Hysteretic Faraday waves
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We report on the numerical and theoretical study of the subcritical bifurcation of parametrically amplified waves appearing at the interface between two immiscible incompressible fluids when the layer of the lower fluid is very shallow. As a critical control parameter is surpassed, small amplitude surface waves bifurcate towards highly nonlinear ones, with twice their amplitude. We propose a simple phenomenological model which can describe the observed bifurcation. We relate this hysteresis with the change of shear stress using a simple stress balance, in agreement with numerical results.

PACS numbers: 47.35.Pq 47.54.-r 45.70.Qt

The Faraday experiment [1] is a paradigmatic example in pattern forming systems, due to its simplicity and richness. Standing waves appear at the interface between two fluids as they are vibrated vertically with a certain amplitude larger than a threshold at a given frequency. Extended Faraday waves have been observed with various types of fluids [2–5] on a wide range of physical configurations [6–10], displaying numerous shapes [11–14]. Localized structures have also been observed in the Faraday experiment in several situations [15–21]. The description of the nature, origin and dynamical properties of Faraday waves has been the focus of a large scientific endeavor since the seminal work of Faraday. A particular property of these waves is their ability to display coexistence (bistability) between different wave states. Several attempts have been made to describe this feature, either from first principles [22–25] or phenomenological standpoints (see [26] and references therein), although with little or no real connection to a dynamical or structural change of the wave pattern.

In this Letter, we report on the numerical simulation of hysteretic Faraday waves at the interface between two immiscible and incompressible fluids, where the lower fluid layer is very shallow. Two branches of Faraday waves are observed with different amplitudes and shapes characterized by the surface deformation and velocity field of both fluids. We propose that this hysteretic jump is related to a sudden shift in the localization of the viscous boundary layer which moves from the interface to the bottom of the cell. We explain physically the observed hysteresis by a balance of the stresses exerted to the lower fluid layer.

We simulate numerically the equations governing the motion of two incompressible and immiscible fluids, separated by a sharp interface, using a single fluid formulation

\[ \rho \frac{Du}{Dt} = -\nabla p + \rho \mathbf{G} + \nabla \cdot \mu (\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T) + \mathbf{F}, \]  

where \( u \) is the velocity field satisfying \( \nabla \cdot u = 0, D/Dt = (\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla) \) is the material derivative and \( p \) is the pressure. The density \( \rho \) and dynamic viscosity \( \mu \) remain constant within each phase. \( \mathbf{F} \) and \( \rho \mathbf{G} \) stand for the densities of surface tension forces located at the interface and of volume forces, respectively. Here \((.)^T\) denotes the transposition operator. In the frame of reference of the vibrating fluids, \( \mathbf{G} = -(g + a \cos(\omega t)) \mathbf{e}_z \), where \( g \) is gravity, \( a \) the gravity modulation amplitude and \( \omega = 2\pi/T = 2\pi f \) its angular frequency. The vector \( \mathbf{e}_z \) is oriented vertically and points upwards. The force density \( \mathbf{F} = \sigma \mathbf{K} \nabla I \) depends on the surface tension coefficient \( \sigma \) which remains constant over the interface, the interface mean curvature \( \mathbf{K} \) and an indicator function \( I(x,y,z,t) \) that takes the value 0 in the heavier phase and 1 otherwise.

The problem is treated using a massively parallel numerical code explained in Refs. [27–30] that can simulate Faraday waves in big domains [31]. The simulated domain has a height \( H = 10 \) mm. The thickness of the lower fluid layer is \( h = 1.6 \) mm. The physical parameters are taken from Ref. [32]. The heavy fluid has density \( \rho_1 = 1346 \text{ kg/m}^3 \) and dynamic viscosity \( \mu_1 = 7.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Pa s} \) while for the lighter fluid \( \rho_2 = 949 \text{ kg/m}^3 \) and \( \mu_2 = 2.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ Pa s} \). The surface tension is \( \sigma = 35 \text{ mN/m} \). The modulation frequency \( f \) is 12 Hz and its amplitude \( a \) is varied. \( u \) is subjected to no-slip boundary conditions at the top and bottom walls of the domain and is horizontally periodic.

The linear analysis [33] shows that using the above parameters the critical wavelength is \( \lambda_c = 13.2 \) mm and
the critical amplitude is \(a_c = 25.8 \text{ m/s}^2\). The dimensions of the box in the system of coordinates \((x, y, z)\) are \(L \times W \times H = 39.6 \times 3.30 \times 10 \text{ mm}^3\). The domain contains exactly three critical wavelengths longitudinally in order to spot eventual large scale effects at high \(a\). The \(y\) transverse dimension of the domain is small enough for the flow to remain essentially two-dimensional in the \(x-z\) plane, which we have checked numerically. The numerical stability and accuracy are assured using a dynamically bounded time step \(\Delta t\) [31]. To simplify our analysis, we restrict ourselves to the two-dimensional dynamics of surface waves described by the interface deformation \(\zeta(x, t)\) and velocity field \(u(x, z, t)\) (as shown in Fig. 1 and 2).

When \(a\) surpasses the critical value \(a_c^0 = 26 \text{ m/s}^2\), the flat surface becomes unstable to infinitesimally small perturbations and stationary subharmonic surface gravity waves appear with a wavelength \(\lambda_c = L/3\). Following Ref. [27], we show the bifurcation diagram of the saturated surface wave peak-to-peak amplitude \(\Delta\zeta\) in Fig. 3(a). \(\Delta\zeta\) shows the same distinctive features as the Fourier mode amplitude at \(\lambda_c\) and is straightforward to measure. Its dependence on \(a\) can be accurately fitted using the reduced control parameter \(c = (a - a_c^0)/a_c^0\) as \(\Delta\zeta \sim c^{1/2}\) for \(c < 0.6\). As we increase \(a\) further than 40 m/s\(^2\) the dependence of \(\Delta\zeta\) on \(c\) changes and a slight curvature towards larger values of \(\Delta\zeta\) appears on the bifurcation diagram. As \(a\) exceeds \(a_c^0 = 41.25 \text{ m/s}^2\) a secondary instability occurs: \(\Delta\zeta\) increases by a factor 2 and the shape of \(\zeta(x, t)\) becomes highly nonlinear, displaying localized peaks and almost horizontal troughs of constant length \(l_F \approx 8 \text{ mm}\). The thickness of these troughs at \(a_c^0\) in the upper branch of the bifurcation, \(h_F \approx 0.25 \text{ mm}\), is twice as small as the one on the lower branch, as depicted in Fig. 3(b). These variables are defined geometrically in Fig. 3(c). As a consequence of mass conservation while \(\Delta\zeta\) becomes larger \(h_F\) becomes smaller. In the upper branch \(\zeta(x, t)\) also becomes multivalued. To avoid misinterpretations of the bifurcation diagram by following \(\Delta\zeta\) as \(\zeta(x, t)\) becomes multivalued in the upper branch, we have also used the angle \(\theta(s)\) that the local tangent to the interface makes with the \(x\) axis at normalized arc length \(s\) as an order parameter (see Fig. 3(c)). \(\theta(s)\) is single valued for all values of \(a\). We have computed the Fourier amplitude at \(\lambda_c\) of \(\theta(s)\) in arc length \(s\), \(\theta\), which displays the same bifurcation diagram as \(\Delta\zeta\) (see Fig. 3(a)). A hysteresis loop is displayed as this state is sustained decreasing \(a\) until \(a_c^0 = 39.25 \text{ m/s}^2 \neq a_c^0\) (see Fig. 3(a)). To

---

**FIG. 1:** (color online) Temporal snapshots of the interface deformation \(\zeta(x, t)\) (thick line), velocity field \(u(x, z, t)\) (arrows) and stream function (contour lines) at \(a = 39.5 \text{ m/s}^2\) (lower branch). To simplify our analysis, we restrict ourselves to the two-dimensional dynamics of surface waves described by the interface deformation \(\zeta(x, t)\) and velocity field \(u(x, z, t)\) (as shown in Fig. 1 and 2).

**FIG. 2:** (color online) Same snapshots as Fig. 1 at \(a = 39.5 \text{ m/s}^2\) (upper branch).
Hysteresis is also reflected in the changes of the velocity field properties, specifically, how the energy dissipation rate $\langle \tau \rangle$ changes in the upper branch: $\langle \tau \rangle$ for the weakly (lower branch) and highly (upper branch) nonlinear saturated wave. Hysteresis loop observed for $\Delta \zeta$ is shown in Fig. 4(a). A roughly linear dependence on $a$ can be observed, with different slopes for lower and upper branches. A spatial change in the structure of $\tau$ can also be observed by measuring the dissipation rate of the mean velocity $\langle \tau (u) \rangle$. For the lower branch, the largest values of $\langle \tau (u) \rangle$ are localized at the interface where the shearing of both fluids is the strongest. The profile of $\langle \tau (u) \rangle$ changes in the upper branch: $\langle \tau (u) \rangle$ presents maxima at the interface and also at the bottom of the domain. When $a$ is further increased, $\langle \tau (u) \rangle$ becomes localized at the bottom of the cell where the viscous boundary layer dissipates the largest part of the kinetic energy of the flow. The difference in structure of $\langle \tau (u) \rangle$ for $a = 39.5 \text{ m/s}^2$ is shown in Fig. 4(b)-(c). All temporal Fourier components of $\tau$ display the same bifurcation diagram as $\langle \tau (u) \rangle$ (not plotted here), showing that the structural change is a global one.

To explain this hysteretic transition and the qualitative changes reported above at the transition we present a physical explanation relating the above data. We propose that the amplitude jump and wave hysteresis can be understood from a balance between lubrication and hydrostatic stresses [21, 34] that is coupled with a change of the flow regime within the film.
numerical simulations as \( \bar{u}/\omega l_F \simeq 1/12 \) in the upper branch. Hence, \( \sigma_s \simeq \mu_0 l_F^2/\omega (4h_F^2) \) and the force per unit length arising from this shear stress, \( F_s \simeq \mu_1 \omega l_F^2/(12h_F^2) \), must compensate the hydrostatic pressure contribution \( F_h \) from both ends of the film at maximum acceleration, to ensure the film sustainment. The stress balance reads \( F_s = 2F_h \) where \( F_h \) is given at each border of the film by the difference of hydrostatic pressure between the zone inside the film and the zone outside the film: \( F_h \simeq (\rho_1 - \rho_2) (a^* + g) \Delta \zeta^2 \), \( a^* \) denoting the maximum acceleration. After the transition, \( F_s \) and \( F_h \) are estimated at 300 mN/m in the upper branch, which is 10 times larger than the stress contribution from surface tension that is consequently neglected. Using mass conservation \( h \lambda_c \simeq \Delta \zeta (\lambda_c - l_F) \) to relate \( \Delta \zeta, h_F \) and \( l_F \), the balance reads

\[
\rho_1^2 (\lambda_c - l_F)^2 \simeq \frac{6gh^2 \lambda_c^2}{\omega^2} \left( 1 + \frac{a^*}{g} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1} \right),
\]

where \( Re < Re^d \), according to our simulation results on the upper branch (lubrication flow) and \( \omega \) is given by the dispersion relation for shallow waves

\[
\omega^2 = \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \frac{16\pi^2}{\lambda_c^2} gh,
\]

with the forcing frequency \( \omega \) is twice that of the fluid’s response. At \( Re = Re^d \), the stress balance takes the form

\[
\rho_1^3 (\lambda_c - l_F)^2 \simeq \frac{3h \lambda_c^2}{8\pi^2} \left( 1 + \frac{a^*}{g} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1} \right).
\]

The left-hand side of this balance reaches its maximum when \( l_F = 3\lambda_c/5 \approx 7.9 \) mm, close to the constant value found in our simulations for \( l_F \) in the upper branch. Thus \( \Delta \zeta \approx 5 \) mm and the stress balance is achieved when \( a^*/g \approx 3.4 \). As \( l_F \) rests constant in the upper branch, when \( a < a^* \), \( h_F \) decreases to compensate the increase in \( F_h \). When \( a > a^* \), \( h_F > h_0 \) (\( Re > 1 \)), changing the nature of the flow from lubrication (\( \sigma_s \simeq \rho l_F^2/h_F^2 \)) to viscous flow (\( \sigma_s \sim l_F/h_F \)). With this functional change \( F_s \) cannot sustain \( F_h \), as it is \( l_F/h_F \sim 30 \) times smaller. We conjecture that this shift in magnitude for \( \sigma_s \) as the film changes its thickness is the reason for the observed amplitude jump.

In summary, using numerical simulations, we have observed the hysteretic bifurcation of Faraday waves in very shallow layers. The coexistence between weakly and highly nonlinear Faraday waves, observed experimentally, is now confirmed numerically and understood as the bifurcation of a wave pattern presenting hysteresis, which we conjecture is related to the structural shift of the viscous shear rate. The loop mechanism can be understood by stress balance between hydrostatic and shear stresses, which changes as the depth of the layer becomes shallower than the boundary layer of the fluid. This simple approach is first a treatment towards a more profound understanding of pattern selection where dynamic changes in dissipation or structure are present, and opens questions related to the nature and origin of the hysteresis loop in shallow layers of Newtonian and complex fluids.
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