The origin of UV-optical variability in AGN and test of disc models: XMM-Newton and ground-based observations of NGC 4395
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The origin of short timescale (weeks/months) variability of AGN, whether due to intrinsic disc variations or reprocessing of X-ray emission by a surrounding accretion disc, has been a puzzle for many years. However recently a number of observational programmes, particularly of NGC 5548 with Swift, have shown that the UV/optical variations lag behind the X-ray variations in a manner strongly supportive of X-ray reprocessing. Somewhat surprisingly, the implied size of the accretion disc is ~ 3 times greater than expected from a standard, smooth, Shakura-Sunyaev thin disc model. Although the difference may be explained by a clumpy accretion disc, it is not clear whether the difference will occur in all AGN or whether it may change as, e.g., a function of black hole mass, accretion rate, or disc temperature. Measurements of interband lags for most AGN require long timescale monitoring, which is hard to arrange. However for low mass (< 10^6 M_⊙) AGN, the combination of XMM-Newton EPIC (X-rays) with the optical monitor in fast readout mode allows an X-ray/UV-optical lag to be measured within a single long observation. Here we summarise previous related observations and report on XMM-Newton observations of NGC 4395 (mass 100 times lower, accretion rate ~ 20 times lower than for NGC 5548). We find that the UVW1 lags the X-rays by ~ 470 s. Simultaneous observations at 6 different ground based observatories also allowed the g-band lag (~ 800 s) to be measured. These observations are in agreement with X-ray reprocessing but initial analysis suggests that, for NGC 4395, they do not differ markedly from the predictions of the standard thin disc model.
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1 Models for UV/optical variability and relationship to X-ray variability

The origin of UV/optical variability in AGN and its relationship to X-ray variability has been a puzzle for some time and there are two main possibilities for the origin of the UV/optical variability. The UV/optical variability could result from reprocessing of X-ray emission by the accretion disc or it could simply be the result of intrinsic variability of the thermal emission from the disc. These two models can, in principle, be distinguished simply by measuring the lag between the X-ray and UV/optical wavebands. In the reprocessing model, the UV/optical variations will lag behind the X-ray variations by the light travel time between the two emission regions. For a typical AGN, this time will be a few hours. If the UV/optical variations are produced by intrinsic disc variations there are two possible lag timescales. If the UV/optical photons are the seed photons for the X-ray emission, being Compton up-scattered in the central corona, then the X-ray variations will lag behind the UV/optical variations by the light travel time between the two emission regions, i.e. a few hours. Alternatively, if the UV/optical variations are caused by inwardly propagating accretion rate variations (Arévalo & Uttley 2006), these variations will eventually propagate in at the viscous timescale and will affect the X-ray emission region. In this case the X-ray variations will lag the UV/optical variations by about years.
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Previous related observations

2.1 UV/optical inter-band lags

The thin disc model which has been our accepted model for the temperature structure of optically thick accretion discs for over 40 years (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973, hereafter SS) predicts a disc temperature profile \( T \propto R^{-3/4} \). Therefore, in the X-ray reprocessing model, in which incident X-ray emission boosts the existing thermal emission from the disc, we expect that the time lag of the UV/optical variations after the X-ray variations should be given by lag \( \propto \lambda^{4/3} \). Sergeev et al. (2005) and Cackett et al. (2007) have measured the lags of the \( V, R, R_1 \), and \( I \) bands relative to the \( B \) band for a sample of AGN. Cackett et al. find that, although not a perfect fit, the lags are broadly consistent with the prediction of a reprocessing model. However, there were no accompanying X-ray measurements, so it is unknown as to how the X-ray variations might be related to the optical variations.

2.2 RXTE and ground-based optical observations

To investigate the link between the X-ray emission and the UV/optical emission in AGN, a number of groups (e.g. Arévalo et al. 2008, 2009; Breedt 2010; Breedt et al. 2009, 2010; Lira et al. 2011, 2015; Suganuma et al. 2006; Uttley et al. 2003) have monitored AGN quasi-simultaneously in X-rays with RXTE and in optical wavebands from the ground (e.g. Fig. 1).

Cross-correlation analysis, in all cases, shows either that the optical lags the X-rays by \( \sim 1 \) d (e.g. Fig. 2), or that there is no measurable lag. However, the average sampling was \( \sim 2 \) d, and so the lags were rarely measured to better than 0.5 d and it was not possible to be absolutely certain that the X-rays never led the optical.

2.3 XMM-Newton and Swift single-band lags

To refine the measurement of the X-ray/optical lag better sampling is needed than was available with the RXTE and ground-based optical monitoring. Such sampling is possible with Swift and with XMM-Newton.

Swift allows observations in the 0.5–10 keV X-ray band with the X-Ray Telescope (XRT) and, with the UV-Optical Telescope (UVOT), simultaneous observations in one of either 3 UV (UVW2, UVM2, and UVW1) or 3 optical (\( U, B \), and \( V \)) bands, depending on filter selection. XMM-Newton allows X-ray observations with EPIC in a similar band to Swift, and also allows UV/optical observations with the Optical Monitor (OM), which is similar to the Swift UVOT.

In Fig. 3, we show Swift X-ray and \( B \)-band lightcurves of NGC 4395 (from Cameron et al. 2012).
Fig. 4  Discrete correlation function (DCF Edelson & Krolik 1988) derived from Swift event-mode UVOT data and X-ray photon counting data. The UVW2 lags behind the X-rays by \(\sim 400\) s, although at low significance (from Cameron et al. 2012).

analysis (not shown here) reveals that the \(B\)-band lags the X-rays by less than the orbital sampling time (Cameron et al. 2012).

To obtain still higher time resolution it is possible to split the Swift orbital observations, of total duration \(\sim 1000–1500\) s, into smaller time bins, e.g. 100 or 200 s. In Fig. 4 we show the DCF derived from Swift X-ray and UVW2-band observations with 200 s time resolution (lightcurves not shown here). Although with large errors, this DCF suggests that the UVW2-band lags the X-rays by \(\sim 400\) s. This lag is approximately what we expect based on X-ray reprocessing and formed the basis of the exciting XMM-Newton observations which we describe in Sect. 3.

With XMM-Newton the OM has, until our observations which we report here in Sect. 3, usually been used in imaging mode. This mode provides a minimum exposure time of 800 s with a typical 300 s readout time, thus limiting time resolution to about 1100 s. Observations in this mode have not, in general, found significant correlations between the X-ray and UV/optical bands (Smith & Vaughan 2007). One exception is NGC4051 (Mason et al. 2002) where, at 85 % confidence, the UVW1 band was seen to lag the X-rays by \(\sim 0.2\) d (Fig. 5), broadly consistent with reprocessing.

2.4 Swift multi-band lags

A number of programmes have been undertaken with Swift to measure the lags between the X-ray band and the 6 UVOT bands. Shappee et al. (2014) presented lag measurements of the Seyfert galaxy NGC 2617 but the results are slightly puzzling. The lags increase with wavelength, but if the fit is forced to go through the X-ray point then, for lag \(\propto \lambda^\beta\), they find \(\beta = 0.37\), which is far from the 4/3 expected from reprocessing. If the X-ray point is ignored, a fit of \(\beta = 1.18\) is found between the other bands, but if extrapolated to the X-ray wavelength, the fit is offset from the X-ray point by a very large lag of 2.4 d.

McHardy et al. (2014) presented the result of almost 3 years of Swift monitoring of NGC 5548 with \(\sim 10\) times more observations than those of Shappee et al. (2014). In order to follow the Swift preference to use the “filter of the day”, most of the UVOT observations were in the UVW2 band (Fig. 6) which shows a strong (> 99.99 % confidence) correlation with the X-rays. There are, however, trends in the UVW2 emission, lasting for a few months, which are not present in the X-ray emission. Such trends may arise from intrinsic disc variations caused by inwardly propagating accretion rate fluctuations. Such long term trends, which are not present in both lightcurves, can distort cross correlation functions and so, to measure lags on shorter timescales, it is recommended practice to remove such trends by subtracting a running mean (Welsh 1999). From a 150 d period containing 300 observations, McHardy et al. therefore subtracted a 20-d running mean from both lightcurves, and a strong X-ray/UVW2 correlation is then seen (Fig. 7).

The lag between the two bands was measured using a variety of techniques (e.g. ZDCF, Alexander 2013), all showing that the UVW2 lags behind the X-rays by about...
Fig. 7 Swift X-ray (lower panel) and UVW2 (upper panel) for a ∼150-d period of twice-daily observations of NGC5548 (McHardy et al. 2014) with a 20 d running mean subtracted from both.

Fig. 8 Lag of X-rays by UVW2 in NGC 5548 from the data shown in Fig. 7.

1 d. In Fig. 8, we show the lag (0.70±0.24 d) as measured using Javelin (Zu et al. 2011). These observations provided the first unambiguous evidence that the UV variability was both strongly correlated with the X-ray variations and lagged behind the X-ray variations.

Javelin was designed to improve continuum-line lag measurements in AGN. It assumes that the line lightcurve is a scaled, smoothed and displaced version of the continuum. It models the variability as a damped random walk (DRW), to interpolate between gaps, and directly compares simulated line lightcurves with the observed line lightcurve to recover the lag. Pancost et al. (2014) show that Javelin recovers simulated lags very well. It might be argued that a DRW, which has a long timescale power spectral slope, α_L, of 0, does not describe the long timescale (months/years) X-ray variability of AGN (α_L = −1, e.g. McHardy et al. 2005, 2004) very well. However on short timescales the DRW and X-ray power spectral slopes are similar so there is no obvious reason why Javelin should be less applicable here than in the measurement of continuum-line lags.

McHardy et al. (2014) also present observations of NGC 5548 in the 5 other UVOT bands, thereby allowing the best measurement at that time of lag as a function of wavelength (Fig. 9). In this case the fit goes straight through the X-ray point with no offset, with β = 1.23 ± 0.31, in very good agreement with a reprocessing model.

2.5 Comparison of lags with models

In Fig. 9, we also show the expected model lags following impulse X-ray illumination of a standard thin SS disc for the accepted black hole mass, accretion rate and illuminating X-ray luminosity of NGC 5548. Here the model lags are defined by the time after the initial X-ray impulse illumination for half of the reprocessed light to be received. The observed lags are factors of ∼3 times larger than the model lags. Only by invoking a much hotter accretion disc, e.g. by assuming a much higher accretion rate or illuminating X-ray flux than currently accepted values, can we push the standard model close to agreement with the observations. Later observations of NGC 5548 by Edelson et al. (2015) and Fausnaugh et al. (2015) with extended UV and optical monitoring confirmed this result and Troyer et al. (2015) find a similar result in NGC 6814. However, although initially surprising, microlensing observations (Morgan et al. 2010) have also indicated that accretion discs might be factors of a few larger than predicted by SS discs. A possible explanation is an inhomogeneous disc temperature structure. Hotter clumps at large radii can enhance the emission at those radii, making the disc appear larger by factors of a few, depending on the degree of clumpiness (Dexter & Agol 2011).
Fig. 10  XMM-Newton EPIC X-rays (top panel), OM UVW1 (middle panel), and ground based g-band (bottom panel) from 30 December 2014 (Connolly et al., in prep.). The X-rays are binned to 100 s and the UVW1 and g-band data to 200 s.

3 XMM-Newton and ground-based observations of NGC 4395

As we only have good lag measurements for one AGN, it is very important to make lag measurements on other AGN to determine whether NGC 5548 is just unusual or whether standard SS disc theory is incomplete. Lag measurements on larger mass AGN require long observational campaigns. However, for smaller mass AGN such as NGC 4395, the expected lags can be very well measured in long observations with XMM-Newton using EPIC for X-rays in combination with the OM in fast readout mode for the UV/optical. The fast readout mode has not been widely used for AGN observations but allows continuous readout with sub-second resolution. The suggested 400 s UV lag in NGC 4395 cannot be detected with standard OM imaging observations with ~1100 s time resolution. On 28 and 30 December 2014 we therefore observed NGC 4395 for ~53 ks each time with XMM-Newton. We observed with the OM in the UVW1 band thus extending our coverage to shorter wavelengths than can be observed from the ground. This band has the highest sensitivity of the UV bands and less host galaxy contamination than the optical bands.

During an XMM-Newton observation a source is typically only observable from the ground for ~4 h. To provide simultaneous g-band observations we therefore made CCD imaging observations with either 100 or 200 s integrations depending on telescope size at 6 different ground based observatories (LCOGT McDonald Observatory, Texas; Whipple Observatory, Arizona; LCOGT Haleakala Maui; Kanata Observatory, Japan; ARIES observatory, India and the Wise Observatory, Israel). In Fig. 10, we show the X-ray, UVW1, and combined g-band lightcurves from 30 December 2014. A good correlation is seen between all bands. In Figs. 11 and 12 we show the DCFs between the X-ray band and the UVW1 and g-band lightcurves respectively, confirming high significance correlations.

To refine the lags relative to the X-rays we calculate lag probability distributions using Javelin for both the UVW1 (Fig. 13) and g-bands (Fig. 14). The resultant lags are $473^{+47}_{-98}$ and $788^{+44}_{-54}$ s. In Fig. 15, we plot both the UVW1 and g-band lags as a function of wavelength. If we force the fit through zero, a simple linear fit (ie $\beta = 1$, red line) is best although $\beta = 4/3$ (blue line) is also an acceptable fit. These observations indicate that reprocessing of X-rays is also responsible for the UV/optical variability of NGC 4395.

In Fig. 16, we compare the expected radial emissivity profile of the disc with the distances derived from the lag measurements, following Lira et al. (2011). The distances derived from lag measurements are close to the expected peak emissivity regions. Due to computational problems we have not yet derived lags in the same way as for NGC 5548.
Fig. 11 DCF between the X-ray and UVW1 data for NGC 4395 shown in Fig. 10. Simulation based on the 75%, 90%, and 99% confidence levels are shown.

Fig. 12 DCF between the X-ray and $g$-band data for NGC 4395 shown in Fig. 10. Simulation based on the 75% and 90% confidence levels are shown.

(Fig. 9), but Fig. 16 indicates that, for NGC 4395, the agreement between standard SS thin disc theory and model may be closer than for NGC 5548.

4 Conclusions

To test whether the X-ray to UV/optical lags in an AGN which is quite different to NGC 5548 are also larger than expected from the standard SS model, we made XMM-Newton EPIC and OM observations of NGC 4395. This AGN has a mass $\sim 100$ times less and accretion rate (in Eddington units) $\sim 20$ times less than that of NGC 5548. To obtain high enough time resolution in the OM to sample the previously suggested 400 s UV lag, we used the fast readout mode, not previously used for AGN observations. To obtain the UV lightcurve with the highest possible signal to noise, we used the UVW1 filter. To obtain a high quality, continuous, optical lightcurve we chose the $g$-band and made observations from six different observatories around the globe.

Fig. 13 Lag of X-rays by UVW1 for NGC 4395 from the data shown in Fig. 10 using Javelin.

Fig. 14 Lag of X-rays by $g$-band in NGC 4395 from the data shown in Fig. 10 using Javelin.

Fig. 15 Lags of UVW1 and $g$-band behind the X-rays in NGC 4395 derived from the data shown in Fig. 10. For lag $\propto \lambda^\beta$, the best fit, if forced through the origin gives $\beta = 1.0$ (red line). However $\beta = 4/3$ (blue line) is also an acceptable fit.
Fig. 16  Emissivity of the accretion disc as a function of radius in NGC 4395 for the $g$-band (dark green) and UVW1 (turquoise) bands (following Lira et al. 2011). We assume $M = 3.6 \times 10^7 M_\odot$, $n_B = 0.0013$, and $R_{in} = 3 R_g$. We also assume $L_{2-10} = 2.8 \times 10^{43}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ and extrapolate from 0.1 to 500 keV, but also assume a high albedo so that the power absorbed by the disc is approximately equal to just $L_{2-10}$ keV. The solid lines are the total luminosity released by the disc and the dotted lines are just the gravitational emission.

All observations were very successful and we measured lags, relative to the X-rays of $473^{+14}_{-16}$ and $788^{+44}_{-54}$ s for the UVW1 and $g$-bands respectively. These lags are in good agreement with the hypothesis that the UV/optical variability is driven by reprocessing of X-ray emission. However, unlike in NGC 5548, preliminary modelling indicates that the measured lags are not too far different from those expected from standard SS disc theory. We remain cautious in our interpretation at present but we do note that the disc in NGC 4395 is $\sim 50\%$ hotter than in NGC 5548. Increased disc temperature may lead to a more stable disc (e.g. Churazov et al. 2001), less sensitive to radiation-induced perturbations (Pringle 1997).

These observations demonstrate the potential of XMM-Newton for X-ray/UV lag measurements in low mass AGN.
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