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ABSTRACT. The purpose of this study was to establish if there was any difference in the GAGs content between loaded and unloaded surfaces of the joint. Furthermore, the results were compared between macroscopically healthy and damaged joints. Cartilage samples were obtained from two different zones of the equine metacarpophalangeal joint (metacarpal condyles). Samples were collected from the loaded surface of macroscopically healthy joints (N1; n=10) and from macroscopically damaged cartilage (P1; n=10). Additionally, cartilage samples were collected from unloaded areas at the most dorso-proximal zone of the joint in macroscopically healthy joints (N2; n=10) and from the macroscopically pathological joints but without damaged cartilage on the site of sampling (P2; n=10). The GAGs were extracted from 100 mg of cartilage of each sample and quantified through the safranine-O method that measured the total anionic charges, and through the carbazole method that measured the uronic acid content. Both methods measured the GAGs content, showing no differences between intra-joint zones (1 and 2), but when the GAGs content was compared between healthy and pathological joints, both methods showed a significantly decreased GAGs content in the damaged joints (1 and 2). These results show that the whole articular cartilage could be affected in a chronic pathological process and is not only a local process occurring in the macroscopically damaged cartilage associated with the loaded area.
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INTRODUCTION

Spontaneous joint disease is a common clinical problem in the horse. Surveys estimate that up to 60% of lameness are related to osteoarthritis (McIlwraith et al 2012) and they are generally related to high performance athletes. Usually, forelimbs are more affected than hindlimbs (Malikides 2007). The cartilage is a unique tissue lacking blood vessels and innervation, with 2 to 5% chondrocytes dispersed in the extracellular matrix (ECM) which mostly contains water (75%), collagen, mainly type II (15%) and proteoglycans (10%) (Malikides 2007). Type II collagen forms a network containing proteoglycans, which are high molecular weight molecules consisting of glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) covalently bound to a central protein. Aggrecan, also known as cartilage-specific proteoglycan, is the most abundant proteoglycan in the articular cartilage and its core protein is capable to bind up to 50 units of keratan sulfate and 100 units of chondroitin sulfate, being both very important GAGs in the ECM. Cartilage hyaluronan has the ability to bind aggrecan, forming large aggregated complexes (Palmer and Bertone 1994). All these molecules are critical components for cartilage structure and function of joints and they are responsible among others, for both the tensile and compression strength provided by type II collagen and proteoglycans, respectively (van der Harst et al 2005).

Degradation and loss of ECM components can be produced by apoptosis of chondrocytes, decreased metabolic activity through age possibly due to glycosylation processes (DeGroot et al 2001), and increased degradation by metalloproteinase (MMP) and aggrecanase activity during osteoarthritis. When the extracellular matrix is degraded, fragments of collagen and fibronectin are generated which stimulate the synthesis of proinflammatory cytokines, MMPs, and the immune response against the cartilage (Houard et al 2013).

Additionally, components of the extracellular matrix and cartilage thickness may increase due to dynamic compression (Guilak et al 2004), articular topography, and exercise intensity (Murray et al 2001, Tranquille et al 2009). However, in vitro studies have shown that excessive static compression causes proteoglycan loss.

The purpose of this study was to quantify by two different methods, the concentration of GAGs extracted from two different locations within the cartilage of healthy and damaged metacarpophalangeal joints (metacarpal condyles). In pathological joints the samples were obtained from the loaded surface with macroscopically damaged cartilage and from the unloaded surface without macroscopically damaged cartilage. Both pathological areas were compared with their counterparts of the macroscopically healthy joints. We hypothesised that the amount of total GAGs concentration extracted from both areas of damaged cartilage would be less than in both areas of normal cartilage.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

SAMPLES

Cartilage samples were obtained postmortem from 2 to 8 years-old crossbreed horses with macroscopically normal metacarpophalangeal joints (n=10) and from horses between 5 - 12 years-old with macroscopically abnormal metacarpophalangeal joints (n=10). Samples were obtained right after slaughter. No previous physical examination was performed. Macroscopic appearance of joints was assessed through visual inspection right after arthrotomy. Age was determined approximately by dental chronometry. Each joint corresponded to one horse hence 20 horses were used.

Commonly, the loaded areas in the pathological joints showed signs of cartilage damage at visual inspection, situation not seen in the unloaded areas of pathological joints selected for this study. Normal joints showed a smooth and bright cartilage surface, pearly color, and a synovial membrane without signs of congestion. On the other hand, abnormal joints showed cartilage fibrillation, erosion and/or wear lines, changes in cartilage color, and synovial membrane congestion (Adarmes et al 2003).

Cartilage samples from the pathological joints were collected through a tangential cut using a scalpel blade from both medial and lateral condyles starting at the sagittal ridge all the way through the loaded surface of the joint (P1). Another set of samples was obtained starting 2 cm above P1 directed dorso-proximal (P2) representing the unloaded surface of the cartilage. Sampling for normal joints was conducted in the same manner as described for the pathological ones. Cartilage samples were kept in an ice bath and then frozen individually (-70°C) until their processing.

GAGS EXTRACTION

Each 100 mg of cartilage were crushed and kept at 1:30 ratio with acetone for 24 hours at room temperature. Afterwards, samples were dried at 80°C for 30 minutes and then digested with papain 0.1 mg/mL of phosphate buffer0.1M, EDTA 0.005 M, and cysteine-HCl 0.005 M pH 6.5. For digestion 1 mL enzymatic solution for every 20mg dried cartilage was used and kept for 48 hours at 65°C.

Proteins were then precipitated with trichloroacetic acid 20% at 4°C for 12 hours. The precipitate was separated by centrifugation at 7,000 x g for 30 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was dialysed with distilled water at 1:10 ratio for 48 hours at 4°C, and then every 24 hours for 3 times. The dialysate was treated with 4 volumes of sodium acetate 1% in 95% ethanol for 24 hours at room temperature allowing precipitation of GAGs. Glycosaminoglycans were separated by centrifugation at 7,000 x g for 30 minutes at 10°C. The pellet was dried at 40°C for 30 minutes, and then kept in a drying hood for 12 hours. The extracts obtained were weighed and stored at 4°C. Finally, an aqueous solution 0.2% was prepared from each sample in order to determine the total GAGs and uronic acids content (Nakano et al 1986).

TOTAL GAGS DETERMINATION

For GAGs determination, a spectrophotometric method was used. The technique is based on the use of Safranin O as cationic dye allowing quantification of GAGs anionic charges (Carrino et al 1991) (this technique includes the detection of chondroitin sulfate and keratan sulfate, the two GAGs that form constituting elements of the aggrecan). In brief, this method consisted of a dot blot system where a nitrocellulose membrane was loaded with 250 μL Safranin O 0.02% in sodium acetate 50 mM pH 4.8, and finally 25 μL of diluted sample 0.02% (5 μg GAGs) was added. Afterwards, vacuum was applied to remove the liquid fraction and then washed with sodium acetate 50 mM pH 4.8. The nitrocellulose membrane was then dissolved in 1 mL of cetilpiridinium 10% at 37°C for 20 minutes and absorbance was determined at 536 nm. 25 μL solution of chondroitin sulfate ranging between 2 to 8 μg was used as a standard.

URALIC ACID DETERMINATION

Chondroitin sulfate concentration can be determined indirectly measuring the uronic acid present in GAGs with the carbazole method (Bitter and Muir 1962). Briefly, GAGs solution 0.2% was diluted to 0.01%. Then, 2.5 mL of concentrated sulfuric acid containing tetraborate sodium 0.025 M were added to 500 μL of GAGs diluted solution (50 μg GAG). This mixture was kept in a water bath for 10 minutes, and then 250 μL carbazole 0.125% in absolute ethanol were added. The solution was kept in a water bath for 15 minutes for color development. The absorbance was determined at 530 nm. 500 μL sodium glucuronate ranging between 10 to 40 μg were used as a standard.
STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical analysis was run on InfoStat\(^1\). As some of the GAGs values were not normally distributed (Shapiro-Wilk test, \(P<0.05\)), non-parametric test were used. Wilcoxon test was applied for comparisons of data obtained from the same horse (N1-N2; P1-P2) while Mann-Whitney U test was performed for values coming from different horses (N1-P1; N2-P2). Significance threshold was set at \(P<0.05\). Results were expressed as \(\mu g\) GAGs/mL ± SD.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The GAG content (GAGs \(\mu g / mL\)) in normal joints determined by Safranin O test was 0.047 ± 0.015 (N1) and 0.039 ± 0.007 (N2) while in damaged joints it was 0.027 ± 0.003 (P1) and 0.032 ± 0.022 (P2) (figure 1). No statistically significant differences were found when both zones intra-joint were analysed but a significant decreased in GAGs concentration was seen in P1 and P2 when compared with N1 and N2 (\(P<0.05\)).

Total GAG content (GAGs \(\mu g/mL\)) in normal joints determined by the carbazole method was 0.0050 ± 0.0009 (N1) and 0.0046 ± 0.0013 (N2) while in damaged joints was 0.0021 ± 0.0004 (P1) and 0.0022 ± 0.0011 (P2) (figure 2).
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No statistically significant differences were found when both zones intra-joint were analysed but a significant decrease in GAGs concentration was observed in macroscopically damaged joints (P1 and P2) when compared with normal joints (N1 and N2) (\(P<0.05\)).

Both tests used in this study were able to measure the GAGs concentration and both showed a significant decrease in GAGs content in damaged joints (P1 and P2). The Safranin O quantifies total GAGs by measuring anionic charges content present in chondroitin sulphate and keratan sulfate. Keratan sulfate contains one anionic charge while chondroitin sulphate contains two anionic charges. Chondroitin sulphate was used as standard due its quantitative importance in aggrecan and its content in anionic charges. Otherwise, the carbazole test measures the uronic content present in chondroitin sulphate but not in keratan sulfate, this situation could explain partially the lower concentration detected, approximately 11 fold less than with the Safranin O method, a more unspecific test.

The cartilage extracellular matrix decrease can be attributed to the damage produced by the action of pro-inflammatory mediators and the activation of MMPs together with cell death, such as necrosis and apoptosis, and decreased collagen and proteoglycan synthesis (Goldring and Goldring 2007, Houard et al 2013, Stevens et al 2009). In human knee osteoarthritis (OA) a decrease in chondroitin sulfate content was found as a result of chondroitin sulfate glycosyltransferase gene down-regulation (Ishimaru et al 2014). OA can be initiated by overload single-compression (Kurz et al 2005) as well as prolonged cyclic compression (Blain et al 2001) on articular cartilage. Equine articular
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**Figure 1.** Glycosaminoglycans concentration (\(\mu g/mL\± SD\)) determined by Safranin O test and extracted from loaded and unloaded zones of healthy and damaged metacarpophalangeal joints.

* *Significant difference between N1- P1 (\(P<0.05\)); ** Significant difference between N2- P2 (\(P<0.05\)).
cartilage explants stimulated with interleukin-1β during 25 days released to culture media aggrecan fragments between days 3 and 6, similar to early-stage OA (Svala et al 2015). In early-stage OA, there is increased GAG synthesis and content in cartilage from areas flanking OA lesions compared to cartilage from macroscopically normal unaffected regions, while this content decreases in late-stage OA (Venkatesan et al 2012). The aforementioned could explain why there are no differences in cartilage thickness between normal and moderately damaged joints, despite the differences in the biomechanical properties of two different areas within the cartilage along with degenerative changes in the first phalanx of the metacarpophalangeal joint (Brommer et al 2005). Therefore, the results of this study would be more likely related to the selection of markedly damaged joints like late-stage OA instead of moderately damaged joints.

Both groups of horses selected for this study had a wide range of age: 2 to 8 years-old for normal joints and 5 to 12 years-old for abnormal joints. It has been reported that the total GAGs content of the metacarpophalangeal joint remains relatively constant through age, and it has been described that changes only occur in the sulfation pattern of chondroitin sulphate (Platt et al 1998). On the other hand, Hui et al (2016) described a progressive loss of extracellular matrix and cellularity related with aging, nevertheless, that study was conducted in mice ranging between 3 and 30 months old. Thus, we suggest that age range of the animals selected did not affect the results obtained in this study.

Exercise has been related with an increase in cartilage thickness (Tranquille et al 2009) and proteoglycan content, especially newly formed small monomers (Palmer et al 1995). Palmer et al (1995) took cartilage samples from different places within the third carpal bone and no differences were seen, regarding the content of newly formed proteoglycans. The same study showed that total endogenous or pre-existing proteoglycan content did not change with exercise, but when they compared the proteoglycan content between different sites within the joint, significant differences were found, which could be related to biomechanical factors (Palmer et al 1995, Brommer et al 2005). In humans, it has been stated that both the thickness and cartilage composition, depend on mechanical and biomechanical factors including muscle contraction (Ganse et al 2015). The crossbreed horses selected for our study, did not usually have a sporting purpose and therefore this variable probably did not influence the results. Additionally, the study excluded Thoroughbred horses associated with competitive exercise.

On the other hand, each normal or damaged joint showed no difference between both areas of cartilage analysed, although the middle area of the condyles supports greater mechanical load and would be more likely to develop lesions (Harrison et al 2014) with a decrease in the content of GAGs. The interesting thing about these results is that in badly damaged joints the cartilage is affected as a whole, it would be subjected to conditions that reduce the content of GAGs and not just those areas that support greater mechanical stress, which will ultimately affect functionality.
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