Synthesis and magnetic properties of nanostructured metallic Co, Mn and Ni oxide materials obtained from solid-state metal-macromolecular complex precursors†
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The simple reaction of chitosan with metallic salts yields (chitosan)(MLn)ACIÓN, MLn = MnCl2, CoCl2, NiCl2, macromolecular complexes which, after a thermal treatment at 800 °C under air, give nanostructured Mn2O3, Co3O4 and NiO. The polymer acts as a template in the solid state, which is eliminated after the combustion process. At an intermediate stage, a layered graphitic carbon matrix was observed by HRTEM over the grown metal oxides. A mechanism for the growth of nanostructured oxides is discussed, including Raman studies. The nanostructured Mn2O3, Co3O4 and NiO particles grow over graphite layers and the solid-state role of chitosan is crucial for the formation of this graphite substrate. An antiferromagnetic transition was observed in Co3O4 nanoparticles, with TN = 38 K, whereas NiO nanoparticles behave as a superparamagnetic material with a blocking temperature above 300 K.

1. Introduction

Metal oxide nanoparticles are important for their wide use in modern science and technology.1–5 The development and innovation of new synthetic strategies for high-quality size- and shape-controlled metal oxide nanostructures are among the most important topics at the Frontier of scientific research. Also important is the development of new and reliable methods for the incorporation of the as-synthesized metal oxide nanoparticles into solid-state devices. The majority of the methods used for the preparation of metal oxide nanoparticles are solution-based.6–9 Although a solid-state method may allow the incorporation of nanoparticles to a solid-state device more easily (in a one-step process), few solid-state methods to produce metal oxide nanostructures have been reported.10,11 The reason for developing solid-state methods to prepare nanoparticles stems mainly from their possible application in solid-state materials and powder-oriented applications, such as thin film metal deposition of noble metal nanoparticle-carbon catalysts, oxide growth, photonic and dielectric materials, or new materials for Li-ion rechargeable batteries.12,13 The ability to rationally prepare metallic and metal oxide nanoparticles stems from the exploration of methods for alternative nanoscale metal deposition in solid-state nanoelectronics and nanotechnology.14–16 Furthermore, the production of metal nanoparticles from solutions by evaporation of the solvent often leads to 3D network materials where the nanostructured property is lost.17–19 Thus, the development of new solid-state methods to obtain metal oxide nanoparticles is a continuing challenge.

In the present work, we show how the chitosan polymer can be used as a solid-state template for the formation of metal oxides in the field of nanoscience with potential application in photonics, sensing, electrochromics, and environmental applications including photoelectrolysis of water and catalytic applications. According to previously reported solid-state methods using polymers as “templates”, the probable mechanism operative in this method could be:

(i) The chitosan allows an orderly and uniform distribution of the metal centers through the polymeric chain.

(ii) The organic matter between the metal centers is removed as CO2 and H2O after the pyrolysis process, creating holes which nucleate the metal centers, subsequently forming nanoparticles.20

(iii) At intermediate temperatures of the pyrolysis process, a graphite surface is formed from the organic polymer which...
acts as a solid-state template where the nanoparticles begin to grow.\textsuperscript{29} By comparison, pyrolysis of the metallic salts without the polymer present usually yields big agglomerated particles.\textsuperscript{21,22} In this paper, we present the preparation of $\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3$, $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$ and NiO nanoparticles from coordination metal-polymer complexes which grow over graphite.

Chitin is the second most abundant natural polymer after cellulose, being extracted mainly from shrimp and krill.\textsuperscript{23–25} Chitosan is derived from chitin by deacetylation with strong alkali. Its structure has been determined from molecular and crystal structure characterization of both the hydrated as well as dehydrated forms.\textsuperscript{26} Chitosan is a biodegradable, biocompatible and non-toxic polysaccharide that, owing to its interesting properties, has received considerable attention for environmental, agricultural and biomedical applications.\textsuperscript{27–29} One of the most interesting properties is its high capacity to form metal macromolecular complexes and its high efficiency for metal uptake from aqueous solutions.\textsuperscript{30–32} Chitosan metal complexes can be described in the so-called bridge model (I) by two or more amino groups.\textsuperscript{33} According to this bridge model, intra- or inter-molecular complexation may occur between the metal ion and amine and hydroxyl groups from the same or different chain models. Model (II) – the pendant model – involves only one amine or hydroxyl group as pendant group. In spite of these two models, the structure of macromolecular metal complexes is not yet known.\textsuperscript{34,35} Some IR\textsuperscript{36,37} studies support coordination model (I), and other X-ray\textsuperscript{38} studies support model (II). We present herein the preparation of the macromolecular metal complexes (chitosan) (MLn)$_x$, MLn = $\text{MnCl}_2$, $\text{CoCl}_2$, $\text{NiCl}_2$, and their thermal conversion to nanostructured $\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3$, $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$ and NiO by annealing treatment at 800 °C under air.\textsuperscript{39} In this process the chitosan acts as a “solid-state” template which is subsequently eliminated by the combustion of the organic matter. Several examples of the use of chitosan as stabilizer of metallic nanoparticles in solution have been reported,\textsuperscript{34,36–45} but not its use as a “solid-state” template.\textsuperscript{37}

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials and common procedures

$\text{MnCl}_2$·$2\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{CoCl}_2$·$6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{NiCl}_2$·$6\text{H}_2\text{O}$, from Aldrich, were used as received. Chitosan (Aldrich) of low molecular weight was also used as received. An estimation of the molecular weight (MW) was obtained by viscosity measurements following the Mark–Houwink equation. Using parameters \textsuperscript{[h]} previously reported by Brugnerotto \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{39} and an aqueous solution of acetic acid, NaCl and urea as a solvent, a value of MW = 61 000 was estimated. All the reactions were performed in $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ as solvent.

2.2 Synthesis of the precursors

$\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3$, $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$ and NiO nanocrystals were synthesized by a two-step solution-solid method according to our previous work.\textsuperscript{37} During the preparation of these transition metal (TM) oxides, two reactions occur: (i) the formation of the macromolecular complex, [(chitosan)TMCl$_x$, through a simple substitution coordination process and (ii) a solid-state combustion (under air) reaction of the [(chitosan)TMCl$_x$ complex to give the metal oxide, CO$_2$ and H$_2$O. Following a typical synthesis route, the metallic salt was added in a Schlenk tube over the $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ solvent under magnetic stirring, followed by the addition of the appropriate chitosan amount according to a 1 : 1 molar ratio. Reaction time and additional details for each metallic salt reaction are given in Table 1 of S1 ESI. After this, the supernatant solution (if the solid decanted) was extracted with a syringe and the solid was dried under reduced pressure to give a solid with diverse colors (see Table 1 and Fig. 2 of ESI S2†). Due to their insolubility, the characterization of the precursors was performed only by elemental analysis, IR spectroscopy and TG/DSC analysis. Coordination was confirmed by the behavior of the broad $\nu$(OH) in chitosan, which becomes unfolded upon coordination, a new band appearing around 3100 cm$^{-1}$. Approximate metal content was estimated from the elemental analysis as well as from the TG analysis.

2.3 Pyrolysis of the precursors

The pyrolysis of the precursors was carried out by pouring small portions (0.05–0.15 g) into alumina boats that were placed in a furnace (Daihan oven model Wise Therm FHP-12) under a flow of air. Heating temperatures were limited to 200 °C in a first step, and then to 800 °C, followed by a 2–4 h annealing in each case. The heating rate was consistently maintained at 10 °C min$^{-1}$ for all the experiments.

2.4 Characterization of the pyrolytic products

Solid samples obtained from the pyrolysis process were characterized by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM), Fourier transform infra-red (FTIR) spectroscopy, and thermogravimetric (TG) and differential scanning calorimetric (DSC) analyses. SEM images were acquired with a Philips EM 300 scanning electron microscope. Energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDS) was performed with a NORAN Instrument micro-probe attached to a JEOL 5410 scanning electron microscope. TEM data were acquired using a JEOL SX100 and a JEOL 2011 transmission electron microscope. HRTEM observations were performed using a JEOL 2000FX microscope at 200 kV. TEM samples were prepared by dispersing pyrolyzed material onto copper grids and dried at room temperature. For high-resolution examination of graphitic carbons, flakes of sonicated carbons were dispersed on grids and examined using SEM to determine their thickness. XRD was conducted at room temperature using a Siemens D-5000 diffractometer with $\theta$–$2\theta$ geometry. XRD data were collected using Cu-Ka radiation (40 kV, 30 mA). FTIR measurements were performed with a PerkinElmer FTIR spectrophotometer (model Spectrum BXII). Magnetic properties were recorded as a function of temperature ($2 \leq T \leq 300$ K) and field ($0 \leq H \leq 5$ T) using an MPMS-XL5 magnetometer from Quantum Design equipped with a SQUID detector. Powder samples were placed inside a gelatin capsule
whose diamagnetic contribution was acquired under the same experimental conditions used to measure the nanostructured materials. Raman dispersion measurements were performed using a DILOR XY spectrometer with a CCD detector and at a spectral resolution of 1.4 cm\(^{-1}\). The 514.53 nm line of an Ar\(^+\) ion laser was used as the excitation source, and the scattered light was collected through a \(\times 50\) microscope objective lens. The output power of the laser was kept to 15 mW in order to avoid significant local heating of the sample.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Preparation of the macromolecular precursors

The direct reaction of the metallic salts MnCl\(_2\), CoCl\(_2\) and NiCl\(_2\) with chitosan in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) as solvent yields very stable solids where the coordination of the metal ion to the polymer gives rise to different colors (see Table S1, ESI and Fig. S2, ESI†). For instance, white color was observed for (chitosan) [MnCl\(_2\)]\(_x\), blue for (chitosan) (CoCl\(_2\))\(_x\) and green for (chitosan) (NiCl\(_2\))\(_x\) precursors (see Fig. S2, ESI†). The reaction occurs in a partially soluble chitosan/insoluble metallic salt phase, and thus the equilibrium reaction slowly moves toward the formation of the respective product. Due to this, the reactions are slow and take about 2 weeks. The case of the (chitosan) (CoCl\(_2\))\(_x\) precursor is interesting, since the initially pink color of the starting hydrated CoCl\(_2\)-6H\(_2\)O salt changes on coordination to blue, indicating a loss of the hydration water molecules and concomitant coordination to the polymeric chain (see Fig. S2, ESI†). Metal content of the precursors, estimated from elemental analysis as well as from the TG curve analysis, varies from 45% to 92% (see Table S3, ESI). The estimated degree of coordination from both characterization techniques is, in general, in good agreement. On the other hand, the broad \(\nu\)(OH) + \(\nu\)(NH) observed at 3448 cm\(^{-1}\) for free chitosan becomes unfolded upon coordination, shifting in the range of 3345–3393 cm\(^{-1}\) for the macromolecular complexes\(^{37,38}\) (see Table S4, ESI†).

#### 3.2 Nanostructured metal oxides

Nanostructured Mn\(_2\)O\(_3\), Co\(_3\)O\(_4\) and NiO are obtained by the pyrolysis of the macromolecular metal complexes (chitosan) (MnCl\(_2\))\(_x\) (I) (chitosan) (CoCl\(_2\))\(_x\) (II) and (chitosan) (NiCl\(_2\))\(_x\) (III), respectively. The XRD patterns of the as obtained metal oxides are displayed in Fig. A–C in S5, ESI†. All the diffraction peaks can be indexed as cubic Mn\(_2\)O\(_3\) (201-071-0636),\(^{46,47}\) cubic Co\(_3\)O\(_4\) (00-043-1003)\(^{38}\) and cubic NiO (00-047-1049),\(^{48}\) respectively.

SEM images of the as-obtained Mn\(_2\)O\(_3\) are shown in Fig. 1. The morphology can be viewed as a set of arrangements of deformed and sometimes fused together cubohedral shapes. Consistent with this observation, TEM images show the presence of agglomerates of primary particles (see Fig. 2a) and HRTEM images (Fig. 2b and c) confirm that Mn\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanoparticles present typical particle size ranging from 10 to 50 nm. The measured spacing corresponds to the inter-plane distance (200) of cubic \(\alpha\)-Mn\(_2\)O\(_3\) (Fig. 2c). On the other hand, SAED (selected area electron diffraction) shows the pattern of two Mn\(_2\)O\(_3\) particles along the [211] direction (see Fig. 2d). In addition, Fig. 2f confirmed the presence of graphite adjacent to a Mn\(_2\)O\(_3\) particle (Fig. 2e), even after the calcination process, possibly avoiding nanoparticle agglomeration during growth. This formation behavior has been previously observed for Mn\(_2\)P\(_2\)O\(_7\) from pyrolysis of the precursor \{NP(OC\(_8\)H\(_{12}\))\(_2\) (OC\(_6\)H\(_4\)PPh\(_2\)-Mn(CO)\(_2\)(η\(^3\)-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Me))\}_\(_n\)\(^{49}\). It was evidenced by different experimental techniques that carbon forms and subsequently graphitizes, with the formation and eventual elimination of C–O, C=O, and other chemical groups. Subsequently, this carbon converts to a thermally conductive polycrystalline graphite matrix in which individual crystals can be formed. The graphitic matrix essentially acts as a solid-state template to form a one-step composite, and its thermal conductivity could prevent phase sublimation.

Although nanostructured Mn\(_2\)O\(_3\) has been obtained using solution methods and solution thermal procedures,\(^{46,47}\) to our knowledge this is the first successful example of Mn\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanoparticle production from a solid-state macromolecular metal complex pyrolysis.

TEM images of the as obtained Co\(_3\)O\(_4\) product are shown in Fig. 3. They exhibit an agglomerated morphology, similar to that obtained using different methods as reported previously.\(^{50,51}\) As found in those studies, TEM images showed bigger agglomerated particles (see Fig. 3(a)). The performed EDS analysis also confirmed the presence of Co and O atoms consistent with the formation of Co\(_3\)O\(_4\) (41.44 ± 0.79 of Co and
58.56 ± 2.46 of O, in wt%). However, a close inspection (see Fig. 3b and c) suggests the presence of zones where small nanoparticles (the smallest in the range of 8–10 nm) can be observed supported on carbon or some organic residue after the pyrolysis step.

In the case study of NiO, the as-obtained nanostructures exhibit metallic foam-like morphology in the SEM images of Fig. 4a–c. A few SEM studies on NiO have been reported showing this sponge-like morphology\(^\text{52}\) although mixed with some cuboidal shapes. EDS analysis confirmed the presence of Ni and O atoms, as observed in Fig. 4e. Further characterization by TEM showed isolated small aggregates (shown in Fig. 5), also consistent with results reported by other authors.\(^\text{49,52}\) As also observed, graphitic carbon formed during pyrolysis is possibly favoring the presence of aggregates. Finally, the SAED pattern (Fig. 5c) of the aggregate shown in Fig. 5b confirmed the crystallinity of the cubic NiO particles. Typical particle sizes vary from 10 to about 100 nm. The wide solid-state size distribution is typical of that of annealing methods for preparing nanostructured materials.\(^\text{10,11}\)

Metal oxides of Mn, Co and Ni form an interesting trio of magnetic materials.\(^\text{53}\) Syntheses of these metal oxide nanomaterials is usually done through solution methods. The majority of applications of these materials (as batteries, catalysts, and nanoelectronics devices) require the incorporation of the as-synthesized nanoparticles into solid-state devices. The magnetic properties of both Co\(_3\)O\(_4\) and NiO nanostructured oxides were studied through magnetization cycles as a function of temperature and field.

Fig. 6 shows the behavior of Co\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanoparticles measured under an applied field of 500 Oe in zero-field-cooled (ZFC)/field-cooled (FC) cycles. For this purpose, the sample is cooled down to 2 K under no magnetic field; an external field of 500 Oe is then applied and the response of the sample is recorded while...
3. Formation mechanism

A different behavior was observed in the case of the obtained NiO nanoparticles. Fig. 7, main panel, shows the ZFC/FC cycle measured under an applied field of 500 Oe, while the inset shows the up-down variation of the magnetization measured as a function of the applied field at $T = 5$ K and $T = 300$ K. The overall behavior suggests a superparamagnetic state similar to the one observed in NiO obtained by a solution method and also to our previous observations on CuO nanostructured materials, with a blocking temperature above room temperature, reminiscent of the antiferromagnetic ordering temperature of 530 K or above for bulk NiO. A ferromagnetic component apparently exists in this nanostructured material since a slight hysteresis, hardly visible in the $M$ vs. $H$ curves, occurs at both 5 and 300 K (inset), that is, below the blocking temperature. This ferromagnetic component also explains the difference in the ZFC and FC modes. A slight anomaly occurs around 25 K, which may be an indication of an antiferromagnetic component of similar nature as the one observed for the Co$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles. The quite linear variation of $M$ vs. $H$ (inset) at $T = 5$ K may be a confirmation of an antiferromagnetic component within the otherwise superparamagnetic matrix. Finite size effects have been suggested for nickel oxide obtained by different methods, with monodisperse nanoparticles ranging from 2 to 9 nm, with an antiferromagnetic ordered core and magnetically disordered shells. In our case, the smallest particles are also in the range of 10 nm, reinforcing the idea of interplay between antiferromagnetic interactions and a superparamagnetic state.

3.3 Formation mechanism

Some insight into the formation mechanism of the nanostructured materials from the precursor chitosan-MXn can be
obtained using the mechanism of formation of nanostructured metallic materials from the oligomer precursor \( \{NP[OC_8H_{12}]_{2} (OC_6H_{4}PPh_2\text{-Mn}[CO])_{2}\}[(\pi^{5}C_2H_4Me)]_{n} \).

The first step on heating involves the formation of a 3D network to produce a thermally stable matrix. This step is crucial because it offsets the sublimation. The first heating step could involve a cross-linking of the chitosan giving a 3D matrix containing O–M–O and H2N–M–NH2 links. The following steps involve the starting of the organic carbonization, producing holes where the nanoparticles begin to nucleate. According to TG/DSC analysis, this occurs at \( \sim400 \, ^\circ\text{C} \) for the molecular precursors. In this intermediate stage, a layered graphitic carbon host, detected in our previous work, was also detected for the formation of the Mn2O3, Co3O4, and NiO nanostructured oxides as shown in Fig. 8. The characteristic D and G bands of graphite in the 1300–1600 cm\(^{-1}\) range are clearly observed. However, the widths and relative intensities of the D and G bands, together with the probable presence of the D’ band, are indicators of some disorder in the monocristalline graphite. This is a somewhat expected behavior, since the Raman measurements were performed after a 400 °C treatment, which is an intermediate step in the overall thermal process.

By comparison to other proposed solid-state mechanisms where related macromolecular complexes lead to nanostructured metal pyrophosphates, the observation of the D and G bands is a direct evidence of the formation of carbonaceous intermediate materials forming a layered surface where the Mn2O3, Co3O4, and NiO nanostructured oxides grow. More specifically the \( I_D/I_G \) ratio (\( I_D \) and \( I_G \) being the integrated areas of the D and G bands respectively) vs. the laser power, that is a measure of the graphitization degree, follows the expected trend as is shown in Fig. 9. The values observed for the measurements at the lower laser power correspond to the carbonization degree obtained from the pyrolysis step at 400 °C. As laser power is increased, some graphitic carbon residues undergo additional combustion to CO2 and H2O and the \( I_D/I_G \) ratio rapidly decreases. On subsequent laser power increase, the \( I_D/I_G \) ratio decreases slowly. Due to the high degree of disorder, G and D’ bands are considered as a single G band for the \( I_D/I_G \) ratio calculations. It is well known that solid nanoparticles are stabilized by carbon in their different allotropic forms (amorphous C, graphite or graphene). Additionally in agreement with the proposed mechanism, see steps 2 and 3, the Raman measurements in several zones of the samples give some different \( I_D/I_G \) ratios as is shown in Table S6, ESI, pointing to the presence of an irregular graphitic surface where the metal oxides are formed. This is consistent with the fact that thermal solid-state processes give non-regular products.

The graphitic layer acts as a template where the nanoparticles grow. After complete combustion this template disappears, with some carbon residues remaining as an ultrathin carbon shell around the nanoparticles.

4. Conclusion

A solid-state method was used to obtain nanostructured metal oxides Mn2O3, Co3O4 and NiO by pyrolysis of the macromolecular precursors (chitosan) (MLn), yielding pure products with interesting magnetic properties. The formation of graphite in an intermediate step was corroborated by Raman measurements and also by HRTEM measurements, confirming the previously suggested formation mechanism in which this carbon phase acts as support for the growth and stabilization of the metal oxide nanoparticles. This carbon phase is crucial for the formation of the metal oxide nanoparticles in the solid state, and appears after the carbonization of the chitosan, confirming the important role of this polymer in stabilizing the solid Mn2O3, Co3O4 and NiO nanoparticles. This procedure could be a reliable and easy method to prepare metallic oxide nanoparticles with a variety of different metals.
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