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Unraveling the Peruvian Phase of the Central Andes: stratigraphy, sedimentology and geochronology of the Salar de Atacama Basin (22°30–23°S), northern Chile
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ABSTRACT

The Salar de Atacama Basin holds important information regarding the tectonic activity, sedimentary environments and their variations in northern Chile during Cretaceous times. About 4000 m of high-resolution stratigraphic columns of the Tonel, Purilactis and Barros Arana Formations reveal braided fluvial and alluvial facies, typical of arid to semi-arid environments, interrupted by scarce intervals with evaporitic, aeolian and lacustrine sedimentation, displaying an overall coarsening-upward trend. Clast-count and point-count data evidence the progressive erosion from Mesozoic volcanic rocks to Palaeozoic basement granitoids and deposits located around the Cordillera de Domeyko area, which is indicative of an unroofing process. The palaeocurrent data show that the source area was located to the west. The U/Pb detrital zircon geochronological data give maximum depositional ages of 149 Ma for the base of the Tonel Formation (Agua Salada Member), and 107 Ma for its middle member (La Escalera Member); 79 Ma for the lower Purilactis Formation (Límón Verde Member), and 73 Ma for the Barros Arana Formation. The sources of these zircons were located mainly to the west, and comprised from the Coastal Cordillera to the Precordillera. The ages and pulses record the tectonic activity during the Peruvian Phase, which can be split into two large events; an early phase, around 107 Ma, showing uplift of the Coastal Cordillera area, and a late phase around 79 Ma indicating an eastward jump of the deformation front to the Cordillera de Domeyko area. The lack of internal deformation and the thicknesses measured suggest that deposition of the units occurred in the foredeep zone of an eastward-verging basin. This sedimentation would have ended with the K–T phase, recognized in most of northern Chile.

INTRODUCTION

The evolution of the Chilean Andes between 22° and 24°S (Fig. 1) has been the focus of diverse studies over the last years, which have characterized the style and timing of the deformation of its different constituents (Ramírez & Gardeweg, 1982; Marinovic & Lobsen, 1984; Charrier & Reutter, 1994; Maksav & Zentilli, 1999; Mpodozis et al., 2005; Arriagada et al., 2006a; Amilibia et al., 2008; Arriagada et al., 2008). These authors have shown that uplift and shortening were active during the Eocene–Early Oligocene and Neogene, although tenuous evidence of deformation during the mid- to Late Cretaceous has also been recorded. The latter event (‘Peruvian Phase’; Steinman, 1929) has been identified along most of the western margin of South America (Cobbold & Rosello, 2003; Jaillard et al., 2005; Jaimes & De Freitas, 2006; Cobbold et al., 2007; Tunik et al., 2010; Martinez et al., 2012; Martinez et al., 2013), although studies concerning its effects and/or timing in the northern Central Andes are scarce.

The Salar de Atacama Basin, located to the east of the Precordillera (known locally as the Cordillera de Domeyko) (Figs 1 and 2), has an important geological record from mid-Cretaceous to present times (Brüggen, 1934, 1942, 1950; Charrier & Muñoz, 1994; Charrier & Reutter, 1990, 1994; Arriagada, 1999; Mpodozis et al., 2005; Arriagada et al., 2006a). Even though much work has been done with regard to its stratigraphy, sedimentology (Brüggen, 1942; Dingman, 1963, 1967; Hartley et al., 1988, 1992) and structure (Macellari et al., 1991; Muñoz et al., 2002; Arriagada, 1999; Pananont et al., 2004; Mpodozis et al., 2005; Arriagada et al., 2006a; Reutter et al., 2006), the Tonel, Purilactis and Barros Arana Formations (which constitute the Purilactis Group sensu Mpodozis et al., 2005) still pose important questions about their origin, tectonic setting(s) and, inextricably, about the uplift of the Cordillera de Domeyko during the Late Cretaceous. The lack of fossils, tuff layers or other
stratigraphic indicators has made dating of these units problematic; the only ages available have been obtained through Ar–Ar analysis of weathered samples (Flint et al., 1989) and in samples south of the Barros Arana Syncline, from Maastrichtian or younger units (Ramírez & Gardeweg, 1982; Hammerschmidt et al., 1992; Charrier & Reutter, 1990, 1994; Arriagada, 1999; Mpodozis et al., 2005); additionally, no dating of samples belonging to the Tonel Formation has been performed. Thus, the time span of these formations remains poorly constrained.

In this contribution, we measured almost 4000 m of high-resolution stratigraphic sections in the Purilactis Group, to establish the relationship between the depositional environments and concomitant tectonic pulses. This, in turn, aids in clarifying the tectonic setting prevalent from Late Cretaceous to Palaeogene times. Additionally, 11 samples were taken for provenance analysis, of which eight were selected for Laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry U–Pb detrital zircon geochronological dating, to better constrain the age and provenance of the Purilactis Group.

GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The Salar de Atacama Basin is one of the most recognizable topographic features in the Chilean Central Andes (Fig. 1) (Isacks, 1988; Allmendinger et al., 1997; Jordan et al., 2002; Götte & Krause, 2002; Yuan et al., 2002; Arriagada et al., 2006a). It is located between 22°30′S and 24°30′S, being a 150-km long (N–S) and 80-km wide (E–W) oriented depression, with its lowest point at 2300 m a.s.l. It lies immediately to the east of the Precordillera and west of the magmatic arc, whose axis shows a 60-km displacement to the east relative to the rest of the arc.

The Precordillera/Cordillera de Domeyko is a well-defined morphostructural unit about 500-km long (N–S), divided into different units formed by a series of basement structures, whose cores are composed of basement rocks bounded by high-angle faults (Amilibia et al., 2008). In the Salar de Atacama area, the Cordillera de Domeyko reaches ca. 3000 m a.s.l. and consists of Palaeozoic to Mesozoic ignimbritic and rhyolitic successions associated with basaltic and andesitic rocks, intruded by granitoids with ages spanning between 200 and 300 Ma (Breitkreuz & Van Schmus, 1996; Arriagada et al., 2006a). Evidence of widespread exhumation during the Eocene, possibly related to the Incaic Event, has been previously described (Ramírez & Gardeweg, 1982; Charrier & Reutter, 1994; Maksaev & Zentilli, 1999; Charrier et al., 2009), although syntectonic structures found in Cretaceous successions point to an even earlier event of uplift and erosion (Mpodozis et al., 2005; Arriagada et al., 2006a; Amilibia et al., 2008). The eastern limit of the range coincides with the El Bordo Escarpment (Fig. 2), which exhibits outcrops of Mesozoic and Cenozoic rocks (Charrier & Muñoz, 1994; Arriagada, 1999; Mpodozis et al., 2005; Fig. 2 in Arriagada et al., 2006a). To the NNE, between 22°30′ and 23°S, the Mesozoic formations are folded into the Barros Arana Syncline, which is 80-km long (NE–SW) and 16-km wide (NW–SE) (Hartley et al., 1988, 1992; Arriagada, 1999; Mpodozis et al., 2005; Arriagada et al., 2006a).

East of the El Bordo Escarpment lies the Llano de la Paciencia, a sub-basin 80-km long (N–S) and 8-km wide (E–W), which is filled primarily by Quaternary alluvial...
fans (Marinovic & Lahsen, 1984; Jolley et al., 1990; Ariagada, 1999; Mpodozis et al., 2005). To the east, the Cordillera de la Sal, a SSW–NNE structure 5–10-km wide, reaches ca. 200 m above the basin floor, where Oligocene to Pliocene evaporate-rich sedimentary units are folded (Flint et al., 1993; Jordan et al., 2002; Ariagada et al., 2006a). The present salt pan (salar), formed by a Quaternary alluvial and evaporitic fill, is located east of the Cordillera de la Sal, and overlies Cretaceous to Neogene rocks (Ramírez & Gardeweg, 1982; Marinovic & Lahsen, 1984; Macellari et al., 1991; Muñoz et al., 1997; Jordan et al., 2002; Lowenstein et al., 2003; Ariagada et al., 2006a).

The basin shows outcrops of ignimbritic successions of Miocene and Pleistocene age towards its eastern border, which corresponds to the current magmatic arc and its deposits (Ramírez & Gardeweg, 1982; Marinovic & Lahsen, 1984). The basin is bounded to the south by the Western Cordillera (Arriagada, 1999; Arriagada et al., 2006a) and the Cordón de Lila Range, composed of igneous and sedimentary rocks of Ordovician–Carboniferous age (Niemeyer, 1989; Coira et al., 2009).

Cretaceous rocks in the Salar de Atacama Basin consist of the Purilactis Group (Figs 3, 4 and 5; Table 1) (sensu Mpodozis et al., 2005), which contains the Tonel, Purilactis, Barros Arana and Cerro Totola Formations. The Tonel Formation is divided into three members (Arriagada, 1999; Mpodozis et al., 2005), starting with ca. 60 m of basal breccias and pebble conglomerates with andesitic, rhyolitic and sedimentary clasts (Mpodozis et al., 2005), deposited in the proximal parts of alluvial fans and valley-fills (Hartley et al., 1992). The middle member is composed of 400–1000 m of brown to red, horizontally laminated sandstones, at times alternating with gypsum layers, followed by a top member of unknown thickness containing deformed anhydrite deposits. The last two members display palaeocurrent directions, such as planar cross-bedding in sandstones, and the depositional setting is interpreted as a playa-lake or continental sabkha environment (Hartley et al., 1992). The contact between the Tonel and Purilactis Formations is frequently faulted (detached) (Fig. 6) (Hartley et al., 1988; Arriagada, 1999; Mpodozis et al., 2005; Arriagada et al., 2006a).

The Purilactis Formation has five members reaching a total thickness of about 3000 m according to Hartley et al. (1992) and subsequent researchers (e.g. Arriagada, 1999;
Fig. 3. (a) Measured and (b) compiled stratigraphic column of the Tonel, Purilactis and Barros Arana Formation. Stippled lines indicate parts of the measured column used for the compilation. Tendency line equation shown at the top right corner of (a), showing an overall increase in grain size. Covered areas were estimated with Google Earth. Los Cónodores Member compiled from previous studies (see text). The Arcoiris Member was not measured.
The basal portion is represented by the Limón Verde Member, containing 420 m of sandstones and brownish-reddish conglomerates, interpreted as proximal alluvial fan deposits, grading into fine playa-lake deposits towards its upper 40 m, where it shows the transition into the Licán Member (Hartley et al., 1992; Arriagada, 1999; Mpodozis et al., 2005). The latter contains about 700 m of sandstones and red mudstones, interbedded with conglomerates and evaporites, which are interpreted as representing channelized medial to proximal fluvial deposits with distal sheetfloods and playa-lakes (Hartley et al., 1988, 1992; Arriagada, 1999). The Vizcachita Member overlies the Licán Member with a sharp contact, displaying predominantly aeolian facies, with a greater percentage of fluvially reworked deposits in the western outcrops, whereas finer grained aeolian sandsheets and barchans up to 30-m high dominate the eastern deposits. The fluvial sections show a western provenance, whereas the dunes indicate palaeocurrent directions from the south (Hartley et al., 1992; Arriagada, 1999). The Vizcachita Member overlies the Licán Member with a sharp contact, displaying predominantly aeolian facies, with a greater percentage of fluvially reworked deposits in the western outcrops, whereas finer grained aeolian sandsheets and barchans up to 30-m high dominate the eastern deposits. The fluvial sections show a western provenance, whereas the dunes indicate palaeocurrent directions from the south (Hartley et al., 1992; Arriagada, 1999). The change to an aeolian facies may be due to a cessation of tectonic activity, which would have starved the basin of a fluvial input until deposition of the Seilao Member (Hartley et al., 1992). Andesitic flows are found in some locations at the contact between the Vizcachita and Seilao Members (Quebrada Seilao, Hartley et al., 1988, 1992). The Seilao Member shows a return to predominantly alluvial facies, with the presence of poorly confined conglomeratic sheetflood and high-density flood deposits. Some fluvial deposits can be found as small-scale, fining-upward cycles (Hartley et al., 1992; Hartley, 1993), probably representing meandering streams. The Seilao Member fines upward into the 250-m thick Rio Grande Member, which contains sandstones, siltstones and varved mudstones, evidencing a possibly permanent, shallow lake subject to alluvial flooding and deposition of distal sheetflood sands (Hartley et al., 1988, 1992; Arriagada, 1999).

The Rio Grande Member represents the last unit of the Purilactis Formation, conformably underlying the Barros Arana Formation (Arriagada, 1999). According to Hartley et al. (1992), the latter is composed of thick alluvial fan deposits with clasts up to 20 cm in diameter, derived mostly from Palaeozoic granitoids and rhyolites with minor andesites and limestones in the west. The dominant facies are laterally amalgamated, proximal channelized streamflow and sheetflood deposits, together with some high-density flood and debris flow deposits. The large amount of basement-derived clasts, as compared to the Purilactis Formation, suggests deep exhumation of the Cordillera de Domeyko Range during its deposition (Mpodozis et al., 2005). The Purilactis Group (sensu Arriagada, 1999) ends with the Cerro Totola Formation (‘Estratos Cerros de Totola’
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Fig. 4. Facies and outcrops observed in the area: (a) Planar cross-stratified conglomerates (Gpt). (b) Imbricated horizontally stratified conglomerates (Gch). (c) Burrows in laminated sandstones (Sh). (d) Massive, clast-supported conglomerates (Gcm) showing erosional contacts with medium-grained sandstones (Sl). (e) Trough cross-stratified sandstones. (f) Horizontally stratified, clast-supported conglomerates (Gch) intercalated with medium- to coarse-grained sandstones (Sl).
of Arriagada, 1999 and Mpodozis et al., 1999), which is mainly composed of andesites, basaltic andesites and fewer dacites, interbedded with welded rhyolitic tuffs and some sandstones and red volcaniclastic conglomerates (Arriagada, 1999). This unit unconformably overlies deposits of the Tonel Formation and the Licán Member and is currently interpreted as younger than the Barros Arana Formation (Arriagada, 1999; Mpodozis et al., 1999, 2005).

Regarding the age, lavas and related intrusives belonging to the Cerro Totola Formation, which intrude both the Tonel and Purilactis Formations, present K–Ar ages ranging between 70.2 ± 2.0 and 61.0 ± 2.0 Ma, within the Maastrichtian–Danian range, defining a minimum age for the lower terms of the Purilactis Group (Mpodozis et al., 2005). This age is in agreement with a K/Ar age of 63.6 ± 2.8 Ma obtained by Macellari et al. (1991) from similar hornblende-rich dykes that intrude the lower Tonel Formation. The maximum age of the group has not been conclusively determined; palaeomagnetic studies of the middle member of the Tonel Formation and the Limón Verde and Licán Members of the Purilactis Formation show that these rocks acquired their magnetization during a period of normal polarity, suggesting deposition during the Cretaceous normal polarity superchron (119–84 Ma) (Arriagada, 1999; Arriagada et al., 2000). In addition, Ramirez & Gardeweg (1982) found reworked limestone clasts in the Purilactis Formation containing pelecypods (Vaugonia v. l. gottschei Moericke) and ammonites (Perisphinctes sp.,) of Middle Jurassic age, which confirms a post-Jurassic age for the group (Mpodozis et al., 2005).

SEDIMENTOLOGY

Stratigraphic columns, measured on a centimetre to metre scale, and lithological information were collected from different sections throughout the Barros Arana Syncline (Figs 2 and 3). Conglomerate clast counts were performed on at least 100 clasts inside a 50 × 50 cm grid in selected areas, and palaeocurrent directions were measured using palaeochannels, troughs and/or lee side laminae, following method II of DeCelles et al. (1983). The clast-count and palaeocurrent information were then compared with data previously published by Hartley et al. (1988, 1992).
The facies code used here (Table 2; Figs 4 and 5) is partly modified from Miall (1985, 1996), Nalpas et al. (2008), Carrapa et al. (2012), Siks & Horton (2011) and DeCelles et al. (2011). Sedimentological analysis and depositional environment interpretation are based both on the data acquired in this research and that of Hartley et al. (1988, 1992), Arriagada (1999) and Mpodozis et al. (2005). The more detailed columns can be seen in Appendix A. The subdivisions for the formations proposed by Henríquez et al. (2014) are used here.

Lithofacies assemblages

Due to the large amount of columns profiled in this study, and to avoid excessive detail, we describe only the main lithofacies assemblages identified in the Barros Arana Syncline. These correspond to:

(a) Shallow, gravel-bed, braided rivers: This assemblage consists mainly of crudely stratified and/or massive, clast-supported conglomerates (Gch and Gcm, respectively), with clast sizes usually in the granule-pebble range, containing at times isolated clasts of larger sizes (up to 40 cm). The clasts are usually poorly sorted and subrounded to angular in shape, whereas the matrix is composed of a poorly sorted, subangular, coarse- to very coarse-grained sandstone. The most common colours are usually variations of brownish red to brown, and on some occasions grey. Bed thicknesses vary from member to formation, but they are usually between 15 and 1 m, forming compound units 5–15-m thick; the overall low thickness of these beds suggests unchannelized flooding on the lower reaches of alluvial fans (Nemec & Steel, 1984). The individual beds may be hard to identify sometimes, and the contacts between lithosomes are usually slightly erosive, and they may show a fining-upward trend. These conglomerates are generally tabular, though they sometimes show lenses a few cm thick and a metre or so wide, containing matrix-supported conglomerates (either Gmm or Gcm); the tabular character of the beds suggests unconfined or poorly confined flows able to spread laterally while depositing poorly sorted and slightly organized facies (“Sheet Gravels” of Harvey, 1984). This lithofacies is usually intercalated with laminated and horizontally stratified sandstones (Sh and Sl). This pattern of stratified conglomerates interbedded with laminated sandstones is a common sight in braided stream conglomerates, where slight fluctuations in stream velocity may produce this alternation (Nemec & Steel, 1984). Some minor gravity-flow deposits, usually occurring with this assemblage, have been identified; they are distinguished by a larger presence of massive, matrix- and clast-supported conglomerates (either Gmm or Gcm); the tabular character of the beds suggests unconfined or poorly confined flows able to spread laterally while depositing poorly sorted and slightly organized facies (“Sheet Gravels” of Harvey, 1984). This lithofacies is usually intercalated with laminated and horizontally stratified sandstones (Sh and Sl). This pattern of stratified conglomerates interbedded with laminated sandstones is a common sight in braided stream conglomerates, where slight fluctuations in stream velocity may produce this alternation (Nemec & Steel, 1984).
Sediment is deposited over an eroded surface. The lithosomes may be up to 8-m thick. Even though it is considered part of a different assemblage by Miall (1996), we include it here due to its minor occurrence.

(b) Deep, gravel-bed, braided rivers: This assemblage is recognized by the presence of fining-upward cycles, 6–30-m thick, containing either a stratified or massive basal conglomerate (Gch or Gcm) showing basal scouring.

Fig. 6. (a) Sandstones of the Purilactis Formation (Limón Verde and Los Cóndores Members) overlying sandstones and deformed evaporitic deposits of the Tonel Formation (Arcoiris Member), at the southern end of the Barros Arana Syncline. (b) Closer picture of the aforementioned contact. (c) Gradational contact between the Vizachita and Pajarito Members.

Table 2. Lithofacies code

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithofacies</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sh</td>
<td>Medium- to fine-grained sandstones with horizontal lamination</td>
<td>Subcritical to supercritical flow transition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp</td>
<td>Fine- to very fine-grained sandstones with planar cross-lamination</td>
<td>2-D dune migration under lower regime conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sl</td>
<td>Medium- to very coarse-grained sandstones with low-angle (&lt;10°) cross-bedding</td>
<td>Deposition over inclined surfaces, or low-angle dune migration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St</td>
<td>Medium- to coarse-grained sandstones with trough cross-bedding</td>
<td>3-D dune migration under lower regime conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gmm</td>
<td>Matrix-supported, massive, structureless conglomerate</td>
<td>Plastic, high-strength debris flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gmg</td>
<td>Matrix-supported conglomerate, with inverse or normal grading</td>
<td>Pseudoplastic, low-strength debris flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gch</td>
<td>Clast-supported conglomerate with stratification and/or local imbrication</td>
<td>Rapid downstream gravel transport; longitudinal bedforms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gcm</td>
<td>Clast-supported, massive, structureless conglomerate</td>
<td>Pseudoplastic debris flows; hyper-concentrated flows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gpt</td>
<td>Clast-supported conglomerates with planar stratification</td>
<td>Slow downstream gravel transport; transverse bedforms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fm</td>
<td>Mud and silt with desiccation marks and small-scale ripples</td>
<td>Deposition from suspension and/or weak traction currents and desiccation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
slight channelization, poor to regular sorting and subangular, cobble-to pebble-sized clasts, followed by clast-supported conglomerate bodies around 1-m thick interbedded with medium- to very coarse-grained sandstones. This indicates the development of cycles in channels more than 1-m deep (Miall, 1996). The contacts between these lithosomes are nonerosive and diffuse. The sandstone portion varies from scarce to more than half of an individual cycle. The conglomerates are mostly stratified (Gch), or massive (Gcm) with clast sizes mostly in the granule-pebble range, though they can be cobble-sized locally. The conglomerates can contain boulders up to 40 cm in diameter; this is a common sight in comparison to the previous assemblage. The cycles end with coarse- to medium-grained, laminated sandstones (SI) containing isolated clasts, with the top usually eroded by the subsequent cycle. Planar, cross-stratified conglomerates (Gpt) may also be found, though not commonly. The colours observed are usually brownish red to different shades of grey.

(c) Distal, sheetflood, sand-bed river: This style of sand-bed rivers is one of the most ubiquitous assemblages identified in the field, together with (a). It is comprised of horizontally laminated sandstones, both very fine- to medium-grained (Sh) and medium- to very coarse-grained (SI); this lamination may locally become very faint. Sorting varies from good to poor, especially when they are found close to conglomerates or coarser-grained deposits, and roundness is usually subangular to subrounded. The lithosomes may have isolated clasts up to 30 cm in diameter, and may also fine upward; they are, characteristically, tabular in shape, with no apparent channelization. Bed sizes are usually between 15 and 50 cm thick, although coarser grained facies may be thicker on rare occasions (up to 8 m). Contacts are usually sharp and nonerosive. They can also show clast-supported conglomerate lenses with granule- to pebble-sized clasts, presenting basal scouring (either Gcm or Gch). Sandstones with trough cross-bedding (St) may be locally found, as lithosomes are usually thicker than the other sandstone lithofacies (between 1- and 6-m thick). They present the widest variety of colours of all assemblages.

(d) Aeolian deposits: This assemblage comprises homogeneous, grey, medium- to fine-grained sandstones with horizontal lamination (Sh), good sorting and subrounded grains. It shows practically no variations throughout most of the measured section, except for sparse outcrops of poorly sorted, brown, coarse-grained sandstone (SI) with isolated clasts up to 5 cm in diameter. Lamination is faint in some instances; in higher parts of the column, both horizontal bedding and cross-lamination are clearly developed, showing beds around 30-cm thick. These lithofacies are closely associated with large-scale cross-stratified sandstones (St) (Fig. 5e), which show no evident change in textural maturity or composition. The lithosomes are up to 25-m thick. These deposits have been identified as aeolian deposits (Kocurek, 1981, 1991), consisting of fluvially reworked aeolian sandstones, aeolian dunes and sandsheets. The dunes have been previously identified as barchans by Hartley et al. (1988, 1992).

(e) Lacustrine deposits: The abundant occurrence of fine- to very fine-grained sandstones (Sh) and siltstone beds (Fm), usually green or dark brown in colour, marks the presence of this assemblage. The former beds are characteristically either dark green or dark brown, whereas the latter are usually yellowish. Beds are usually <50-cm thick, and may fine upward. They are usually covered or only partially exposed in the sections studied. It is also common to find minor lenses of clast-supported conglomerate lenses (Gcm) and coarse-grained, laminated sandstones (SI).

Stratigraphic distribution of lithofacies assemblages

The distribution of the assemblages during the Cretaceous is as follows:

**Tonel Formation**

The lowermost division of the Tonel Formation corresponds to the Agua Salada Member, which was studied in the northwestern part of the Barros Arana Syncline (Fig. 2), where it overlies andesitic and tuffaceous rocks of the Tuina Formation (Raczynski, 1963; Henríquez et al., 2014) with a slight angular unconformity. Here, this member (Fig. 7a and Figure A1) is composed of ca. 168 m of light brown deposits of shallow, gravel-bed, braided rivers. A conformable, nonerosive contact with laminated sandstones at ca. 168 m of the same section (Fig. 7a and Figure A2) marks the limit with the La Escalera Member, which shows a transition to a more distal environment, with the presence of sheetflood, sand-bed river deposits of a reddish brown colour (Fig. 5a). At ca. 230 m, the outcrops are partly covered and darker in colour, representing intervals of the same sand-bed river deposits interbedded with scarce lacustrine assemblages. No outcrops are present above ca. 322 m. This member is followed by the Arcoiris Member, which is the top of the Tonel Formation; it was not measured in this study. It lies below the Purilactis Formation (Los Cóndores Member), showing a marked detachment in the southern part of the syncline (Fig. 6a), where it is composed of intercalated siltstones, fine-grained orange sandstones and light-grey evaporites (gypsum and halite) (Hartley et al., 1988, 1992; Arriagada, 1999; Mpodozis et al., 2005; Henríquez et al., 2014). Due to its intensely folded structure, its thickness is hard to determine, though it ranges between 50 and 300 m.

**Purilactis Formation**

The Los Cóndores Member (Fig. 3), not measured in this study, corresponds to the first member of the Purilactis Formation (basal section of the Limón Verde Member
sensu Arriagada, 1999; upper part of the Tonel Formation sensu Hartley et al., 1992). The nature of the contact changes throughout the syncline; to the north, the contact is conformable with the Tonel Formation, as clearly seen on satellite images, whereas to the south, it is detached (Fig. 6a). According to Arriagada (1999), it comprises ca. 320 m of reddish brown lithofacies belonging to distal, sheetflood, sand-bed rivers with a high braiding index, which is characteristic of distal braid plains. It is overlain in sharp but conformable contact by the Limón Verde Member, showing a sharp contact between them (Fig. 6b), where an important change in colour is observed. The basal section of this member, studied in the western limb of the syncline (Fig. 7b and Figure A3), shows grey to green deposits of distal, sand-bed rivers, which become more reddish towards the top (up to ca. 414 m) (Fig. 5b). They also show an overall coarser profile. At ca. 414 m, the lithofacies assemblages and the development of different cycles indicate a transition to deep, gravel-bed braided rivers until ca. 708 m. From this point onwards, there is a return to sandy, sheetflood assemblages until ca. 936 m, where the deposits grade into brownish sandstones and conglomerates of the Lampallar Member. The contact between both units is more clearly appreciated in the field in the southern portions of the syncline, where it rests conformably on the Limón Verde Member (Fig. 2). It is the first of the subdivisions for the Licán Member (sensu Hartley et al., 1992) proposed by Henríquez et al. (2014). The Lampallar Member (Fig. 7c and Figure A4) shows 250 m of brown to dark brown lithofacies of shallow, gravel braided rivers (Fig. 5c), with scarce gravity-flow intercalations, as shown by the presence of sparse matrix-supported conglomerates. Similar assemblages are found upwards, in the Licán Member, observed in the same flank (Fig. 2). It shows a gradual transition from the previous member (Fig. 7d and Figure A5), where a change to red sandstones marks the difference between both units (Fig. 5d).
The interpretation for this member is quite similar to the one given for the Lampallar Member, though with a fining-upward trend. More reddish beds could also indicate increased subaerial exposure, which is also reflected by the presence of burrows towards the top of the section. Also, gravity-flow deposits are scarcer than in the previous member. The Licán Member is overlain by the Pajarito Member, observed in the western flank of the syncline, where it conformably underlies the Vizcachita Member (Fig. 6c). The Pajarito Member (Fig. 7e and Figure A6) shows brown to reddish brown sandstones and conglomerates, grading from reddish sandstones of the previous subdivision. The column profiled shows, in its first 78 m, lithofacies belonging to gravel-bed, probably shallow rivers, with a channel depth of ca. 1 m, whereas the upper portion (until ca. 105 m) signals a change to ephemeral sheetflood, sand-bed rivers.

The Vizcachita Member was partly observed in the northeastern section of the Barros Arana Syncline (Fig. 7f and Figure A7). It shows a transitional contact with the Pajarito Member (Fig. 6c); though the colour clearly changes on satellite images, similar facies are found in both members near their contact. The deposits observed in the ca. 205-m column are characteristic of aeolian deposits (Fig. 5e) and ephemeral sand-bed rivers. Hartley et al. (1988) suggest a fluvial origin for some of these sandstones, although they also note that this member presents strong lateral variations into aeolian dunes and aeolian sand sheets. They also show that the eastern flank of the syncline presents a more abundant proportion of aeolian sandsheets and dunes than the western flank, which is interpreted to have had a more important fluvial input. This member also presents an andesitic intercalation towards its top, in some places, marking the contact with
the Seilao Member (Hartley et al., 1992; Arriagada, 1999).

The Seilao Member (Fig. 7g and Figure A8), studied on the western flank of the syncline, shows a return to coarser facies, presenting ca. 594 m of brown, shallow, gravel-bed braided river deposits, with intervals dominated by ephemeral sheetflood deposits [coarse-grained, laminated sandstones (SI)] (Fig. 5f). A change to finer grained lithofacies characterizes the deposits of the Río Grande Member, partly observed above the section described for the Seilao Member (Fig. 7h and Figure A9). The contact between members is sharp, conformable and traceable on satellite images (Fig. 2). The section shows ca. 850 m of brown to green lithofacies related to ephemeral, poorly confined sand-bed rivers and possibly lacustrine deposits. The outcrops are mostly covered towards the top.

**Barros Arana Formation**

The Barros Arana Formation was studied in a section directly east of the one described for the Río Grande Member (Fig. 7i and Figure A10) where it forms the core of the Barros Arana Syncline. The section starts with ca. 182 m of green to brown deposits resembling both the distal sand-bed river and shallow, braided river assemblages (Fig. 5g), with a slight dominance of the former. At ca. 182 m, the column is dominated by assemblages of deep, gravel-bed braided rivers, with lesser intercalations of distal, sheetflood deposits; these deposits are no more than 30-m thick at a time, and usually exhibit a more reddish colour. The column profiled is quite homogeneous until its end at ca. 928 m, where it reaches the axis of the syncline.

**Palaeocurrent data**

Data for palaeocurrent analysis were obtained primarily from palaeochannels and parting lineations found throughout the study area (Figs 3 and 8 and Appendix A in the case of scalars, the direction assumed was the same as that of the closest vectors obtained from other structures, like imbricated clast orientations, planar cross stratification and 3-D expositions of trough cross-strata. These measurements were taken in the Agua Salada and La Escalera Members of the Tonel Formation, the Limón Verde, Lampallar, Licán, Pajarito (the last three grouped together), Vizcachita and Seilao Members of the Purilactis Formation, and the Barros Arana Formation. The data were then tilt-corrected with ROTDIR (Le Roux, 1991) and restored to their original orientation by rotating them 30° counterclockwise, following various palaeomagnetic studies that show such an amount of clockwise rotations in the forearc area during the Tertiary (Arriagada et al., 2000, 2003, 2004).

---

Fig. 8. Palaeocurrent directions obtained in this study. Directions plotted with georose 0.3.0 software developed by Yong Technology Inc. The Licán Member includes measurements of the Pajarito and Lampallar Members.
The corrected data show that the Tonel Formation presents palaeocurrents indicating a predominantly NNE flow, and fewer palaeocurrents in the NW and ESE directions. The Limón Verde Member presents one main palaeocurrent direction showing palaeochannels and parting lineations towards the ESE, and another group of indicators pointing towards the NE. The Lampallar, Lícán and Pajarito Members show a dominant transport to the E, recorded in many cross-stratified sandstones, with only a few structures pointing towards the NW. The Vizcachita Member presents large palaeodunes indicating sediment transport to the WSW (Fig. 5e). Data from the Seilao Member show a clear ESE tendency, with some structures pointing to the ENE. The Barros Arana Formation shows a major component to the NE, and a second one to the WNW; the latter component could possibly reflect diagonal and/or point bar migration (Hein, 1984).

This information indicates a general west to east transport (NE–ESE) in most formations and members, with the exception of the mainly aeolian Vizcachita Member showing westerly transport, which is consistent with measurements taken by Hartley et al. (1988, 1992). This preferred easterly to southeasterly direction is likely due to uplift of the Cordillera de Domeyko Range (Figs 1 and 2), situated west of the study location, during the sedimentation of the three formations. In this regard, Mpdodzis et al. (2005) and Arriagada et al. (2006a) found growth structures in strata belonging to the Tonel Formation, which indicate a compressional regime during their sedimentation in mid-Cretaceous times; the space available for sediment accumulation and the deformation observed would be partly due to an eastward-verging thrust system related to the Cordillera de Domeyko Range. The directions observed in the Vizcachita Member attest to the main wind direction during its deposition (Kocurek, 1981, 1991). Hartley et al. (1992) obtained palaeowind directions to the north; this discrepancy could result from the interpretation of the structures observed in this member (Fig. 5c), where they could be either classified as tangential cross-laminated sets or one flank of a large trough formed by a barchan dune.

**PROVENANCE**

**Conglomerate clast count**

Clast counts were performed on the Tonel Formation (Agua Salada Member), the Limón Verde, Pajarito and Seilao Members of the Purilactis Formation and the Barros Arana Formation (Fig. 9). The Agua Salada Member shows a predominance of andesitic and tuffaceous clasts, with only 10% of clasts being of sedimentary rock origin. These are, most likely, a product of erosion within the same formation. The Limón Verde Member presents andesitic clasts as its key component, together with only a minor proportion of sedimentary clasts (15–20%), and even fewer rhyolitic to dacitic tuff clasts (<10%). The observed siltstone and sandstone clasts are similar to the facies described for this member, making them a product of limited erosion or cannibalism. The Lampallar and Lícán Members (only qualitatively observed) show clasts of andesitic composition (more than 90%), with few sandstone clasts in the former, whereas the Pajarito Member shows rhyolitic to dacitic tuff clasts (80%) together with andesitic clasts (18%). The Seilao Member shows a prevalence of andesitic clasts, followed by granitic clasts, in a 3 : 1 ratio; this is reversed towards the top of the section. Finally, the Barros Arana Formation shows an increasing proportion of granitic vs. andesitic clasts (around 80% for the former and 20% for the latter), with only minor sandstone and limestone clasts (<5%).

The sections observed document an abundant presence of andesitic clasts, and a gradual increase in granitic, coarse-grained clasts over time; this is most evident in the Barros Arana Formation and Seilao Member sections.

---

**Fig. 9.** Conglomerate clast count of the units investigated in this study. Exactly, 100 clasts were counted in each station. The counts marked with an asterisk are qualitative.

---
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where granitic boulders can exceed 30 cm in diameter. These clasts are derived from granitoids probably representing deep exhumation of the Cordillera de Domeyko area (Mpodozis et al., 2005; Basso & Mpodozis, 2012). The source of the andesitic clasts is most likely the Tuina Formation (Raczynski, 1963) and/or exhumed levels of the Late Cretaceous–Eocene Arc (Hartley et al., 1992).

Hartley et al. (1988, 1992) obtained similar clast compositions, with a higher percentage of limestone clasts in the Purilactis Formation; this is attributed to uplift of the Triassic–Lower Cretaceous back-arc basin fill, due west of the study area, which is consistent with observed palaeocurrent bearings and growth structures (see above).

**Sediment provenance**

Provenance analyses were performed on 11 medium- to coarse-grained sandstones. Of these, one was taken from the Tonel Formation (La Escalera Member), nine from the Purilactis Formation and one from the Barros Arana Formation. Around 400–500 grains exceeding 0.0625 mm in diameter were counted using a Swift point counter, following the Gazzi-Dickinson method (Dickinson, 1970; Dickinson & Suczek, 1979; Dickinson et al., 1983; Ingersoll et al., 1984; Dickinson, 1985). The parameters and point-counting raw data are listed in Table 3 and Appendix B. The results were plotted on different ternary diagrams, following Dickinson (1985), Weltje (2006) and Ingersoll (2012), and partly using an electronic spreadsheet developed by Zahid & Barbeau (2011) (Fig. 10). The geometric mean was calculated for the Purilactis Formation, following the reasoning provided by Weltje (2002).

The La Escalera Member and the basal Limón Verde Member samples show an important amount of plagioclase, K-feldspar and quartz over lithic fragments; the quartz observed is mostly monocrystalline, whereas lithics are mostly andesitic, microlithic fragments. Samples collected higher in the stratigraphic record (upper Limón Verde Member and samples of the Licán Member sensu Hartley et al., 1992) show a more important presence of lithic fragments and plagioclase, occasionally containing red siltstone and minor quartz-feldspathic sandstone fragments. The sandstones of the Vizcachita Member show

**Table 3. Parameters for sandstone point counting**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qm</td>
<td>Monocrystalline quartz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qp</td>
<td>Polycrystalline quartz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qt</td>
<td>Total quartz (Qp + Qm + Ch)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Potassium feldspar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PinLV)</td>
<td>(Plagioclase in volcanic fragments)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ch</td>
<td>Chert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Carbonate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>Sandstone/siltstone fragment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ls</td>
<td>Total sedimentary fragments (Sm + C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lm</td>
<td>Metamorphic fragment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lv</td>
<td>Volcanic fragment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt</td>
<td>Total lithic fragments (Lv + Lt + Lm + Ls)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Matrix and cement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Iron oxides and accessory minerals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb</td>
<td>Hornblende</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ol</td>
<td>Olivine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

an overall lower percentage of quartz relative to other components, and a relevant presence of andesite fragments; plagioclase and quartz are again important in the Scilao Member, the latter being less abundant in the Río Grande Member. The geometric mean of the Purilactis Formation shows that plagioclase is the most important constituent, followed by monocrystalline quartz, lithic fragments and K-feldspar. Finally, the Barros Arana Formation sample shows monocrystalline quartz and plagioclase as major components. Some accessory minerals found throughout the section comprise iron oxides and micas, hornblende and, in some cases, broken, almost fresh pyroxene, particularly in the samples belonging to the Río Grande and Vizcachita Members.

Most of the sandstones collected plot between the basement uplift and transitional to dissected arc fields, although some samples may show some divergence when different diagrams are used. No clear temporal trend can be observed, although the two upper formations plot closer to the volcanic arc fields, particularly the dissected to transitional arcs in the case of the Barros Arana and Purilactis Formations (Fig. 10a and b). The La Escalera Member plots clearly in the basement uplift field, which is the product of the erosion of uplifted, deeply incised basement; however, Dickinson et al. (1983) concede that volcanic arcs can show similar compositions if they are intensively dissected, so that petrographic methods do not help in clarifying the tectonic regime if compositional overlap occurs. In this regard, the petrographic classification must only be seen as a first-order classification.

Weltje (2006) tested the model proposed by the aforementioned authors, and produced modified ternary diagrams by means of statistical analysis. The diagrams are partitioned into three spaces separated by iso-density probability lines, where the grand means of each provenance association and their confidence regions are plotted. The efficiency of the partitioning and the predictive utility of these new diagrams were tested by stochastic simulations. The results show that probabilities of correct inference are: QpLvLs (78%), QFL (76%), QmFLt (74%) and QmPK (64%) (Fig. 10c). Also, Ingersoll (2012), who worked on the Sierra Nevada and Southern Cascade Magmatic Arc proposed a QpLvFp diagram (Fig. 10g) based on the discriminant analysis of modern sands shed from the arc. The latter shows a N–S trend, with more exhumation towards its southern end (basement uplift) than the north (undissected arc).

Following these diagrams (Fig. 10c–f), the Tonel Formation falls in the basement uplift and continental block fields, with only one diagram (Fig. 10f) showing a different field. The Purilactis Formation, as a whole, plots in the magmatic arc field, though very close to the continental block field, whereas the Barros Arana Formation plots mostly in the continental block field. On the QpLvFp diagram (Fig. 10g), the sandstones of the Purilactis and Barros Arana Formations are located between the transitional and dissected arc fields, whereas the Tonel Formation is found between the recycled orogen and dissected arc fields.

**U–Pb Detrital geochronology**

Due to the lack of beds and deposits suitable for more detailed geochronology studies, such as tuffs or other extrusives, U–Pb detrital geochronological analyses by means of laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry were used to constrain the age of these deposits. A total of eight, fine- to coarse-grained samples, encompassing all the formations studied, were chosen for analysis; these were taken from the Tuina area and the Barros Arana Syncline (Fig. 2).

Zircon separation was performed at the Sample Preparation Laboratory (Laboratorio de Preparación de Muestras) of the Universidad de Chile using the Gemeni (LEI), Geoscience Center, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM), Mexico, where around 100 randomly chosen grains were analysed. The analytical work was undertaken by using a Resonetics Resolution M50 193 nm laser Excimer connected to a Thermo Xii Series Quadrupole Mass Spectrometer following analytical procedures and technical details after Solari et al. (2010). The employed laser diameter for ablation was 23 μm, and the analysis was performed randomly on the grain surface. The best age was defined using the $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ and $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ratios, with an 800 Ma cutoff. Average ages were calculated using Isoplot v. 3.7 (Ludwig, 2008); they represent the youngest populations ($n \geq 3$) for each of the eight analysed zircon samples. The maximum depositional age for each sample locality is given by this age. Age peaks and populations were also calculated using the Excel spreadsheet Age Pick, provided by the LaserChron Center at the University of Arizona. The Excel spreadsheet Normalized Age Probability Plots, also provided by the University of Arizona, was used to summarize the relative probability plots of the different samples. The results can be seen in Fig. 11. The data tables are shown in Appendix C.

**Tonel Formation**

Samples from the Tonel Formation (Fig. 11a–c) show contrasting age populations. The basal sample is SP1–15, taken from the Agua Salada Member (Figs 2 and 11a). It presents most of its zircons ($n = 28$) around 247 Ma (Permian–Triassic); other important populations are in the Ordovician ($n = 5$ around 472 Ma and $n = 4$ around 483 Ma), the Permian ($n = 5$ around 279 Ma) and the Permian–Carboniferous ($n = 3$ around 302 Ma). A minor population around 149 Ma ($n = 3$, latest Jurassic) defines the maximum depositional age for the sample. The relative probability plot shows its
Fig. 11. U/Pb detrital zircon ages for the Tonel (a, b and c), Purilactis (d–f and g) and Barros Arana (h) Formations. Sample locations in Fig. 2.
most important peak at 247 Ma, followed by a minor peak at 149 Ma.

Sample K-4 (Fig. 11b), taken from the La Escalera Member, close to the contact with the Agua Salada Member, presents $n = 24$ zircons in the Triassic, with $n = 5$ zircons around 211 Ma, $n = 7$ around 219 Ma and $n = 12$ around 230 Ma. These are followed by Permian–Triassic (252 Ma, $n = 19$), Permian–Carboniferous ($n = 8$ around 291 Ma and $n = 7$ around 299 Ma), mid–Early Cretaceous ($n = 5$, around 107 Ma), Jurassic (153 Ma, $n = 4$) and Permian (275 Ma, $n = 3$) populations. The mean age obtained for the youngest population is 107 Ma, which corresponds to the maximum depositional age of the sediment. The relative probability plot shows a high age peak around 252 Ma, followed by 230 Ma and 107 Ma peaks.

Sample K-18, taken from the Arcoiris Member (Fig. 11c) presents a maximum depositional age of 141 Ma. The largest populations found are of Ordovician ($n = 4$ around 459 Ma and $n = 7$ around 480 Ma) and Ediacaran ($n = 6$ around 566 Ma and $n = 4$ around 590 Ma) age. Other noteworthy populations are found (in decreasing order) in the Triassic ($n = 5$ around 228 Ma and $n = 4$ around 243), the Cambrian (566 Ma, $n = 6$), Permian–Carboniferous ($n = 6$ around 303 Ma), the Permian (260 Ma, $n = 5$), and the Early Cretaceous (142 Ma, $n = 5$). It is also worth noting that this sample has zircons in the Meso-Proterozoic (1000–1600 Ma), with $n = 10$ around 1125 Ma. The relative probability plot shows its most prominent peaks around 142 Ma and 228 Ma.

Purilactis Formation

Limón Verde Member. The Limón Verde Member samples, taken near the Cordón de Barros Arana (Fig. 2), show similar ages, but present varying proportions of older zircons. The basal sample (SP3-90, Fig. 11d) presents an important population ($n = 13$) around 81 Ma (Late Cretaceous); the maximum depositional age is 79 Ma. The second most important population is located in the Cambrian ($n = 4$ around 524 Ma and $n = 5$ around 538 Ma), followed by the Ordovician ($n = 3$ around 466 Ma and $n = 5$ around 480 Ma). Permian–Carboniferous zircons ($n = 5$ around 293 Ma) also make an important contribution. Minor zircon populations found are around 146 Ma (Early Cretaceous, $n = 5$); around 248 Ma (Triassic, $n = 4$), around 587 Ma and 621 Ma (Ediacaran, $n = 3$ each), around 981 Ma (Tonian, $n = 4$), around 1050 Ma ($n = 4$, Stenian) and around 1828 Ma (Orosirian, $n = 4$). The relative probability plot shows a prominent peak around 81 Ma.

On the other hand, sample SP3-91 (Fig. 11e), collected higher up in the column, presents most of the zircons between 65 and 100 Ma (Late Cretaceous), concentrated around 83 Ma ($n = 24$) and 93 Ma ($n = 6$) and a mean age for the youngest zircon population of 80 Ma. Other important populations are found around 150 Ma (Jurassic, $n = 9$), around 296 Ma and around 313 Ma (Permian–Carboniferous, $n = 6$ and $n = 3$, respectively). Other important age peaks were obtained for the Early Cretaceous (102 Ma, $n = 3$) and the Carboniferous (321 Ma, $n = 3$). The relative probability has its highest peak around 83 Ma.

Licán Member. The Licán Member (Sample SP3-89; Fig. 11f) presents a maximum depositional age of 75 Ma. Its most important populations are in the Permian–Carboniferous range, with $n = 23$ around 298 Ma, $n = 10$ around 291 Ma and $n = 6$ around 309 Ma; it is followed by a 65–100 Ma (Late Cretaceous, $n = 19$) age peak, with ages grouped around 80 Ma. Minor populations are found around 146 Ma (Early Cretaceous–Late Jurassic, $n = 7$), 202 Ma and 209 Ma (Triassic, $n = 4$ and $n = 3$, respectively). The relative probability plot shows the following age peaks, in decreasing order: 298 Ma, 146 Ma and 80 Ma.

Rio Grande Member. The sample obtained from the Rio Grande Member (Sample SP3-87; Fig. 11g) shows its largest population in the Permian–Carboniferous ($n = 51$), mainly around 289 Ma. Late Cretaceous zircons ($n = 3$) are also found, grouped around 73 Ma. The maximum depositional age is 73 Ma. The relative probability plot shows a prominent, distinctive age peak at 289 Ma.

Barros Arana Formation

The Barros Arana Formation (Sample SP3-88; Fig. 11h) has a dominant population in the Permian–Carboniferous ($n = 25$), distributed around 292 Ma. It is followed by other Permian populations around 278 Ma ($n = 7$) and 265 Ma ($n = 3$), numerous mid– to Late Cretaceous populations ($n = 4$ around 78 Ma, $n = 4$ around 84 Ma, $n = 9$ around 90 Ma and $n = 3$ around 97 Ma, for a total of $n = 20$) and an Early Cretaceous population around 137 Ma ($n = 5$). The mean age obtained for the youngest population is 78 Ma. The relative probability plot shows age peaks around 292 Ma, 90 Ma and 78 Ma.

With regard to the provenance of the different zircon populations found in these samples, the mid–Cretaceous zircons probably come from the volcanic arc deposits and related intrusives found in the present-day Central Valley, such as the Paradero del Desierto Formation (Cortés, 2000) and the Quebrada Mala Formation (Montaño, 1976; Marínovic & García, 1999). Early Cretaceous to Jurassic zircons were probably derived from the La Negra Arc, which is nowadays exposed in the Coastal Cordillera (Pichowiak et al., 1990; Oliveros et al., 2006). Permian–Triassic ages are found in the Tuina Formation (Fig. 2), observed in the area of the same name (Marínovic & Lahsen, 1984; Henríquez et al., 2014). The El Bordo and Agua Dulce Formations, found to the southwest of the study area, along the El Bordo Escarpment (Ramírez & Gardeweg, 1982; Marínovic & Lahsen, 1984; Basso & Mpodozis, 2012) are also possible sources of Triassic and lower Permian zircons. Other deposits of Permian age
have been recorded at the eastern (Cas Formation; Ramírez & Gardeweg, 1982; Breitkreuz, 1995) and southern edges of the Salar de Atacama (Estroats de Cerro Negro; Zimmermann et al., 2009; Niemeyer, 2013).

The important Late Carboniferous to Permian zircon signal seen from the Licán Member upwards is probably related to the lower sections of the mentioned Permian units and the intrusives seen in Cordillera de Domeyko, related to the lower sections of the mentioned Permian units and the intrusives seen in Cordillera de Domeyko, (Complejo Intrusivo Limón Verde Indiferenciado; Marinovic & Lahsen, 1984) and the El Bordo and Agua Dulce Formations (Ramírez & Gardeweg, 1982; Breitkreuz et al., 1992; Basso & Mpodozis, 2012). This provenance is consistent with the conglomerate clast counts and palaeocurrent data, which is also indicative of an unroofing process of the Precordillera.

Early Carboniferous to Silurian zircons are scarcely found in these samples. Ordovician zircons are abundant in samples K-18 and SP3-90 (La Escalera Member and lower Limón Verde Member, respectively); they are probably derived from the Cordón de Lila Complex (Damm et al., 1990; Zimmermann et al., 2009; Niemeyer, 2013), which would have been at least partially exhumed during the sedimentation of the aforementioned units. Similar ages are also found in the Sierra de Moreno Complex, at Quebrada Chojas, the Belén Metamorphic Complex, Aguada de la Perdiz Formation and in northwestern Argentina; they probably represent equivalents to the Ordovician Oelovic/Famatinian arc seen in northwestern Argentina (Charrier et al., 2007; Hervé et al., 2007; Sola et al., 2013).

The Cambrian–Neoproterozoic populations seen in samples from the Toln Formation and lower Limón Verde Member may represent the Pampean arc of which the only close representatives found in Chile are a cordierite-bearing gneiss in the Sierra Limón Verde of 777 ± 36 Ma (Damm et al., 1990), and migmatites and schists of the same area (Skarmeta, 1983; in Hervé et al., 2007); they could also represent recycled sources. Similar ages are found further to the SE, in Argentina, in the Puncoviscana Formation (Lucassen et al., 2000).

The zircon populations observed between 1000 and 1200 Ma can be related to the Grenvillian Event (Ramos, 2008, 2010). Rocks with these ages have been found in the Choja Metamorphic Complex (Damm et al., 1990), as part of the Antofalla Basement (Ramos, 2008, 2010). The diamicites of the Sierra Limón Verde also present detrital zircons of this age (Morandé et al., 2012), as well as rocks of the El Toco Formation (Bahlburg et al., 2009), which could indicate some form of recycling. Ages similar to the oldest ones found in the Toln Formation and Limón Verde Member samples (Fig. 11b, c and d) have only been found far north, in the Belén Metamorphic Complex; they may correspond to the protolith of the Antofalla Basement (Ramos, 2008).

DISCUSSION

The high-resolution stratigraphic columns obtained (Figs 3 and 7) together with the palaeocurrents (Fig. 8), clast counts (Fig. 9), petrographic information (Fig. 10) and detrital zircon U–Pb geochronological data (Fig. 11) allow the elaboration of an integrated sedimentary and tectonic evolution model of the basin (Fig. 12). The Toln Formation (Fig. 12a) presents a fining-upward trend, with the deposition of shallow, gravel- to sand-bed, braided rivers and evaporitic deposits, with an overall NNE-trending flow and andesitic clasts derived from Jurassic–Lower Cretaceous and Permian–Triassic rocks as shown by the detrital zircon data; the maximum depositional ages indicate that this sedimentation could have started around the Jurassic–Cretaceous limit for the lower member (ca. 149 Ma), and the mid-Cretaceous (ca. 107 Ma) for the middle member. The basal to middle Purilactis Formation (Los Cóndores, Limón Verde, Lampillar, Licán and Pajarito Members) begins with mostly shallow, sand- to gravel-bed, braided river deposits, with a W-trending flow (Fig. 12b). The detrital zircon populations show the presence of an Upper Cretaceous component, which also sets the maximum depositional age for this part (ca. 79–75 Ma), and the clasts found are mostly andesites. An important Permian–Carboniferous zircon population becomes evident from the Licán Member onwards, up to the Barros Arana Formation. The Vizcachita Member (Fig. 12c) shows palaeocurrents opposite to those described before and facies akin to aeolian deposits and fluvial intercalations, indicating an arid to semi-arid environment. The upper part of the Purilactis Formation (Fig. 12d, e) contains assemblages of shallow, gravel-bed, braided rivers (Seilao Member) and shallow, sand-bed rivers and lacustrine deposits (Río Grande Member). The palaeocurrent directions are similar to those of the basal to middle Purilactis Formation, and the maximum depositional ages are also Late Cretaceous (ca. 73 Ma); the most important population is Permian–Carboniferous in age, and the clast counts show the progressive increase in crystalline, coarse-grained plutonic fragments compared to andesitic, volcanic fragments, indicating unroofing processes. These clasts become predominant in the Barros Arana Formation, which shows similar detrital zircon patterns, and facies of deep, gravel-bed braided rivers, with a clearer development of cycles and channelization (Fig. 12f). Overall, the point-counting data (Fig. 10) indicate erosion of a transitional to dissected magmatic arc and an uplifted crystalline basement, though with no clear temporary trend.

This information evidences the increased progradation over time of alluvial fans or proximal braided rivers into the Salar de Atacama Basin and gradual encroaching of the source region, owing to different tectonic pulses. The lack of obvious regional or local unconformities, or progressive deformation, with the exception of those found in the middle member of the Toln Formation (see
above), indicates that the formations were deposited in the (proximal?) foredeep zone of a foreland basin system (DeCelles & Gilles, 1996), possibly following mechanisms such as those proposed by Yang & Miall (2010) and Yang (2011). This lack of deformation between members, though more crude, is also seen in seismic sections (Figs 14 and 15 of Arriagada et al., 2006a).

Many discrepancies have arisen over the years concerning the tectonic setting and precise age of the Mesozoic outcrops in the El Bordo Escarpment. Despite being one of the most studied sectors of the Andes, the lack of robust age data, partly due to the lack of fossils, tuffs or other volcanic units, has led to different models for the basin during the Cretaceous–Palaeogene (See above). Most of the 1990’s tectonic models regarding the Salar de Atacama Basin were influenced by extensional models, where the Barros Arana Syncline was associated with an inverted graben geometry (Macellari et al., 1991). In this model, the Tonel and Purilactis Formations would have been deposited during the latest Cretaceous–Eocene (Charrier & Reutter, 1990, 1994; Reutter et al., 2006), synchronously with the rift units of the Salta Group in NW Argentina (Salfity et al., 1985; Salfity & Marquillas, 1999; Monaldi et al., 2008). These interpretations, along with those of Hartley et al. (1992) and Flint et al. (1993) were either based on lithological affinities or the seismic lines obtained by ENAP (Empresa Nacional del Petróleo).

Although the basal part of the Tonel Formation west of the Cerros de Tuina area could have accumulated in the Jurassic–Early Cretaceous, the palaeomagnetic data, the ages obtained for the dykes and sills intruding the Tonel and lower Purilactis Formations (Mpodozis et al., 2005), and our U–Pb detrital zircon ages account for a mid- to Late Cretaceous age for the middle Tonel and Purilactis Formations. Also, recent regional mapping carried out along the El Bordo Escarpment, south of the study area, has shown that the Barros Arana Syncline can be understood as a footwall growth syncline developed east of a fault propagation anticline rooted in the Cordillera de Domeyko (Arriagada et al., 2006a). Thus, the western margin of the Salar de Atacama Basin yields evidence for a compressive tectonic setting during, at least, the Late Cretaceous (ca. 107 Ma).
Most studies are in agreement concerning the compressive tectonics that occurred during the Palaeogene, which are well documented both along the western edge of the basin and within its centre (Muñoz et al., 2002; Pananont et al., 2004; Arriagada et al., 2006a; Jordan et al., 2007). An important extension event affected this area during the Oligocene, in which the eastern side of the Barros Arana Syncline developed a major normal fault, allowing the accumulation of ca. 4000 m of the Oligocene San Pedro Formation, representing most of the current infill (Pananont et al., 2004; Jordan et al., 2007). The recent tectonic history shows clear evidence of compression and active faulting within the modern salar (Jordan et al., 2002; Lowenstein et al., 2003; González et al., 2009).

The major differences associated with the various tectonic models proposed can be found in the centre of the basin, where all studies are based on the aforementioned seismic lines. Here, the attempts to correlate the outcrops in the El Bordo Escarpment with the units drilled in the Toconao X1 well have been hampered by the high structural style variability observed along the different lines, the poor quality of the seismic lines below 2–3 s TWT and the lack of certainty regarding the presence of deposits belonging to the Purilactis Group in the well. A direct consequence of this is the proliferation of the different, at times contradicting, chronostratigraphic proposals for the basin (Macellari et al., 1991; Flint et al., 1993; Muñoz et al., 1997, 2002; Pananont et al., 2004; Arriagada et al., 2006a; Reutter et al., 2006; Jordan et al., 2007). In summary, the Salar de Atacama Basin possesses different, complex events of compressive and extensional deformation, tectonic block rotations and strike-slip deformation imposed over one another that must be carefully approached to fully unravel its internal structure; however, this matter is beyond the scope of this paper.

The U–Pb geochronological data obtained (Fig. 11) yield new evidence about the age of the former Purilactis Group. Although the ages obtained for the formations are consistent with the chronostratigraphic chart presented by Mpodozis et al. (2005) and Arriagada et al. (2006a), several assumptions must be contended; for instance, the accumulation of the lower Purilactis Formation could not take place entirely during the Late Cretaceous normal polarity superchron (119–83.6 Ma), owing to the presence of zircons younger than 85 Ma. The K/Ar ages obtained by Mpodozis et al. (2005) in dykes and intrusions in the lower Purilactis and Tonel Formations, together with the data presented here, show that sedimentation of the La Escalera Member might have begun near 107 Ma (Albian) and continued until around 83.6 Ma (Santonian); this coincides with the strong normal polarity displayed (Arriagada, 1999; Arriagada et al., 2000). The interpretation of the Agua Salada Member data is more complex; although its deposition might have started at 149 Ma, the lack of discordances between members might be more indicative of continuous sedimentation throughout the history of the Tonel Formation since the inception of the foreland basin, or the existence of a paraconformity. No data exist for the Los Cóndores Member, which could have been deposited in the same age range as the La Escalera and Arcoiris Members. Thus, the nature of the time gap between the Tonel and Purilactis Formations cannot be elicited. Sedimentation of the Limón Verde Member could have begun at 79 Ma (Campanian) and continued until no longer than 75 Ma, which is the maximum depositional age obtained for the Licán Member. For the same reasons, the Vizachita Member cannot represent the magnetic reversal that ended the Late Cretaceous normal polarity superchron (Mpodozis et al., 2005); it might record one of the various events that occurred afterwards.

The age limit of the upper Purilactis (Seilao and Rio Grande Members) and Barros Arana Formations is not clear; they are bound by the youngest mean zircon age found in the Rio Grande Member (see above), which limits its maximum depositional age to the upper Campanian. The only clear geological constraint on the upper limit for the Barros Arana Formation is the presence of the unformable Oligocene–Miocene Tambores Formation (Flint et al., 1993; Naranjo et al., 1994). A case could be made for their equivalence to the Naranja and/or Loma Amarilla Formations (Mpodozis et al., 2005; Arriagada et al., 2006a), as their northern continuation; however, the age of the youngest population analysed is older than the ages recorded for both the Cerro Totola and Naranja Formations (Mpodozis et al., 2005). Thus, it can be assumed that the Barros Arana Formation might be, at least, older than 58.0 ± 3 Ma (Naranja Formation), and possibly older than the Cerro Totola Formation, as envisioned by Mpodozis et al. (2005) and Arriagada et al. (2006a).

The provenance information suggests that the Salar de Atacama Basin was receiving sediments from sources even farther to the west than the Cordillera de Domeyko, which also appears to have been uplifted, at least partly, earlier than Eocene–Oligocene times, as previously proposed (Maksaev & Zentilli, 1999); its western border was probably being uplifted during and after the mid-Cretaceous (Figs 13 and 14), whereas the eastern border, near the southern end of the basin, was subject to tectonism during the K-T and Incaic events (Arriagada et al., 2006a). The multiple-source provenance is confirmed by the important variations seen in the Tonel Formation and Limón Verde Member samples, which may reflect influx from different tributaries to the system and/or catchment area variations.

The cycles observed in the sedimentary succession and the ages obtained show that the mid-Cretaceous compressive phase was not one event, but rather a long period formed by recurring compressive pulses, similar to the evolution proposed by Noblet et al. (1996) for the Central and Northern Andes, particularly for the Quechua and Incaic periods. Interestingly enough, the ages obtained so far are very similar to the ages of the compressive events identified at the Peruvian margin according to Jaillard (1992, 1993). The beginning of sedimentation of the La
Escalera Member can be related to the Cenomanian–Albian, Turonian–early Coniacian or late Coniacian–Santonian events; more detailed sampling is required to properly define it. The Limón Verde and Licán Members could be related to the late Campanian event (77–75 Ma), which, according to Jaillard (1992, 1993), is the largest compressive event observed during the Peruvian Phase. Under this scheme, more than 3600 m of sediment accumulated as a result of the latter event, yielding a sedimentation rate of 0.36 mm Ma$^{-1}$ for the Purilactis and Barros Arana Formations (not including the Los Cóndores Member). Though deposition of the Barros Arana Formation could have occurred as a product of the K–T event (Cornejo et al., 1997, 2003), the presence of a well-identified K–T unconformity to the west of Calama (Somozas et al., 2012), which is not found in the study area, points to the contrary. Also, the ages obtained are older than the fission track ages found around Cerro Quimal, south-west of the study area, by Andriessen & Reutter (1994), which shows slight uplift of the Cerro Quimal area.

Combining the U–Pb geochronological data with the regional geological information, a model can be proposed where the detrital sources are the product of the exhumation of earlier basins (Figs 13 and 14). The first of these exhumations would have affected parts of the Jurassic–Lower Cretaceous magmatic roots found in the present-day coastal area (Pichowiak et al., 1990; Oliveros et al., 2006), which would explain the Early Cretaceous and Jurassic zircons found in the studied formations (particularly in the Tonel Formation, the Limón Verde and the Licán Members). This event would have also exposed and eroded the Permian–Triassic formations. The next exhumation event would have deformed the mid-Cretaceous deposits of the Central Valley and the successions exposed at the western edge of the Cordillera de Domeyko; this signal is observed from the Limón Verde Member upwards. This exhumation would have also uplifted basement units in the Cordillera de Domeyko area, which is reflected in the abundant Carboniferous–Permian zircons observed from the Licán Member upwards. This process is similar to what has been recorded, though with different timings, in the Chañarcillo and Lautaro Basins due south in the Atacama Region (27°–28°S), over the flat-slab region (Martínez et al., 2012; Martínez et al., 2013).

These two steps effectively separate the Peruvian or mid-Cretaceous compressional phase into two different stages; the “early” Peruvian Phase (probably the same as the “Mochica” phase proposed by Mégard, 1984) involved strong compression and uplift of the present Coastal Cordillera area and had minor effects on other sectors close to the actual Salar de Atacama area, between 107 Ma and 83.6 Ma. This is reflected in the facies
belonging to the mid- to upper-Tonel Formation, which are less thick and finer grained than the Purilactis and Barros Arana Formations, probably reflecting a more distal deposition. On the other hand, the “late” Peruvian Phase shows an eastward jump of the main deformation area, strongly involving the mid-Cretaceous arc units (see above) and the Cordillera de Domeyko area, reflected in the deposition of the Purilactis and Barros Arana Formations, from 79 to 65 Ma. Sedimentation of the Barros Arana Formation is ended by the K-T event, shown by the deposition of the Cerro Totola Formation, the Naranja Formation, and then the Loma Amarilla Formation during the Incaic Event during the Late Eocene–Early Oligocene (Arriagada, 1999; Mpodozis et al., 2005; Arriagada et al., 2006a).

It can be seen then that the Salar de Atacama Basin does not behave like a classic foreland basin system sensu DeCelles & Gilles (1996); instead of progressing continuously to the east, the orogenic wedge appears to have been broken several times during the Late Cretaceous. Though one could still consider all basement blocks west of the basin as part of the orogenic front, its internal structure is far more complicated. The Tolar and Tambillo Basins (Tomlinson et al., 2001), found to the northwest of the study area, could be considered as intra-montane (piggy-back?) basins, and part of the system as a whole.

Fig. 14. Schematic cross-section of northern Chile between 22°–23°S, showing basin and orogenic wedge evolution. The figure also shows a hypothetical, eroded forearc.
More evidence for early uplift in this sector during mid-Cretaceous times has been found as far east as the Puna of NW Argentina, where apatite fission track (AFT) dating performed on the Eocene Geste Formation found AFT cooling ages between 88 and 112 Ma, which are explained as a distant signal coming from the Cordillera de Domeyko area (Carrapa & DeCelles, 2008). It is possible then that some formations interpreted to have been deposited in extensional basins, could in fact be compressive in nature; in the case of the Quebrada Mala Formation, the kinematics of the Sierra del Buitre Fault, which controls the deposition of the formation (Marinovic & García, 1999) are not distinctly clear. Andriessen & Reutter (1994) also pointed to the intrusion of the 80 Ma San Cristóbal Pluton in folded Jurassic–Lower Cretaceous deposits as evidence of deformation in the Santonian. They also showed concordant fission track ages of 72 Ma obtained from granite stocks in Sierra de Navidad, which may indicate a tectonic event around that age; however, the results were not conclusive. In the case of the Cerrillos Formation, interpreted by Martínez et al. (2013) as a post-rift succession, Makaev et al. (2009) concluded that its coarse conglomeratic facies provide evidence of tectonic uplift during the middle to late Aptian. Close to this area, around 28°30’S, Merino et al. (2013) suggested tectonic unroofing of Lower Cretaceous units in the Coastal Cordillera between 90 and 85 Ma, reflected in the deposition of the Quebrada Seca Formation. It is interesting to note that the Tonel Formation is a time-equivalent of the Quebrada Seca and Cerrillos Formations, whereas the Hornitos Formation (Martínez et al., 2013) seems to be related to the Purilactis and Barros Arana Formations. Similar ages for the beginning of compression have been obtained for the Coastal Range of Central Chile, in the Calle Pluton and the Las Chilcas Formation (Parada et al., 2005); thus, a broader picture can be conceived where the entire western margin was undergoing compression from mid-Cretaceous times onward.

CONCLUSIONS

The Tonel, Purilactis and Barros Arana Formations show a diverse range of facies, accounting for more than 4000 m of sedimentation since the mid-Cretaceous, during the entire Peruvian Phase. The Tonel Formation shows shallow, gravel-bed, braided river facies (Aqua Salada Member), which gradually change upward into fine-grained facies representing a transition to a more distal, possibly lacustrine or overbank environment (La Escalera and Arcoiris Members). The Los Cóndores Member shows sheetflood sandstones belonging to distal braided plains and sand-bed rivers. The Limón Verde Member presents distal, braided, sand-dominated river deposits, or ephemeral sheetflood sediments in mostly arid regions with shallow channel depths, with an upward manifestation of deeper, gravel-bed, braided river deposits. The Lampallar,Licán and Pajarito Members show laminated sandstones, related to slightly channelized, ephemeral, sand-bed rivers, and mostly clast-supported, stratified conglomerates probably deposited in shallow, gravel-bed braided rivers with scarce gravity-flow deposits. It grades into the Vizcachita Member, dominated by aeolian deposits. The Seilao Member shows a return to coarse facies, interpreted as shallow, gravel-bed braided rivers, with intervals dominated by ephemeral sheetflood deposits which grade into the Rio Grande Member, showing an abundance of lithofacies related to ephemeral, poorly confined sand-bed rivers and lacustrine deposits. Finally, the Barros Arana Formation exhibits facies typical of deep, gravel-bed braided rivers and flash-flood deposits. Provenance data, conglomerate clast counts and the U–Pb detrital zircon geochronology show that the source of sediments was extremely diverse, reflecting the entire uplift of the arc and back-arc deposit. Sediment transport followed mainly a northeast-eastward direction. The age of these deposits ranges from 149 Ma (Tithonian) to 107 Ma (Albian) for the Agua Salada Member, 107–83.6 Ma (Santonian) for the La Escalera Member, the Arcoiris Member and, possibly, the Los Cóndores Member, and 79 Ma (Campanian) to 65 Ma (Paleocene) for the rest of the Purilactis and Barros Arana Formations.

The facies variations are intimately associated with the development of the Peruvian Phase; the “early” Peruvian Phase (around 107–83.6 Ma) encompassed deposition of the La Escalera Member, the Arcoiris and the Los Cóndores Members, whereas the “later” Peruvian Phase (79–65 Ma) witnessed deposition of most of the Purilactis and Barros Arana Formations. Although the former event shows deformation and uplift of the Cordillera de la Costa area, the latter presents an eastward jump of the orogenic front to the present Cordillera de Domeyko area. The units were most likely deposited in a foredeep setting, with an increasing proximity to the orogenic front; the front itself is partly a result of the exhumation and cannibalization of previous basins. The different events clearly broke the orogenic wedge into different sections, allowing the appearance of different sources reflected in the stratigraphic record.

In a regional context, these results are in accordance with the age of compression seen elsewhere in north-central Chile and the western margin of South America, and provide new information for the Mesozoic to Cenozoic evolution of the northern Central Andes.
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