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Abstract

This study presents the first geochemical data of fluid discharges collected from February 2010 to March 2015 from the Planchón-Petereo-Azufre Volcanic Complex (PPAVC), located in the Transitional Southern Volcanic Zone (TSVZ) at the border between Argentina and Chile. During the study period, from January 2010 to July 2011, Petereo volcano experienced phreatic to phreatomagmatic eruption possibly related to the devastating Maule earthquake occurred on February 27, 2010. The compositional dataset includes low temperature (from 43.2 to 102 °C) gas discharges from (i) the summit of Petereo volcano and (ii) the SE flank of Azufre volcano, both marked by a
significant magmatic fluid contribution, as well as bubbling gases located at the foothill of the Peteroa volcanic edifice, which showed a chemical signature typical of hydrothermal fluids. In 2012, strong compositional changes affected the Peteroa gases from the summit area: the acidic gas species, especially SO$_2$, increased, suggesting an input of fluids from magma degassing. Nevertheless, the R/Ra and $\delta^{13}$C-CO$_2$ values decreased, which would imply an enhanced contribution from a meteoric-hydrothermal source. In 2014-2015, the chemical and isotopic compositions of the 2010-2011 gases were partially restored. The anomalous decoupling between the chemical and the isotopic parameters was tentatively interpreted as produced by degassing activity from a small batch of dacitic magma that in 2012 masked the compositional signature of the magmatic fluids released from a basaltic magma that dominated the gas chemistry in 2010-2011. This explanation reliably justifies the observed geochemical data, although the mechanisms leading to the change in time of the dominating magmatic fluid source are not clear. At this regard, a geophysical survey able to provide information on the location of the two magma batches could be useful to clarify the possible relationships between the compositional changes that affected the Peteroa fluid discharges and the 2010-2011 eruptive activity.
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1. Introduction

Peteroa (35.240°S, 70.570°W, 3,603 m a. s. l.), a composite stratovolcano belonging to the Transitional Southern Volcanic Zone (TSVZ) at the border between Argentina and Chile (e.g., Stern et al., 1984; Hildreth and Moorbath, 1988) (Fig. 1), is part of the
NNE-oriented Planchón-Peteroa-Azufre Volcanic Complex (PPAVC) (Tormey et al., 1995; Naranjo et al., 1999). The Peteroa summit is characterized by a ~5 km wide caldera, partially covered by glaciers. It consists of four craters hosting acidic lakes and one scoria cinder cone (Fig. 2) (Tormey, 2010). The last eruptive episode of Peteroa lasted from January 2010 up to July 2011 and was characterized by phreatic and phreatomagmatic eruptions with a VEI ≤2 (Haller and Risso, 2011; Aguilera et al., 2012; Naranjo, 2012). It is worth noting that the devastating February 27, 2010 Maule earthquake (MW 8.8) that affected central-southern Chile (Jara-Muñoz et al., 2015 and references therein) was concomitant with the Peteroa volcanic activity. After this seismic event up to 2011, PPAVC suffered several MW<3 seismic events (up to 15 km depth) (SERNAGEOMIN-OVDAS, 2010a, b, c; 2011a, b), probably related to the co-seismic release of fluids from a hydrothermal reservoir (Pritchard et al., 2013). The latter likely caused the emission of volcanic plumes from craters #2 and #3 (Fig. 2) during the last eruptive episode (Aguilera et al., 2012; Naranjo, 2012).

In this study, we present the chemical and isotopic ($\delta^{18}$O-H$_2$O, $\delta$D-H$_2$O, $\delta^{13}$C-CO$_2$, $^3$He/$^4$He, $^{40}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar and $\delta^{15}$N-N$_2$) compositions of gases sampled during five sampling campaigns (February 2010, March 2011, March 2012, March 2014 and March 2015) from the i) fluid discharges located at the Peteroa summit and along the SE flanks of the Azufre volcano and ii) thermal bubbling pools situated at the base of the E and SE flanks of PPAVC (Fig. 2). Chemical data of water samples collected from the lakes hosted within craters #1, #2 and #4 (Fig. 2) were also reported. The main aim was to investigate the fluid source regions and the physical-chemical processes controlling the chemistry of the Peteroa gases in 2010-2015, highlighting the temporal compositional variations and their possible relationship with the 2010-2011 eruptive activity. To the
best of our knowledge, this is the first geochemical survey carried out on fluids discharged from this volcano.

Fig. 1. Schematic map of the Northern and Transitional Southern Andean Volcanic Zone (N- and T-SVZ, respectively) and location of the Planchón-Peteroa-Azufre Volcanic Complex (PPAVC). Light red ellipse shows the approximate Maule earthquake rupture zone (Pritchard et al., 2013).

2. Geological and volcanological setting

The Pleistocene-Holocene PPAVC lies on Upper Jurassic to Cretaceous continental and marine sediments (limestone, conglomerates, sandstones and gypsum sequences), pyroclastic rocks, and basaltic to rhyolitic lava flows which are locally intruded by Middle Tertiary dioritic to granodioritic plutons (Tormey et al., 1989, 1995; Naranjo et al., 1999; Naranjo and Haller, 2002). These rock formations are variably folded and thrusted, given the occurrence of NNE-oriented reverse faults pertaining to the Malargüe fold-and-thrust belt (Giambiagi et al., 2003).
Fig. 2. Satellite image of the Planchón-Peteroa-Azufre Volcanic Complex (PPAVC). Craters zone at Peteroa volcano, fumarolic fields, crater lakes, thermal springs and location of the sampling sites are shown. Filled squares: gas sample; half-filled square: gas and water sample; open square: water sample. Red star corresponds to the soil and air temperature monitoring site of Liaudat et al. (2014).

The spatial orientation of PPAVC, as well as the location of the thermal manifestations (e.g., hot springs, bubbling pools, mud pools, fumaroles, steaming grounds) recognized N, E and SE of the volcanic complex, are controlled by the occurrence of these reverse
faults (Cembrano and Lara, 2009; Gutierrez et al., 2012). At a regional scale, the volcanic activity in the TSVZ area is related to the subduction of the Nazca beneath the South American plates (Barazangi and Isack, 1976; Cande and Leslie, 1986, 1987). Azufre volcano is the oldest (<1.20 My) and largest (~69 km$^3$) edifice of PPAVC, consisting of basaltic andesite lava and pyroclastic flows, dacitic lava flows and domes, and lahars deposits. Planchón volcano (6 km north of the Azufre cone) was built in 3 different phases: i) Planchón I (from ~14 to ~12 ky), with basaltic and basaltic andesite lava flows, pyroclastic deposits and minor lahars deposits having a total volume of ~43 km$^3$; ii) Planchón–Teno (~12 ky), a ~10 km$^3$ debris avalanche deposit produced by the collapse of the western flank of Planchón I (Hauser 1990, 1993); iii) Planchón II (from ~12 to ~7 ky), consisting of basaltic to basaltic andesitic lava flows and pyroclastic sequences, and lahars deposits whose total volume is ~5 km$^3$. Peteroa volcano is the smallest (<1 km$^3$) and youngest (<7 ky) edifice. Its activity is characterized by basaltic andesite to andesitic lava flows and basaltic andesite to dacitic pyroclastic flows, surge and fall deposits. According to Tormey et al. (1995), the volcanic products of PPAVC evolved from basalts and, secondarily, dacites (Azufre-Planchón) sourced from relatively moderate-pressure (4 to 8 kbar), to andesite (Peteroa), produced by magma mixing processes at relatively shallow depth. NNE-oriented reverse faults, developed in a compressive regime caused by the Malargüe fold and thrust belt (Ramos et al. 2004), controlled the spatial orientation of PPAVC (Cembrano and Lara, 2009), as well as the location of the thermal manifestations (e.g., hot springs, bubbling pools, mud pools, fumaroles, steaming grounds) recognized at N, E and SE of the volcanic complex (Gutierrez et al., 2012).
Fifteen glaciers, whose size significantly reduced in the last years and currently occupying a surface of ~20 km$^2$, occur at the PPAVC summit and its surroundings (Liaudat et al., 2014).

3. **Historical eruptive activity**

Peteroa is the only volcano of PPAVC that has experienced historical eruptive activity, during which phreatic and phreatomagmatic events (VEI ≤3) occurred (1660, November-December 1751, March 1762, 1835, February 1837, 1842, 1860, 1869, 1872, 1878, 1889-1894, 1938, November 1959, August 1960, January 1962, February 1967, February 1991 and November 1998) (Gonzalez-Ferrán, 1995; Naranjo et al., 1999; Naranjo and Haller, 2002). The last eruptive activity from crater #3 (Fig. 2), from January 2010 to July 2011, consisted of four stages, as follows:

Stage 1 (from 4$^{th}$ January to 8$^{th}$ August 2010): Emission of a 100-200 m high white (gas and vapor) plume possibly related to the occurrence of phreatic explosions in the SW side of crater #3.

Stage 2 (from 4$^{th}$ September to 1$^{st}$ November 2010): Emission of a plume having a maximum height of ~3 km that was visible up to 345 km toward ESE. Ash fall deposits covered an area of 1,265 km$^2$. Non-vesiculated juvenile fragments were characterized by SiO$_2$ contents ranging from 55 to 57.5 wt. % (Haller and Risso, 2011), similar to those of the volcanic products emitted during the 1837 eruption (Naranjo, 2012).

Stage 3 (from 17$^{th}$ February to 20$^{th}$ May 2011): Emission of a white ESE-oriented plume (up to ~1,000 m above the crater rim). Tephra deposits consist of poorly vesicular andesitic fragments of lava, glassy lava, quartz, plagioclase and pyroxene crystals with no evidence of hydrothermal alteration (Aguilera et al., 2012).
Stage 4 (from 17th June to 13th July 2011): Sporadic explosions produced a 500-2,000 m high, SE-oriented intermittent plume.

The epicentres of the seismic activity recorded during the 2010-2011 eruptive activity were located in two main zones, 16-33 km NE and 5-7 km N from active crater, with focus located at 1-30 km and 1-8 km depth, respectively (SERNAGEOMIN-OVDAS, 2010a,b,c, 2011a,b). A third epicentre zone, 9-20 km SE from the active craters and with focus at 1-15 km depth, was recognized. Most earthquakes (>85%) were interpreted as produced by rock fracturing, whereas few of them were related to underground fluid circulation (SERNAGEOMIN-OVDAS, 2010a,b,c, 2011a,b).

4. Material and methods

4.1. Gas and water sampling

Gas samples were collected from different zones (Fig. 2), as follows: (1) between craters #2 and #3 (Pet1, Pet2, Pet7 and Pet8); (2) the bottom of crater #2 (Pet3, Pet4, Pet5 and Pet6); (3) the SE flank of the Azufre volcano (Azf1 and Azf2); (4) the Valenzuela valley (AZ); (5) the Glacial valley (Gen1 and Gen2); (6) the Los Azufres valley (EM, Cur, Pel and Per). Gases from the fluid emissions were conveyed into glass flasks using a 1 m long titanium tube (Ø = 2.5 cm) that was inserted into the gas vent and connected through glass-dewared tubes to the sampling flasks. A plastic funnel upside-down submerged in the pools and connected to the sampling flasks through tygon tubes was used to sample the bubbling gases. Sampling flasks used to collect gases from both the discharges of the volcano summit and the bubbling pools consisted of pre-evacuated 60-mL glass thorion-tapped flask filled with 20 mL of 4N NaOH and 0.15M Cd(OH)$_2$ suspension (Montegrossi et al., 2001). During sampling, water vapor and acidic gas species (CO$_2$, SO$_2$, HCl and HF) dissolved in the alkaline solution,
whereas H₂S formed insoluble CdS. Low-solubility gas species (N₂, O₂, CO, H₂, He, Ar, Ne, CH₄ and light hydrocarbons) were concentrated in the sampling flask headspace. Aliquots of vapor condensates (for the analysis of δ¹⁸O and δD in water vapor) and dry gases (for the analysis of CO and ¹³C/¹²C ratio in CO₂) were sampled using an ice-cooled glass tube connected to the gas sampling line (Montegrossi et al., 2001; Vaselli et al., 2006). In 2012, six gas samples were collected in 100 mL sampling flasks filled with 50 mL of 4N NaOH for the analysis of δ¹⁵N.

In 2011, three water samples from the crater lakes hosted in craters #1 (Pet10), #2 (Pet5) and #4 (Pet9) (Fig. 2) were collected in 200 mL sterile polyethylene bottles, after filtering at 0.45 µm. Not filtered water was collected in 100 mL dark glass bottles for the analysis of δ¹⁸O and δD. Using this sampling method, one water sample from the lake hosted in crater #2 (Pet5) was also collected in 2012. In 2011 and 2012, water aliquots from the pools where the bubbling gases were collected (Pel, Gen2 and Cur) were also sampled for the analysis of δ¹⁸O and δD.

4.2. Chemical and isotopic (R/Ra, δ¹³C-CO₂, ⁴⁰Ar/³⁶Ar and δ¹⁵N) analysis of gases and steam (δ¹⁸O and δD)

Inorganic gases (N₂, Ar+O₂, H₂, He and CO) in the flask headspace of samples collected in 2010, 2011, 2014 and 2015 were analyzed at the Department of Earth Sciences of the University of Florence (Italy) using a Shimadzu 15A gas chromatograph (GC) equipped with a 10 m long 5A molecular sieve column and a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). Argon and O₂ were analyzed using a Thermo Focus gas chromatograph equipped with a 30 m long capillary molecular sieve column and a TCD. A Shimadzu 14A gas chromatograph (GC) equipped with a 10-m-long stainless steel column packed with Chromosorb PAW 80/100 mesh coated with 23% SP 1700 and a flame ionization detector (FID) was used to analyze CH₄ and light hydrocarbons. The liquid and the solid
precipitate in the alkaline suspension were separated by centrifugation at 4,000 rpm for 30 min. The liquid phase was used to analyze 1) CO$_2$, as CO$_3^{2-}$, by automatic titration (AT; Metrohm Basic Titrino) with a 0.5 N HCl solution; 2) HCl, as Cl$^-$, by ion chromatography (IC; Metrohm 761); 3) SO$_2$, as SO$_4^{2-}$, after oxidation with 5 mL H$_2$O$_2$ (33%) by IC. Using 5 mL H$_2$O$_2$ (33%), CdS in the solid precipitate was oxidized to SO$_4^{2-}$ that was analyzed by IC for determining H$_2$S concentrations (Montegrossi et al., 2001). HF concentrations were calculated on the basis of F$^-$ concentrations in the condensates. The analytical errors for AT, GC and IC analyses were <5%.

The analysis of $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C of CO$_2$ ($\Delta^{13}$C-CO$_2$, expressed as ‰ vs. V-PDB) of the 2010, 2011, 2014 and 2015 samples were carried out with a Finningan Delta S mass spectrometer after standard extraction and purification procedures of the gas mixtures (Evans et al., 1998; Vaselli et al., 2006). Standards used for estimation of external precision were Carrara and San Vincenzo marbles (Internal), NBS18 and NBS19 (International), whereas the analytical error and the reproducibility were ±0.05‰ and ±0.1‰, respectively. The $\Delta^{13}$C-CO$_2$ values of the 2012 samples were carried out following chromatographic separation using GBII. The analytical error was ± 0.06‰.

The He isotopic ratios (expressed as R/Ra, where R is the $^3$He/$^4$He measured ratio and Ra is the $^3$He/$^4$He ratio in the air: $1.39 \times 10^{-6}$; Mamyrin and Tolstikhin, 1984) were determined at the INGV laboratories of Palermo (Italy) on gas aliquots transferred from the flask headspace into pre-evacuated 50 mL flasks. After standard purification procedures, Ne was cryogenically separated from Heat 77 K by absorption in a charcoal trap cooled at 12 K. The abundances and isotope compositions of He, as well the $^4$He/$^20$Ne ratios, were then determined by separately admitting He and Ne into a split flight tube mass spectrometer (Helix SFT). The analytical error was ≤0.3% (Paonita et
The measured R/Ra values were corrected for atmospheric contamination using the $^{4}\text{He}/^{20}\text{Ne}$ ratios (Poreda and Craig, 1989), as follows:

$$\frac{R_c}{Ra} = \frac{(R/Ra_{\text{meas}}) - r)}{(1-r)}$$

where r is $\left(\frac{^{4}\text{He}/^{20}\text{Ne}}{^{4}\text{He}/^{20}\text{Ne}}\right)_{\text{air}}/\left(\frac{^{4}\text{He}/^{20}\text{Ne}}{^{4}\text{He}/^{20}\text{Ne}}\right)_{\text{measured}} \approx 0.318$; Ozima and Posdeok, 1983].

The chemical composition and the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (expressed as ‰ vs. air) and $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{36}\text{Ar}$ ratios in the 2012 gas samples were determined at the INGV laboratories of Naples (Italy) using an Agilent 6890 N gas chromatograph (GC) coupled with a Finnigan Delta plusXP continuous-flow mass spectrometer (MS). The GC was equipped with a molecular sieve column (MS 5 Å capillary, 30 m $\times$ 0.53 mm $\times$ 50 μm; He carrier gas), TCD detector and a post column switching device (Denswitch) that had the following function: i) to split the column gas flow to the TCD detector and to the MS, and ii) to invert the column flow after the species of interest (Ar and N$_2$) had reached the detector, preventing undesirable species (mainly CO and water) from reaching the MS. To allow simultaneous determination of $^{36}\text{Ar}$ and $^{15}\text{N}$, the MS was equipped with a standard triple collector. Initially, the ion beam was focused to send mass 36 to the more sensitive cup. After recording the mass 36 peak, the magnetic field was switched to focus the ion beams of masses 28, 29 and 30 on the three cups using a jump calibration procedure taking into account any hysteresis of the magnet. Analytical errors were: $\delta^{15}\text{N} \pm 0.1$‰, $^{36}\text{Ar} < 1$%, $^{40}\text{Ar} < 3$%.

The $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ and $^{2}\text{H}/^{1}\text{H}$ isotopic ratios ($\delta^{18}\text{O}-\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\delta\text{D}-\text{H}_2\text{O}$, expressed as ‰ vs. V-SMOW) of the condensates and water samples were determined using a Finningan Delta Plus XL mass spectrometer at the Geokarst Engineering Laboratory (Trieste,
Italy). Oxygen isotopes were analyzed following the method described by Epstein and Mayeda (1953). Hydrogen isotopes were analyzed on H₂ generated by the reaction of 10 µL water with metallic zinc at 500 °C, according to the analytical procedure described by Coleman et al. (1982). The analytical errors were ±0.1‰ for δ¹⁸O and ±0.1‰ for δD, using V-SMOW and SLAP as analytical standards and AR-1 as an internal standard. The δ¹⁸O-H₂O and δD-H₂O values of the 2012 gas samples were analyzed at the INGV laboratories of Naples (Italy) using a Finnigan Delta plus XP continuous-flow mass spectrometer (MS) coupled with a GasbenchII gas-chromatographic device (GBII), using equilibration techniques with CO₂ for oxygen (Epstein and Mayeda, 1953), and with H₂ for hydrogen (Nelson 2000). The analytical errors were ± 0.08‰ and ±1‰ for δD and δ¹⁸O, respectively.

4.3. Chemical analysis of waters

Temperature and pH of lake water were determined in the field. Water samples were analyzed for major cations (Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, and NH₄⁺) and anions (F⁻, Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻, Br⁻ and NO₃⁻) by IC (Metrohm 861 and Metrohm 761, respectively). The analytical error was ≤5%.

5. Results

5.1. Chemical composition of gases

Location of the sampling points (UTM coordinates; WGS 84 Zone 19), altitude (m a.s.l.), outlet temperatures of the gas vents, water temperatures of the bubbling pools (°C) and chemical composition (expressed in mmol/mol) of the dry gas fraction (Xg in %) are reported in Table 1.

The gas discharges from the summit area, consisting of fumarolic-like vents whose outlet temperature ranged from 43.2 to 102 °C, were dominated by water vapor (Xg
from 2.8% to 21.8%). The dry gas composition of samples collected in 2010, 2011 and 2015 from the Peteroa summit was dominated by CO$_2$ (up to 986 mmol/mol), followed by H$_2$S (up to 39 mmol/mol). Sulfur dioxide, HCl and HF concentrations were relatively low (up to 1.6, 0.38 and 0.021 mmol/mol, respectively). Nitrogen and H$_2$ concentrations were in a narrow range, from 1.6 to 4.9, and from 0.13 to 0.38 mmol/mol, respectively, whereas those of CH$_4$, O$_2$, Ar, He, and CO were up to 0.29, 0.056, 0.026, 0.0032, and 0.015 mmol/mol, respectively (Table 1). Light hydrocarbons (C$_2$-C$_7$) mainly consisted of alkanes, whose sum was up to 0.0118 mmol/mol, with significant concentrations of alkenes and aromatics, dominated by i-C$_4$H$_8$ (up to 0.0032 mmol/mol) and C$_6$H$_6$ (0.0025 mmol/mol), respectively. Thiophene (C$_4$H$_3$S) and furane (C$_4$H$_4$O) were also detected at concentrations up to 0.00073 and 0.000021 mmol/mol, respectively (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID type</th>
<th>date</th>
<th>latitude</th>
<th>longitude</th>
<th>altitude</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>CO$_2$</th>
<th>HCl</th>
<th>HF</th>
<th>SO$_2$</th>
<th>H$_2$S</th>
<th>N$_2$</th>
<th>CH$_4$</th>
<th>Ar</th>
<th>O$_2$</th>
<th>H$_2$</th>
<th>He</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>Xgas %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pet1 Fumarole</td>
<td>February 2010</td>
<td>6099208</td>
<td>357027</td>
<td>3436</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>978</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet2 Fumarole</td>
<td>February 2010</td>
<td>6099171</td>
<td>356908</td>
<td>3434</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet3 Fumarole</td>
<td>March 2011</td>
<td>6099209</td>
<td>357027</td>
<td>3436</td>
<td>87.7</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.0043</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet4 Fumarole</td>
<td>March 2011</td>
<td>6099212</td>
<td>357037</td>
<td>3374</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.0092</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet5 Fumarole</td>
<td>March 2011</td>
<td>6099169</td>
<td>357042</td>
<td>3401</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>978</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet6 Fumarole</td>
<td>March 2011</td>
<td>6099157</td>
<td>357050</td>
<td>3331</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>986</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet7 Fumarole</td>
<td>March 2011</td>
<td>6099288</td>
<td>357171</td>
<td>3588</td>
<td>87.9</td>
<td>978</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet8 Fumarole</td>
<td>March 2011</td>
<td>6099257</td>
<td>356939</td>
<td>3411</td>
<td>88.3</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.0043</td>
<td>0.0100</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet9 Fumarole</td>
<td>March 2012</td>
<td>6099209</td>
<td>357027</td>
<td>3436</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.0037</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet10 Fumarole</td>
<td>March 2012</td>
<td>6099212</td>
<td>357037</td>
<td>3374</td>
<td>89.4</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
<td>0.0099</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet11 Fumarole</td>
<td>March 2012</td>
<td>6099257</td>
<td>356939</td>
<td>3411</td>
<td>87.9</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet12 Fumarole</td>
<td>March 2012</td>
<td>6099317</td>
<td>356996</td>
<td>3446</td>
<td>87.9</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.0043</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet13 Fumarole</td>
<td>March 2012</td>
<td>6099073</td>
<td>359938</td>
<td>2758</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>0.0069</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet14 Fumarole</td>
<td>March 2012</td>
<td>6099246</td>
<td>359912</td>
<td>2766</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.0094</td>
<td>0.0041</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>2.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet15 Fumarole</td>
<td>March 2014</td>
<td>6099212</td>
<td>357037</td>
<td>3374</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>916</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>0.0066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet16 Fumarole</td>
<td>April 2015</td>
<td>6099208</td>
<td>357027</td>
<td>3436</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>958</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet17 Fumarole</td>
<td>April 2015</td>
<td>6099212</td>
<td>357037</td>
<td>3374</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td>955</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>0.0066</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Type, date, geographical coordinates (UTM, WGS 85 Zone 19), altitude (m a.s.l.), outlet temperatures (in °C), chemical composition (dry gas fraction) of inorganic gases and CH$_4$ (in
mmol/mol), and total concentration of the dry gas fraction (Xg in %) for the PPAVC gas discharges.

The composition of the Peteroa gases collected in March 2012 was strongly different with respect to those previously sampled. The main compositional differences can be summarized, as follows:

SO₂ concentration (up to 534 mmol/mol) was three orders of magnitude higher whereas those of CO₂ ranged from 282 to 382 mmol/mol. Similar changes were also shown by the concentrations of other acidic species, i.e. H₂S, HCl and HF (up to 162, 14 and 1.3 mmol/mol, respectively), whereas N₂ and H₂ showed slight increases (from 6.5 and 9.5 and from 0.23 to 0.47 mmol/mol, respectively). On the contrary, CH₄ and CO significantly decreased, down to <0.015 and <0.0012 mmol/mol, respectively.

Hydrocarbon concentrations decreased, especially the C₄-compounds and C₇H₈ that were below the detection limit (0.0000005 mmol/mol).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>C₂H₆</th>
<th>C₂H₄</th>
<th>C₂H₅</th>
<th>C₂H₆</th>
<th>i-C₃H₁₀</th>
<th>n-C₃H₁₀</th>
<th>i-C₄H₁₀</th>
<th>n-C₄H₁₀</th>
<th>C₄H₄O</th>
<th>C₅H₆</th>
<th>C₆H₆</th>
<th>C₆H₅S</th>
<th>C₇H₈</th>
<th>C₈H₉</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pet1</td>
<td>0.0037</td>
<td>0.000012</td>
<td>0.000027</td>
<td>0.000042</td>
<td>&lt;0.0000005</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td>0.000021</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>0.00089</td>
<td>0.00011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet2</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>0.000222</td>
<td>0.00071</td>
<td>0.000070</td>
<td>&lt;0.0000005</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>0.00019</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.01073</td>
<td>0.00012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet3</td>
<td>0.0054</td>
<td>0.000016</td>
<td>0.00087</td>
<td>0.000087</td>
<td>&lt;0.0000005</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>0.00013</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
<td>0.000053</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet4</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td>0.000011</td>
<td>0.00057</td>
<td>0.000055</td>
<td>&lt;0.0000005</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td>0.00016</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.0041</td>
<td>0.000047</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet5</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td>0.000018</td>
<td>0.00069</td>
<td>0.000073</td>
<td>&lt;0.0000005</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
<td>0.00014</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>0.000078</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet6</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
<td>0.000011</td>
<td>0.00078</td>
<td>0.000088</td>
<td>&lt;0.0000005</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
<td>0.00014</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.0037</td>
<td>0.000050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet7</td>
<td>0.0064</td>
<td>0.000017</td>
<td>0.00075</td>
<td>0.000054</td>
<td>&lt;0.0000005</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>0.00011</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>0.000075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet8</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td>0.000013</td>
<td>0.00060</td>
<td>0.000060</td>
<td>&lt;0.0000005</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td>0.00010</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>0.000050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Concentrations of C₂-C₇ hydrocarbons (in mmol/mol) for the PPAVC gas discharges.

In 2014, the Peteroa fluid discharges showed compositional features similar to those
recorded for the 2010-2011 and 2015 samples, with the exception of SO₂, H₂S and N₂ that were characterized by slightly higher concentrations (up to 1.6, 39 and 4.9 mmol/mol, respectively).

The composition of the fumaroles from the flanks of Azufre volcano (March 2012) partially resembles that of the gases collected from the Peteroa summit in the same period, although the former had significantly higher CO₂ and H₂ concentrations (up to 794 and 3.1 mmol/mol, respectively) and lower SO₂ (up to 38 mmol/mol).

Gases collected from the bubbling pools in 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2015, whose temperatures ranged from 24 to 46.2 °C, were characterized by a CO₂-dominated (up to 996 mmol/mol) chemical composition, absence of acidic gases and CO, significant concentrations of N₂ and CH₄ (up to 8.1 and 1.8 mmol/mol, respectively), and relatively low concentrations of H₂ (≤0.0078 mmol/mol). Light hydrocarbons mostly consisted of C₂H₆ (up to 0.027 mmol/mol), with minor C₃-C₄ alkanes (sum up to 0.0035 mmol/mol), C₆H₆ (up to 0.0066 mmol/mol) and C₃H₆ (up to 0.00016 mmol/mol), whereas C₂H₄, C₄H₄S and C₄H₄O were not detected (Table 2). The composition of bubbling gases (Gen2, AZ and Pel) did not show significant temporal changes.

5.2. Isotopic composition of gases (Rc/Ra, δ¹³C-CO₂, ⁴⁰Ar/³⁶Ar, δ¹⁵N-N₂) and steam (δ¹⁸O-H₂O and δD-H₂O)

The isotopic compositions of water vapor (δ¹⁸O-H₂O and δD-H₂O), CO₂ (δ¹³C-CO₂), He (Rc/Ra), Ar (⁴⁰Ar/³⁶Ar), and N₂ (δ¹⁵N-N₂) in the Peteroa gas samples are reported in Table 3. The δ¹⁸O-H₂O and δD-H₂O values of the fluid discharges sampled in 2010-2011 from the Peteroa summit ranged from -5.9‰ to -3.5‰ and from -80‰ to -73‰ vs. V-SMOW, respectively, whereas those of the 2012 and 2015 gases from both the Peteroa summit and the Azufre volcano were significantly more negative, down to -17.2‰ and -119‰ vs. V-SMOW, respectively, similar to those of the waters from the
bubbling pools (down to -15.1‰ and -106‰ vs. V-SMOW, respectively). The Peteroa summit gases were also affected by significant temporal changes of the δ¹³C-CO₂ values, which in 2010, 2011 and 2015 ranged from -7.60‰ to -2.02‰ vs. V-PDB, whereas in 2012 were ≤-11.2‰ vs. V-PDB, similar to those of the Azufre fumarole (-11.9‰ vs. V-PDB) and the bubbling pools (-13.2‰ to -12.1‰ vs. V-PDB).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>date</th>
<th>Rc/Ra</th>
<th>⁴⁰Ar/³⁶Ar</th>
<th>He/Ne</th>
<th>δ¹³C-CO₂</th>
<th>δD-H₂O</th>
<th>δ¹⁸O-H₂O</th>
<th>δ¹⁵N</th>
<th>⁴⁰Ar*</th>
<th>CO₂/³⁶He × 10⁻¹⁰</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pet1</td>
<td>March 2011</td>
<td>-6.11</td>
<td>-80</td>
<td>-5.9</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet3</td>
<td>March 2011</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>-11.2</td>
<td>-115</td>
<td>-15.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet4</td>
<td>March 2011</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>-11.6</td>
<td>-98</td>
<td>-13.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet5</td>
<td>March 2011</td>
<td>-3.02</td>
<td>-74</td>
<td>-3.6</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet6</td>
<td>March 2011</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>-11.4</td>
<td>-103</td>
<td>-15.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet7</td>
<td>March 2011</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>-11.9</td>
<td>-101</td>
<td>-14.9</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet1</td>
<td>March 2012</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>-11.2</td>
<td>-115</td>
<td>-15.7</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet3</td>
<td>March 2012</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>-11.2</td>
<td>-76</td>
<td>-10.5</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet7</td>
<td>March 2012</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>662</td>
<td>-11.6</td>
<td>-98</td>
<td>-13.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet8</td>
<td>March 2012</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>-11.4</td>
<td>-103</td>
<td>-15.1</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azf2</td>
<td>March 2012</td>
<td>-2.02</td>
<td>-80</td>
<td>-4.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet7</td>
<td>March 2014</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>454</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet1</td>
<td>April 2015</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>-7.54</td>
<td>-98</td>
<td>-13.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet7</td>
<td>April 2015</td>
<td>6.51</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>-6.15</td>
<td>-119</td>
<td>-17.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pel</td>
<td>March 2011</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>-13.2</td>
<td>-106</td>
<td>-14.7</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen2</td>
<td>March 2012</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-12.6</td>
<td>-103</td>
<td>-15.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cur</td>
<td>March 2012</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>-12.6</td>
<td>-98</td>
<td>-13.4</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen2</td>
<td>March 2015</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-12.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pel</td>
<td>March 2015</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>-12.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rain</td>
<td>March 2011</td>
<td>-118</td>
<td>-15.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Isotopic composition of steam (δ¹⁸O and δD in ‰ vs. V-SMOW), carbon in CO₂ (δ¹³C in ‰ vs. V-PDB), He (as R/Ra, where R is the measured ratio and Ra is that of the air: 1.39×10⁻⁶; Mamryn and Tolstikhin 1984), Ar (⁴⁰Ar/³⁶Ar), and N₂ (δ¹⁵N in ‰ vs. air) for the PPAVC gas discharges. The δ¹⁸O and δD values of rainwater collected in this section of the Andes at 4,000 m a.s.l. are also reported. The measured R/Ra values were corrected for air contamination on the basis of the ³⁶Ar/²⁰Ne ratios (Poreda and Craig, 1989). The Ar* values are air-free Ar concentrations computed as follows: Ar* = ⁴⁰Ar – (⁴⁰Ar/³⁶Ar)air × ³⁶Ar, where ⁴⁰Ar is from Table 2 and ³⁶Ar is assumed to be entirely from atmosphere.

In 2012, the Rc/Ra values, which in 2010-2011 ranged from 6.87 to 7.11, strongly decreased (from 4.43 to 4.46), whereas afterwards they showed an opposite trend, progressively increasing up to 5.62 (2014) and 6.68 (2015). The bubbling gases showed a wide range of Rc/Ra values: from 1.19 to 5.94. The ⁴⁰Ar/³⁶Ar ratios in the gases from...
the Peteroa summit (up to 491) were significantly higher than that of air (295.5), whereas in the bubbling gases they ranged from 295 to 328. The $\delta^{15}$N values in the 2011-2012 summit fluid discharges were in a relatively narrow range (from 4.27‰ to 5‰ vs. air), whereas in the Cur and Gen2 bubbling gases they were -0.34‰ and 1‰ vs. air, respectively.

5.3. Chemical and isotopic ($\delta^{18}$O-H$_2$O and $\delta$D-H$_2$O) compositions of crater lakes

Temperature, pH and chemical composition of the crater lakes hosted in craters #1 (Pet10), #2 (Pet5) and #4 (Pet9) (Fig. 2) are reported in Table 4. Temperature and pH ranged from 7.4 to 43.2 °C and from 1.49 to 2.91, respectively. The lake chemistry was invariably dominated by $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ (up to 3,300 mg/L; Pet5), whereas Cl$^-$ concentrations ranged from 44 to 660 mg/L. The most abundant cation was Ca$^{2+}$ (up to 520 mg/L), followed by Mg$^{2+}$ (380 mg/L), Na$^+$ (180 mg/L) and K$^+$ (130 mg/L). Significant concentrations of minor species, such as F$^-$, $\text{NH}_4^+$, $\text{NO}_3^-$ and Br$^-$ (up to 39, 10, 2.0 and 1.4 mg/L, respectively), were measured. The $\delta^{18}$O-H$_2$O and $\delta$D-H$_2$O values ranged from -10 to -9.5‰ and from -80‰ to -74‰ vs. V-SMOW, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>crater</th>
<th>date</th>
<th>latitude</th>
<th>longitude</th>
<th>altitude</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Cl</th>
<th>$\text{SO}_4^{2-}$</th>
<th>$\text{Na}^+$</th>
<th>$\text{K}^+$</th>
<th>Ca$^{2+}$</th>
<th>Mg$^{2+}$</th>
<th>$\text{NO}_3^-$</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Br</th>
<th>$\text{NH}_4^+$</th>
<th>$\delta$D</th>
<th>$\delta^{18}$O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pet5</td>
<td>#2</td>
<td>March 2011</td>
<td>6099157</td>
<td>357050</td>
<td>3331</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>3300</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-7.4</td>
<td>-9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet9</td>
<td>#4</td>
<td>March 2011</td>
<td>6099324</td>
<td>356704</td>
<td>3353</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-8.0</td>
<td>-9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet10</td>
<td>#1</td>
<td>March 2011</td>
<td>6098725</td>
<td>357071</td>
<td>3263</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>-8.0</td>
<td>-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pet5</td>
<td>#2</td>
<td>March 2012</td>
<td>6099157</td>
<td>357050</td>
<td>3331</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Temperature (in °C), pH, chemical composition (in mg/L) and isotopic composition of waters ($\delta^{18}$O and $\delta$D in ‰ vs. V-SMOW) from lakes of the Peteroa summit craters.

6. Discussion

6.1. Fluid source regions

Clues of magma degassing are clearly recognizable in the Peteroa and Azufre fluid discharges, since they were marked by the presence of $\text{SO}_2$ (Table 1) (Giggenbach et al., 1987). Moreover, the occurrence of HCl and HF at significant concentrations (Table 1), likely produced by high-temperature reactions involving chemical species (F$^-$ and Cl$^-$)
released from the magmatic source, was recognized. Notwithstanding the evident contribution of magmatic fluids, the outlet temperatures of the summit gases were similar to that of boiling water at 3,400 m a.s.l. (~88 °C), indicating the occurrence of a shallow aquifer fed by water vapor condensation, as well as rain and glacier melting, as confirmed by the meteoric isotopic signature of the crater lakes (Fig. 3). Hence, the low pH and high SO$_4^{2-}$ and Cl$^-$ contents of the crater lakes (Table 4), closely resembling that of the so-called “Active” crater lakes (Varekamp et al., 2000), were likely produced by the interaction of meteoric water with acidic gases uprising from a magmatic source.

The interaction between deep-originated gases and meteoric water may explain the occurrence, although at relatively low concentrations, of atmospheric gases in the fluids from the summit area. Hydrogen sulfide, CH$_4$, H$_2$, CO and light hydrocarbons likely originated at hydrothermal conditions, hence in a zone located at intermediate depth between the degassing magma and the surface. Therefore, the fluid source of Peteroa-Azufre fluid discharges basically consisted of a magmatic-hydrothermal reservoir, as commonly found in active volcanoes from convergent plate boundaries (e.g., Giggenbach et al., 1987, 1996).
Fig. 3. $\delta^{2}D$-$\delta^{18}O$ diagram of steam from the fluid discharges, bubbling pools, and lake waters from PPAVC. The Andesitic water field (Taran et al., 1989; Giggenbach, 1992), Local Meteoric Water Line (LMWL; Hoke et al. 2013) and isotopic composition of the rainwater collected in this section of the Andes at at 4,000 m a.s.l. (black diamond) are reported. Green circle: 2011 Peteroa fluid discharge; red up triangle: 2012 Peteroa fluid discharge; blue square 2015 Peteroa fluid discharge; red down triangle: Azufre fluid discharge; white square: water from bubbling pool; white circle: lake water.

Gases from the bubbling pools, which were located at the base of PPAVC, were characterized by the typical composition of peripheral, low-temperature fluid discharges receiving relatively low magmatic fluid contribution, i.e. absence of acidic gases and relatively high concentrations of atmospheric gases (N$_2$, O$_2$ and Ar) and CH$_4$. Accordingly, their $\delta^{13}$C-CO$_2$ values, more negative than the typical range of gases released from volcanoes in subduction areas (Pineau and Javoy, 1983), suggest a $^{12}$C-rich crustal source, whereas their $R_c/R_a$ values showed variable inputs of mantle vs. crustal He. Accordingly, the negative $\delta^{15}$N value measured in the Cur gas (Table 3) implies N$_2$ contribution from degradation of fresh organic matter likely occurring at relatively shallow depth. Bubbling gases did not apparently suffer any modification during the study period. On the contrary, dramatic changes affected both the chemical and isotopic characteristics of the Peteroa summit gases.

6.2. **Crustal vs. magmatic sources in 2010-2015**

The temporal evolution of the isotopic signature of steam from the Peteroa fluid discharges provided one of the strongest evidence of the changes that affected this system from 2010 to 2015. As shown in Fig. 3, the 2011 gas samples from the summit area plot at intermediate position between the local meteoric water line (LMWL; Hoke et al., 2013) and the Andesitic water field (Taran et al., 1989; Giggenbach, 1992) (Fig. 3). The relatively low concentrations of HCl in these samples (Table 1) suggest that a direct contribution of a magmatic brine to the gases appeared to be unlikely, although it
cannot be excluded \textit{a priori}. Hence, the most reliable explanation for these isotopic data is fractionation caused by the separation of steam from an aquifer at T>200 °C. On the contrary, steam samples collected in 2012 and 2015 had a clear meteoric signature, i.e. similar to that of the waters from the bubbling pools and the crater lakes. An increase of the meteoric water contribution to the 2012 and 2015 fluid discharges, possibly related to ground permeability changes due the 2010-2011 eruptive activity, may explain both the lack of isotopic fractionation, affecting the steam in 2011, and the decrease of the Xg values show in 2012. The $\delta^{13}$C-CO$_2$ values (Table 3), which in 2011 approached the value of mantle-related fluids (~5‰ vs. V-PDB; Pineau and Javoy, 1983), in 2012 were significantly more negative (Table 3). Although the $\delta^{13}$C-CO$_2$ values do not provide an unambiguous parameter to distinguish between mantle and crustal CO$_2$ (Sherwood Lollar et al., 1997), these changes seem to indicate that in 2012 a significant increase of crustal-type, $^{12}$C-rich fluids occurred. The temporal evolution of the Ra/Rc ratios, showing a significant enrichment in $^4$He in 2012 and, at a lower extent, in 2014 with respect to the values measured in 2011 and 2015, seems to support this hypothesis.

On the contrary, the measured $\delta^{15}$N values (between 4.27 and 5‰ vs. air.) do not allow to clearly discriminate between crustal and magmatic sources. Such a positive isotopic signature is indeed typical of 1) N$_2$ produced by thermal decomposition of organic material buried in sedimentary material (Jenden et al., 1988; Fischer et al., 2002; Snyder et al., 2003; Inguaggiato et al., 2004) likely involved in the subduction process, and/or 2) low-grade metamorphism affecting NH$_4$ fixed in the crystal lattices of K-rich minerals (micas and K-feldspars) of crystalline rocks (e.g., Honma and Itihara, 1981; Mingram and Brauer, 2001).

Summarizing, He and Carbon (in CO$_2$) isotopic parameters consistently indicate that during the 2010-2011 eruptive activity, the Peteroa summit fluid discharges were
dominated by a magmatic component that decreased in 2012 and increased again in 2014-2015. Notwithstanding, variable inputs of magmatic vs. crustal fluid contribution cannot exhaustively explain all the observed changes that affected the chemical and isotopic parameters of the Peteroa gases. According to this hypothesis, the dramatic decrease of the R/Ra and $\delta^{13}$C-CO$_2$ values measured in 2012 would imply an increase of CO$_2$ and $^4$He from a crustal source. However, an enhanced crustal contribution is not consistent with both the CO$_2$/$^3$He decrease and the huge SO$_2$ increase recorded in 2012. The production of SO$_2$ from a crustal source, such as pre-existing sulphur deposits, needs a significant increase of temperature that conflicts with the flat temporal patterns of both (i) the most sensitive temperature-dependent parameters (H$_2$ and CO), and (ii) the outlet temperature and flux of the fumaroles. The 2010-2011 activity stopped before the 2012 sampling, thus the possible influence of these events on sulphur remobilization were to be expected to occur during the eruptive activity, not later. Moreover, sulphur remobilization cannot explain the increases of HCl and HF measured in 2012, as well as the synchronous strong variations of N$_2$, CH$_4$ and light hydrocarbons (Table 1).

It is worth noting that the process(es) that caused the compositional changes at the Peteroa summit seems to have affected at a minor extent the Azufre gases, since their compositions were intermediate with respect to that observed at Peteroa in 2012 and 2014-2015 (Table 1). As already mentioned, the chemical and isotopic features of the bubbling gases from the surroundings of PPAVC did not show significant temporal variations.

6.3. Magma degassing model

6.3.1. Preliminary assumptions

A tentative explanation for the appearance of the Cl- and S-rich signature in the 2012 Peteroa gas discharges could be involving a gas contribution from a magma
characterized by a very advanced extent of degassing. In fact, a gas phase released from strongly degassed magma is typically enriched in species characterized by high solubility in silicate melt (e.g., Cl and S). Recent works (Paonita et al., 2012, 2013; Caliro et al., 2014) have shown that ratios among inert gases and CO$_2$ can be used to recognize signatures of magma degassing and quantify the degassing degree, even in fumarolic fields where the contribution from underlying hydrothermal systems is significant. According to the relative solubility of Cl>S>CO$_2$>He>N$_2$ in water, the N$_2$/He ratios are virtually unaffected by interaction with hydrothermal liquids even in gas phases that have suffered a complete removal of Cl and S (Liotta et al., 2010; Paonita et al., 2012). Moreover, the He/CO$_2$ and N$_2$/CO$_2$ ratios increase by no more than 30–40% when S is still present in the residual gases and Cl has completely been scrubbed (Liotta et al., 2010; Paonita et al., 2012). Hence, although the ratios of these gases were strongly affected by scrubbing due to the hydrothermal system, they were tentatively used as indicators of magma degassing to model the compositional evolution recorded in 2010-2015 at Peteroa. In Figure 4a, the 2010-2011 Peteroa samples outline a rough sub-vertical trend from high to low N$_2$/He ratio (see the black arrow). Given that N$_2$ solubility in any kind of silicate melt composition is much lower than that of He (Iacono-Marziano et al., 2010), the trend qualitatively suggests a growing extent of magma degassing. The decrease of the N$_2$/He ratio by magma degassing seems to be paralleled by a slight decrease of N$_2$/CO$_2$. In contrast, the Cl- and S-richest gases collected in 2012 from the Peteroa summit displayed very high N$_2$/CO$_2$ ratios (Fig. 4a) with respect to those of the 2010-2011 gases, suggesting the occurrence of a process able to increase in time the N$_2$/CO$_2$ ratio (see grey arrow in Fig. 4a). As modeled by Caliro et al. (2014), the N$_2$/CO$_2$ ratio during magma degassing strongly depends on silicate melt composition, as the ratio decreases during degassing of mafic magmas.
while it increases for more evolved melts. Therefore, the Cl- and S-rich signature of the 2012 samples was possibly caused by degassing of a magma batch with a more evolved composition than that of 2010-2011. With the aim to quantitatively explore this hypothesis, the isothermal degassing model for H₂O-CO₂-N₂-He-Ar mixtures by Nuccio and Paonita (2001), with the recent upgrades proposed by Caliro et al. (2014), was applied. The model computed the compositional change of the gas phase released from a magma during its progressive decompression, according to the vapor-melt partition coefficients of the involved gases.
Fig. 4. a) N$_2$/He, b) He/CO$_2$ and c) He*/Ar ratios vs. N$_2$/CO$_2$ ratios of gases from PPAVC. The N$_2$ concentrations were corrected for the air contamination based on the measured O$_2$ contents and the N$_2$/O$_2$ atmospheric ratio, whereas Ar* is the air-free Ar content of the samples (see Table 3). The degassing paths are the compositions of vapors released during decompressive degassing of basalt and dacite melts, starting from the initial CO$_2$ contents and pressures showed in the legend (labels near the curves are degassing pressures in MPa). Initial N$_2$/He, He/CO$_2$, He/Ar* and N$_2$/CO$_2$ ratios
are constrained on the basis of the measured data. The two curves moving from dacite to basalt path refer to mixing of a dacite-exsolved vapor at 90 MPa with basalt-exsolved gases at 160 MPa (magenta) and 76 MPa (brown); the marks on the curves are 5% mixing far each other.

Briefly, the adopted solubility values for H$_2$O-CO$_2$ mixed vapor and noble gases were from Papale et al. (2006) and from Iacono-Marziano et al. (2010) and Paonita et al. (2012), respectively. Following Caliro et al. (2014), the proposed approach was to:

1) compare the results from the Papale et al. (2006) model with the experimental data by Lesne et al. (2011) and Behrens et al. (2004) for basaltic and dacitic magmas, and properly regulate the FeO/Fe$_2$O$_3$ ratio of the model to fit the experimental results; 2) use Ar solubility as a proxy for predicting N$_2$ solubility in silicate melts; 3) use the experimental relationship of He and Ar solubility versus dissolved H$_2$O in melt found by Paonita et al. (2000, 2012) in order to compute the effects of H$_2$O on Henry’s constant of the inert gases.

To provide a semi-quantitative interpretation of the acidic gas contents in terms of degassing processes, S was included in the Nuccio and Paonita (2001) model by following the approach of Aiuppa et al. (2004). This requires to deal with S as a minor species and to select a proper fluid-melt partition coefficient (D$_{f-m}$). The value of D$_{f-m}$ for basalt was the pressure-dependent one (Aiuppa et al., 2004), which resulted to be in agreement with the experimental data by Beermann (2010) for slightly oxidizing conditions (>NNO; see also Fig. 8 in Webster and Botcharnikov, 2011). Based on the review of experimental data by Webster and Botcharnikov (2011), a ratio of D$_{f-m}$ between dacite and basalt of 50 was considered to compute the dacite partition coefficient.

To investigate the effects of silicate melt composition, the spectrum of Peteroa magmas was covered by simulating basalt and dacite degassing (Naranjo and Haller, 2002) at 1,150°C and 1,050°C, respectively. There are no data of volatile contents in melt
inclusions able to constrain the pressures of the magmatic reservoirs to be used as starting conditions at Peteroa. Nevertheless, widespread presence of both plagioclase and disequilibrium textures indicates that dacite melts evolved at low pressure, whereas for basalts the increase of Al$_2$O$_3$ with decreasing MgO addresses to moderate pressure of differentiation. It is worth noting that the seismic activity closest to the active center of Peteroa was focused within 8 km of depth (see Sect. 3), suggesting pressures lower than 190 MPa (with 2.4 g/cc average density of the lithostatic column). With these constraints, the initial degassing pressures of basalt and dacite were assumed at 200 and 150 MPa, respectively. The initial N$_2$/He, He/CO$_2$, He/Ar$^*$ and N$_2$/CO$_2$ ratios of the magmatic gas phase were considered as parameters to be fit to fumarolic data, since information on the composition of the magmatic vapor at depth (e.g., fluid inclusions data) is not available.

6.3.2. Changes in the magmatic system over time

The compositions of the gas phase from decompressive degassing of basaltic and dacitic magma were computed starting from the initial conditions discussed above. The simulated data are plotted in Fig. 4a,b,c as degassing paths, and compared to the measured fumarolic compositions. It is worth noting that starting from a narrow span of initial ratios for basalt and dacite melts, the divergence of the two degassing paths is able to cover the entire compositional range of the fluid discharges, offering a new context to interpret the temporal evolution of the chemical parameters. Assuming that the initial pressure of magma degassing was 200 MPa, the composition of the 2010-2011 gases is consistent with the degassing paths for basalt at 75-170 MPa, namely 3 to 6.5 km depth and CO$_2$ contents in the initial gas phase >35 mol % (Fig. 4a). On the contrary, the Cl- and S-rich 2012 gases fit with the degassing pathway of a magma with a dacitic composition (Figs. 4a,b,c) at an initial pressure of 150 MPa and a CO$_2$ content.
~30 mol% (Fig. 4a). This value could easily move to 45 mol% assuming an initial pressure of 200 MPa, given that these two parameters cannot be definitely constrained. The 2014-2015 gases plot in between the degassing curves of dacite and basalt, suggesting that in this period the fumaroles were fed by both magma batches degassing at 90 and 150 MPa, respectively (Fig. 4a,b). This may imply that in 2014-2015 the Peteroa magmatic system was slowly restoring the 2010-2011 conditions, as confirmed by the similar Rc/Ra and δ¹³C-CO₂ values of the 2010-2011 and the 2014-2015 fumaroles, although the latter were still showing the meteoric isotopic signature of steam that characterized the 2012 gases. It is worth noting that the basaltic/dacite degassing pathway of the 2014-2015 Peteroa fluid discharges also includes the 2012 Azufre gases. This suggests that the phenomenon occurred in 2012 was mostly localized at the Peteroa summit, being able to affect Azufre only at limited extent and not modifying the chemistry of bubbling gases at the foothill of the PPAVC (Table 1).

The developed interpretative framework also allowed to model the S (and Cl) variations too. It has to be remarked that these computations are less confident than those of inert gas species, due to the poorly constrained S solubility in the melt. As shown in Fig. 5, two different initial C/S ratios were assigned to basalt and dacite in order to fit the data. The mafic melt would release an early vapor richer in C, which would be compatible with the more primitive origin of this melt. The most remarkable feature is the higher slope of the basalt degassing path with respect to that of dacite (Fig. 5). Starting from an initial N₂/He ratio of 6,900 for both basalt and dacite and decreasing it to ~2,200, the C/S ratio drops by 15-20 times for the dacite-released vapor, but by less than 2 times for the basalt one. Therefore, at equal N₂/He ratio, the released vapor from dacite, i.e. the 2012 gases, would be ~10 times richer in S than that from basalt feeding the 2010-2011 fluid discharges.
Fig. 5. N₂/He vs. C/S of gases from PPAVC. Degassing paths for basalt and dacite are reported. Initial C/S ratios were constrained on the basis of the measured data. Symbols as in Fig. 4.

Taking also into account that the initial C/S ratio was lower in dacite than in basalt, a change from a basaltic to dacitic degassing magma batch is able to explain the two-orders-of-magnitude increase of acidic gases in the 2012 gases. As highlighted by Figs. 4a,b,c and 5, the 2014-2015 gases mark transitional conditions between basalt- and dacite-derived vapors. Accordingly, their SO₂ concentrations are much lower than those of the 2012 gases, but slightly higher than in 2010-2011. The occurrence of fluids released from dacite, typically characterized by a more pronounced crustal isotopic signature with respect to those from a parent basalt, also provided a reliable explanation for the puzzling drop of Rc/Ra and δ¹³C-CO₂ values of the 2012 gases (Rc/Ra=4.8 and δ¹³C-CO₂=-11.5). Temporal variations of He and CO₂ concentrations and isotopic values were also measured in fumarolic fluids from Poas volcano (Costa Rica) by Hilton et al. (2010), who interpreted their observation as related to variable inputs of magmatic vs. hydrothermal fluids. However, this explanation cannot be adopted for the 2010-2015 Peteroa gases, since it is not consistent with the measured compositional
changes that affected the acidic gases and the hydrocarbons. As already mentioned, the calculated CO$_2$/$^3$He ratios, ranging from 1.4 to 8.5 x 10$^{10}$, showed a decrease in 2012 (Table 3), apparently indicating an increase of the mantle-related fluid contribution (Sano and Marty, 1995), in contrast with the temporal evolution of both the Re/Ra and $\delta^{13}$C-CO$_2$ values. On the contrary, the temporal pattern of the CO$_2$/$^3$He ratio is consistent with the decrease of the C/S ratios at equal N$_2$/He ratios related to a change of the dominant degassing magma batch (Fig. 5).

The model proposed in this study is also consistent with the trace element patterns of the dacitic volcanic products pertaining to PPAVC, which indicates an evolution in shallow crustal reservoirs with a remarkable assimilation of upper crust components (Tormey et al., 1995).

6.4. **Gas geothermometry**

With the aim to obtain information on temperature and redox conditions of the Peteroa-Azufre magmatic-hydrothermal system and their evolution in time, gas geothermometry in the CO-CO$_2$-H$_2$-H$_2$O system was carried out by considering the following pressure-independent chemical reaction:

$$\text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2 \Leftrightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (2)$$

The dependence on temperature of reaction (2) is given by:

$$\log(\text{CO}/\text{CO}_2) = 2.49 - 2248/T(\text{K}) + R_H \quad (3)$$

where $R_H$, i.e. log(H$_2$/H$_2$O), is the most suitable parameter describing redox potentials controlling fluids from volcanic/hydrothermal environments (Giggenbach, 1987). The
grid of equilibrium temperatures ranging from 100 to 375 °C in the log(X$_{H_2}$/X$_{H_2O}$) vs. log(X$_{CO}$/X$_{CO_2}$) diagram (Fig. 6) was constructed by using Eq. (3). We also show equilibrium compositions at R$_H$ values externally fixed in a vapor phase by i) the SO$_2$-H$_2$S redox pair (SO$_2$/H$_2$S: 1 and 10), typically dominating in fluids from active volcanoes and ii) the redox buffer proposed by D’Amore and Panichi (1980) (DP) for hydrothermal systems. All gases approached equilibrium at temperatures ≥350 °C at redox conditions controlled by the SO$_2$-H$_2$S buffer. The 2012 Peteroa fluid discharges had relatively low log(X$_{H_2}$/X$_{H_2O}$) values, suggesting more oxidizing conditions, in agreement with their enhanced concentrations of magmatic gases.
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Fig. 6. Log(X$_{H_2}$/X$_{H_2O}$) vs. log(X$_{CO}$/X$_{CO_2}$) diagram for gas samples from Peteroa and Azufre volcanoes. Solid lines refer to equilibria controlled by the DP (D’Amore and Panichi 1980) and the SO$_2$-H$_2$S redox buffers (SO$_2$/H$_2$S: 1 and 10). Dashed lines are the calculated isotherms from 100 °C to 375 °C for the simultaneous equilibrium of the H$_2$-H$_2$O and the CO-CO$_2$ geothermometers. Symbols as in Fig. 3.
Further indications were gathered by considering chemical equilibria of reactions involving hydrocarbons. The Sabatier reaction between CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ can be expressed, as follows:

$$\text{CO}_2 + 4\text{H}_2 \rightleftharpoons \text{CH}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (4)$$

In a vapor phase in equilibrium with boiling pure water, the dependence of the log($X_{\text{CO}_2}/X_{\text{CH}_4}$) values on temperature and $R_H$ is given by:

$$\log(X_{\text{CH}_4}/X_{\text{CO}_2}) = 4R_H + \frac{5181}{T} + 0.14 \quad (5)$$

The log ($X_{\text{H}_2}/X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$) vs. log($X_{\text{CH}_4}/X_{\text{CO}_2}$) diagram (Fig. 7) shows that the CH$_4$-CO$_2$ pair was far from the equilibrium conditions suggested by the CO-CO$_2$-H$_2$-H$_2$O system. In fact, at the high temperatures and oxidizing redox conditions indicated by the H$_2$/H$_2$O and CO/CO$_2$ molar ratios (Fig. 6), equilibrated CH$_4$ should be present in concentrations lower than 4 orders of magnitude with respect to those measured in the fluid discharges (Fig. 7).
The occurrence of a CH$_4$-excess would imply CH$_4$ addition from an “external” source. The ratios between CH$_4$ and the sum of C$_2$ and C$_3$ alkanes, i.e. C$_2$H$_6$ and C$_3$H$_8$, are from 14 to 212, a range of values consistent with that of thermogenic hydrocarbons (Whiticar, 1999). This suggests that these organic species were added to the uprising magmatic fluids at relatively shallow depth, being produced by degradation of organic material buried in the sedimentary formations surrounding the volcanic system. The relatively slow kinetic of reaction (4) would explain the limited variations of the CH$_4$/CO$_2$ ratio (less than 0.5 log-units) in response to the strong compositional changes occurred in 2012. On the contrary, dehydrogenation reactions of the C$_2$ and C$_3$ alkane-alkane pairs are considered particularly sensitive to changes of chemical-physical conditions (e.g., Seewald, 1994; Capaccioni and Mangani, 2001; Capaccioni et al.,
A generic expression for dehydrogenation of alkanes can be expressed, as follows:

\[ C_nH_{2n+2} \leftrightarrow C_nH_{2n} + H_2 \]  

(6)

Theoretical alkene/alkane molar ratios in the vapor phase can be computed from:

\[ \log(X_{C2H4}/X_{C2H6}) + \log(X_{H2}/X_{H2O}) = \log K_1 - \log P_{H2O} \]  

(7)

and

\[ \log(X_{C3H6}/X_{C3H8}) + \log(X_{H2}/X_{H2O}) = \log K_2 - \log P_{H2O} \]  

(8)

for the C\textsubscript{2} and C\textsubscript{3} alkene-alkane pairs, respectively. The temperature dependence of \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \) is given by (Taran and Giggenbach, 2003):

\[ \log K_1 = 6.82 - \frac{7337}{T} \]  

(9)

and

\[ \log K_2 = 6.32 - \frac{5676}{T(K)} - \frac{222490}{T^2} \]  

(10)

where T is in K.
Fig. 8. Log($X_{H2}/X_{H2O}$) vs. log($X_{C2H4}/X_{C2H6}$) diagram for gas samples from Peteroa and Azufre volcanoes. Solid lines as in Fig. 6. Dashed lines are the calculated isotherms from 300 °C to 375 °C for the simultaneous equilibrium of the H₂-H₂O and the C₂H₄-C₂H₆ geothermometers. Symbols as in Fig. 3.

Fig. 9. Log($X_{H2}/X_{H2O}$) vs. log($X_{C3H6}/X_{C3H8}$) diagram for gas samples from Peteroa and Azufre volcanoes. Solid lines as in Fig. 6. Dashed lines are the calculated isotherms from 300 °C to 375 °C for the simultaneous equilibrium of the H₂-H₂O and the C₃H₆-C₃H₈ geothermometers. Symbols as in Fig. 3.
The log($X_{\text{C}_2\text{H}_4}/X_{\text{C}_2\text{H}_6}$) values plotted vs. log($X_{\text{H}_2}/X_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$) (Fig. 8) confirm that the 2010-2011 and 2014-2015 gases attained chemical equilibrium in a vapor phase at high temperature (>320 °C) and redox conditions consistent with those dictated by the equimolar SO$_2$-H$_2$S pair, whereas the 2012 gases are shifted toward more oxidizing conditions (SO$_2$/H$_2$S = 10). Similar thermodynamic conditions likely controlled the C$_3$H$_6$-C$_3$H$_8$ pair (Fig. 9). Therefore, chemical reactions governing the C$_2$ and C$_3$ alkene-alkane had a similar behavior than those in the CO-CO$_2$-H$_2$-H$_2$O system, supporting the hypothesis that in 2012 an enhanced contribution of oxidizing (SO$_2$-rich) fluids occurred.

7. Conclusions

The low temperature fluid discharges from the summit of PPAVC are the surficial expression of a dynamic magmatic/hydrothermal system that in 2010-2015 suffered significant modifications. In February 2010 and March 2011, the chemical composition of the discharged fluids had a dominant hydrothermal signature, with relatively low concentrations of gases from magma degassing, notwithstanding the eruptive events started in January 2010. In March 2012, just few month after the 2010-2011 eruptive activity stopped, the gases from the Petearoa summit showed a huge increase of the acidic gases and a significant decrease of the typical hydrothermal compounds (CH$_4$ and light hydrocarbons), apparently indicating a pulse of magmatic fluids. On the contrary, the changes in time of the $R_c/Ra$ and $\delta^{13}$C-CO$_2$ values simulated an input of fluids from a shallow source. This apparent contradiction was tentatively explained hypothesizing a double source of magmatic fluids: (i) a basaltic magma, i.e. the deepest degassing batch that fed the gas discharges in 2010-2011; (ii) a dacitic magma, i.e. a relatively shallow
batch likely affected by a significant crustal contamination, whose contribution to the fluid emissions was maximum in 2012. The occurrence of the two magma batches is supported by the basaltic and, secondarily, dacitic volcanic products recognized at PPAVC (Torney et al., 1995). According to this hypothesis, in 2014-2015, i.e. when the chemical features of the fluid discharges were intermediate respect to those of the two previous periods, both the two magmatic fluid sources were active. Fluids released from the dacitic magma seem to have affected at a minor extent the gases of the Azufre volcano, located few km from the Peteroa summit. Thus, this batch likely have relatively small dimensions, as supported by the tendency shown by the 2014-2015 gases to rapidly restore the 2010-2011 fluid chemistry. It cannot be ruled out that the eruptive activity occurred in 2010-2011 at Peteroa has played a role for the modification of the deep feeding source of the Peteroa fluid discharges. On the other hand, the Maule earthquake occurred in 2010 possibly had a pivotal role in triggering the 2010-2011 eruptions. In fact, large earthquakes are known to be responsible of volcanic events (e.g., Eggert and Walter, 2009), though the driving mechanisms have not clearly understood. The disturbance created by the volcanic events and the related seismic activity could have activated a silent surficial, degassing dacitic magma batch that in 2012 imposed over that of basaltic composition. This scenario provides a reliable explanation for the peculiar compositional changes that affected the Peteroa summit gases in 2010-2015, although such an intriguing hypothesis is expected to be supported by geophysical investigations aimed to locate the two magma sources invoked to construct the proposed degassing model.
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