



ELSEVIER

Discrete Mathematics 233 (2001) 175–182

DISCRETE  
MATHEMATICS

www.elsevier.com/locate/disc

## Some remarks on cycles in graphs and digraphs

Martin Loebel<sup>a,\*</sup>, Martin Matamala<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Applied Mathematics, Charles University, Malostranske n. 25, 11800 Praha, Czech Republic*

<sup>b</sup>*Department of Mathematical Engineering, University of Chile, Beauchef 850, casilla 170/3, Santiago, Chile*

---

### Abstract

We survey several recent results on cycles of graphs and directed graphs of the following form: ‘Does there exist a set of cycles with a property  $\mathcal{P}$  that generates all the cycles by operation  $\odot$ ?’. © 2001 Published by Elsevier Science B.V.

---

### 1. Introduction

A graph  $G$  is *k-edge-connected*,  $k \geq 2$ , if there exist  $k$  edge-disjoint paths connecting any pair of vertices of  $G$ . A graph  $G$  is *k-connected* if there exist  $k$  vertex-disjoint paths connecting any pair of vertices of  $G$ .

A digraph  $D$  is *strongly connected* if there is a directed path from any vertex to any other vertex of  $D$ .

It was proved by Robbins in [8] that a graph  $G$  is 2-edge-connected if and only if  $G$  has a strongly connected orientation.

Given an undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$ , the *cycle space* of  $G$  is the subspace of  $\text{GF}[2]^{|E|}$  generated by the incidence vectors of the cycles of  $G$ . The cycle space of a directed graph  $D$  is the cycle space of the underlying undirected graph. A *cycle basis* is a basis of the cycle space of  $G$ , equivalently a minimal set of elements of the cycle space such that any cycle of  $G$  is a modulo 2 sum of some of them. Let  $D$  be a digraph and let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a set of subgraphs of  $D$  which are orientations of cycles. Then  $\mathcal{D}$  is called *directed cycle basis* if it is linearly independent and any incidence vector of a cycle of the underlying graph of  $D$  is a modulo 2 sum of incidence vectors of some directed circuits of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

---

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: loebel@kam.ms.mff.cuni.cz (M. Loebel); mmatamal@dim.uchile.cl (M. Matamala).

<sup>1</sup> Supported by FONDAP on applied mathematics and GAUK 158/99.

The lattice generated by a set  $A$  of vectors is the set of all integer linear combinations of vectors of  $A$ . It is a well-known fact (see e.g. [9]) that each lattice generated by a finite set of rational vectors has a *basis*, i.e., a set of linearly independent vectors (over rationals) such that any other element of the lattice is an integer linear combination of them.

Throughout the paper we denote by  $\chi_C \in \{0, 1\}^{|E|}$  the incidence vector of the set  $C \subseteq E$ . For the sake of simplicity, we shall write  $\chi_e$  to indicate the incidence vector of the set  $\{e\}$ . The *degree* of a vertex in a graph is the number of the edges incident with the vertex. A graph is called *eulerian* if its vertices have even degrees. Each eulerian graph is a union of edge-disjoint cycles.

A *subdivision* of an edge (arc) of a graph (directed graph) consists of replacing the edge (arc) by a path (directed path) whose endvertices coincide with the endvertices of the edge (arc), and whose intermediate vertices do not belong to the graph (directed graph).

A *subdivision* of a graph (directed graph) is obtained by subdividing some of the edges (arcs) of the graph (directed graph).

## 2. Cycles in digraphs

In this section we first describe a result of Galluccio and Loeb [1]. We show that the directed cycle bases naturally defined from an ear decomposition of a digraph are bases of the lattice generated by the directed cycles as well. This result was used in [1] as the main tool to characterize  $(p, q)$ -odd digraphs,  $p \geq 1$ ,  $q > p$ .

### 2.1. Directed cycle bases

A digraph  $D$  is *even* if and only if any subdivision of  $D$  contains a directed cycle of length different from 1 mod 2. Even digraphs have been studied extensively for their interesting connections with the Even Cycle Problem and other algebraic problems [10–12].

A *splitting* of a vertex  $v$  of a digraph  $D$  consists in replacing  $v$  by two vertices  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  so that  $v_1v_2$  is an arc, all arcs entering  $v$  enter  $v_1$  and all arcs leaving  $v$  leave  $v_2$ . The *k-double-cycle*  $C_k^*$  is the digraph arising from undirected cycle  $C_k$  of length  $k$  by duplicating each edge and orienting the two copies in both directions. A *weak k-double-cycle* is a digraph obtained from  $C_k^*$  by splitting some vertices and subdividing arcs. If  $k$  is odd then a weak  $k$ -double cycle is also called a *weak odd-double-cycle*.

In [10], Seymour and Thomassen proved that a digraph is even if and only if it contains a weak odd-double-cycle.

A digraph  $D$  is  $(p, q)$ -*odd* if and only if any subdivision of  $D$  contains a directed cycle of length different from  $p$  modulo  $q$ .

In [1] Galluccio and Loeb used the property of directed cycle bases we will describe below to extend the characterisation of Seymour, Thomassen, to general  $(p, q)$ -odd

digraphs: a digraph  $D$  is  $(p, q)$ -odd if and only if  $D$  contains a weak  $k$ -double-cycle with  $(k - 2)p \not\equiv 0 \pmod q$ .

A digraph is strongly connected if and only if it may be built up from a vertex by sequentially adding arcs (loops are allowed) and by subdividing arcs. This property leads to the concept of *ear decomposition*. An ear decomposition of  $D$  is a sequence  $D_0, \dots, D_t = D$  of subdigraphs of  $D$  such that  $D_0$  consists of a single vertex and no arc, and each  $D_i$  arises from  $D_{i-1}$  by adding a directed path  $P_i$  whose endvertices (not necessarily distinct) belong to  $D_{i-1}$  while the *arcs and intermediate vertices* of  $P_i$  do not. The paths  $P_i$  are called *ears* and the endvertices of  $P_i$  are called *initial vertices* of the ear.

A digraph is strongly connected if and only if it has an ear decomposition. If  $D_0, \dots, D_t = D$  is an ear decomposition of a strongly connected digraph  $D$  then each  $D_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, t$  is strongly connected as well.

It is well known that from each ear decomposition of a strongly connected digraph it is possible to obtain a directed cycle basis by simply completing each new ear to a directed cycle using a directed path in the already built subdigraph. Such directed cycle bases will be called *directed ear-bases*.

Let us state now a basic result of [1] concerning the lattice generated by the directed cycles of a digraph  $D$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $x$  be an integer vector indexed by the arcs of a digraph  $D$ . The indegree of a vertex (of  $D$ ) in  $x$  is the sum of the entries of  $x$  corresponding to the arcs entering that vertex. The outdegree of a vertex (of  $D$ ) in  $x$  is the sum of the entries of  $x$  corresponding to the arcs leaving that vertex. An integer vector  $x$  is eulerian if each vertex of  $D$  has its indegree equal to its outdegree. We denote  $\mathcal{E}(D)$  the set of eulerian vectors.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $D$  be a strongly connected digraph. Any directed ear-basis of  $D$  is a basis of the lattice  $L(D)$  generated by the directed cycles of  $D$ . Moreover  $L(D) = \mathcal{E}(D)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\chi_{C_1}, \dots, \chi_{C_m}\}$  denote a directed ear-basis of  $D$ , i.e., a set of incidence vectors of the directed cycles  $C_i$  obtained from an ear-decomposition  $D_0, D_1, \dots, D_m = D$  of  $D$  by completing the directed path  $P_i$  into a directed cycle of  $D_i$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_i = \{\chi_{C_1}, \dots, \chi_{C_i}\}$ .

In order to prove the first part of the theorem, we need to show that the vectors of  $\mathcal{B}$  are linearly independent over the rationals and that the characteristic vectors of directed cycles of  $D$  are integer linear combinations of them.

The linear independence follows from the construction of the directed ear-basis: for each  $j < i \leq m$   $C_j$  contains no arc of  $P_i$  while  $C_i$  contains  $P_i$ .

Define  $\mathcal{E}_i$  to be the set of vectors of  $\mathcal{E}(D)$  having non-zero components only on arcs of  $D_i$ . Hence  $\mathcal{E}(D) = \mathcal{E}_m$ .

We will prove by induction on  $i$  that each element of  $\mathcal{E}_i$  is an integer linear combination of elements of  $\mathcal{B}_i$ . This finishes the proof of the theorem since the incidence vector of each directed cycle of  $D$  is eulerian.

Let  $\zeta$  be any vector in  $\mathcal{E}_i - \mathcal{E}_{i-1}$ . Since  $\zeta$  is eulerian, the components of  $\zeta$  corresponding to the arcs of  $P_i$  are equal, say  $p$ . Hence, the vector  $\zeta - p\chi_{C_i}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{E}_{i-1}$ , and the result follows from the induction hypothesis.  $\square$

To conclude this subsection let us remark that the lattice generated by directed cycles of a strongly connected digraph was considered also in [7] where several algebraic properties were derived.

## 2.2. 2-chains

For a digraph  $D$  and two vertices  $s$  and  $t$  we define a *directed path decomposition* of  $D$  from  $s$  to  $t$  like an ear decomposition with two differences. First,  $D_0$  is a directed path from  $s$  to  $t$ . Second,  $D_i$  is obtained from  $D_{i-1}$  by adding directed paths  $P_{i,1}, \dots, P_{i,r_i}$  such that there exists a directed path  $P_i$  from  $s$  to  $t$  and  $P_{i,j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, r_i$  are the parts of  $P_i$  not in  $D_{i-1}$ .

Let  $s, t$  be vertices a digraph  $D$ .  $D$  is called *distribution digraph from  $s$  to  $t$*  if any arc of  $D$  belongs to a directed path from  $s$  to  $t$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *A digraph  $D$  is a distribution digraph from  $s$  to  $t$  if and only if it admits an directed path decomposition from  $s$  to  $t$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $D$  be a distribution digraph from  $s$  to  $t$ . First, we show that it admits a directed path decomposition from  $s$  to  $t$ . We take  $D_0$  any directed path from  $s$  to  $t$ . If it does not exist then  $D$  has no arc and the decomposition follows. Suppose that we have already built  $D_i$ . Let  $a$  be an arc not in  $D_i$ . Since  $D$  is a distribution digraph  $a$  belongs to a directed path  $P_i$  from  $s$  to  $t$ . Let  $P_{i,1}, \dots, P_{i,r_i}$  be the parts of  $P_i$  not in  $D_i$ . We add all these parts to  $D_{i-1}$  to get  $D_i$ . This process may continue until finally we obtain  $D_m = D$ .

On the other hand if  $D_0, \dots, D_m$  is a directed path decomposition from  $s$  to  $t$ , where  $D = D_m$ , we will prove that  $D$  is a distribution digraph. In fact, we will prove that for each  $i$  the subdigraph  $D_i$  is a distribution digraph. Clearly  $D_0$  is a distribution digraph. Assume that  $D_{i-1}$  is a distribution digraph. Let  $a$  be an arc in  $D_i \setminus D_{i-1}$ . Then  $a$  belongs by definition to a directed path from  $s$  to  $t$  and hence  $D_i$  is a distribution digraph.  $\square$

An orientation of a cycle is called *2-chain* if it consists of two directed paths with the same origin and the same destination. From a directed path decomposition of  $D$  from  $s$  to  $t$  it is easy to construct a directed cycle basis consisting of 2-chains. Let us call such basis a *2-chain basis*. Hence previous theorem shows that for distribution digraphs there exists a 2-chain basis.

This result was used in [6] in an urban transportation problem known as the users' equilibrium problem with inelastic demand: a descent gradient algorithm was proposed to obtain the equilibrium in the network (all users perceiving the same cost). The algorithm uses as descent directions those given by a 2-chain basis.

### 3. Cycles in graphs

In this section we turn our attention to undirected graphs. Let  $G$  be a 2-edge-connected undirected graph. Is there a natural set of cycles which form a basis of the lattice of cycles of  $G$ ? This question was answered affirmatively by Galluccio, Loebl in [1]. We will describe the result below.

A *binary code* is a subspace of  $\text{GF}[2]^m$ . The characteristic vectors of cycles of a graph, and in general the characteristic vectors of cycles of a binary matroid, form a binary code. The lattices generated by the cycles of binary matroids were studied by Lovasz and Seres in [5].

The result of Galluccio and Loebl led Hochstaettler and Loebl [2] to formulate the following conjecture: ‘The lattice generated by a binary code always has a basis of codewords.’ The conjecture was proved to be true for instance for regular matroids and at present the best result towards proving the conjecture is obtained by Fleiner et al. in [3].

Each cycle of an undirected graph is contained in its 2-connected component and these components are edge-disjoint. Hence we may restrict ourselves to 2-connected graphs when studying the cycles of undirected graphs.

A graph is called *eulerian* if all of its vertices have even degree.

An *ear decomposition* of a (2-connected) graph  $G$  is a sequence  $G_1, \dots, G_t = G$  of subgraphs of  $G$  such that  $G_1$  is a cycle and each  $G_i$ ,  $i > 1$ , arises from  $G_{i-1}$  by adding a path  $P_i$  whose endvertices are distinct and belong to  $G_{i-1}$  while the edges and intermediate vertices of  $P_i$  do not. The paths  $P_i$  are called *ears* and the endvertices of  $P_i$  are called *initial vertices* of the ear.

A graph is 2-connected if and only if it has an ear decomposition; from an ear decomposition we may obtain a cycle basis, i.e., a basis of the vector space over  $\text{GF}[2]$  generated by the incidence vectors of the circuits, by completing each new ear to a circuit using a path in the already built subgraph. Such cycle bases are called *ear-bases*.

An ear decomposition  $G_1, \dots, G_t = G$  of  $G$  will be called *correct ear decomposition* if each  $G_i$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, t$ , is a subdivision of a 3-edge-connected graph (possibly with parallel edges).

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a subdivision of a 3-edge-connected graph. Then  $G$  has a correct ear-decomposition.*

**Proof.** Let  $G_i$ ,  $i \geq 2$ , be a subdivision of a 3-edge-connected graph  $H$  and let  $G_i$  be a subgraph of  $G$ . Call an ear  $P_{i+1}$  *correct* if the initial vertices of  $P_{i+1}$  are not subdividing vertices of the same edge of  $H$ . Observe that if  $P_{i+1}$  is correct then  $G_{i+1}$  is a subdivision of a 3-edge-connected graph.

If a correct ear  $P_{i+1}$  does not exist then let  $S$  be an edge of  $H$  with a subdividing vertex connected by an edge to a vertex of  $G - G_i$ . The terminal edges of the subdivision of  $S$  in  $G_i$  must form a 2-edge-cut of  $G$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $G$  be a subdivision of a 3-edge-connected graph. Let  $G_1, \dots, G_t = G$  be a correct ear decomposition of  $G$ .

An improved ear-basis  $\mathcal{A}(G) = \mathcal{A}(G_t)$  is recursively defined as follows:

1.  $\mathcal{A}(G_2)$  consists of all three cycles of  $G_2$ .
2. Let  $i > 2$  and  $G_i$  be obtained from  $G_{i-1}$  by adding the ear  $P_i$ .

We distinguish three cases:

- (i) if the endvertices of  $P_i$  have degree greater than 2 in  $G_{i-1}$  then  $\mathcal{A}(G_i)$  is obtained from  $\mathcal{A}(G_{i-1})$  by adding an arbitrary circuit  $C_i^1$  of  $G_i$  containing  $P_i$ ;
- (ii) if one endvertex of  $P_i$  have degree 2 in  $G_{i-1}$  then let  $e_1, e_2$  be two edges of  $G_{i-1}$  incident with that vertex. Then  $\mathcal{A}(G_i)$  is obtained from  $\mathcal{A}(G_{i-1})$  by adding two circuits  $C_i^1, C_i^2$  of  $G_i$ ,  $C_i^1$  containing  $P_i$  and  $e_1$  and  $C_i^2$  containing  $P_i$  and  $e_2$ ;
- (iii) if both endvertices of  $P_i$  have degree 2 in  $G_{i-1}$  then let  $e_1, e_2$  and  $f_1, f_2$  be two pairs of edges of  $G_{i-1}$  incident with each endvertex of  $P_i$ . Since the ear decomposition is correct,  $e_1, e_2, f_1, f_2$  do not belong to a subdivision of the same edge in  $G_{i-1}$ .

Then  $\mathcal{A}(G_i)$  is obtained from  $\mathcal{A}(G_{i-1})$  by adding three circuits  $C_i^1, C_i^2, C_i^3$  of  $G_i$ ,  $C_i^1$  containing  $P_i$  and  $e_1, f_1$ ,  $C_i^2$  containing  $P_i$  and  $e_2, f_1$ , and  $C_i^3$  containing  $P_i$  and  $e_1, f_2$ .

The following theorem is proved in [1].

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $G$  be a subdivision of a 3-edge-connected graph  $H$ . Any improved ear-basis of  $G$  is a basis of the lattice generated by the circuits of  $G$ . Moreover, this lattice contains all vectors of form  $2F$ , where  $F$  is the set of the edges of the path of  $G$  obtained by subdividing an edge of  $H$ .*

#### 4. About Robbins' theorem

As mentioned in the introduction, it was proved by Robbins in [8] that a graph  $G$  is 2-edge-connected if and only if  $G$  has a strongly connected orientation. It was observed by Greenberg and Loeb [4] that this theorem has a linear algebra generalisation.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a lattice and let  $A \subset L$ . There exists  $s \in \{1, -1\}^A$  such that each element of  $L$  is a non-negative integer linear combination of  $\{s(a)a, a \in A\}$  if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:*

1. *Each element of  $L$  is an integer linear combination of  $A$ ,*
2. *For each  $z \in A$ ,  $0 = \sum_{a \in A} b_z(a)a$  where  $b_z(a)$  is integer for each  $a$  and  $b_z(z) \neq 0$ .*

**Proof.** Condition 1 is clearly necessary. To show that condition 2 is necessary let  $s$  exist and assume that for  $a \in A$ ,  $s(a)=1$ . Since  $-a \in L$ , we have that  $-a = \sum_{b \in A} s'(b)b$

where  $s'(b) = 0$  or  $s'(b)$  has the same sign as  $s(b)$  for each  $b \in A$ . Adding  $a$  to both sides, we get condition 2 for  $a$ .

Let us prove that the two conditions are sufficient. In fact, we will prove a stronger statement:

**Claim.** *Let us assume that  $A \cup \{-a; a \in A\}$  generates  $L$ , and let  $A' \subset A$  and  $s' \in \{1, -1\}^{A'}$  is given with the following property **P**: For each  $z \in A$ ,  $0 = \sum_{a \in A} b_z(a)a$  where  $b_z(a)$  is integer for each  $a$ ,  $b_z(z) \neq 0$  and for  $a' \in A'$ , if  $b_z(a') \neq 0$  then it has the same sign as  $s'(a')$ .*

*Let  $b \in A - A'$ . Then  $s'$  may be extended to  $s'' \in \{1, -1\}^{A' \cup \{b\}}$  so that **P** is valid for  $s''$ .*

**Proof.** For a contradiction assume that  $s'$  cannot be extended to  $A' \cup \{b\}$ . Hence if we let  $s''(b) = 1$  then **P** is violated for some  $x \in A$  and if we let  $s''(b) = -1$  then **P** is violated for some  $y \in A$ . Since **P** holds for  $s'$  we have that  $x \neq y$  and

$0 = \sum_{a \in A} b_x(a)a$  where  $b_x(x) \neq 0$ ,  $b_x(a') = 0$  or it has the same sign as  $s'(a')$  for each  $a' \in A'$  and  $b_x(b)$  is negative; by the choice of  $y$  we also have  $b_x(y) = 0$ .

$0 = \sum_{a \in A} b_y(a)a$  where  $b_y(y) \neq 0$ ,  $b_y(a') = 0$  or it has the same sign as  $s'(a')$  for each  $a' \in A'$  and  $b_y(b)$  is positive; by the choice of  $x$  we also have  $b_x(y) = 0$ .

Without loss of generality assume that  $-b_x(b) \geq b_y(b)$ .

Then  $0 = \sum_{a \in A} (b_x(a) + b_y(a))a$ , and if we let  $b'_y(a) = b_x(a) + b_y(a)$  for each  $a \in A$  then  $b'_y(y) \neq 0$ ,  $b'_y(a') = 0$  or it has the same sign as  $s'(a')$  for each  $a' \in A'$  and  $b'_y(b)$  is negative or equals zero. When we let  $s''(b) = -1$  property **P** is satisfied for  $y$  and  $s''$ , which contradicts the choice of  $y$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Theorem 4.1 indeed generalises the Robbins' theorem: Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph with vertices  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ . For any pair of vertices  $v_i, v_j$  of  $G$ ,  $i < j$ , let  $x(i, j) \in \{0, 1, -1\}^n$  be a vector whose components are all equal to zero except  $x(i, j)_i = 1$  and  $x(i, j)_j = -1$ . Let  $L$  be the lattice generated by all the vectors  $x(i, j)$ , and let  $A = \{x(i, j); \{v_i, v_j\} \in E\}$ . Then  $G$  has a strongly connected orientation if and only if  $s$  from Theorem 4.1 exists for  $A$ . Moreover, the two conditions of Theorem 4.1 are equivalent to  $G$  being connected (condition 1) and each edge belonging to a cycle (condition 2). This is equivalent to  $G$  being 2-edge-connected.

Theorem 4.1 has an interesting consequence.

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $L$  be a lattice and  $A \subset L$  such that each element of  $L$  is an integer linear combination of  $A - \{a\}$ , for any  $a \in A$ . Then  $s$  from Theorem 4.1 exists.*

**Remark 2.** It may be observed that subdivisions of 3-connected graphs satisfy condition 4.2 and thus  $s$  from Theorem 4.1 always exists. It may be interesting to investigate which properties does the set of all such  $s$  have.

**References**

- [1] A. Galluccio, M. Loeb,  $(p, q)$ -odd digraphs, *J. Graph Theory* 23 (1996) 175–184.
- [2] W. Hochstaettler, M. Loeb, On bases of circuit lattices, Technical Report CORR 96-02, University of Waterloo, January 1996.
- [3] M. Laurent, M. Loeb, T. Fleiner, W. Hochstaettler, Cycle bases for lattices of binary matroids with no fano dual minor and their one-element extensions, *J. Combin. Theory B* 77 (1999) 25–38.
- [4] M. Loeb, P. Greenberg, Strong connectivity of polyhedral complexes, *J. Algebraic Combin.* 5 (1996) 117–125.
- [5] L. Lovász, A. Seres, The cocycle lattice of binary matroids, *European J. Combin.* 14 (1993) 241–250.
- [6] M. Matamala, F. Martinez, A local approach to solve transport networks' user equilibrium, preprint, University of Chile, 1999.
- [7] T. Nagnibeda, R. Bacher, P. de la Harpe, The lattice of integral flows and the lattice of integral cuts in a finite graph, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 125 (1997) 167–198.
- [8] H.E. Robbins, A theorem on graphs, with an application to a problem of traffic control, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 46 (1939) 281–283.
- [9] A. Schrijver, *Theory of Linear Programming and Integer Programming*, Wiley, New York, 1986.
- [10] P.D. Seymour, C. Thomassen, Characterization of even directed graphs, *J. Combin. Theory B* 42 (1987) 36–45.
- [11] C. Thomassen, Even cycles in directed graphs, *European J. Combin.* 6 (1985) 72–87.
- [12] V.V. Vazirani, M. Yannakakis, Pfaffian orientations, 0–1 permanents and even cycles in directed graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 25 (1989) 179–190.