Biomaterials 35 (2014) 489-499



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Biomaterials



Differential nanotoxicological and neuroinflammatory liabilities of non-viral vectors for RNA interference in the central nervous system



Biomaterials



Bruno M.D.C. Godinho^{a,b}, David J. McCarthy^{a,b}, Cristina Torres-Fuentes^c, Caroll J. Beltrán^{d,e}, Joanna McCarthy^a, Aoife Quinlan^a, Julien R. Ogier^f, Raphael Darcy^f, Caitriona M. O'Driscoll^a, John F. Cryan^{b,d,*}

^a Pharmacodelivery Group, School of Pharmacy, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

^b Dept. Anatomy and Neuroscience, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

^c Food for Health Ireland, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

^d Laboratory of Neurogastroenterology, Alimentary Pharmabiotic Centre, Cork, Ireland

^e Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universidad de Chile, Hospital Clínico Universidad de Chile, Chile

^fCentre for Synthesis and Chemical Biology, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 18 July 2013 Accepted 20 September 2013 Available online 16 October 2013

Keywords: Cyclodextrins siRNA Cytokines Toll-like receptors Stereotaxic High content analysis

ABSTRACT

Progression of RNA interference-based gene silencing technologies for the treatment of disorders of the central nervous system (CNS) depends on the availability of efficient non-toxic nanocarriers. Despite advances in the field of nanotechnology undesired and non-specific interactions with different brain-cell types occur and are poorly investigated. To this end, we studied the cytotoxic and neuroinflammatory effects of widely-used transfection reagents and modified amphiphilic β -cyclodextrins (CDs). All nonviral vectors formed positively charged nanoparticles with distinctive physicochemical properties. Differential and significant cytotoxic effects were observed among commercially available cationic vectors, whereas CDs induced limited disruptions of cellular membrane integrity and mitochondrial dehydrogenase activity. Interestingly, murine derived BV2 microglia cells and a rat striatal in vitro model of Huntington's disease (ST14A-HTT120Q) were more susceptible to toxicity than human U87 astroglioma cells. BV2 microglia presented significant increases in cytokine, toll-like receptor 2 and cyclooxygenase-2 gene expression after transfection with selected commercial vectors but not with CD.siRNA nanoparticles. Non-viral siRNA nanoparticles formulated with G6 polyamidoamine (PAMAM) also significantly increased cytokine gene expression in the brain following injections into the mouse striatum. Together our data identify modified CDs as nanosystems that enable siRNA delivery to the brain with low levels of cytotoxicity and immunological activation.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Therapeutic gene silencing by harnessing the specificity of the endogenous RNA interference (RNAi) pathway offers great promise for the treatment of neurological disorders, such as Huntington's Disease [1]. However, the lack of efficient and safe delivery vectors has tempered the progression of this technology for the treatment of disorders of the central nervous system (CNS) [2]. To date, both viral and non-viral approaches have been investigated. Despite their ability to transduce a wide range of cell types, several concerns have been raised against viral vectors regarding their immunogenicity and safety [3]. On the other hand, efforts in the field of nanotechnology have been put together to develop more effective and safe non-viral alternatives for short interfering RNA (siRNA) delivery to the CNS [2].

Non-viral vectors are chemically synthesised or derived from naturally occurring polymers and often contain cationic moieties that facilitate electrostatic interaction with anionic siRNAs, enabling complexation and protection from serum degradation [2]. These nanosystems have been able to successfully deliver siRNA and elicit gene silencing effects in a variety of cell models, including cultured neurons, but also *in vivo* in the brain of relevant models of CNS disorders (e.g. Refs. [4–7]). However, and in addition to cellular uptake and gene silencing requirements, biocompatibility of non-viral formulations is one of the emerging hurdles [8]. Although until recently biomaterials were considered to be relatively inert, advancements have shown that they are capable of causing toxic

^{*} Corresponding author. University College Cork, Western Gateway Building, Western Road, Cork, Ireland. Tel.: +353 214 205426; fax: +353 427 3518. *E-mail address:* j.cryan@ucc.ie (J.F. Cryan).

^{0142-9612/\$ –} see front matter \odot 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2013.09.068

biological responses and inducing specific genomic signatures [8,9]. Indeed, several delivery vectors (e.g. formulations containing cationic/neutral lipids, cationic linear and branched polymers, polyamidoamine (PAMAM) dendrimers) have been reported to cause cellular membrane destabilisation and lysis, and to interfere with mitochondrial metabolic activity leading to increased cellular oxidative stress [10–13]. Furthermore, global changes in gene expression profiles, activation of the apoptotic pathway and induction of immune responses have also been reported to occur in a vector-dependent fashion both *in vitro* and *in vivo* upon systemic delivery [13–18].

Key contributors to the toxicological and immunological profiles of nanoparticles are the physicochemical properties of the assembled nanosystem as well as tissue and cell susceptibility [2,19]. In fact, surface functionalisation, shape, size, charge, and architecture are fundamental aspects for cellular uptake and gene silencing efficiency, and have now been found to be also crucial in nanoparticle-mediated toxicity [19-21]. On the other hand, as ultimate targets in the CNS, neurons are notoriously difficult to transfect and are also very sensitive to cytotoxicity mediated by non-viral vectors [22,23]. In addition, neurodegenerative diseases, such as Huntington's Disease, may render specific neuronal populations more susceptible to toxic stimuli and therefore adequate non-toxic carriers must be used [24]. Furthermore, inducing gene silencing effects in the brain requires, in various circumstances, interaction of nanoparticles with different cell types, including microglia and astroglia. Thus, non-specific toxic interactions with these cell types may reduce brain homoeostasis, induce inflammatory processes and eventually accelerate progression of neurological diseases [25]. However, despite its importance, the nanotoxicological and neuroinflammatory impact of nanoparticles for gene and RNAi in the intricate context of the CNS is still relatively poorly investigated. In fact, most studies have focused on single CNS cell types, essentially providing efficacy data and only presenting limited data on the cytoxicity and inflammatory profiles of delivery systems. Thus, a systematic and integrated assessment of the cytotoxic and neuroinflammatory effects of commonly used transfection reagents in multiple brain-derived cells is warranted.

To this end the present study aims to assess the toxicological and immunological profiles of three commercially available and widely used cationic vectors and a modified cationic amphiphilic cyclodextrin (CD) delivery system. These biomaterials were chosen on the basis of their particular molecular architecture and/or in order to cover the most widely used polycation-based delivery systems. Potential biological adverse effects and neuroinflammatory responses were assessed in three different brainderived cell lines: ST14A-HTT120Q cells derived from rat striatal primordia and previously cloned with the mutant Huntingtin (HTT) gene were chosen as we are interested in developing non viral therapeutic approaches for Huntington's Disease [4]; mouse BV2 microglial cells were chosen as model of CNS resident immune cells; and U87 human astroglioma cells were chosen as brain cancer in vitro model. Moreover, we investigated local immune responses to these distinctive biomaterials in vivo after single bilateral injections into the striatum of mice.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Synthetic siRNAs

Synthetic duplexed siRNAs were obtained from QIAGEN (United Kingdom) or Sigma—Aldrich (France). Non-silencing siRNAs (NSsiRNA): sense strand, 5'-UUCUCC-GAACGUGUCACGUdTdT-3'; antisense strand, 5'-ACGUGACACGUUCGGAGAAdTdT-3'. Non-silencing FAM-labelled siRNA (FAMsiRNA): sense strand, 5'-[6FAM] UUCUCC-GAACGUGUCACGUdTdT-3'; antisense strand, 5'-ACGUGACACGUUCGGAGAAdTdT-3'. HTT siRNAs as per Wang et al. 2005 [7]: 5'-GCCUUCGAGUCCCUCAAGUCC-3'; antisense strand, 5'-ACUUGAGGGACUCGAAGGCCU-3.

2.2. Nanoparticle preparation and characterisation

Modified cationic amphiphilic CDs were prepared as previously described in O'Mahony et al., 2012 [5] and Godinho et al. 2013 [4]. Briefly, CDs were dissolved in chloroform and evaporated under a stream of gaseous nitrogen. CDs were then rehydrated in sterilised deionised water (DIW) and sonicated for 1 h before complexation with siRNAs. For nanoparticle formation, CDs were mixed with equal volumes of siRNA solutions and left to incubate at room temperature (RT) for 20 min. Commercially available cationic vectors, Lipofectamine[™]2000 (Lf2000) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), INTERFERIn[®] (Interferin) (PolyPlus[®], France) and Superfect[®] (SF) (OIAGEN, United Kingdom) were complexed with siRNAs as per manufacturer's instructions. CD.siRNA nanoparticles were used at a mass ratio 10:1 (10 µg CD:1 µg siRNA). The final vector/siRNA ratios for commercially available transfection reagents were selected or adapted from manufacturer's recommendations to facilitate comparisons across vectors in vitro and also to facilitate comparison with in vivo studies Lf2000 (1 μ L Lf2000:20 pmol siRNA), SF (5 μ L SF:1 μ g siRNA) and Interferin (1–1.2 μ L Interferin:0.1-0.2 µg siRNA). For physicochemical characterisation all nanoparticles were prepared in sterilised DIW and further diluted in DIW up to 1 mL. Size and charge measurements were assessed at RT by dynamic light scattering (DLS) and electrophoretic light scattering, respectively, using a Malvern's Zetasizer Nano ZS as previously described in O'Mahony et al. 2012 [5] and Godinho et al. 2013 [4]. Results are expressed in mean ± SD of 3 independent experiments. For in vivo studies nanoparticles were prepared in 5% glucose solution (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) and CD.siRNA nanoparticles concentrated by ultrafiltration using Vivaspin 500 spin columns (Sartorius, Germany) to a final concentration of siRNA of 0.08 μ g/ μ L.

2.3. Cell culture and RNAi transfection

ST14A-HTT120Q cells derived from rat striatal primordia and cloned with the human HTT gene were obtained from Coriell Institute for Medical Research (Camden, NJ). BV2 cells derived from primary mouse microglia cells were obtained from Banca Biologica e Cell Factory - IST (Italy, Genova). U87 astroglioma cells were a kind gift from Dr. Paul Young (University College Cork). ST14A-HTT120Q cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) (Sigma, Germany). BV2 cells were maintained in Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium 1640 (RPMI) (GIBCO, United Kingdom) medium supplemented with 10% FBS and 2 mm L-glutamine (GIBCO, United Kingdom). U87 cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 2 mm L-glutamine (GIBCO, United Kingdom). For passaging ST14A-HTT120Q and U87 cells 0.05% Trypsin-EDTA (GIBCO, United Kingdom) was used, for passaging BV2 cells 0.25% Trypsin-EDTA (Sigma, United Kingdom) was used. All cultures were kept in a humidified incubator with 5% CO2 and at 33 °C (ST14A-HTT120Q) or 37 °C (BV2 and U87). ST14A-HTT120Q, BV2 and U87 cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 7.5 \times 10³, 1 \times 10⁴ and 1 \times 10⁴ cells/well, respectively. For experiments carried out on 12-well plates cells were seeded at a density of 1.7 \times 10⁵, 0.3 \times 10⁶, and 2×10^5 cells/well, respectively.

RNAi transfection or stimulation with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (Sigma, Germany) was carried out for 4, 24 or 48 h according to the experiment. Nanoparticles were prepared as described above and diluted in optiMEM. The volume of transfection sample accounted for 20% of the total volume of the well, the remaining 80% consisted of complete growth media. The final concentration of siRNA in all RNAitreated groups was of 100 nm.

2.4. Trypan blue exclusion assay

The trypan blue assay is a well established method for the evaluation of cell viability in cell suspensions. This is a dye exclusion assay technique whereby viable cells, with intact cellular membranes, exclude the dye and nonviable cells incorporate the dye [26]. The method was conducted essentially as previously described in O'Mahony et al. 2012 [5]. Briefly, cells were seeded in 12-well plates and transfected as described above. After 24 h cell supernatants were collected, spun down, decanted into new tubes and stored at -80 °C. Cells were washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (Sigma, United Kingdom) and detached using 0.25% trypsin-EDTA (Sigma, United Kingdom). Cell suspensions were spun down at 1000 rpm for 5 min and the supernatant decanted. Cell pellet was resuspended in 1 mL of respective growth media. A 1:1 dilution of the cell suspension in a trypan blue solution 0.4% (Sigma, United Kingdom) was carried out, and cell counts (total and living cells) were obtained from BioRad TC10TM Automated Cell Counter.

2.5. Lactate dehydrogenase release assay

Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) release assay measures early and even transient damages to the cellular membrane [26]. An increased leakage of cytosolic LDH to the cell supernatant has been associated with an increase in cytotoxicity [26]. LDH assay was carried out on cell supernatants using CytoTox[®] 96 Non-radioactive Cytotoxicity Assay from Promega (Madison, WI) as per manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, cell supernatants were defrosted on ice and 50 μ L of each sample was placed in triplicate on 96-well plates and respective complete media used as control. 50 μ L of substrate solution was added into each well and incubated at RT for 30 min protected from

light. 50 μ L of stop solution was added to each well and absorbance measured at 490 nm using a SpectraMax Plus384 plate reader.

2.6. Methyl thiazolyl tetrazolium assay

Methyl thiazolyl tetrazolium (MTT) (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5diphenyltetrazoliumbromide) (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) assay assesses mitochondrial reductase activity and therefore is a good measure of cellular metabolism [26]. Reduction in mitochondrial dehydrogenase activity has been associated with reduced cell viability. MTT assays were carried out in 96-well plates as previously described in Godinho et al. 2013 [4] and O'Mahony et al. 2012 [5].

2.7. Cell integrity assay by high content analysis

High content analysis (HCA) is a high throughput technique that allows for screening of multiple cellular features based on automated cell imaging analysis. In this study, CytivaTM Cell Integrity HCA Assay was used to investigate different cell viability parameters such as plasma membrane integrity, mitochondrial viability and apoptosis (Cat. #. 29-0244-69, GE Healthcare, UK). Briefly, dye cocktails containing membrane permeable/impermeable DNA, mitochondrial and phosphatidylserine dyes were prepared following manufacturer's instructions. Cells incubated with Ionomycin 20 μ M for 2 h were validated and included as positive control for cytotoxicity and apoptosis. Three images per well were acquired using the IN Cell Analyser 1000 (GE Healthcare, UK) with a 20× objective. Further information on excitation and emission wavelengths used for detection of each dye is described in Supplementary Data, Supplementary Materials and Methods. After acquisition, data were analysed using In Cell[®] 1000 Workstation software (GE Healthcare, UK) using multitarget analysis. Specific details on the settings used for analysis are given in Supplementary Data, Supplementary Materials and Methods.

2.8. Gene expression

RNA was isolated using GenELUTETM Mammalian Total RNA Miniprep Kit (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). 300 ng of total RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using the High-capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit from Life technologies, Applied Biosystems (Foster City, MO). Real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) was performed using a 7300 Real Time PCR system under the cycling conditions previously described in Godinho et al. 2013 [4]. Mouse Tumour Necrosis Factor (TNF)- α (Mm00443258_m1), Interleukin (IL)-1β (Mm00434228_m1), IL-6 (Mm00446190_m1), Toll-like receptor (TLR) 2 (Mm00442346_m1), cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2) (Mm00478374_m1) and β -actin (4352341E) Taqman[®] gene expression assays were acquired from Life technologies, Applied Biosystems (United Kingdom). Custom TaqMan[®] HTT FAM-labelled probe was designed on previously validated primers as per Godinho et al. 2013 [4]. Samples were run in triplicate and average CT values were used for gene expression calculations. β -actin gene expression was used as endogenous control and relative cytokine gene expression was calculated on normalised CT values.

2.9. Brain stereotaxic surgery

Bilateral injections into the striatum (CPu) of 6-week old C57/BL6 male mice (Harlan, United Kingdom) were carried out through brain stereotaxic surgery. Previously optimised coordinates from bregma were used (Anterior-posterior = +0.7, Medio-lateral = +2.0 and Ventral = -3.0) and a total volume of 2.5 µL was delivered bilaterally at a rate of 0.5 μ L/min. In RNAi treated animals 0.2 μ g of siRNA was delivered in each side and in positive control animals LPS (3 µg) was injected. Following the injection 5 min extra were given before the syringe was retracted to avoid flush back. Bone wax (ETHICON, Johnson&Johnson, Belgium) was used to cover the burr hole and sterile sutures (ETHICON Mersilk, Belgium) were used to sew the skin. All procedures were conducted under gaseous anaesthetic Isofluorane (IsoFlo®, Abbott, United Kingdom). After 24 h animals were euthanised and brain tissue collected using a brain slicer matrix. Tissue for western blotting was snap frozen in dry ice and tissue for gene expression analysis was kept in RNA later (Sigma, United Kingdom) at 4 °C overnight. All tissues were thereafter kept in -80 °C until further analysis. All animal experimental procedures were approved by the ethical committee at the University College Cork and performed in accordance with the European Union directive 2010/63/EU for animals used for scientific purposes.

2.10. Western blotting

Brain tissue from the site of injection was disrupted by homogenization in lysis buffer and total protein quantified using a bicinchoninic acid assay as described in Godinho et al. 2013 [4]. 30 μ g of total protein was loaded on NuPAGE Novex 4–12% Bis–Tris gel (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Protein electrophoresis, protein transfer to a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane (Millipore, Bedford, MA) and membrane blocking was carried out as described in Godinho et al. 2013. Membranes were incubated overnight with anti-Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein (GFAP) antibody (dilution 1:1000) (MAB3402, Millipore, Temecula, CA) or anti- β -actin (dilution 1:3000) (A5441, Sigma, St Louis, MO). Membrane was washed with Tris-buffered saline solution containing 0.1% Tween 20 (Fisher Scientific, Fair Lawn, NJ) and incubated for 1 h in anti-mouse antibody (dilution 1:10,000) (IRDye 800CW, LI-COR). LICOR Odyssey near-infrared scanner was used to scan membranes and ImageJ software to carry out densitometry analysis. All results were normalised to the house keeping gene β -actin.

2.11. Statistical analysis

Unless otherwise stated results are expressed as mean \pm standard error of mean (SEM). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Bonferroni's Post Hoc test was carried out to determine statistical significant differences in particle size and surface charge among all non-viral vectors. ANOVA followed by Dunnett's Post Hoc test was used to determine significant statistical differences between naked siRNA, CD, Lf2000, Interferin and SF against untreated controls. Student's *t*-tests were carried out to investigate significant differences between LPS-positive controls and untreated controls. In *in vivo* studies statistical significant differences were investigated against vehicle, whereas untreated animals were only kept as a reference. All statistics were carried out using PAWS 18 Statistical package.

3. Results

3.1. Physicochemical characterisation of non-viral siRNA nanoparticles

The non-viral delivery systems investigated in this study have been represented in Fig. 1a. Cationic amphiphilic CDs are siRNA nanocarriers consisting of click-modified β -CDs [4,5]. On the other hand, Lf2000 consists of a cationic liposome formulation (3:1 DOS-PA:DOPE [27,28]), Interferin is a proprietary cationic non-liposomal amphiphile and SF is a 6th generation fractured PAMAM dendrimer [17]. Lf2000, Interferin and SF are commercially available and have been widely used for nucleic acid transfection. Although all cationic vectors were able to successfully bind and complex siRNAs as shown in gel retardation assays (Fig. 1b), the hydrodynamic radius, polydispersity and surface charge of these non-viral siRNA nanoparticles varied significantly (Fig. 1c,d). CD (192.34 \pm 9.89 nm) and Lf2000 siRNA nanoparticles (222.37 \pm 4.96 nm) were significantly larger than Interferin (122.83 \pm 7.86) and SF (148.81 \pm 16.33). Furthermore, polydispersity (PDI) of these non-viral siRNA nanoparticles decreased in the following order CD (0.329 \pm 0.033) > Interferin $(0.173 \pm 0.038) > \text{SF} (0.129 \pm 0.048) > \text{Lf2000} (0.071 \pm 0.007)$, suggesting different degrees of homogeneity within samples. Finally, zeta potential measurements demonstrated that all non-viral siRNA nanoparticles were positively charged and that CD.siRNA nanoparticles presented the lowest surface charge. However, no statistically significant differences were found among the different systems (Fig. 1d).

3.2. Gene silencing efficiency in ST14A-HTT120Q cells

For completion and to enable further comparison among the different vectors their gene silencing efficiency was investigated in ST14A-HTT120Q cells, an *in vitro* model of Huntington's Disease. Transfection with Lf2000 and Interferin induced the highest levels of HTT gene expression knockdown in this cell line (Table 1). Furthermore, CD.siRNA nanoparticles also induced a very high level of HTT gene expression knockdown, whereas SF was the nanosystem that achieved the lowest level of gene expression knockdown in this cell line (Table 1).

3.3. Direct biological adverse effects of non-viral siRNA nanoparticles in brain-derived cell lines

Assessment of direct biological adverse effects using conventional end-point methods revealed differential toxicity profiles of non-viral siRNA nanoparticles within the same brain-derived cell line. Moreover, trypan blue exclusion assays (Fig. 2a–c), LDH assays (Fig. 2d–f) and MTT assays (Fig. 2g–i) provided insights



Fig. 1. Physicochemical characterisation of non-viral siRNA nanoparticles. (a) Schematic representation of non-viral vectors. (b) Gel retardation assay for siRNA binding and complexation. Free siRNA migrates through the gel. 0.3 μ g siRNA per well. (c) Hydrodynamic radius of non-viral siRNA nanoparticles measured by dynamic light scattering. (d) ζ potential measured through electrophoretic light scattering. CD = Cylcodextrin, Lf2000 = Lipofectamine2000, Interf. = Interferin and SF = Superfect. *n* = 3 per group. Results are expressed as Mean \pm SD. ***P* < 0.01 and ****P* < 0.001.

into the various aspects of cellular toxicity. Trypan blue dye exclusion assays provided robust live/dead cell evaluation based on permanent cellular membrane damage, LDH assays detected transient and early injury to the cellular membrane and MTT assays were used as a measure of cellular metabolic activity [26,29,30].

In the rat striatal cell line (ST14A-HTT120Q) siRNA transfections using Lf2000 and Interferin resulted in significant reduction in cell viability (53.2 \pm 3.1% and 37.6 \pm 7.5% viable cells after 24 h, respectively), increased LDH release (4.08 \pm 0.08 and 5.06 \pm 0.39 fold-increase after 24 h, respectively) and reduction in mitochondrial dehydrogenase activity (46.29 \pm 0.53%, 76.36 \pm 2.39% metabolically active cells after 48 h, respectively) (Fig. 2a,d,g). Although after 24 h transfection SF.siRNA nanoparticles did not affect cell

Table 1 HTT gene expression knockdown efficiency of non-viral vectors in ST14-HTT120Q cells.

Non-viral delivery system	HTT gene expression knockdown (% of untreated controls)
Cyclodextrin Lipofectamine [®] 2000 INTERFERin [®] Superfect [®]	$\begin{array}{l} 45.06 \pm 16.49 \\ 69.90 \pm 6.42 \\ 63.74 \pm 12.13 \\ 29.25 \pm 6.80 \end{array}$

viability or LDH release, they had significant effects on mitochondrial activity after 48 h transfection ($71.72 \pm 0.54\%$). No significant adverse effects were detected for CD.siRNA nanoparticles in all toxicity tests carried out in ST14A-HTT120Q cells (Fig. 2a,d,g).

Interferin and SF siRNA nanoparticles significantly reduced cell viability (56.47 \pm 5.29% and 43.88 \pm 1.44% viable cells after 24 h, respectively), increased LDH release (2.59 \pm 0.06, 2.93 \pm 0.08 fold-increase after 24 h, respectively) and reduced dehydrogenase activity (12.60 \pm 1.85%, 53.13 \pm 4.00% metabolically active cells after 48 h, respectively) in BV2 microglia cells (Fig. 2b,e,h). Although Lf2000 did not reduce cell viability, it significantly increased LDH release after 24 h (2.10 \pm 0.084 fold-increase) and reduced dehydrogenase activity (36.78 \pm 2.97%) after 48 h. On the other hand, CD.siRNA nanoparticles only modestly affected cellular metabolic activity (79.59 \pm 6.13% metabolically active cells) in BV2 cells after 48 h (Fig. 2b,e,h).

Although not dramatically, Lf2000 and Interferin siRNA nanoparticles significantly reduced cell viability (92.09 \pm 1.50% and 92.43 \pm 1.91% viable cells after 24 h transfection, respectively) and increased LDH release (3.13 \pm 0.51% and 3.85 \pm 0.30 fold-increase after 24 h, respectively) in U87 astroglioma cells (Fig. 2c,f,i). However, after 48 h Interferin.siRNA nanoparticles did not induce significant changes in mitochondrial metabolic activity in this cell line whereas Lf2000 did (81.50 \pm 0.63% metabolically active cells). Although no changes were observed



Fig. 2. Evaluation of nanoparticle-induced cytotoxicity in multiple brain-derived cell lines using conventional methods. ST14A-HTT120Q cells (a, d, g), BV2 microglial cells (b, e, h) and U87 cells (c, f, i) were transfected using different non-viral siRNA nanoparticles. Final concentration of siRNA in RNAi-treated groups was of 100 nM for all experiments. Trypan blue exclusion assays (a–c) and LDH release assays (d–f) were carried out after 24 h of transfection. MTT assays were performed after 48 h transfection (g–i). Unt. = Untreated, siRNA = Naked siRNA, CD = Cylcodextrin, Lf2000 = Lipofectamine2000, Interf. = Interferin and SF = Superfect. n = 3-5 per group. Results are expressed as Mean \pm SEM. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001 against untreated control.

in trypan blue and LDH assays after 24 h, SF.siRNA nanoparticles induced mitochondrial adverse effects detected by MTT assay after 48 h (47.39 \pm 0.70% metabolically active cells). No toxic effects in U87 cells were observed with CD.siRNA nanoparticles in the tests performed (Fig. 2c,f,i). Trypan blue dye exclusion assays, LDH and MTT biochemical assays did not detect any detrimental effects of naked siRNAs in the brain-derived cell lines tested in this study.

HCA was used to further investigate nanoparticle-induced cytotoxicity in the ST14A-HTT120Q *in vitro* model of Huntington's Disease (Fig. 3). Fig. 3a shows fused images of the HCA cell integrity assay where membrane permeant nuclear blue stain identifies viable living cells, membrane impermeant red nuclear dye identifies dead cells (co-staining with blue yields magenta), phosphatidylserine green marker identifies apoptotic cells and red mitochondrial stain identifies healthy mitochondria. After 24 h, Lf2000 and Interferin significantly reduced the number of viable cells (51.61 \pm 3.62% and 18.93 \pm 5.17% of total number of cells, respectively) and increased the number of late apoptotic (43.72 \pm 2.98% and 71.98 \pm 5.48% of total number of cells,

respectively) and dead cells (4.68 \pm 1.90% and 9.09 \pm 2.67% of total number of cells, respectively) (Fig. 3b). In addition, Lf2000 and Interferin also significantly decreased cell density by $45.12 \pm 3.32\%$ and 49.86 \pm 1.69%, respectively, when compared to untreated controls (Fig. 3c). Furthermore, HCA revealed that Lf2000 and Interferin increased membrane permeability by 147.80 \pm 5.17% and 241.60 \pm 8.69%, respectively, when compared to untreated controls following cellular insult (Fig. 3d). Despite obvious differences in sensitivity, these results are in accordance with trypan blue and LDH assays, respectively. Nuclear morphology analysis showed significant shrinkage of the nuclear area in Lf2000 ($-23.49 \pm 2.33\%$) and Interferin ($-43.35 \pm 0.84\%$) transfected cells (Fig. 3e). Additionally, Lf2000 and Interferin significantly reduced mitochondrial membrane potential (MMP) by 38.4 \pm 0.63% and 45.04 \pm 2.79%, respectively (Fig. 3f). On the other hand, naked siRNAs, CDs and SF did not alter significantly any of the above mentioned cell integrity parameters when compared to untreated controls. However, and in contrast with CDs which have efficiently transfected ST14A-HTT120Q cells in the present study, it is worth noting that the good viability profile observed for SF might have been associated



Fig. 3. Nanoparticle-induced cytotoxicity in ST14A-HTT120Q striatal cells assessed by high content analysis. ST14A-HTT120Q cells were transfected for 24 h with different nanoparticles. Final concentration of siRNA in RNAi-treated groups was of 100 nM. Ionomycin (20 μ M) incubated for 2 h was used as positive control for apoptosis. (a) Representative fused images obtained from HCA consisting of (blue) nuclear permeant dye indicating viable cells, (red) mitochondrial stain identifying healthy mitochondrion, (green) marker for presence of phosphatidylserine in outer plasma membrane and (magenta) indicating co-localisation of blue nuclear stain with membrane impermeant dye, identifying late apoptotic cells. (b) Percentage of viable, apoptotic and dead cells from total cell count. (c) Cell number (d) Membrane permeability (e) Nuclear area and (f) Mitochondrial membrane potential presented as a percentage of untreated controls. Unt. = Untreated, siRNA = Naked siRNA, CD = Cylcodextrin, Lf2000 = Lipofectamine2000, Interf. = Interferin, SF = Superfect and Ion. = Ionomycin. *n* = 3 per group. Results are expressed as Mean ± SEM. **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01 and ****P* < 0.001 against untreated control. Scale bar = 20 μ m. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

with the lower levels of transfection achieved in this particular cell line. Finally, cells stimulated for 2 h with a calcium ionophore (Ionomycin 20 μ M), known to increase release of intracellular calcium and to induce an apoptotic like process, presented reduction

in cell densities, number of viable cells, nuclear area and the MMP. Ionomycin also significantly increased the number of late apoptotic cells and plasma membrane permeability when compared to untreated controls (Fig. 3).

3.4. Nanoparticle-induced neuroinflammatory responses in brainderived cell lines

Immune responses in the CNS are mainly mediated by microglia and astroglia. Therefore here we tested BV2 microglia cells and U87 astroglioma cells for the expression of pro-inflammatory markers, such as cytokines, after transfection with different non-viral vectors.

Results showed that after only 4 h Lf2000, Interferin and SF siRNA nanoparticles had significantly increased TNF-a gene expression (2.65 \pm 0.28, 1.98 \pm 0.24, 2.26 \pm 0.4 fold-increase, respectively), which was further increased for Interferin and SF $(29.59 \pm 2.18 \text{ and } 46.35 \pm 2.75, \text{ respectively})$ after 24 h (Fig. 4a). IL- 1β gene expression was only found to be significantly increased in cells transfected with SF.siRNA nanoparticles after 4 h $(26.58 \pm 11.22 \text{ fold-increase})$, however after 24 h both Interferin and SF induced significant increases in IL-1 β gene expression $(225.88 \pm 63.65 \text{ and } 386.51 \pm 115.07, \text{ respectively})$ (Fig. 4b). In contrast, no significant changes from untreated controls were observed for cells treated with naked siRNA or CD.siRNA nanoparticles for any of the cytokines assessed at any of the time points (Fig. 4a,b). A positive control for cytokine release, LPS induced a significant increase in TNF- α and IL-1 β gene expression immediately after 4 h stimulation. The expression of IL-6 was also assessed in this study, yet no expression of this cytokine was detected with either LPS positive control or with the different non-viral vectors (data not shown). For completion of these results we investigated cytokine release to the culture medium in BV2 and U87 cells through multi-spot ELISA after 24 h transfection. In BV2 cells, Lf2000, Interferin and SF significantly increased TNF-a release when compared to untreated controls (2.55 \pm 0.11, 1.80 \pm 0.48 and 1.54 ± 0.23 pg/mL, respectively), however this was only a modest increase at this particular time point (Supplementary Data, Figure S1). Release of IL-1 β in BV2 cells was only found to be modestly increased with LPS and none of the vectors induced significant release of this cytokine (Supplementary Data, Figure S1). In the U87 astroglioma cell line, Lf2000 and Interferin were found to significantly increase release of IL-6 (51.89 \pm 6.44, 49.08 \pm 7.38 pg/ mL, respectively) (Supplementary Data, Figure S1). Moreover, stimulation of U87 cells with LPS resulted in low levels of expression of TNF- α and IL-1 β release after 24 h (data not shown).

The expression of the pattern recognition TLR2 was also assessed and found to be significantly increased in BV2 cells after 4 h transfection with Lf2000 (3.16 \pm 0.14 fold-increase) and Interferin (3.47 \pm 0.54 fold-increase) (Fig. 4c). Further increases were observed at 24 h for Interferin (11.73 \pm 0.64 fold-increase) and SF siRNA nanoparticles (11.51 \pm 1.13 fold-increase). On the other hand, neither naked siRNAs nor CD.siRNA nanoparticles induced significant increases in the expression of this pattern recognition receptor (Fig. 4c). Finally, the expression of the pro-inflammatory



Fig. 4. Nanoparticle-induced pro-inflammatory gene expression in BV2 microglia cells. BV2 microglia cells were transfected for 4 or 24 h using different nanoparticles. Final siRNA concentration was of 100 nM for all experiments. Total RNA was extracted, reverse transcribed to cDNA and gene expression assessed by RT-qPCR. (a–c) First bar series correspond to gene expression at 4 h and second bar series to 24 h. All results were normalised to the expression of β -actin endogenous control. LPS was used as positive control. Unt. = Untreated, siRNA = Naked siRNA, CD = Cylcodextrin, Lf2000 = Lipofectamine2000, Interf. = Interferin, SF = Superfect and LPS = Lipopolysaccharide. *n* = 3–5 per group. Results are expressed as Mean ± SEM. **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.001 and ###*P* < 0.001 against untreated control.

prostaglandin synthase COX-2 was found to be significantly increased in BV2 cells treated with SF.siRNA nanoparticles (84.25 \pm 7.95 fold-increase) (Fig. 4d). Despite a modest increase observed with siRNA nanoparticles formulated with CD (2.56 \pm 0.90 fold-increase), Lf2000 (2.74 \pm 0.56 fold-increase) and Interferin (2.85 \pm 0.75 fold-increase) results for these nanoparticles did not reach significance when compared to untreated controls.

3.5. Acute in vivo neuroinflammatory responses to non-viral siRNA nanoparticles in the brain

In order to investigate local activation of immune response in the brain caused by non-viral siRNA nanoparticles, direct injections into the striatum of C57/BL6 mice were performed. Subsequently, gene expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines TNF- α , IL-1 β and IL-6 gene expression were assessed through RT-qPCR (Fig. 5). After 24 h, all animals subjected to stereotaxic brain surgery revealed an expected increase in the expression of TNF- α due to mechanical lesion and trauma. However, only SF.siRNA nanoparticles significantly increased the expression of this cytokine when compared to vehicle-treated animals (527.40 \pm 137.10 fold-increase) (Fig. 5a). Furthermore, the expression of IL-1 β was found to be undetectable in untreated control animals and only SF.siRNA nanoparticles significantly increased its expression when compared to vehicle-treated animals (Fig. 5b). Finally, expression of IL-6 was found to

be significantly enhanced in animals treated with SF.siRNA nanoparticles (259.50 \pm 94.54 fold-increase) and a trend towards significance was found for animals treated with Interferin.siRNA nanoparticles (Fig. 5c). Naked siRNA did not stimulate the expression of any of the cytokines screened in this study. In contrast, LPS caused a significant and dramatic increase in TNF- α , IL-1 β and IL-6 after 24 h.

Furthermore, astroglia activation was evaluated by assessing GFAP levels across the different treatment groups (Fig. 5d box). All animals subjected to brain surgery presented increased levels of GFAP when compared to untreated animals. Although a positive trend towards significance is clear for animals treated with Lf2000 and SF siRNA nanoparticles, no statistical significance was achieved (Fig. 5d). Moreover, only modest weight loss was noted in all RNAi-treated animals, except for the SF-treated group where significant differences were observed when compared to vehicle-treated animals (Supplementary Data, Figure S2).

4. Discussion

Developing nanosystems for RNAi delivery is a difficult balancing act between inducing an appropriate level of efficacy versus the biocompatibility and safety liabilities of the assembled nanosystem. This is particularly cogent for disorders of the CNS where neuronal and glial cells are highly sensitive to cytotoxic



Fig. 5. Acute *in vivo* neuroinflammatory responses to non-viral siRNA nanoparticles in the brain. Different non-viral siRNA nanoparticles were injected bilaterally ($2 \times 0.2 \mu g$ siRNA/ 2.5 μ L) into the striatum of 6-week old C57/BL6 male mice. After 24 h, total RNA was extracted, reverse transcribed to cDNA and cytokine gene expression assessed by RT-qPCR (ac). (d) Western blot (box) and densitometry analysis for GFAP expression. LPS was used as positive control. All results were normalised to the expression of β -actin endogenous control. ND = Not detected. Unt. = Untreated, Vehicle = 5% glucose, siRNA = Naked siRNA, CD = Cylcodextrin, Lf2000 = Lipofectamine2000, Interf. = Interferin, SF = Superfect and LPS = Lipopolysaccharide. n = 3-12 per group. Results are expressed as Mean \pm SEM. ***P < 0.001 and ###P < 0.001 against vehicle control.

insults. Moreover, the rapid developments in nanotechnology have resulted in the establishment of a wide range of non-viral vectors whose biological and immunological effects in the CNS are still to be comprehensively elucidated and compared. Thus, this report aims to solely evaluate the differential nanotoxicological and neuroinflammatory effects of widely used non-viral vectors for siRNA delivery to the CNS.

The physicochemical characteristics of the assembled nanosystem have been shown to dictate cellular uptake and gene knockdown efficiency, but also their cytotoxic effect. In this study, the different vectors (CD, Lf2000, Interferin and SF) yielded nanoparticles with comparable surface charges but with varying hydrodynamic sizes. Similar particle sizes have been previously reported by our group for CD.siRNA nanoparticles in DLS studies [4,5], and further confirmed by morphological studies using transmission electron microscopy [31]. Furthermore, vectors achieved different degrees of gene silencing of the mutant HTT gene in an in vitro model of Huntington's Disease (ST14A-HTT120Q), with CD.siRNA nanoparticles having a similar potency to that described previously [4]. However, and despite the fact that all nanoparticles presented comparable surface charges, only CD.siRNA nanoparticles have consistently presented safer cytotoxic profiles across most cell lines and assays here performed. Thus, in spite of the well documented detrimental effects of high positive surface charges [10,15,32,33], we suggest that the differences in cytotoxicity and also in the degree of HTT suppression observed, are probably in part associated with other characteristics of the nanoparticles, such as size and/or morphology. Indeed, others have found that nanoparticle size is a key factor in determining the specific cellular uptake and intracellular trafficking pathways whereas nanoparticle morphology may determine selective uptake by neurons and/or microglia [19,20]. We also reason that, biodegradability and clearance of the nanosystem from the intracellular compartment could have played an important role in cellular toxicity, however, further investigations are needed to clarify the mechanisms implicated. On the other hand, it is of interest to note that recent microarray data show that different biomaterials induce cell-specific "gene fingerprints", deregulating various genes related to apoptosis, cell proliferation and differentiation and mechanisms of DNA repair [17,18,34,35]. In turn, these genomic disruptions significantly differ between empty non-viral vectors and assembled nanosystems (containing their nucleic acid cargo) [17,18,34]. Therefore, this may suggest that cells recognise assembled nanosystems as singular entities distinct from the individual components, and that pathways implicated in subsequent cytotoxicity may also be different [9].

Inducing gene silencing effects in the brain requires in various circumstances interaction of nanoparticles with different cell types, including neurons and glia [2]. Thus, here we emphasise important differences in cellular susceptibility to the toxic stimulus mediated by non-viral vectors in brain-derived cell lines. Our results showed that ST14A-HTT120Q striatal cells and U87 astroglioma cells seemed to be more susceptible to toxic adverse effects from Lf2000 and Interferin nanoparticles, whereas BV2 microglia cells seemed to be more susceptible to toxicity from Interferin and SF. In agreement with our results, others have found that cellular uptake and cytotoxic profiles of widely used commercially available vectors are largely cell type-dependent [36–39]. Furthermore, in the specific context of the CNS, primary cultured astrocytes and microglial cells have also presented differential cellular uptake profiles when transfected with lipid-formulated siRNA [40]. Together, the differential toxicological cellular responses found in these studies may be related to the specific composition of cellular membranes of each cell-type, differences in the interaction of the biomaterials with intracellular components, but also in how cells are able to process and degrade these biomaterials. Overall, ST14A-HTT120Q cells, an *in vitro* model of Huntington's Disease, and the BV2 microglia cells used in this study seemed to be the most sensitive to adverse effects of non-viral siRNA nanoparticles. Indeed, it has been previously shown that the expression of the mutant and toxic HTT protein in this striatal cell line renders these cells more prone to toxic insults [24]. At the other end of the transfection spectrum, U87 astroglia cells, derived from a human astroglioma cancer, seemed to be more resistant to cell death. Thus, the selection of appropriate CNS *in vitro* models and appropriate toxicity assays is crucial for the assessment of biological adverse effects of non-viral vectors.

The majority of studies assessing in vitro cytotoxicity of widely used delivery systems have based their biosafety assumptions using a single end-point toxicity assay (e.g. Refs. [36–39]). In fact, despite the vast number of well established toxicity assays available to researchers for monitoring cell death, such as trypan blue exclusion, LDH and MTT assays, there is limited comparative information on the relative utility of these tests [26]. In summary, each of the conventional cytotoxicity methods employed in this study assesses a specific parameter involved in cell death and should be used together for a more complete assessment of cytotoxicity. As an example, no dramatic reduction in cell viability was detected in U87 cells upon transfection, nevertheless remarkable increases in LDH release revealed that early disruptions of membrane permeability might be occurring. Furthermore, MTT assays complement these results demonstrating that nanoparticles have also altered mitochondrial metabolic activity significantly. Thus, assumptions regarding biocompatibility of nanomaterials on a single conventional end-point toxicity assay are limited and should be avoided. Alternatively, HCA is a highthroughput technique that allows the evaluation of multiple cellular morphological and biochemical parameters with high sensitivity and specificity [41]. Although this technique is lately becoming popular to assess cytotoxicity of active pharmaceutical compounds [41], was only recently that HCA has been applied to evaluate efficiency and cytotoxic effects of non-viral vectors for gene delivery and other nanoparticles in vitro [42,43]. HCA cell integrity assay revealed that Lf2000 and Interferin siRNA nanoparticles reduced cell densities and the number of viable cells, and increased the number of late apoptotic and dead cells. Presence of phosphatildylserine in the outer face of the plasma membrane and co-staining of the nucleus with nuclear impermeable dye due to increased plasmatic membrane permeability, enabled identification of these cells as late apoptotic [44]. Additionally, RNAi transfection with these vectors induced nuclear contraction and chromatin condensation, both of which are typical features of cells undergoing apoptosis [42,44]. Lf2000 and Interferin also triggered loss of MMP indicating that these vectors compromise healthy mitochondrial function, eventually leading to cytochrome C release and induction of several other signalling cascades. Thus, the HCA results bolster our data obtained with conventional methods, however at a much higher degree of sensitivity, while also allowing for specific identification of the cell death mechanism activated by these biomaterials.

Safety of non-viral vectors for RNAi in the CNS is also dependent on a reduced activation of the local immune system. Interestingly, our data showed that non-viral vectors that induced greater cytotoxic effects in microglia and astroglia cells are more likely to trigger neuroinflammatory responses. Indeed, in BV2 microglia cells, Interferin and SF induced the highest expression of major proinflammatory cytokines (TNF- α , IL-1 β and IL-6) among all vectors used. Consistent with our results, others have also reported increased cytokine release in primary glial cultures and/or *in vivo*, after systemic administrations, when using lipid- and/or polymerbased siRNA/pDNA nanoparticles [14,45,46]. In addition, although these immunostimulatory effects could have been triggered by the nucleic acid cargo itself rather than the biomaterial, other studies have highlighted that this might be a vector-dependent effect. Indeed, these studies demonstrate that delivery of the same nucleic acid cargo (including unmodified siRNAs) by different vectors leads to differential immune responses after i.v. injections [15,16,47]. Thus, certain vectors seem to be more likely to enhance the immunostimulatory effects of siRNA than others, and these effects have been suggested to be closely related to sequestration of siRNA within a TLR-7 rich environment in the endosomes [8]. This further supports the need to develop non-viral vectors with endosomolytic properties and with low cytotoxic effects. Furthermore, it has also been recently suggested that the induction of cytokine expression by nanoparticles and biomaterials may occur through the activation of TLRs [15,48]. Investigations in various denditric cell models have demonstrated that this is likely to be a structural activity dependent-effect and therefore specific to certain lipids [27,49,50]. Although not in the particular context of RNAi or gene delivery, several biomaterials and delivery systems (e.g. PAMAM dendrimers) have been shown to activate microglia, resident immune cells of the CNS, and to increase the expression of specific inflammatory receptors such as TLRs and CC-chemokine receptor 2 [33,48]. Expression of TLR2 was found to be enhanced following the administration of Interferin and SF, however further studies are needed to reach a better understanding of the mechanism underlying these effects. Additionally, despite marked increased in cytokine gene expression in vitro with SF, Lf2000 and Interferin, only SF.siRNA nanoparticles lead to a significant increase in gene expression of the pro-inflammatory enzyme COX-2, a key enzyme responsible for the synthesis of prostaglandins. Thus, although expression of COX-2 in the brain is closely regulated by growth factors and cytokines [51], this differential response of the nanosystems indicates that additional underlying mechanisms are probably responsible for its activation by this G6 PAMAM dendrimer.

Route of administration, length of treatment and dosing regimens have also been identified as important determinants for toxic and inflammatory responses to delivery systems [2]. Indeed, here we demonstrate that the mechanical damage during brain intraparenchymal injections per se is able to enhance cytokine gene expression and also GFAP levels, effect which is clearly observed in all surgical animals including vehicle-treated animals. In agreement with our in vitro data, SF.siRNA nanoparticles caused significant increases in cytokine gene expression in vivo and induced weight loss when compared to vehicle-treated animals. However, in a previous study only moderate glial activation was reported upon intracortical injections with G4 PAMAM dendrimers [52]. Thus, we speculate that the increased activation of the immune response in our study might be related to the increased cytotoxic effects of the G6 PAMAM dendrimer in the brain. Indeed, in vitro mechanistic studies in mammalian cells have demonstrated that dendrimers induce cytotoxic effects in a generation-dependent manner [53]. On the other hand, although no significant immune activation was found for Interferin in the present in vivo study, increased immunological responses upon brain delivery have been reported elsewhere [6]. In contrast, a previous study in our group showed that multiple injections with CD.HTTsiRNA nanoparticles into the striatum of the R6/2 mouse model of Huntington's Disease selectively improved rotarod motor deficits without causing detrimental effects on body weight profiles [4]. In addition, other CD-containing polymer delivery systems for siRNA (CALAA-01) have been shown to be well tolerated in non-human primates after multiple i.v. administrations revealing no significant activation of the immune system [54]. Therefore, further studies should be

carried out for Lf2000, Interferin and SF to assess the effects of multiple injections into this susceptible structure.

5. Conclusion

The functional importance of examining toxicity profiles of nanosystems is obvious when one is extrapolating to *in vivo* analysis. Although brain stereotaxic surgery and direct administration of non-viral siRNA nanoparticles into the CNS is a common practice in research and pre-clinical testing (e.g. Refs. [4,6,7]), the translation of this approach to the clinic requires a better understanding of the interaction of non-viral siRNA nanoparticles and the CNS cellular milieu. Intrinsic toxicity of nanoparticles might be advantageous when treating brain cancers, but the application of such technologies to neurodegenerative disorders demands low cytotoxic and immunological adverse effects. Thus, taken together our data enable us to identify modified CDs as promising nanocarriers that enable siRNA delivery to the brain with low levels of cytotoxicity and immunological activation.

Acknowledgements

Authors wish to acknowledge research funding provided by Science Foundation Ireland (Grant no. 07/SRC/B1154) and the Irish Drug Delivery Network. CJ Beltrán wishes to acknowledge research funding from FONDECYT 11121527.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2013.09.068.

References

- Sah DWY. Therapeutic potential of RNA interference for neurological disorders. Life Sci 2006;79:1773–80.
- [2] O'Mahony AM, Godinho BMDC, Cryan JF, O'Driscoll CM. Non-viral nanosystems for gene and siRNA delivery to the central nervous system: formulating the solution. J Pharm Sci 2013;102:3469–84.
- [3] Thomas CE, Ehrhardt A, Kay MA. Progress and problems with the use of viral vectors for gene therapy. Nat Rev Genet 2003;4:346–58.
- [4] Godinho BMDC, Ogier JR, Darcy R, O'Driscoll CM, Cryan JF. Self-assembling modified beta-cyclodextrin nanoparticles as neuronal siRNA delivery vectors: focus on Huntington's disease. Mol Pharm 2013;10:640–9.
- [5] O'Mahony AM, Godinho BMDC, Ogier J, Devocelle M, Darcy R, Cryan JF, et al. Click-modified cyclodextrins as nonviral vectors for neuronal siRNA delivery. ACS Chem Neurosci 2012;3:744–52.
- [6] Badaut J, Ashwal S, Adami A, Tone B, Recker R, Spagnoli D, et al. Brain water mobility decreases after astrocytic aquaporin-4 inhibition using RNA interference. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2011;31:819–31.
- [7] Wang YL, Liu W, Wada E, Murata M, Wada K, Kanazawa I. Clinico-pathological rescue of a model mouse of Huntington's disease by siRNA. Neurosci Res 2005;53:241–9.
- [8] Ballarin-Gonzalez B, Howard KA. Polyplex-based delivery of RNAi therapeutics: adverse effects and solutions. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2012;64:1717–29.
- [9] Akhtar S, Benter I. Toxicogenomics of non-viral drug delivery systems for RNAi: potential impact on siRNA-mediated gene silencing activity and specificity. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2007;59:164–82.
- [10] Hong S, Leroueil PR, Janus EK, Peters JL, Kober M-M, Islam MT, et al. Interaction of polycationic polymers with supported lipid bilayers and cells: nanoscale hole formation and enhanced membrane permeability. Bioconjug Chem 2006;17:728–34.
- [11] Lee J-H, Cha KE, Kim MS, Hong HW, Chung DJ, Ryu G, et al. Nanosized polyamidoamine (PAMAM) dendrimer-induced apoptosis mediated by mitochondrial dysfunction. Toxicol Lett 2009;190:202–7.
- [12] Moghimi SM, Symonds P, Murray JC, Hunter AC, Debska G, Szewczyk A. A two-stage poly (ethylenimine)-mediated cytotoxicity: implications for gene transfer/therapy. Mol Ther 2005;11:990–5.
- [13] Hunter AC, Moghimi SM. Cationic carriers of genetic material and cell death: a mitochondrial tale. Biochim Biophys Acta 2010;1797:1203–9.
- [14] Gorina R, Santalucia T, Petegnief V, Ejarque-Ortiz A, Saura J, Planas AM. Astrocytes are very sensitive to develop innate immune responses to lipidcarried short interfering RNA. Glia 2009;57:93–107.

- [15] Kedmi R, Ben-Arie N, Peer D. The systemic toxicity of positively charged lipid nanoparticles and the role of toll-like receptor 4 in immune activation. Biomaterials 2010;31:6867–75.
- [16] Bonnet M-E, Erbacher P, Bolcato-Bellemin A-L. Systemic delivery of DNA or siRNA mediated by linear polyethylenimine (L-PEI) does not induce an inflammatory response. Pharm Res 2008;25:2972–82.
- [17] Hollins AJ, Omidi Y, Benter IF, Akhtar S. Toxicogenomics of drug delivery systems: exploiting delivery system-induced changes in target gene expression to enhance siRNA activity. J Drug Target 2007;15:83–8.
- [18] Omidi Y, Hollins AJ, Drayton RM, Akhtar S. Polypropylenimine dendrimerinduced gene expression changes: the effect of complexation with DNA, dendrimer generation and cell type. J Drug Target 2005;13:431–43.
- [19] Albanese A, Tang PS, Chan WCW. The effect of nanoparticle size, shape, and surface chemistry on biological systems. Annu Rev Biomed Eng 2012;14:1–16.
- [20] Rejman J, Oberle V, Zuhorn I, Hoekstra D. Size-dependent internalization of particles via the pathways of clathrin-and caveolae-mediated endocytosis. Biochem J 2004;377:159–69.
- [21] Gary DJ, Lee H, Sharma R, Lee J-S, Kim Y, Cui ZY, et al. Influence of nano-carrier architecture on *in vitro* siRNA delivery performance and in vivo biodistribution: polyplexes vs micelleplexes. ACS Nano 2011;5:3493–505.
- [22] Krichevsky AM, Kosik KS. RNAi functions in cultured mammalian neurons. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2002;99:11926–9.
- [23] Tonges L, Lingor P, Egle R, Dietz GPH, Fahr A, Bahr M. Stearylated octaarginine and artificial virus-like particles for transfection of siRNA into primary rat neurons. RNA 2006;12:1431–8.
- [24] Rigamonti D, Bauer JH, De-Fraja C, Conti L, Sipione S, Sciorati C, et al. Wildtype huntingtin protects from apoptosis upstream of caspase-3. J Neurosci 2000;20:3705–13.
- [25] Amor S, Puentes F, Baker D, Van Der Valk P. Inflammation in neurodegenerative diseases. Immunology 2010;129:154–69.
- [26] Kepp O, Galluzzi L, Lipinski M, Yuan J, Kroemer G. Cell death assays for drug discovery. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2011;10:221–37.
- [27] Vangasseri DP, Cui Z, Chen W, Hokey DA, Falo LD, Huang L. Immunostimulation of dendritic cells by cationic liposomes. Mol Membr Biol 2006;23:385–95.
- [28] Fiszer-Kierzkowska A, Vydra N, Wysocka-Wycisk A, Kronekova Z, Jarzab M, Lisowska KM, et al. Liposome-based DNA carriers may induce cellular stress response and change gene expression pattern in transfected cells. BMC Mol Biol 2011;12:27.
- [29] Lappalainen K, Jääskeläinen I, Syrjänen K, Urtti A, Syrjänen S. Comparison of cell proliferation and toxicity assays using two cationic liposomes. Pharm Res 1994;11:1127–31.
- [30] Jurisic V, Bumbasirevic V. In vitro assays for cell death determination. Arch Oncol 2008;16:49–54.
- [31] O'Mahony AM, Ogier J, Darcy R, Cryan JF, O'Driscoll CM. Cationic and PEGylated amphiphilic cyclodextrins: co-formulation opportunities for neuronal siRNA delivery. PLoS One 2013;8:e66413.
- [32] Hunter AC. Molecular hurdles in polyfectin design and mechanistic background to polycation induced cytotoxicity. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2006;58: 1523–31.
- [33] Bertero A, Boni A, Gemmi M, Gagliardi M, Bifone A, Bardi G. Surface functionalization regulates PAMAM dendrimer toxicity on blood brain barrier cells and the modulation of key inflammatory receptors on microglia. Nanotoxicology 2013:1–35.
- [34] Choi YJ, Kang SJ, Kim YJ, Lim Y-b, Chung HW. Comparative studies on the genotoxicity and cytotoxicity of polymeric gene carriers polyethylenimine (PEI) and polyamidoamine (PAMAM) dendrimer in Jurkat T-cells. Drug Chem Toxicol 2010;33:357–66.

- [35] Merkel OM, Beyerle A, Beckmann BM, Zheng M, Hartmann RK, Stoger T, et al. Polymer-related off-target effects in non-viral siRNA delivery. Biomaterials 2011;32:2388–98.
- [36] Gebhart CL, Kabanov AV. Evaluation of polyplexes as gene transfer agents. J Control Release 2001;73:401–16.
- [37] Yamano S, Dai J, Moursi AM. Comparison of transfection efficiency of nonviral gene transfer reagents. Mol Biotechnol 2010;46:287–300.
- [38] Uchida E, Mizuguchi H, Ishii-Watabe A, Hayakawa T. Comparison of the efficiency and safety of non-viral vector-mediated gene transfer into a wide range of human cells. Biol Pharm Bull 2002;25:891–7.
- [39] Kiefer K, Clement J, Garidel P, Peschka-Süss R. Transfection efficiency and cytotoxicity of nonviral gene transfer reagents in human smooth muscle and endothelial cells. Pharm Res 2004;21:1009–17.
- [40] Ki KH, Park DY, Lee SH, Kim NY, Choi BM, Noh GJ. The optimal concentration of siRNA for gene silencing in primary cultured astrocytes and microglial cells of rats. Korean J Anesthesiol 2010;59:403–10.
- [41] Giuliano KA, Haskins JR, Taylor DL. Advances in high content screening for drug discovery. Assay Drug Dev Technol 2003;1:565–77.
- [42] Rawlinson L-AB, O'Brien PJ, Brayden DJ. High content analysis of cytotoxic effects of pDMAEMA on human intestinal epithelial and monocyte cultures. J Control Release 2010;146:84–92.
- [43] Hibbitts A, Lieggi N, McCabe O, Thomas W, Barlow J, O'Brien F, et al. Screening of siRNA nanoparticles for delivery to airway epithelial cells using highcontent analysis. Ther Deliv 2011;2:987–99.
- [44] Van Cruchten S, Van Den Broeck W. Morphological and biochemical aspects of apoptosis, oncosis and necrosis. Anat Histol Embryol 2002;31:214–23.
- [45] Sakurai F, Terada T, Yasuda K, Yamashita F, Takakura Y, Hashida M. The role of tissue macrophages in the induction of proinflammatory cytokine production following intravenous injection of lipoplexes. Gene Ther 2002;9: 1120–6.
- [46] Gautam A, Densmore CL, Waldrep JC. Pulmonary cytokine responses associated with PEI-DNA aerosol gene therapy. Gene Ther 2001;8:254–7.
- [47] Kawakami S, Ito Y, Charoensit P, Yamashita F, Hashida M. Evaluation of proinflammatory cytokine production induced by linear and branched polyethylenimine/plasmid DNA complexes in mice. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2006;317:1382–90.
- [48] Hutter E, Boridy S, Labrecque S, Lalancette-Hebert M, Kriz J, Winnik FM, et al. Microglial response to gold nanoparticles. ACS Nano 2010;4:2595–606.
- [49] Tanaka T, Legat A, Adam E, Steuve J, Gatot J-S, Vandenbranden M, et al. Dic14amidine cationic liposomes stimulate myeloid dendritic cells through toll-like receptor 4. Eur J Immunol 2008;38:1351–7.
- [50] Lonez C, Lensink MF, Vandenbranden M, Ruysschaert J-M. Cationic lipids activate cellular cascades. Which receptors are involved? Biochim Biophys Acta 2009;1790:425–30.
- [51] Ramsay RG, Ciznadija D, Vanevski M, Mantamadiotis T. Transcriptional regulation of cyclo-oxygenase expression: three pillars of control. Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol 2003;16:59.
- [52] Albertazzi L, Gherardini L, Brondi M, Sulis Sato S, Bifone A, Pizzorusso T, et al. In vivo distribution and toxicity of PAMAM dendrimers in the central nervous system depend on their surface chemistry. Mol Pharm 2012;10:249–60.
- [53] Mukherjee SP, Lyng FM, Garcia A, Davoren M, Byrne HJ. Mechanistic studies of in vitro cytotoxicity of poly (amidoamine) dendrimers in mammalian cells. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 2010;248:259–68.
- [54] Heidel JD, Yu Z, Liu JYC, Rele SM, Liang Y, Zeidan RK, et al. Administration in non-human primates of escalating intravenous doses of targeted nanoparticles containing ribonucleotide reductase subunit M2 siRNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007;104:5715–21.