rspa.royalsocietypublishing.org

Research

Article submitted to journal

Subject Areas: fluid mechanics, wave motion, geophysics

Keywords:

tsunami generation, gravity surface waves

Author for correspondence: Leonardo Gordillo e-mail: lgordill@ing.uchile.cl

Experiments on generation of surface waves by an underwater moving bottom

Timothée Jamin¹, Leonardo Gordillo^{1,3}, Gerardo Ruiz-Chavarría², Michael Berhanu¹, Eric Falcon¹

¹Univ Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, MSC, UMR
⁷⁰⁵⁷ CNRS, F-75013 Paris, France,
²Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional
Autónoma de México, 04510 México D. F., México
³Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias
Físicas y Matemáticas, Universidad de Chile, Casilla
487-3, Santiago, Chile

We report laboratory experiments on surface waves generated in a uniform fluid layer whose bottom undergoes an upward motion. Simultaneous measurements of the free-surface deformation and the fluid velocity field are focused on the role of the bottom kinematics (i.e. its spatiotemporal features) in wave generation. We observe that the fluid layer transfers bottom motion to the free surface as a temporal high-pass filter coupled with a spatial lowpass filter. Both filter effects are often neglected in tsunami warning systems, particularly in real-time forecast. Our results display good agreement with a prevailing linear theory without any parameter fitting. Based on our experimental findings, we provide a simple theoretical approach for modelling the rapid kinematics limit and that is applicable even for initially non-flat bottoms: this may be a key step for more realistic varying bathymetry in tsunami scenarios.

ⓒ The Author(s) Published by the Royal Society. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Most tsunamis are triggered by sudden displacements of the seabed during earthquakes. To predict tsunami hazards in real time, actual warning models require, first and foremost, data of the free-surface initial waveform in the open seas. Buoy networks dedicated to detect tsunamis may provide direct measurements of wave heights at fixed positions across the oceans [1], which can be used to reconstruct the initial tsunami waveform through inversion. However, these data only become available after the tsunami waves have reached the buoy locations. Since reconstruction comes from inversion, data is not only required in a single buoy but in a set of them, which means that waveform estimations become reliable only after tsunamis have travelled an important distance across the sea. Far-field locations can thus rely on buoy network data, but not near-field locations, which are usually struck by the tsunami waves before the initial waveform can be reconstructed.

Tsunami warning systems thus require an alternative way to estimate the tsunami initial waveform while buoy records are unavailable. The standard is to use a faster indirect method based on the fault source and the seismic data from nearby stations. The seabed displacement is computed numerically from the fault slip parameters using Okada's model [2], and then transferred to the ocean free surface. The technique provides a fast estimation of the initial waveform that can be used as an input for tsunami-propagation codes. Tsunami risks at different locations can then be forecasted using a discretised version of the estimated waveform via ready-to-use *offline* calculations (Green's functions) [3]. However, this procedure often underestimates the surface wave amplitude (*e.g.* for the 2004 Indian Ocean tsunami [4]).

Several reasons have been proposed to explain this bias [5–12], including the seabedkinematics role during an earthquake (i.e. its spatiotemporal features) [12-15]. Bottom displacement is considered to be instantaneous if its typical rise time is small compared to the time scale of the generated waves at the free surface [14]. Most earthquakes meet this condition, although other remarkable tsunamigenic events barely satisfy it: for instance, in two of the largest tsunamis ever registered, the bottom displacements may have been noticeably slow [16]. Numerical codes used in warning systems, however, are more focused on analyzing wave propagation on the varying bathymetry of oceanic basins, which is achieved via numerical integration of nonlinear shallow water equations (e.g. the MOST [17] and the TUNAMI [18]). Warning codes are prone to neglect seabed kinematics and consider that bottom displacements are instantaneous. Thus they use as initial condition a simple translation of the final deformation of the source bottom to the ocean surface. On the other hand, numerical simulations that suitably do consider bed-sea kinematic coupling during displacements are at hand and in a broad range of approaches: linear three-dimensional (3D) potential flow [19], higher-order nonlinear shallowwater theory [20], fully-nonlinear potential flow [21,22], and full Navier-Stokes equations [23,24] (for a comparison between several approaches, see [25]). Computational costs however remain high in most cases, which makes their application for real-time forecasting hard. Furthermore, numerical simulations also require bottom kinematics a priori, an input that is hard to determine during events. During the last years, a powerful non-hydrostatic numerical model, NHWAVE have come into scene [26]. The model is able to predict 3D effects for a given initial condition (*i.e.* initially non-flat bottom) with an outstanding accuracy and lower computational cost by splitting the ocean in very few vertical layers. Results are very promising [27].

Numerical simulations, theory and experiments show that dynamics play a role. Even if the deformation happens at the instantaneous limit, the free-surface displacement is not equal to the bottom one as considered by translational models [28–30]. In realistic scenarios, hindcast simulations have also shown that bed dynamics do have an effect. For the 2011 Tohoku tsunami, Grilli *et al.* showed using both NHWAVE and high-order Boussinesq simulations, that dynamic-source models yield tsunami waveforms remarkably different than instantaneous source models [27]. Indeed, dynamic models show an excellent agreement with field measurements.

On the other hand, laboratory experiments dealing with the influence of bed-uplift kinematics in tsunami generation are rare and have been based on measurements of the free-surface deformation [29,31–33], providing limited information about the fluid dynamics. Velocity measurements in the bulk are even rarer and only concern landslide-triggered tsunamis [34,35]. Furthermore, most of these laboratory experiments have been performed in channels overlooking the 3D geometry of real scenarios [29,32,34–36]. The lack of tsunami-oriented experiments contrasts with their unquestionable importance. Controlled laboratory experiments not only supply a way to validate numerical simulations under well-known inputs (cf. [37]), but also may elucidate which physical mechanisms dominate a given regime.

In this article, we analyse experimentally and theoretically the hydrodynamic coupling between the bottom and the free-surface motion in a 3D fluid layer, focusing on the role that the bottom kinematics play in wave generation. We aim to better understand how spatiotemporal features of the bottom deformation affect the shape and the amplitude of the generated waves. For this purpose, we designed an idealised scenario where waves are generated by an axisymmetric deformation in the centre of a flat-bottom laboratory tank. We performed combined measurements of the free-surface deformation and the fluid velocity field. Our results are then compared with a linear theory for underwater moving bottoms [38]. Although some of our experimental parameters are far by orders of magnitude from real tsunami-generation conditions, essential features are still well reproduced. Based on our experimental findings, we also provide a theoretical framework that provides a simple and different insight on the generation of waves by impulsive bottom motions. The results could be applied to determine initial waveforms in uneven bottom configurations.

2. Experimental Setup

We performed our experiments in a $110 \times 110 \times 30 \text{ cm}^3$ Plexiglas basin filled with water to a depth of h = 2.5 cm. A circular region (radius $r_2 = 3.25 \text{ cm}$) was carved in the bottom centre and covered with a stretched elastic sheet. The sheet is deformed by means of a solid flat circular piston ($r_1 = 2.5 \text{ cm}$) placed beneath the membrane and attached to an electromechanical shaker (see Fig. 1). As a result of the setup geometry, the bottom vertical motion can be described as a separable spatiotemporal function with circular symmetry, $\zeta(r,t) = \zeta_m \alpha(r) \beta(t)$, where ζ_m is the maximal bottom deformation; $\alpha(r)$ is the spatial profile along the radial horizontal coordinate r [see Fig. 1 (inset)] and $\beta(t)$ is the displacement time function. The latter was arbitrarily chosen to be an exponential rise, $\beta_{\exp}(t) = 1 - e^{-t/\tau_b}$, or a half-sine one, $\beta_{\sin}(t) = \sin^2 [\pi t/(2\tau_b)]$ if $t \leq \tau_b$ or 1 if $t > \tau_b$; where τ_b is defined as the rise time. To achieve this, the shaker input signal was determined by exploiting the bottom velocity records from a laser Doppler vibrometer. Our system can be used to study several rise times, and upward bottom amplitudes. Value ranges are displayed in the table below:

Bed displacement function	$ au_b$	ζ_m
Exponential $\beta_{\exp}(t)$	$10-500\mathrm{ms}$	$1.5-5.0\mathrm{mm}$
Half-sinus $\beta_{\sin}(t)$	$10-500\mathrm{ms}$	$1.5-5.0\mathrm{mm}$

Thus, typical bottom velocities vary from 1 to $30 \text{ cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$. A total number of 22 different scenarios (different bed displacement function, τ_b and ζ_m), each consisting of 10 runs, were analyzed. The basin extent was chosen to avoid wave reflections on the lateral walls during the generation process.

The velocity field in the bulk during bottom and surface deformations is obtained using Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV). A laser sheet passing through the basin centre illuminated a vertical slice of water seeded with 50- μ m polyamide particles (PSP), as shown in Fig. 1. To avoid particle settling, the water was mixed with some NaCl (up to a mass concentration of 2.7%) so the aqueous solution matches the density of particles. A high-speed camera placed in front of the illuminated region provided an imaging area of $71 \times 30 \text{ mm}^2$ (1600 × 692 pixels), which was recorded at 500 Hz during $\tau_m = 1 \text{ s}$ (τ_m is defined as the measurement time). Since the system is



Figure 1. Main: Experimental setup. A layer of water is contained in a basin where a shaker and a piston vertically deform an elastic sheet placed at the bottom centre. The piston motion is recorded using a laser vibrometer. Images from a sectional cut of the fluid are obtained using a laser sheet generator and a high-speed camera. The bottom dimensionless spatial profile α (r) and the time displacement function β (t) are also displayed.



Figure 2. A typical image of the region of interest (side view) for the study of waves generated by a moving bottom. The liquid is seeded with particles (white points) both in the bulk and in the free surface. Those at the free surface form a quasicontinuous brighter line that is used to identify the position of the free surface η (r, t). The bottom position ζ (r, t) can also be detected with standard contrast techniques. The snapshot was taken at t = 80 ms in a half-sinus bed deformation scenario ($\zeta_m = 5 \text{ mm}, \tau_b = 150 \text{ ms}, h = 2.5 \text{ cm}$).

axisymmetric, these measurements build a 3D picture of the flow. The surface of the water layer was blown with more particles, which due to their hydrophobicity, tend to rest in the surface. In the images, the particles form an identifiable line, which can be used for detection (see Fig. 2). The free-surface vertical deformation η (r, t) was then obtained by applying a Radon transform algorithm on the images [39]. This algorithm integrates the intensity along all the possible straight lines contained in a sub-window and finds the maximal value. A continuous and smooth curve is then obtained by interpolating the segments (slope and position) along the whole free surface. An example of the detected free surface by the algorithm is also plotted in Fig. 2. Finally, we applied a PIV grid-refining scheme [40] (up to 16×16 pixels windows, no overlapping) using an average correlation method [41] on ten experimental runs for each set of parameters¹. All the data used throughout this article are available at a public repository at [42].

The two time scales in our experiment are the bottom rise time τ_b and the typical time of the generated waves τ_w . We defined τ_w as the semi-period of the wave, *i.e.* the time between the first maximum and minimum of the water surface deformation at the basin centre (r = 0). In our experiment, $\tau_w \simeq 130$ ms is the same for any displacement time function β and most of rise times τ_b (see results below). This value is related to the dominant wavelength of the generated wave $\lambda_w \approx 10$ cm (according to acquired images), through the dispersion relation $\tau_w = \pi/\sqrt{gk_w \tanh k_w h}$, where *g* is gravity and k_w is the dominant wavenumber of the generated wave². Notice that $\lambda_w > 2r_2 = 6.5$ cm.During measurements, capillary waves were not observed³ [see discussion in Section 3.(c)]. We focus on one experimental time ratio simply defined as $\overline{\tau} = \tau_b/\tau_w$, which varies between 0.08 and 4. The relevance of the time ratio in tsunami generation was noticed by Hammack [29], who suitably identified three wave-response regimes to bottom deformations: impulsive ($\overline{\tau} \ll 1$), transitional ($\overline{\tau} \sim 1$), and creeping ones ($\overline{\tau} \gg 1$). The Froude number in our measurements Fr = $\zeta_m/\tau_b\sqrt{gh}$ varies between 0.02 and 0.3.

3. Results and discussion

(a) Velocity field

Within the above classification, we display in Fig. 3 three characteristic snapshots of the generation velocity fields for half-sine type displacements. The vertical coordinate is denoted as z such that at rest, the free surface matches z = 0, and the bottom, z = -h. When $\overline{\tau} \ll 1$, we observe an upward global motion during the bottom uplift. Indeed, the velocity field just below the free surface is vertical [see Fig. 3(a)] as predicted in [43]. Gravity-wave propagation starts remarkably after the end of the bottom motion as shown in videos ⁴. When $\overline{\tau} \sim 1$, the flow resembles that of Fig. 3(a) at short times. However, before the bottom motion ends, waves start to propagate radially from the generation region: an oscillating flow occurs right beneath the free surface [see Fig. 3(b)]. In this case, both bottom deformation and wave propagation occur simultaneously suggesting that the bottom kinematics affects induced waves. For $\overline{\tau} \gg 1$, the free surface remains mostly stationary and accordingly, the vertical component of the velocity vanishes when approaching the free surface [see Fig. 3(c)]. In this stage, the outward flow reminds that of a moving bottom in presence of a fixed boundary at z = 0. We observed that exponential-rise bottom displacements (not shown) display similar behavior.

To quantify the transition between the slow and rapid regimes, we compute the kinetic energy from the fluid velocity field. Figure 3 shows that the region r < 7 cm contains most of the kinetic

¹Due to the reproductibility of experiments we superimpose pictures of 10 identical runs to increase the number of particles on the processed images, improving PIV results.

The generated wave is mainly dispersive as $\lambda_w = 4h$, in contrast with real tsunami scenarios where waves are less dispersive.

³The electromechanical shaker was intentionally decoupled from the tank (no solid contact) so that, high-frequency vibrations could not be directly transferred to the tank. The only contact took place between the piston and the soft membrane used for the bottom deformation. This configuration avoids the emergence of capillary waves due to shocks at small τ_b bed uprise motion. Notice that PSP particles at the free surface are likely to inhibit capillary waves.

⁴See Supplemental Material at [URL] for velocity-field videos of the runs depicted in Fig. 3.



Figure 3. Experimental velocity fields in the water during half-sine bottom displacements for three typical $\overline{\tau}$ values. The streamlines (set of grey curves) in (a) and (c) were computed numerically using an asymptotic model for the $\overline{\tau} \ll 1$ and $\overline{\tau} \gg 1$ cases (see explanation in Section 3.(d)). In all cases, the free-surface deformation is significantly smoother than the bottom one.

energy during the bottom deformation. As shown in Fig. 4 (inset), the kinetic energy within this volume, E_K , captures also the main temporal features of the motion (see also [44]). The bottom uplift induces an intense first maximum of E_K . As the bottom stops afterwards, a local minimum E_K^- appears and later, a second maximum E_K^+ emerges induced by wave propagation. We define the contrast of kinetic energy as $\left(E_K^+ - E_K^-\right) / \left(E_K^+ + E_K^-\right)$. As shown in Fig. 4 (main), the contrast is close to unity for $\overline{\tau} \ll 1$: the liquid can be considered as motionless at the end of the bottom deformation $\left(E_K^- \approx 0\right)$, with its velocity being negligible compared to those due to wave propagation. Inertia seems to be absent since no flow outlasts the bottom motion: the liquid layer and the bottom behaves like a single block. For larger $\overline{\tau}$, the wave propagation begins while the bottom is still moving so the energy contrast decreases to zero. Furthermore, for $\overline{\tau} \gtrsim 1.4$,



Figure 4. Inset: Experimental (solid line) and theoretical (dashed line) kinetic energy E_K versus time. E_K^- is the local minimum of kinetic energy near $t = \tau_b$ and E_K^+ is the local maximum of kinetic energy due to the wave propagation. Main: Experimental (squares) and theoretical (dashed line) contrast of kinetic energy versus $\overline{\tau}$.

the contrast is not any more defined because the bottom deformation and the wave propagation overlap so much that E_K^- and E_K^+ do not exist at all. This shows that the energy contrast depicts well the transition between rapid and slow scenarios. The data scattering observed in Fig. 4 (main) has no physical origin and is inherent to PIV measurements as the technique holds some uncertainties. For exponential bottom motions (not shown), time at which extrema of E_K occur are different from the half-sine case⁵ but the contrast of kinetic energy behaves similarly with $\bar{\tau}$.

(b) Free surface

Since the fluid velocity field is coupled with the free-surface deformation, both quantities share related spatiotemporal features⁶. The insets of Fig. 5 depict the bottom and the free-surface elevations at r = 0, $\zeta_0(t)$ and $\eta_0(t)$, as a function of time. We observe in all cases that the free surface and the bottom are synchronised at the beginning of the motion. For $\overline{\tau} \ll 1$, this is true throughout the bottom uplift and regardless of the displacement time function $\beta(t)$ as time satisfies $t < \tau_b \ll \tau_w$ [see Figs. 5(a)-5(b)]. Besides, the subsequent stage is independent of the displacement-time history of the bottom. Contrariwise, for $\overline{\tau} \gtrsim 1$, exponential and half-sine bed displacements induce free-surface responses that not only differ from rapid ones, but also from one another [see Figs. 5(c)-5(d)], *e.g.* the negative part of η_0 is more pronounced for the half-sine case. This evidences that for $\overline{\tau} \gtrsim 1$ the generated-wave shape depends on the nature of $\beta(t)$ as well as on its typical time τ_b , which confirms that the bottom kinematics is crucial in non-impulsive wave generation.

To understand more precisely its role, we plot in Fig. 5 (main) the dimensionless maximal elevation of the free surface at r = 0, $\eta_{0,m}/\zeta_m$, as a function of the time ratio $\overline{\tau}$. As expected, $\eta_{0,m}$ decreases with $\overline{\tau}$ and converges to the same asymptote for $\overline{\tau} \ll 1$ independently of the nature of $\beta(t)$. For $\overline{\tau} \gg 1$, we observe two different behaviours: $\eta_{0,m}$ decreases as $\overline{\tau}^{-1}$ for exponential bottom displacements and as $\overline{\tau}^{-7/4}$ for half-sine ones. This differs from 1D experiments where a $\overline{\tau}^{-1}$ power law fits both cases [29]. To summarise, when motion is transferred from the bottom to the free surface, the fluid layer behaves as a temporal high-pass filter (cut-off at $\overline{\tau}^{-1} \approx 1$).

⁵For example, the first intense maximum of E_K occurs at t = 0 for the exponential case

⁶Coupled in the same sense that velocity is coupled with position in a harmonic oscillator, *i.e.* with a 90° phase difference



Figure 5. Insets: Bottom and free-surface deformations in mm at r = 0, ζ_0 and η_0 , versus time (ζ_0 in dash-dotted lines; η_0 experiments in solid, theory in dashed lines) for exponential (a and c) and half-sine (b and d) bottom displacements. The vertical dashed lines represent $t = \tau_b$ for each run. The wave time scale τ_w is found to be 130 ms in all the cases. Main: Dimensionless free-surface maximal elevation at r = 0, against $\overline{\tau}$ for different bottom displacements (see legend). Symbols are experimental data, dashed lines are theoretical results and dotted lines are asymptotic behaviours ($\overline{\tau} \gg 1$).

Notice that $\eta_{0,m}/\zeta_m$ does not reach unity when $\overline{\tau} \to 0$, as a consequence of the spatial low-pass filtering effects. The effects are highlighted in Fig. 6 (a), where we plot the spatial profiles of the bottom and the free surface at the end of an impulsive bed motion $(t = \tau; \overline{\tau} \ll 1)$. We observe that the free surface is smoother than the bottom so the water column acts as a spatial low-pass filter. Notice that this final free-surface profile is independent of $\overline{\tau}$ and of the nature of $\beta(t)$ (as long as $\overline{\tau} \ll 1$). Low-pass filtering effects also explain why the dominant wavelength in our results, $\lambda_w \approx 10$ cm, is larger than the size of the deformed region, $2r_2 = 6.5$ cm.

(c) Linear theory

The experimental data displayed in Figs. 4-6 are all found to be in good agreement with theoretical curves without any parameter fitting. The curves were calculated using the axisymmetric version of Hammack's tsunami-generation theory which neglects capillary, compressibility and viscous effects [38]. In our experiments, capillary effects are indeed negligible since the typical wavelength $\lambda_w \approx 10 \text{ cm}$ is nearly one order of magnitude larger than the critical wavelength of capillary waves, $\lambda_c = 2\pi \sqrt{\gamma/(\rho g)} \approx 1.4 \text{ cm} (\gamma \approx 50 \text{ dyn.cm}^{-1} \text{ is the surface tension and } \rho \approx 1 \text{ g.cm}^{-3}$ the fluid density). The observed flow is also incompressible as $(\{\zeta_m/\tau_b, h/\tau_b, r_1/\tau_b\} \ll c_s \text{ where } c_s \approx 1500 \text{ m.s}^{-1} \text{ is the sound speed in water [45]})$. Viscous effects are also absent as time scales associated with viscous processes, $\tau_\nu \gtrsim 10 \text{ s}$, are much larger than the experimental time scales⁷.

After neglecting capillary, compressibility and viscous effects, the flow can be assumed to be irrotational and hence the system can be expressed in terms of a velocity potential ϕ that satisfies

$$\nabla^2 \phi = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

⁷Three different time scales, each of them associated to a different viscous process, can be calculated : (a) the decay time of the generated gravity waves due to the viscous boundary layer on the bottom: $\tau_{\nu}^{b} = \tau_{\nu} \sinh 2k_{w}h \approx 50$ s, where $\tau_{\nu} = \sqrt{\lambda_{w}^{2}\tau_{w}/2\pi^{3}\nu}$ ($\nu \approx 10^{-2}$ cm².s⁻¹ is the kinematic viscosity), (b) the wave decay time due to the viscous boundary layer on the free surface is $\tau_{\nu}^{s} = 2\tau_{\nu} \tanh k_{w}h \approx 10$ s and (c) the viscous-diffusion characteristic time in the bulk $\tau_{\nu}^{v} = \lambda_{w}^{2}/8\pi^{2}\nu \approx 200$ s [46].

in the bulk. The experimental amplitude parameter ζ_m/h is small enough to linearise boundary conditions [38]. Thus, if the bottom is initially flat, the dynamic condition at the free surface as well as the kinematic boundary conditions can be written as

$$\partial_t \phi|_{z=0} + g\eta = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

$$\partial_z \phi|_{z=-h} - \partial_t \zeta = 0, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\partial_z \phi|_{z=0} - \partial_t \eta = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

To solve this system of equations, we apply the Laplace transform in *t* to the displacement time function, $\tilde{\beta}(s) \equiv \mathcal{L}\{\beta(t)\}(s)$, and the Hankel transform of zeroth order in *r* to the spatial profile, $\hat{\alpha}(k) \equiv \mathcal{H}_0\{\alpha(r)\}(k) \equiv \int_0^{+\infty} \rho J_0(kr) \alpha(r) dr$, where J_0 is the zeroth order Bessel function of the first kind. The latter is equivalent to a two-dimensional (2D) Fourier transform under circular symmetry. Accordingly, the Hankel transform of the free-surface deformation may be written as [38]

$$\hat{\eta}(k,t) = \frac{\zeta_m \hat{\alpha}(k)}{\cosh kh} \cdot \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{s^2 \bar{\beta}(s)}{s^2 + \omega(k)^2} \right\} (k,t) \,. \tag{3.5}$$

where $\omega(k) = \sqrt{gk \tanh kh}$ is the gravity-wave dispersion relation. The direct and inverse Laplace transforms in Eq. (3.5) can be evaluated in closed form for both $\beta_{\exp}(t)$ and $\beta_{\sin}(t)$. Besides, the spatial transform $\hat{\alpha}(k)$ may be computed numerically. The spatiotemporal free-surface deformation $\eta(r, t) = \mathcal{H}_0^{-1} \{\hat{\eta}(k, t)\}$ can be found likewise using a Fourier-Bessel series representation of \mathcal{H}_0^{-1} [47]. The velocity field can also be obtained by calculating the velocity potential ϕ through the formula,

$$\hat{\phi}(k,z,t) = \frac{\zeta_m \hat{\alpha}(k)}{\cosh kh} \cdot \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{gs\tilde{\beta}(s)}{s^2 + \omega(k)^2} \left(\frac{s^2}{gk} \sinh kz - \cosh kz \right) \right\} (k,z,t) \,. \tag{3.6}$$

Theoretical dashed lines in Figs. 4-6 are computed using Eqs. (3.5)-(3.6) and display very good agreement with experimental data. The first factor in Eq. (3.5) is the Hankel transform of the final bottom deformation but modulated with a low-pass filter, $(\cosh kh)^{-1}$, that smooths the free surface as shown in Fig. 6. The second factor is spatiotemporal and relates the time t (corresponding to s in the Laplace domain) with the two characteristic times: the wave semi-period τ_w (corresponding to ω) and the bottom rise time τ_h (contained in $\tilde{\beta}(s)$).

(d) Asymptotic analysis (DBVP approach)

In this section we make an asymptotic analysis of (3.5) and (3.6), i.e. the linear model for tsunamiwaves generation, for both the impulsive case ($\overline{\tau} \ll 1$) and the creeping case ($\overline{\tau} \gg 1$).

For the asymptotic expansion, consider first the impulsive limit $\overline{\tau} \ll 1$, so the expansion is made in terms of $\overline{\tau}$. We consider $t \ll \tau_w$, $s^2 + \omega^2 \sim s^2$, the second factor of Eq. (3.5) becomes simply $\beta(t)$ [and $\partial_t \beta(t) \sinh kz/k$ in Eq. (3.6)], gravity effects vanish yielding interface elevations instantaneously equal to the bottom low-pass-filtered deformations,

$$\eta(r,t) = \mathcal{H}_0^{-1}\left\{\frac{\zeta_m \hat{\alpha}(k)}{\cosh kh}\right\}(r)\beta(t) + \mathcal{O}\left(\overline{\tau}^2\right), \tag{3.7}$$

$$\phi(r,z,t) = \mathcal{H}_0^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\zeta_m \hat{\alpha}(k) \sinh kz}{k \cosh kh} \right\} (r) \partial_t \beta(t) + \mathcal{O}\left(\overline{\tau}^2\right).$$
(3.8)

The asymptotic expansion is valid only for $t \leq \tau_b$. This is consistent with the behaviour observed experimentally at short times: the fluid and the free surface moves synchronously with the bottom [see Fig. 3(a) and Fig. 5 (insets)]. For later times, $t \geq \tau_b$, $\beta(t)$ can be considered as a Heaviside function H (t) provided that $\overline{\tau} \ll 1$. Hence, $\tilde{\beta}(s) = \tilde{H}(s) = s^{-1}$, and the second factor in Eq. (3.5) becomes a propagation term: $\hat{\eta}(k, t) = \zeta_m \hat{\alpha}(k) \cos \omega(k) t / \cosh kh$. As stated by Kajiura [28], this is equivalent to a Cauchy-Poisson wave problem in which only the final bottom deformation is low-pass filtered and translated to the surface as an initial condition. Likewise, we have shown that the fluid is motionless when the bottom motion ends. No trace from the temporal features



Figure 6. Insets: Initial bottom shape $\zeta(r, t = 0)$ (hatched areas), and final bottom shape after a half-sine impulsive deformation, $\zeta(r, t \ge \tau = 20 \text{ ms})$ (coloured area/dash dotted lines) for: (a) **flat-bottom** initial configuration and (b) **non-flat-bottom** initial configuration: the piston is raised 9 mm above the basin bottom beforehand. Main: corresponding free surfaces at the end of the deformation, $\eta(r, t = \tau)$. (a) Experimental data (solid line); Hammack's linear theory (dashed line). (b) Experiments (solid line); Hammack's linear theory considering depth above the piston $h_0 = 21 \text{ mm}$ (loosely dashed line); depth far from the piston, $h_{\infty} = 30 \text{ mm}$, (densely dashed line); DBVP approach (dotted line). See discussion in Section 3(d).

of the initial motion is left. This explains the memory loss of the bottom-displacement history observed in our experiments.

Like free-surface deformation, velocity potential for the impulsive limit ($\overline{\tau} \ll 1$) has a striking feature: gravity plays no role during the bottom motion, i.e. $t \leq \tau_b \ll \tau_w$ (Eqs. (3.5)-3.6). Accordingly, we analyse the limit $g \to 0$. At leading order, we can drop the gravity term in Eq. (3.2), so $\phi|_{z=0} = 0$ and the free surface η decouples from Eqs. (3.1)-(3.3). This yields a decoupled boundary value problem (DBVP) for the velocity potential ϕ , equivalent to solve Laplace equation with given boundary conditions:

$$\nabla^2 \phi = 0, \qquad \phi|_{z=0} = 0, \qquad \partial_z \phi|_{z=-h} = \partial_t \zeta. \tag{3.9}$$

The free-surface deformation η may then be obtained from Eq. 3.4. Notice that for any bed motion $\zeta(x, y, t)$ separable in space and time, *i.e.* $\zeta(x, y, t) = \zeta_m \alpha(x, y) \beta(t)$, the velocity field generated by (3.9) scales with the velocity of the bottom, $\partial_t \beta$, while the streamlines and vector orientation are steady. The boundary condition $\phi|_{z=0} = 0$ is consistent with the experimentally observed features in Fig. 3(a): a vertical velocity field at the free surface.

Another interesting limit stands for the creeping case where $\overline{\tau} \gg 1$. Accordingly, the expansion now given in terms of $\overline{\tau}^{-1}$. We consider $t \gg \tau_w$, the free-surface deformation from (3.5) and the velocity field from (3.6) yield at dominant order to

$$\eta(r,t) = \mathcal{H}_0^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\zeta_m \hat{\alpha}(k)}{gk \sinh kh} \right\} (r) \partial_{tt} \beta(t) + \mathcal{O}\left(\overline{\tau}^{-4}\right), \qquad (3.10)$$

$$\phi(r,z,t) = \mathcal{H}_0^{-1} \left\{ -\frac{\zeta_m \hat{\alpha}(k) \cosh kz}{k \sinh kh} \right\} (r) \partial_t \beta(t) + \mathcal{O}\left(\overline{\tau}^{-2}\right).$$
(3.11)

Again, the velocity potential is independent of *g*. Although, the dependence on *k* is different than in the impulsive case. Notice that the free-surface-deformation dominant term is not O(1), which is equal to zero, but the following one, which is $O(\overline{\tau}^{-2})$. The term is proportional to the ratio of

rspa.royalsocietypublishing.org Proc R Soc A 0000000

the bottom acceleration and gravity.⁸ Be aware that there is not any conflict between the form of the asymptotic expansions and the asymptotic behaviour of $\eta_{m,0}/\zeta_m$ in the creeping limit ($\overline{\tau} \gg 1$) depicted in Fig. 5. For our largest values of $\overline{\tau}$, maximal deformations are attained at $t \gtrsim \tau_w$, for which the asymptotic expansion is not valid.

Another DBVP can be found for this case. Consider $g \to \infty$ in Eq. (3.2): hence $\eta = 0$. The new DBVP is again equivalent to solve Laplace equation but with a different boundary condition at z = 0,

$$\nabla^2 \phi = 0, \qquad \partial_z \phi|_{z=0} = 0, \qquad \partial_z \phi|_{z=-h} = \partial_t \zeta. \tag{3.12}$$

The properties are similar to those of the impulsive case: proportionality to $\partial_t \beta$ and steady streamlines for space-time separable functions. We find again in $\partial_z \phi|_{z=0} = 0$ that the velocity field is horizontal at the free surface as observed experimentally in Fig. 3(c).

For both limits, $\overline{\tau} \gg 1$ and $\overline{\tau} \ll 1$, the DBVP can be straightforwardly solved using a finitedifference scheme for the Laplace equation [48, pp. 1024-1031]. The method differs from the Green's function approach developed in [43]. The computed streamlines fit in an excellent way the measured velocity field [see Figs. 3(a) and 3(c)]. On the other hand, while for $\overline{\tau} \gg 1$, $\eta \simeq 0$, for initially flat bottoms undergoing impulsive uplifts ($\overline{\tau} \ll 1$), η can be obtained from Eq. (3.4). This leads to the spatial low-pass filtered results found previously.

The DBVP approach has another great advantage: it can be adapted to initially arbitraryshaped-bottom basins by simply writing the bottom condition as $\partial_z \phi|_{z=-h(x,y)} = \partial_t \zeta$. To experimentally validate the DBVP approach under this configuration, we considered a nonflat-bottom initial condition in our tank, *i.e.* the piston was raised above the basin-bottom level beforehand [see Fig. 6b (inset)]. In Fig. 6b (main), we plot the spatial profile of the free surface at the end of an impulsive bottom deformation ($\overline{\tau} \ll 1$). We observe that the DBVP results displays very good agreement with the experimental profile. Comparison with other initially flat-bottom models is not straightforward: for instance, the water depth *h* used in Hammack's linear theory is not defined anymore. Two different water depths are involved: h_0 above the piston and h_{∞} elsewhere. The spatial profiles obtained with Hammack's linear theory, using either $h = h_0$ or $h = h_{\infty}$, are significantly different from the experimental profile. This demonstrates the usefulness of the DBVP approach for taking into account varying bathymetry, if present (see discussion below).

Notice that dependence on time in the DBVP equations is slaved to $\zeta(t)$. Thus, to compute freesurface deformation during an impulsive bottom motion ($\bar{\tau} \ll 1, t \leq \tau_b$), Laplace equation need to be solved just once and then scaled by $\beta(t)$. For later times ($t > \tau_b$), classical wave-propagation routines can be easily plugged. The initial waveform will be provided by the impulsive DBVP at the end of the bottom motion (static initial condition).

Due to its low cost, one solving-Laplace-equation step, the method may be used as a computationally affordable routine to incorporate terrain conditions in impulsive tsunami generation real scenarios.

(e) Application to tsunamis

Tsunami-generation experiments in laboratory tanks deal with a clear compromise between scalability to realistic scenarios and feasibility, controllability and measurability. The aim of our experiments was to understand the role of the spatiotemporal features of bottom motion on induced waves (*e.g.* measuring simultaneously the velocity field and the free-surface deformation during the process). Thus we had to make a suitable choice of the dimensions of the experiments. Accordingly, our experimental parameters $(\zeta_m^{\dagger}/h^{\dagger} = 0.08 - 0.5, r_1^{\dagger}/h^{\dagger} = 1, \tau_b^{\dagger} = 10 - 500 \text{ ms},)$ obviously differ from those of real tsunamis $(\zeta_m^*/h^* \sim 10^{-3}, r_1^*/h^* \sim 16, \tau_b^* \sim 1 - 100 \text{ s},)$ by several orders of magnitude. Notwithstanding, we have shown that at our chosen scales, bottom-induced wave generation is governed by Hammack's linear theory, and in its fast and slow

⁸The asymptotic expansion goes as τ^{-2n} as a consequence of the term $s^2/(s^2 + \omega^2)$ inside the inverse Laplace transform in Eq. 3.5.

asymptotics, by the DBVP framework. In this section, we carefully check the validity of the same theoretical frameworks when scaled up to typical tsunami scales. The checkup requires not only the analysis of the linear-theory assumptions but also the evaluation of some key dimensionless numbers.

- Concerning viscosity and capillarity effects, we can verify that both effects decrease as length scales increases. This means that in real scenarios, they should be even smaller than in our setup.⁹
- Nonlinear effects are measurable through the dimensionless quantity ζ_m/h , which is much lower in real-tsunami scenarios than in our experiments $\zeta_m^*/h^* \sim 10^{-3} \ll \zeta_m^\dagger/h^\dagger \sim 10^{-1}$). This means that for real-tsunami scenarios linear theory should fit even better.¹⁰
- Geometry issues, which can be quantified by the size ratio r_1/h , require a deeper analysis. In our experiments, we fixed $r_1^{\dagger}/h^{\dagger} = 1$ to highlight the spatial low-pass filtering. For accepted tsunami values ($r_1^*/h^* \sim 16$), filtering effects are expected to be weak. However, recent and more direct evidence shows that tsunami initial waveforms have a complex spatial distribution with significantly smaller length scales: $r_1^*/h^* \lesssim 5$, as shown with data from two recent tsunami sources (including the large 2011 Tohoku tsunami in Japan) [49,50]. Their spatial distributions may be approximated by spherical-cap deformations, which yield low-pass filtering $\eta_{0,m}^*/\zeta_m^*$ -corrections of 10% [28].
- Dynamic effects, can be analysed in terms of the time ratio $\bar{\tau} = \tau_b/\tau_w$. In this case, the typical tsunami range of time ratios $\bar{\tau}^* \in [0.003, 0.3]$ is located on the left-hand side of Fig. 5, which makes them suitable for the impulsive DBVP approach. In our experiments, $\bar{\tau}^{\dagger} \in [0.08, 4]$. First, notice that although fastest tsunamis are beyond our experimental range, the asymptote for $\bar{\tau} \ll 1$ is largely attained within it, so that our work covers faster tsunamigenic earthquakes. Besides, temporal high-pass filtering effects become significant for slowest tsunami scenarios. For instance, the 2004 Sumatra-Andaman tsunamigenic earthquake in Indonesia displayed rise times estimated at $\tau_b^* \approx 3$ minutes while $\tau_w^* \approx 10$ minutes (*i.e.* $\bar{\tau}^* \sim 0.3$) [51]. According to our results, this yields $\eta_{0,m}/\zeta_m$ -corrections from 10% (half-sine displacement time function) to 40% (exponential rise) compared to the nearly-instantaneous case. Furthermore, as earthquakes may involve different timescales between rise and subsidence times, our extended range of $\bar{\tau}^{\dagger}$ -values is of importance to know from which timescales surface waves generated by a bottom motion become negligible.¹¹
- Effects due to bathymetry (non-flat bottoms initial conditions), are hard to quantify by a single dimensionless parameter, since corrections will depend on the particular geometrical features around the source. However, it is known that water depth may vary abruptly in the fault crosswise direction in subduction zones, the archetype of tsunamigenic regions. To illustrate with one case, water depth varies by a factor 3 over 60 km at the source of the 2011 Tohoku tsunami [52]. Although the source bathimetry of this event is far from being axisymmetric, the initial condition is roughly comparable to Fig. 6 (b). Finally, we have shown that the DBVP approach that we provide in this study may be applied to uncover bathymetry effects on tsunami waveforms.

Notice that the application of some of our results to real-time tsunami forecasts may be limited by the fact that seismical data do not provide much details about the seabed kinematics (e.g. rise time,

⁹Compressibility, on the other hand, may have some effect as h/τ_b and r_1/τ_b become non-negligible compared to the speed of sound c_s at plausible tsunami scenarios. However, it is far from being dominant. Numerical integrations show that acoustic-gravity waves just superpose on a main signal which is equal to incompressible gravity wave (see *e.g.* Fig. 2 in [45]).

¹⁰Since, η/ζ_m and ϕ/ζ_m are independent of ζ_m (see Eq. 3.5-3.6), as a consequence of linearity, our experiments are able to capture the dynamic features for smaller amplitude waves, as those from real-tsunami scenarios. ¹¹However, linear-theory corrections due to "uprise slowness" yield lower amplitudes, while those of the observed tsunami

¹¹However, linear-theory corrections due to "uprise slowness" yield lower amplitudes, while those of the observed tsunami were larger. The cause may be a resonance mechanism due to a richer bottom kinematics, e.g. a slowly spreading fault, as predicted in [15]. To analyse this, we are running experiments on a new setup that supports complex-dynamics scenarios. Results are far from the scope of this manuscript and will be published separately.

spatial resolution). For instance, the currently-used spatial resolution for bottom deformations at tsunami sources is $25 \times 50 \text{ km}^2$ (NOAA SIFT Green's functions). However, higher spatial resolutions in tsunami sources may become available soon in standard real-time simulations. Indeed, Crowell et al. have recently shown that it is possible to obtain seabed deformations at high resolutions within two minutes after the strike of an earthquake using GPS data [53].

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, we have investigated the generation of free surface waves by an underwater moving bottom. The experiments, which included simultaneous measurements of fluid velocity field and free-surface displacement in an initially-flat-bottom configuration, display excellent agreement without any parameter fitting with a linear theory of gravity waves. Although the small scale of our wave-generation setup cannot be compared to real-tsunami scenarios, our experiments are able to capture underlying features of dynamic coupling in tsunami-wave generation. Essentially, the fluid layer transfers motion from the bottom to the free surface as a temporal high-pass filter coupled with a spatial low-pass filter. Transfer models that perform a simple translation, such as those used by tsunami warning systems, overlook both filters effects. Supported on measured velocity fields, we have developed an alternative theoretical guideline for taking into account spatial filtering for impulsive bottom uplifts. Furthermore, the impulsive model was adapted to predict the initial waveforms generated for initially non-flat-bottom configurations. This is achieved via a one-step-in-time numerical integration of Laplace equation in a suitable domain and under given boundary conditions. The results have been successfully validated with experiments. The new guideline may help to include in situ bathymetry data in tsunami scenarios at low computational cost: this would be a key for improving real-time forecast tsunami simulations.

Further experimental work will involve studying other spatial/dynamic features of realistic tsunami scenarios, e.g. non-axisymmetric spatial distribution, complex bottom kinematics (e.g. spreading faults). Beyond tsunami-oriented experiments, we also intend to probe nonlinear effects for larger bottom deformations. Further theoretical work, will include a rigorous higher-order analysis of the asymptotic expansions for the impulsive and creeping limits.

Data accessibility

All the data used throughout this article are available at a public repository: Figshare doi:10.6084/m9.figshare.979238 (http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.979238).

Competing interests

We have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

T. J. performed the experiments, set up the mechanical excitation control, analyzed and interpreted data, adapted the linear model, and wrote the paper; L. G. performed the experiments, wrote data processing codes (PIV and free-surface detection), analyzed and interpreted data, developed the DBVP approach, and wrote the paper. T. J. and L. G. contributed equally to this work. G. R.-C. performed the experiments; M. B. conceived and designed the study; E. F. conceived, designed, and coordinated the study. All authors discussed and edited the manuscript. All authors gave final approval for publication.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge F. Dias for fruitful discussions about this topic. We thank A. Lantheaume and the LIED (Université Paris Diderot) for their technical help.

Funding statement

T. J. was supported by the DGA-CNRS Ph.D program and L. G. by a 2012 Postdoctoral Fellowship of the AXA Research Fund. G. R.-C. was supported by the program Research in Paris 2011 of the City of Paris. This research was financed by the ANR Turbulon 12-BS04-0005.

References

- Mungov, G., Eblé, M. & Bouchard, R., 2012 DART® Tsunameter Retrospective and Real-Time Data: A Reflection on 10 Years of Processing in Support of Tsunami Research and Operations. *Pure and Applied Geophysics* 170, 1369–1384.
- 2. Okada, Y., 1985 Surface deformation due to shear and tensile faults in a half-space. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America* **75**, 1135–1154.
- 3. Arcas, D. & Uslu, B., 2010 PMEL Tsunami Forecast Series: Vol. 2. Technical report.
- 4. Geist, E. L., Titov, V. V. & Synolakis, C., 2006 Tsunami: WAVE of CHANGE. *Scientific American* 294, 56–63.
- Geist, E. L., Bilek, S. L., Arcas, D. & Titov, V. V., 2006 Differences in tsunami generation between the December 26, 2004 and March 28, 2005 Sumatra earthquakes. *Earth, Planets and Space* 58, 185–193.
- 6. Maeda, T., Furumura, T., Sakai, S. & Shinohara, M., 2011 Significant tsunami observed at ocean-bottom pressure gauges during the 2011 off the Pacific coast of Tohoku Earthquake. *Earth, Planets and Space* **63**, 803–808.
- 7. Tanioka, Y. & Seno, T., 2001 Sediment Effect on Tsunami Generation of the 1896 Sanriku Tsunami Earthquake. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **28**, 3389–3392.
- 8. Dutykh, D. & Dias, F., 2010 Influence of sedimentary layering on tsunami generation. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* **199**, 1268–1275.
- Synolakis, C., Bardet, J. P., Borrero, J. C., Davies, H. L., Okal, E. A., Silver, E. A., Sweet, S. & Tappin, D. R., 2002 The slump origin of the 1998 Papua New Guinea Tsunami. *Proceedings of* the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences 458, 763–789.
- Okal, E. A. & Synolakis, C., 2004 Source discriminants for near-field tsunamis. *Geophysical Journal International* 158, 899–912.
- 11. Sammarco, P. & Renzi, E., 2008 Landslide tsunamis propagating along a plane beach. *Journal* of Fluid Mechanics **598**, 107–119.
- Geist, E. L., Titov, V. V., Arcas, D., Pollitz, F. F. & Bilek, S. L., 2007 Implications of the 26 December 2004 Sumatra-Andaman Earthquake on Tsunami Forecast and Assessment Models for Great Subduction-Zone Earthquakes. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America* 97, S249–S270.
- Synolakis, C., Liu, P., Carrier, G. & Yeh, H., 1997 Tsunamigenic Sea-Floor Deformations. *Science* 278, 598.
- 14. Geist, E. L., 1998 Local tsunamis and earthquake source parameters. *Advances in Geophysics* **39**, 117–209.
- 15. Todorovska, M. I. & Trifunac, M. D., 2001 Generation of tsunamis by a slowly spreading uplift of the sea floor. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering* **21**, 151–167.
- 16. Kanamori, H., 1972 Mechanism of tsunami earthquakes. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* 6, 346–359.
- Titov, V. V. & Gonzalez, F. I., 1997 Implementation and testing of the method of splitting tsunami (MOST) model. Technical Report NOAA Technical Memorandum ERL PMEL-112, Seattle.
- 18. UNESCO, 1997 IUGG/IOC Time Project: Numerical method of tsunami simulation with the leap-frog scheme. Technical Report IOC Manuals and Guides No. 35, Paris.
- 19. Ichiye, T., 1958 A theory on the generation of tsunamis by an impulse at the sea bottom. *Journal of the Oceanographical Society of Japan* **14**.

- 20. Fuhrman, D. R. & Madsen, P. A., 2009 Coastal Engineering. Coastal Engineering 56, 747–758.
- Grilli, S. T., Vogelmann, S. & Watts, P., 2002 Development of a 3D numerical wave tank for modeling tsunami generation by underwater landslides. *Engineering Analysis with Boundary Elements* 26, 301–313.
- 22. Grilli, S. T., Dias, F., Guyenne, P., Fochesato, C. & Enet, F., 2010 Progress in fully nonlinear potential flow modeling of 3D extreme ocean waves. *Advances in Numerical Simulation of Nonlinear Water Wave (Advances in Coastal and Ocean Engineering)*, *Q. Ma, ed* **11**, 75–128.
- 23. Gisler, G. R., 2008 Tsunami Simulations. Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics 40, 71–90.
- 24. Kakinuma, T., 2009 Numerical simulation of tsunami generation. In *Mathematical Physics and Application of Nonlinear Wave Phenomena*, pp. 14–21.
- Kervella, Y., Dutykh, D. & Dias, F., 2007 Comparison between three-dimensional linear and nonlinear tsunami generation models. *Theoretical and Computational Fluid Dynamics* 21, 245– 269.
- 26. Ma, G., Shi, F. & Kirby, J. T., 2012 Shock-capturing non-hydrostatic model for fully dispersive surface wave processes. *Ocean Modelling* **43-44**, 22–35.
- Grilli, S. T., Harris, J. C., Tajalli Bakhsh, T. S., Masterlark, T. L., Kyriakopoulos, C., Kirby, J. T. & Shi, F., 2012 Numerical Simulation of the 2011 Tohoku Tsunami Based on a New Transient FEM Co-seismic Source: Comparison to Far- and Near-Field Observations. *Pure and Applied Geophysics* 170, 1333–1359.
- 28. Kajiura, K., 1963 The leading wave of a tsunami. *Bulletin of the Earthquake Research Institute, University of Tokyo* **41**, 535–571.
- 29. Hammack, J. L., 1973 A note on tsunamis: their generation and propagation in an ocean of uniform depth. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **60**, 769–799.
- 30. Dutykh, D., Dias, F. & Kervella, Y., 2006 Linear theory of wave generation by a moving bottom. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences Serie I-Mathematique* **343**, 499–504.
- Takahasi, R. & Hatori, T., 1962 A model experiment on the Tsunami generation from a bottom deformation area of elliptic shape. *Bulletin of the Earthquake Research Institute, University of Tokyo* 40, 873–883.
- 32. Wiegel, R. L., 1955 Laboratory studies of gravity waves generated by the movement of a submerged body. *Transactions, American Geophysical Union* **36**, 759–774.
- Enet, F. & Grilli, S. T., 2007 Experimental study of tsunami generation by three-dimensional rigid underwater landslides. *Journal of Waterway, Port, Coastal, and Ocean Engineering* 133, 442– 454.
- 34. Fritz, H. M., Hager, W. H. & Minor, H. E., 2003 Landslide generated impulse waves. 1. Instantaneous flow fields. *Experiments in Fluids* **35**, 505–519.
- 35. Fritz, H. M., Hager, W. H. & Minor, H. E., 2003 Landslide generated impulse waves. 2. Hydrodynamic impact craters. *Experiments in Fluids* **35**, 520–532.
- 36. Viroulet, S., Sauret, A. & Kimmoun, O., 2014 Tsunami generated by a granular collapse down a rough inclined plane. *Europhysics Letters* **105**, 34004.
- Enet, F., Grilli, S. T. & Watts, P., 2003 Laboratory experiments for tsunamis generated by underwater landslides: Comparison with numerical modeling. In *Proceedings of The Thirteenth* (2003) International Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference, pp. 372–379.
- Hammack, J. L., 1972 Tsunamis A model of their generation and propagation. Ph.D. thesis, California Institute of Technology - W. M. Keck Laboratory of Hydraulics and Water Resources, Pasadena, CA.
- 39. Sanchis, A. & Jensen, A., 2011 Dynamic masking of PIV images using the Radon transform in free surface flows. *Exp. Fluids* **51**, 871–880. (doi:10.1007/s00348-011-1101-7).
- 40. Westerweel, J., Dabiri, D. & Gharib, M., 1997 The effect of a discrete window offset on the accuracy of cross-correlation analysis of digital PIV recordings. *Exp. Fluids* **23**, 20–28. (doi:10. 1007/s003480050082).
- 41. Meinhart, C. D., Wereley, S. & Santiago, J., 2000 A PIV algorithm for estimating time-averaged velocity fields. *J. Fluids Eng.* **122**, 285–289.
- 42. Gordillo, L., Jamin, T., Ruiz-Chavarría, G., Berhanu, M. & Falcon, E. Experiments on tsunami waves. figshare. (doi:10.6084/m9.figshare.979238).
- Tyvand, P. A. & Storhaug, A. R. F., 2000 Green functions for impulsive free-surface flows due to bottom deflections in two-dimensional topographies. *Physics of Fluids* 12, 2819–2833.
- Dutykh, D. & Dias, F., 2009 Energy of tsunami waves generated by bottom motion. Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences 465, 725–744.

- 45. Nosov, M. A., 1999 Tsunami generation in compressible ocean. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth Part B* 24, 437–441.
- 46. Miles, J. W., 1967 Surface-Wave Damping in Closed Basins. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* 297, 459. (doi:10.1098/rspa.1967.0081).
- 47. Arfken, G.B. & Weber, H.J., 2005 Mathematical Methods for Physicists. Oxford, UK: Elsevier Academic Press, 6th edition.
- 48. Press, W. H., Teukolsky, S. A., Vetterling, W. T. & Flannery, B. P., 2007 Numerical Recipes 3rd Edition: The Art of Scientific Computing. New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press, 3 edition. ISBN 0521880688, 9780521880688.
- 49. Fujii, Y. & Satake, K., 2008 Tsunami waveform inversion of the 2007 Bengkulu, southern Sumatra, earthquake. *Earth, Planets and Space* **60**, 993–998.
- 50. Fujii, Y., Satake, K., Sakai, S., Shinohara, M. & Kanazawa, T., 2011 Tsunami source of the 2011 off the Pacific coast of Tohoku Earthquake. *Earth, Planets and Space* **63**, 815–820.
- 51. Fujii, Y. & Satake, K., 2007 Tsunami Source of the 2004 Sumatra-Andaman Earthquake Inferred from Tide Gauge and Satellite Data. *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America* **97**, S192–S207.
- 52. Oguri, K., Kawamura, K., Sakaguchi, A., Toyofuku, T., Kasaya, T., Murayama, M., Fujikura, K., Glud, R. N. & Kitazato, H., 2013 Hadal disturbance in the Japan Trench induced by the 2011 Tohoku–Oki Earthquake. *Scientific Reports* **3**, 1915.
- 53. Crowell, B. W., Bock, Y. & Melgar, D., 2012 Real-time inversion of GPS data for finite fault modeling and rapid hazard assessment. *Geophysical Research Letters* **39**, L09305.