable; then, a heteroclinic curve is obtained, joining Q_e with (0, 0).

In the appendix slight changes in some expressions must be incorporated.

In the proof of Theorem 4 (page 2940) the mistakes appear in the coefficient of y^2 , in both vector fields \bar{Z}_η and \bar{Z}_η ; moreover, the expressions for A in (b) and the second Lyapunov quantity L_2 is badly written; the correct proof is:

Proof of Theorem 4. For the point Q_e , the Jacobian matrix is

$$DY_{\eta}\left(E,\ v_{e}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} E\left(-3E^{2}-2EA+2EM+2E+AM+A-M\right) & -E\\ S(1-E)(E-M)(A+E) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$\det DY_{v}(E, v_{e}) = SE(1-E)(E-M)(A+E) > 0$$

and

$$\operatorname{tr} DY_{\nu}(E, \nu_{e}) = E(-3E^{2} - 2EA + 2EM + 2E + AM + A - M),$$

and the behavior of (E, v_e) is determined by

$$T = (-2E + M + 1)A - 3E^2 + 2EM + 2E - M.$$

We have that:

- (a) tr $DY_{\eta}(E, v_e) < 0$ if and only if $A > \frac{-3E^2 + 2EM + 2E M}{2E M 1}$ (T < 0) and the singularity Q_e is a local attractor. (b) tr $DY_{\eta}(E, v_e) > 0$ if and only if $A < \frac{-3E^2 + 2EM + 2E M}{2E M 1}$ and Q_e is a repellor, and by the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem at least one limit cycle surrounding the point (E, v_e) exists; the trajectories under the separatrix determined by $W^s(M, 0)$ tend to this limit cycle.

When $v^s = v^u$, the limit cycle collapses with the heteroclinic that joins the two saddle points.

(c) tr
$$DY_{\eta}(E, v_e) = 0$$
 if and only if $A = \frac{-3E^2 + 2EM + 2E - M}{2E - M - 1} < 1$.

(c) tr DY_{η} (E, v_e) = 0 if and only if $A = \frac{-3E^2 + 2EM + 2E - M}{2E - M - 1} < 1$. To determine the weakness of Q_e we employ the translation to the origin given by

$$u \to U + E$$
 and $v \to V + v_e$, with $v_e = \frac{(1-E)^2(E-M)^2}{2E-M-1}$,

obtaining the system

$$Z_{\eta}: \begin{cases} \frac{dU}{d\tau} = ((1 - U - E)(U + E - M)(A + U + E) - (V + v_{e}))(U + E) \\ \frac{dV}{d\tau} = SU(V + v_{e}). \end{cases}$$

The Jordan form associated with $DZ_n(0, 0)$ is

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -H \\ H & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\alpha = \operatorname{tr} D Z_n(0, 0) = 0$ and $H = \det D Z_n(0, 0)$, where

$$H^{2} = SE \frac{(1-E)^{2}(E-M)^{2}}{2E-M-1}$$

and the matrix for the change of variables [3] is

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} Z11 - \alpha & -H \\ Z21 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -H \\ \frac{H^2}{E} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the vector field Z_n becomes

$$\bar{Z}_{\eta}: \begin{cases} \frac{dx}{d\tau} = -Hy - HSxy \\ \frac{dy}{d\tau} = \frac{Hx - \frac{H^2}{E}xy + \frac{H(1 - 3E + 3E^2 - 3EM + M^2 + M)E}{2E - M - 1}y^2 \\ -H^2 \frac{1 - 4E + 5E^2 - 4EM + M^2 + M}{2E - M - 1}y^3 + H^3y^4. \end{cases}$$

Carrying out a time rescaling given by $T = H\tau$, we have the canonical system

$$\tilde{Z}_{\eta}: \begin{cases}
\frac{dx}{dT} = -y - Sxy \\
\frac{dy}{dT} = x - \frac{H}{E}xy + \frac{(1 - 3E + 3E^2 - 3EM + M^2 + M)E}{2E - M - 1}y^2 \\
-H \frac{1 - 4E + 5E^2 - 4EM + M^2 + M}{2E - M - 1}y^3 + H^2y^4.
\end{cases}$$

Using the Mathematica software [4] to calculate the focal values for the vector field \check{Z}_{η} , the second Lyapunov quantity [2] is given by

$$L_2 = -\frac{\left(2 - 9E + 12E^2 + 2M - 9EM + 2M^2\right)H}{8(2E - M - 1)} = -\frac{H}{8(2E - M - 1)}f(M, E),$$

where $L_2 < 0$, since

$$f(M, E) = 2 - 9E + 12E^2 + 2M - 9EM + 2M^2 > 0$$

for all E, such that

$$\frac{1+M}{2} < E < \frac{1}{3} \left(M + 1 + \sqrt{M^2 - M + 1} \right).$$

Thus, Q_e is a weak focus of order 1 and system (3) has a unique limit cycle. \Box

The unique error in the proof of Theorem 7 is in the expression for the second Lyapunov quantity L_2 . The correct proof of Theorem 7 (page 2941) is:

Proof of Theorem 7. For the point Q_e , the Jacobian matrix is

$$DY_{\eta}(E, v_e) = \begin{pmatrix} -4E^3 + 3E^2(1-A) + 2AE - v_e & -E \\ Sv_e & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $v_e = \frac{(1-E)^2 E^2}{2E-1}$. As $v_e > 0$, then det DY_η (E, v_e) > 0 and the nature of Q_e depends on

$$\operatorname{tr} D Y_n (E, v_e) = -A(2E-1) + E(2-3E).$$

 Q_e has the same nature as the equivalent point in system (3), that is:

If $A > \frac{2E-3E^2}{2E-1}$, the singularity Q_e is an attractor.

If $A < \frac{2E-3E^2}{2E-1}$, the singularity Q_e is a repellor surrounded by a limit cycle (the Poincaré–Bendixson theorem), when $v^s > v^u$.

If $A = \frac{2E-3E^2}{2E-1}$, the singularity Q_e is a weak focus.

Using the Mathematica software [4] we obtain that the second Lyapunov quantity [2] is $L_2 = -\frac{(2-9E+12E^2)H}{8(2E-1)}$, with $H^2 = SE \frac{(1-E)^2 E^2}{2E-1}$, which is clearly negative for $E > \frac{1}{2}$. For the system (3), the uniqueness of the limit cycle, when it exists, is

This limit cycle increased when the parameters changed until it intersected the heteroclinic joining Q_1 and Q_2 .

When $E \to 0$, the point Q_e is a repellor node. The heteroclinic that joined the saddle points Q_1 and Q_2 is broken (also disappearing the limit cycle); then, the origin O will be globally asymptotically stable. \Box

3. Conclusions

Despite the typographical mistakes in the statements and proofs of Theorems 4 and 7, the properties of system (3) (page 2933) are not altered; as a consequence, the results for system (2) (page 2932) are correct.

Therefore, the modified Rosenzweig–MacArthur model considering a new factor in the prev growth rate describing an Allee effect has interesting and varied dynamics, as was shown in [1].

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Professor Yongli Cai of Wenzhou University, China, for pointing out some of the mistakes corrected here. This work was partially financed by Project DIEA-PUCV 124.730/2012 and Fondecyt No 1120218.

References

- [1] E. González-Olivares, H. Meneses-Alcay, B. González-Yañez, J. Mena-Lorca, A. Rojas-Palma, R. Ramos-liliberto, Multiple stability and uniqueness of the limit cycle in a Gause-type predator-prey model considering the Allee effect on prey, Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications 12 (2011) 2931-2942.
- C. Chicone, Ordinary Differential Equations with Applications, 2nd edition, in: Texts in Applied Mathematics, vol. 34, Springer, 2006. [3] D.K. Arrowsmith, C.M. Place, Dynamical Systems. Differential Equations, Maps and Chaotic Behaviour, Chapman and Hall, 1992.
- [4] S. Wolfram, Mathematica: A System for Doing Mathematics by Computer, 2nd edition, in: Wolfram Research, Addison-Wesley, 1991.