ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Rewilding-inspired transhumance for the restoration of semiarid silvopastoral systems in Chile

 $\begin{array}{l} Meredith \ Root-Bernstein^{1,2,3} \cdot Mat(as \ Guerrero-Gatica^{3,4} \cdot Luis \ Piña^5 \cdot \\ Cristián \ Bonacic^6 \cdot Jens-Christian \ Svenning^1 \cdot Fabián \ M. \ Jaksic^7 \end{array}$

Received: 14 May 2015/Accepted: 2 May 2016/Published online: 16 July 2016 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2016

Abstract Nomadic pastoralism and transhumance are ancient human adaptations to the movements of large herbivores, which themselves migrate to follow favorable environmental conditions. Free-ranging livestock production has been criticized as less water efficient than factory farming and crop production. This fails to take into account both the additional ecosystem services made possible by rainfall over rangelands, and the ability of free-ranging animals to track water availability across environmental gradients. By analogy to transhumance, we propose a model of "transhumant rewilding," or species reintroduction with managed herding of wild ungulates for the ecological restoration and sustainability of food production in (silvo)pastoral systems. We consider preliminary evidence for the feasibility of this model with a case study from central Chile in which guanacos (Lama guanicoe) could be

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s10113-016-0981-8) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Meredith Root-Bernstein mrootbernstein@gmail.com

- ¹ Section for Ecoinformatics and Biodiversity, Department of Bioscience, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark
- ² Department of Ecology, Pontificia Catholic University of Chile, Santiago, Chile
- ³ Institute for Ecology and Biodiversity, Santiago, Chile
- ⁴ Faculty of Sciences, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile
- ⁵ Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agronomical Sciences, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile
- ⁶ School of Agriculture and Forestry Engineering, Pontificia Universidad Catolica de Chile, Santiago, Chile
- ⁷ Center of Applied Ecology and Sustainability (CAPES), Catholic University of Chile, Santiago, Chile

used to help restore a silvopastoral savanna ("espinal") via browsing and endozoochory. First, we present preliminary data on guanaco foraging in espinal. Second, we use a GIS analysis to identify least-cost paths between areas of high and low espinal condition in central Chile and assess the feasibility of using them as migratory pathways. Finally, we consider the relative ecosystem service advantages and costs of the transhumant rewilding scenario compared to other restoration and agricultural development scenarios for central Chile. We conclude that transhumant rewilding has the potential to be a useful model for rewilding-inspired land management in cultural landscapes and can contribute to food security and sustainable agricultural production.

Keywords Rewilding · Transhumance · *Lama guanicoe* · Ecosystem services · Food security · Silvopastoral

Introduction

Traditional pastoralist systems, especially in drylands, are adapted to geographic and temporal variation in rainfall, and thus availability of resources. Nomadism and seasonal transhumance allow livestock to track environmental variability (FAO 2001; Kratli et al. 2013). Traditional pastoralist systems thus represent a solution to sustainable, extensive, biodiversity-friendly food production under variable, especially arid and drought-risk, conditions (FAO 2001). Pastoralism and various forms of extensive rangeland livestock production are gaining traction in some areas, e.g., novel silvopastoral systems funded by payment for ecosystem service (PES) schemes (Giraldo et al. 2011; Montagnini and Finney 2011), while losing ground elsewhere due to criticisms of inefficiency and poor management, particularly in drylands and rangelands (FAO 2001; Mekonnen and Hoekstra 2012). Here, we examine pastoral systems as a potential component in sustainable and biodiversity-friendly food production systems of the future (Oteros-Rozas et al. 2013).

Pastoralism encompasses a range of strategies, both over historical time and over space (FAO, 2001). Pastoralism broadly evolved from hunting large herbivore species as they track the environment on their own, to managing the take of these species, to domesticating them into a nomadic or transhumance system (Alvard and Kuznar 2001; Manzano Baena and Casas 2010; Bar-Oz et al. 2011; Niven et al. 2012), but arguably the greatest difference in the human-herbivore-environment relationship emerges with sedentarization of pastoralists. Sedentarization is often related to attempts to govern human populations rather than environmental imperatives, and often has negative effects on the socioecological system (Sayre et al. 2013). Transhumant and nomadic pastoralists often play an important role in the exchange of edible species, goods, and social relations within large and environmentally challenging regions (Scheele 2010; Manzano Baena and Casas 2010). If livestock cannot move significant distances, their raising becomes decoupled from environmental variability (not only climatic, but also related to any stochastic ecological processes). Livestock are thus both more exposed to local resource limitations and more coupled to external production systems that must be accessed to buffer local climatic variability (FAO 2001; Pedersen and Benjaminsen 2008; Kratli et al. 2013). Consequently, we must consider the design of an effective (silvo)pastoral system in the context of the challenges imposed by sedentary production, which decouples management from the local environment (Kratli et al. 2013). One kind of solution has been to disconnect livestock raising inputs from the local environment through intensive factory farming. Reacting against factory farming, traditional extensive pastoralism is now trying to make a case for its sustainability in terms of ecosystem service values (Hoffman and Boerma 2014; FAO 2001). Further along the continuum of human-large herbivore relations, is there another kind of solution in which pastoralism can move even more toward "wild" systems?

Rewilding is an emerging trend in conservation, primarily in developed countries (Svenning et al. 2016; Jepson 2016). Rewilding has been described, *inter alia*, as the reintroduction of one or more usually large animal species acting as a keystone species, ecosystem engineer or topdown trophic influence, to restore natural ecosystem functioning, resulting in ecological restoration usually via subsequent passive management, and potentially changing human relationships to the landscape (Sandom et al. 2012; Lorimer and Driessen 2014; Lindon and Root-Bernstein 2015; Svenning et al. 2016). One strand of rewilding focuses on the use of large herbivores to transform habitats through herbivory (Olff et al. 1999; Vera 2000). This approach has roots in managed grazing to maintain certain types of grasslands and cultural landscapes (Gordon et al. 2004; Rook et al. 2004; Papanastasis 2009). Another important function is seed dispersal (Poschlod and Bonn 1998). Although the ecological principles are one and the same (Asner et al. 2004), rewilding is considered to be radically different from managed grazing due to its focus on the spontaneous activities of wild animals and, linked to this, by being process-oriented rather than end-state oriented (Hughes et al. 2011, 2012).

Proponents of rewilding often explicitly wish to create or preserve areas of "wilderness." Wilderness is a subjective cultural category (Cronon 1996) and herein lies the effect on human relationships to the environment. Rewilding as currently practiced takes advantage of the poor economy and rural land abandonment to create something that, in EU policy terms at least, is new (Navarro and Pereira 2012; Lorimer and Driessen 2014; Jepson 2016). There are, however, other possible models of rewilding that draw much less on classical European and North American visions of wilderness while addressing different challenges and policy gaps. Here, we focus on rewilding-inspired approaches to conservation and management of dryland silvopastoral systems.

Rewilding, (silvo)pastoralism, and food security

Food security refers to access to sufficient, safe, and nutritious food meeting dietary needs and food preferences (Declaration of the World Summit on Food Security, FAO 1996). A number of factors can threaten food security throughout the food chain, from crop failure, through to post-retailing waste (Eriksen et al. 2009; Parfitt et al. 2010; Ingram 2011). One aspect of maintaining food security involves diversifying food production and buffering it against environmental variability (Vermeulen et al. 2012). This can be particularly important in drylands with a history of soil degradation and variable rainfall (Budds 2004; Solh and van Ginkel 2014).

The production of animal products is less water and energy efficient than the production of nutritionally equivalent plant products, due to the inefficient conversion of plant material fed to animals (Pimentel et al. 1997; Capone et al. 2013; Mekonnen and Hoekstra 2012). Grazing systems are also many times less efficient in converting water to food than are industrial livestock production systems (Mekonnen and Hoekstra 2012; Gerbens-Leenes et al. 2013). A larger amount of rainwater goes into producing less-digestible forage and non-forage plants over wide areas in pastoral habitats, compared to the relatively small amount of rainwater used to produce nutritious feed crops fed to fast-growing livestock in industrial systems (Mekonnen and Hoekstra 2012). According to these analyses, if the water footprint were the only variable in consideration, and assuming that food distribution problems were resolved, then global food production should shift away from animal production and toward industrially farmed plant products including vegetables and cereals in suitable environments (Vanham et al. 2013; Smith 2013; Stehfest et al. 2009), or at least switch to more efficient fodder crops (Bosire et al. 2015). These analyses are not without critique. Other approaches have claimed that lowinput pastured cattle in non-irrigated systems have a water footprint similar to cereal crops (Ridoutt et al. 2012). Scholz et al. (2013) argue convincingly that water that soaks into the soil from rainfall in drylands has no other possible use than extensive grazing; the assumption underlying the analyses cited above, that it could be diverted to growing crops, is incorrect. Scholz et al. (2013) further argue that achieving increases in efficiency in extensive dryland pasture systems is feasible and makes this an attractive option for increasing food security in drylands.

An additional critique comes from a multifunctional perspective on extensive dryland livestock pasturing. Food security also considers access to traditional diets (including meat), provision of ecosystem services, and sustainability of the agricultural socioecological system (Vermeulen et al. 2012; Eriksen et al. 2009). Livestock production in semiarid rangelands can be compatible with wildlife conservation and associated ecosystem services (Tilman et al.

2002; Tscharntke et al. 2012; Bosire et al. 2015). If we take into account the contribution of rainwater over extensive (silvo)pastoral habitats to the production of provisioning, regulating, and cultural services, then extensive grazing systems have a much higher and more diverse "yield" than intensive farming (Hoffman and Boerma 2014).

Rewilding-inspired management approaches—i.e., with a focus on wild animals as the herded animals—can be applied to many aspects of silvopastoral systems, in particular those that respond to abiotic and biotic variability. Provocatively, we suggest that this might include restoring the human cultural practices or processes of coping with environmental variability that take the form of current and past (silvo)pastoral systems. We identify several elements of silvopastoral systems that could be rewilded to provide added adaptive dynamism and resilience, see Table 1.

Case study: restoration of the espinal with guanacos in central Chile

Central Chile is a semiarid region with a Mediterranean climate and high rainfall variability due to ENSO (van Leeuwen et al. 2013). Little is known about potential pastoralist practices of the now extinct indigenous people of central Chile, the Picunche. They had a domestic camelid called the chilihueque, which went extinct around the 1600s (Miller 1980). Like nomadic indigenous groups across the Andes, the Picunche might also have hunted or herded territorial or migratory guanacos (*Lama guanicoe*) (Medina and Rivero 2007). Guanacos were originally

Table 1 Elements of silvopastoralism that could be rewilded

Element	Potential rewilding example
Movement of large herbivores over the landscape	Keep some or all animals in a semi-wild state and allow to track environmental variability across large landscapes
Forage provision	Grazing and browsing with little supplementation from agriculture. Subject to natural rainfall and growing season patterns. Can include unpalatable as well as palatable plants, which can improve digestion and weight gain
Species identity and function	Non-domestic, semi-domestic or "dedomesticated" species and breeds that have needed ecological restoration functions as well as marketable products, e.g., camelids (wool), reindeer (fur). Mixed herds of compatible grazers and browsers, including guard animals such as llamas and donkeys
Tree and shrub cover mosaics	Allow to evolve through herbivory and disturbance. Overstocking should be regulated to prevent degradation where this is a potential outcome, but below the degradation threshold, temporal variation in cover should be expected
Habitat for biodiversity	Allow to evolve through herbivory and disturbance. Ground-nesting birds that are sensitive to trampling may decrease, as should rodents, but other species will move into newly created habitats
Human cultural adaptations to variability	Shepherding, transhumance. Foraging of, e.g., mushrooms, herbs, fruits, honey, game, reeds. Artesanal/ "paleotechnics" production from wild, semi-wild, and domestic herbivores, such as wool, fur, skins, milk, cheese, meat, horn, bone. Management that is responsive to (socio)environmental change via LEK and social learning, e.g., taking advantage of modern participative and adaptive management approaches and technologies

Rewilding is here used in the sense of allowing natural ecosystem processes to take effect through passive management of the system, allowing system components to react to environmental variability rather than meeting set targets, and allowing humans to also participate in carrying out ecosystem processes and to adapt their behavior flexibly along with other components of the system

native to a large part of the southern cone of South America (Baldi et al. 2008), including central Chile, until about 500 years ago when they were extirpated from this region by Spanish colonists (Miller 1980). Guanacos are generalists that can live in grasslands, savannas, woodlands, and forest (González et al. 2006). Guanacos are hypothesized to have brought *Acacia caven*, the dominant tree in modern central Chilean silvopastoral savannas ("espinal"), from the Argentinian chaco via endozoochory, between 10,000 and 2000 years ago (Ovalle et al. 1990). Despite this potentially late arrival date, this does not mean that savanna must also be relatively novel, as *A. caven* is proposed, on inductive grounds, to have replaced *Prosopis chilensis*, another Fabaceae, relatively recently in a savanna or open woodland habitat (Fuentes et al. 1989).

Historical trends in espinal conditions are largely unknown, but it appears that degradation pressures such as harvesting A. caven for firewood and charcoal production may have diminished somewhat since the 1990s (M. Root-Bernstein, pers. obs.). Despite estimates that A. caven espinal covers up to 2 million ha (Peri et al. 2016), espinal, as a silvopastoral system, or as an Acacia-dominated savanna, is not an official land cover type considered in maps created by the Chilean Forestry Service (in charge of conservation), and consequently data on its dynamics are incomplete. A recent pair of book chapters giving an overview of both traditional and novel silvopastoral systems in Chile spend exactly three sentences on espinal silvopastoralism, with more attention given to systems based on non-native plantation trees (Dube et al. 2016; Rojas et al. 2016). We believe that the espinal should be conserved because there is no evidence that it is an "unnatural" degradation (Root-Bernstein and Jaksic 2013). Rather, it appears to be part of the successional network linking matorral (scrub) habitat and sclerophyllous forest, and thus should be protected along with these other habitat types to ensure area for future forest regeneration and successional dynamics (Root-Bernstein and Jaksic 2015). All of these habitats, including espinal, are home to many central Chilean endemic plants and other species, and together they represent the only Mediterranean-climate habitats of South America (Simonetti 1999; Myers et al. 2000). We also believe (and examine, in this paper) that it is broadly preferable to the other land cover types into which it is most likely to be converted without conservation measures.

As in other drylands, water security is an important issue in central Chile (Budds 2004). As in other silvopastoral systems, a key factor in reducing water use and improving meat yield in espinal savanna is provision of shade (Olivares 2006; Ovalle et al. 2006; Campos Paciullo et al. 2011). Shade cover in espinals increases as *A. caven* canopies increase with tree age, and growth can be stimulated via pruning as a management intervention (Vita et al. 1995; Navarro Gutiérrez 1995). Specifically, like other acacias, *A. caven* shows compensatory growth, whereby pruning, simulating browsing, causes increased regrowth (Gadd et al. 2001; Fornara and du Toit 2007; Dangerfield and Modukanele 1996; Gowda 1997). Compensatory growth after pruning suggests that *A. caven* is adapted to browsing by missing herbivores (compare Bond et al. 2004; Doughty et al. 2016).

We hypothesize the guanaco (L. guanicoe) is likely to be one of the missing browsing herbivores to which A. caven is adapted, and the only one that is not extinct. Domestic species common in espinal (cattle, horses) are grazers, not browsers. After the Early Holocene megafaunal extinctions, guanacos spread and increased in abundance throughout South America (González et al. 2006). In addition to showing adaptations to browsing, A. caven seeds germinate at a higher rate after large herbivore endozoochory (Gutiérrez and Armesto 1981). Although there are reports of guanacos browsing on trees or shrubs when available during winter (Puig et al. 1996, 1997; Cavieres and Fajardo 2005; González et al. 2006), we have encountered uncertainty about guanacos as potential A. caven browsers under non-starvation conditions. One of our goals is thus to test whether guanacos voluntarily browse these spiny trees. We predict that guanacos can stimulate growth and help seed germination of A. caven in degraded espinals. We are currently running a multivear experiment, called Proyecto REGenera (Restoration of Espinal with Guanacos) at the private nature reserve Altos de Cantillana in central Chile to test these predictions about browsing, growth, and germination. Proyecto REGenera, which uses penned guanacos, is a first approach to assess the feasibility of transhumance between espinals. Preliminary results are encouraging and allow us to address the challenges of extrapolating from the penning study to transhumance, including issues such as spatial scaling, temporal periodicity of browsing, and in a final step, social feasibility.

Here, we test the feasibility of a model for restoration in which shepherds herd guanacos across the landscape, along a network of suitable movement corridors in and out of fenced espinals, in a seasonal and cyclical manner in order to target areas that can be restored by guanaco browsing. To substantiate the feasibility of this model inspired by both transhumance and rewilding, we first present preliminary data from Proyecto REGenera, focusing on guanaco foraging behavior. Secondly, we use GIS analysis to ask whether guanacos could be used to deliver pulses of restoration browsing in the most degraded espinals (probably in winter), with shepherded movement along suitable corridors to more-productive espinals during the rest of the year (probably summer), following the logic of either the seasonal movements of the wild guanacos, or the transhumance potentially practiced by the Picunche people with herded guanacos (hereafter "transhumant rewilding"). We see such a system as more feasible than re-establishing fully wild, freely migrating guanacos in central Chile due to the high density of fences, roads, and agricultural land, and feral dog packs. The likelihood of human-wildlife conflict and high guanaco mortality makes this scenario likely suboptimal, and we do not consider it further here. Guanacos will have to be owned (providing a monetary and regulatory stake in their welfare) and shepherded by shepherds for protection, along suitable corridors linking enclosed espinal habitats across the landscape. We assume that restoration of espinal by rewilding is a multidecade process and that transhumant rewilding will be cyclical. To address the feasibility of transhumant rewilding, we ask whether high- and low-quality espinals are near each other, compatible with a set of small-scale community-based networks of corridors linking high- and low-quality sites, or whether high- and low-quality espinals are dispersed at a regional scale, more compatible with a regionally organized, long-distance transhumant rewilding network. The least-cost paths forming the links in the networks we identify with this GIS analysis correspond to suitable movement corridors along which guanacos can be herded by shepherds between espinals. We also examine whether the networks show variation in length, connectivity, and position under fluctuations in climate associated with ENSO. Finally, we consider the potential ecosystem service benefits from guanaco restoration of the espinal silvopastoral system. We ask what the key benefits and costs are under four different scenarios of land-use change. Under the status quo, espinals are not restored and economic incentives continue to favor gradual conversion of espinal and other habitats to high-investment, high-yield fruit and wine production for export (Armesto et al. 2009; Schulz et al. 2010). We compare this to a scenario in which all espinal has already been rapidly converted either to factory farms or fruit and wine production, and a third scenario in which all espinal has been converted either to factory farms or protected sclerophyllous forest. Our fourth scenario is restoration of espinal with guanacos. Although we lack sufficient data to monetize or otherwise value these services and costs, we highlight key services and costs that distinguish between the cases.

Methods

Site and animals

The experimental site is a fenced 0.5 ha area of espinal at the entrance to the private reserve Altos de Cantillana (Fig. 1). The size of the site was dictated by the availability and feasibility of fencing the site. A fence surrounded by an electrical circuit was necessary not only to keep the guanacos inside the site, but also to keep out feral dogs. The guanacos used in the experiment are five castrated adult males from the breeding facility of Fauna Australis, a research group of the Pontifical Catholic University of Chile. Approval for the conditions of the experiment was obtained from, and the ongoing welfare of the guanacos is monitored by, SAG (Agriculture and Livestock Service) and the veterinarians on our research team.

Experimental conditions and procedure

The site is divided into four sections of 0.125 ha each. In winter and spring (the wet season), the guanacos spend between 4 and 2 weeks in each section (the shorter time interval prevents over-grazing in late spring as aridity increases) and are rotated from one section to the next clockwise (the "pulse" treatment). In summer and fall (December-May), they are allowed to move freely throughout the enclosure, in order to reduce the intensity of grazing on the herbaceous understory. Although Oba et al. (2000) report that Indigofera spinosa shows the largest compensation effect when pruned in the dry season, we decided to implement the browsing pulse in the wet season due to the reverse phenology of A. caven compared to other deciduous plants in Chile (it has leaves during the dry season), which may also reverse its growth response relative to the season. In other words, we follow Oba et al. (2000) in implementing more-intense browsing when the trees have no leaves, in the expectation that this will stimulate increased growth during their next growth season. Cromsigt and Kuijper (2011) also report that early-growth-season pulses of herbivory are associated with trees adapted to herbivory. Whether or not the rotational plan replicates the natural migratory patterns of guanaco in central Chile is unknown as we are not aware of any historical data on their migration in that area. Guanacos may have avoided colder temperatures at higher altitude or further south by moving into the central valley of central Chile in winter (the rainy season), where they could have eaten the fresh herbaceous substrate and leafless A. caven. However, they may also have eaten A. caven leaves and shoots in early summer, before moving south or to higher elevations. Our rotational plan is based on the expectation that winter herbivory will give the largest compensatory growth response. The rotational phase of the experiment also allows us to track the temporal lag of growth following known periods of herbivory. These data will help us to assess how long guanacos should remain on a site to induce growth but not damage the trees.









N

Legend Mediterranean Chile Ecoregion Dominant Habitat Type Value cropland grassland tree cover shrub cover sparse vegetation bare soil snow and glacier

Fig. 1 Location of the experimental site for Proyecto REGenera

The rotational plan is not transhumance. However, it is intended to provide basic data on the guanaco–*A. caven* interaction, including information on the conditions under which guanaco browse *A. caven*, which parts of the tree are browsed, the size of the growth response of *A. caven* to guanaco browsing, and the effects of season and browsing intensity on that response, that could be applied to designing a transhumant rewilding system.

Characteristics of each experimental sector and the comparison site are shown in the Supplementary Data.

The guanacos were introduced to Section A on June 18, 2014. During the first month, we monitored their behavior in order to determine an appropriate supplementary feeding amount. We currently lack the necessary data to calculate the appropriate stocking rate for guanacos in espinal; these data will be obtained over the course of the experiment. The time required for the espinal to recover from intensive herbivory is also unknown, so, given the restricted space available for the experiment, attenuating the herbivory pressure with supplementary alfalfa is necessary. The amount of supplementary alfalfa is adjusted according to monitoring of the guanacos' body conditions.

To determine how guanacos forage and behave in *A. caven* savanna habitat, we record behaviors using a focal animal method. Each animal was observed by a single individual for four sessions of 10 min at a time, in a random order, over a period of 4 h during the day, 3 days per week. Here, we report on observations in September, October, and November of 2014. Behaviors were recorded in JWatcher.

In addition, we downloaded photographs from two camera traps that were originally set up to monitor the site rather than guanaco behavior *per se*, but which captured many images of the guanacos. These were in sections A (October 2014, January, and April 2015), and B (November 2014) of the enclosure.

GIS analysis

There is no regional map of espinal land cover, so we obtained a map of *A. caven* distribution derived from official land cover maps produced for Chile by CONAF, the Chilean Forestry Service (M. Bennett, unpublished data). The vast majority of the obtained areas with *A. caven* were classed as matorral which is defined as having <10 % tree cover and 10–75 % shrub cover, or as "matorral arborescente" or "tree matorral" which is defined as having between 10 and 25 % tree cover. While we do not focus on the contribution or role of shrubs in espinal in this paper, they are associated with increased ecosystem processes in espinal and thus contribute positively to espinal condition (Root-Bernstein and Jaksic 2015). We mapped an approximation of espinal condition using a multicriteria

analysis. We combined available measures of NDVI from (http://noaasis.noaa.gov/NOAASIS/ml/avhrr. AVHRR html), and NPP and evapotranspiration (ET) from MODIS. NDVI was split between summer (October-March) and winter (April-September). Due to the reverse phenology of A. caven, summer NDVI is dominated by the contribution of A. caven in espinal, while winter NDVI is dominated by the contribution of the understory in espinal (Gerstmann et al. 2010). This allows us to split the two vegetation components. Our measure of NPP and ET was available at 1 km resolution, while the NDVI data were only available at 8 km resolution. We therefore took the mean of NPP and ET data at 8 km resolution. The ET represents a combination of soil and plant evapotranspiration. We combined these factors to produce an equally weighted index from 0 to 4, with 4 being an espinal with the relatively highest carbon production, photosynthetic activity in both the tree and understory layers, and movement of water into the atmosphere from both the soil and vegetation. These represent interrelated factors that espinal restoration aims to increase: tree growth, shade provision, forage provision, and soil moisture. We refer to this index as "espinal condition." We do not explicitly consider biodiversity values in this index, as there are no available data, but we assume that biodiversity also benefits from less degraded and desertified conditions and greater shade, plant biomass, and available water (M. Root-Bernstein pers. obs.). Thus, 4 represents the best available espinal condition in a given year, and 0 the worst. We calculated the lowest cost paths from areas of high espinal condition (top two standard deviations) to lowest (bottom two standard deviations) and from lowest to highest (to ensure complete connectivity), to create a regional transhumance or transhumant rewilding network avoiding high elevation, urban areas, and roads. Lowest cost paths are representations of suitable movement corridors across the landscape, linking the identified espinal areas. We had complete data only between 1999 and 2006, and we focused on ENSO years, comparing El Niño (wet) years to La Niña (dry) years within this time period to assess the variability in paths across wet and dry years.

Ecosystem services

For each scenario (factory farms and orchards/vineyards; factory farms and sclerophyllous forests; Status quo; Restored espinals with guanacos), we followed and adapted the table of services in de Groot et al. (2010) to list the services provided via water input (rain, groundwater) in the landscape elements in question. We indicated those that are expected to increase relative to the status quo, and identified major costs and losses associated with establishing and maintaining the scenario. We further identified services that we expect to vary with or be independent of water

input (e.g., dry and wet ENSO years), since water efficiency has been proposed as a key variable in evaluating livestock production models.

Results

Preliminary experimental results

Guanaco behavior

We observed the guanacos eating herbs, leaves of A. caven, and branches of A. caven. The guanacos were observed eating branches with small thorns (approximately 1 cm in length) as well as those without. Leaves first emerged on A. caven in October; regrowth after browsing was observed in this period (Fig. 2). During the spring months of September, October, and November 2014, the guanacos spent between 1.2 and 10.6 % of observed time browsing on A. caven branches, and between 39.2 and 62.7 % of their total observed time foraging, including browsing (Supplementary Data Table 2). The proportion of A. caven in each sector of the enclosure is between 90 and 100 %, and guanacos were not observed to browse on other tree species present. The amount of time spent browsing on A. caven branches and leaves was not correlated with the total amount of time eating (branches, r = 0.72, p = 0.17; leaves, r = -0.01, p = 0.05, Pearson correlation). Based on the amount of time eating, the two most dominant guanacos (8 and 9902) preferred alfalfa > herbs > leaves > branches, while the two more subordinate guanacos preferred herbs > alfalfa > leaves > branches (Supplementary Data Table 2). Guanaco 9910, which was socially excluded by other guanacos, preferred alfalfa > herbs > branches and was not observed eating leaves (Supplementary Data Table 2). There was no relationship between



Fig. 2 Regrowth of an *A. caven* branch that had been eaten by a guanaco, observed October 2014. Photograph © Matías Guerrero

eating alfalfa and browsing on branches, such that increased time eating the favored food, comparing across guanacos, did not lead to a reduction in foraging on branches (r = 0.66, p = 0.22, Pearson correlation). Since the alfalfa was fed to the guanacos in bales, dominant individuals could exclude subordinates from eating it by defending access to it. Alfalfa and herbs have lower handling costs and times than *A. caven* leaves and branches; alfalfa was sometimes eaten lying down.

From the camera traps, we obtained 52 trap-days with images of guanaco. The data from 2014 correspond to pulse treatments, where all guanacos were in one section, so that the number of captured images was higher. We were able to observe the hours of greatest activity (hours with greatest percent photographs per day), group behavior, and foraging behavior (see Table 3, Supplementary Data). There were several peaks of activity during the morning, afternoon, and night. During the end of October-November 2014, the guanacos were photographically captured in a group, rather than alone, 59.6 % of the time (sections A and B combined), which fell to 32.2 % of the time in January (section A) and 5.8 % of the time in April (section A). At the end of October-November, grazing was observed 9/10 days with data in section A and 9/9 days in section B, while browsing was observed 7/10 days in A and 7/9 days in B. In January (section A), grazing was captured 7/20 days, while browsing was captured 5/20 days. In April (section A), grazing was captured only once and browsing only twice out of 13 days.

GIS analysis

Our map of potential espinal distribution included 43,189 polygons or individual espinals, covering 1,174,206 ha. The mean size of espinals was 27 ha \pm 66 (SD). The area-to-perimeter ratio, providing a measure of fragmentation, was mean 0.07 \pm 0.04 (SD).

The index of espinal condition shows a clear north– south and east–west gradient each year, with the lowest values in the north and along the Andes on the eastern side of the mapped espinal distribution. However, the highest values, although found in the south, were found exclusively along the western and eastern edges of the distribution. The flat low area between mountain ranges from north to south was dominated by values <2, showing that even in wet ENSO years, most espinal even in the southern end of its distribution does not approach its maximal potential condition .

Least-cost paths linking best condition and worst condition espinals split into regional subnetworks in 2 years, 2002 and 2005 (Fig. 3). In 2000, 2004, and 2006, a fully linked regional network was found. The total lengths of each network are shown in the Supplementary Data (Table 4). The two shortest networks, 1982.4 km and 2795.9 km, are also found in the two dry ENSO years. Although networks differed between all years in both wet and dry years, a clear pattern of overlap is evident when all paths are compared (Fig. 4). The length of overlap is around 1700 km.

We further considered how the mean area, fragmentation, and espinal condition varied across years for the "high condition" and "low condition" selections used as the sources and targets for least-cost path construction, in order to understand the relative variation in espinal conditions and whether this was related to espinal characteristics (Table 2). Recall that high and low conditions were defined as the top two and bottom two standard deviations for each year. The number of espinal fragments in the low condition was higher in every year except 2002. The area of fragments in each selection varied significantly (ANOVA, F = 79.5, df = 9, p < 0.001), and this was explained by both the ENSO condition (dry or wet year) and espinal condition (high or low) (post test, p < 0.01, t = 126.7; p < 0.01, t = 49.1; mean square = 102, df = 9). Fragmentation also varied significantly among selections (ANOVA, F = 334.6, df = 9, p < 0.001), but not according to ENSO or espinal condition (post test, p > 0.01, t = 1.6; p > 0.01, t = 1.5; mean square = 10.12, df = 9). Finally, mean espinal condition also varied across selections (ANOVA, F = 1029.6, df = 9, p < 0.001), which was not affected by ENSO, but only by espinal condition (as expected, although not by definition because the mean and range of condition can vary between years, such that high and low conditions between years could overlap) (post test, t = 0.081, p > 0.01;t = 3.79, p > 0.01; mean square = 55.5, df = 9).

Ecosystem services

For the factory farms and fruit plantations/vineyards scenario, factory farms produce more meat (and associated animal products) per mm water/year than pastoral systems (Table 3; Mekonnen and Hoekstra 2012). However, most fruit production in central Chile requires irrigation. Economic incentives both favor investment in drip irrigation technologies and export of water-intensive fruits such as avocados during the Northern Hemisphere winter. Thus, we predict that although fruit production will clearly increase when espinal is converted to orchards or vineyards, regulating and supporting services of woody plants (fruit trees, vines) will be less water efficient than espinal. We assume that cultural and amenity services (aesthetic, recreational, inspirational, cultural, spiritual, and educational) are largely independent of yearly variations in water availability. We also predict that in this scenario, regional variation in water availability has little effect on meat and fruit production due to legal and illegal exploitation of groundwater (Budds 2004). In the factory farms and sclerophyllous forest restoration scenario, the regulation, supporting, and cultural and amenity values of sclerophyllous forest are all assumed to be higher per mm water/year than espinal, since the services provided per unit area are expected to be higher, and loss of water via evaporation to be lower. The regulating and supporting services will, however, be dependent on water inputs. Finally, for the restoration with guanacos scenario, we predict that restoration of espinals via guanaco herbivory could directly and indirectly increase regulating and supporting services via increases in tree biomass and cover and associated herbaceous plant and animal diversity increases, along with reductions in water loss by evaporation. Although more efficient than the status quo, they will remain dependent on water input. Guanacos themselves can also contribute a high-value product to raw material provision, in the form of their high-quality fiber, and even meat. Finally, urban Chileans report that guanacos enhance a number of cultural and amenity values of espinal (Lindon and Root-Bernstein 2015). The costs associated with each of these scenarios are different and hard to compare, although the most diverse set of costs emerges for the restored sclerophyllous forest scenario.

Discussion

Guanacos browse A. caven leaves and branches, voluntarily including both structures in their diet despite the presence of thorns. A priori this demonstrates the feasibility of using guanacos as browsers for espinal restoration. While we do not yet have data related to how effects on individual trees will scale up to landscape patterns, such scaling-up is characteristic of herbivory by large mobile mammals (Shipley 2007; Olff et al. 1999). We are unable to predict whether the level of effect on individual trees will show a linear scaling-up to a landscape level due to factors such as the distribution of browsing by guanacos at larger scales, variation in soil water availability, historicity effects due to past disturbances, or competition between A. caven and other tree species for resources in mixed habitats. We emphasize that at this stage, we are only demonstrating the feasibility of the potential intervention, and we are not in a position to estimate its effectiveness or effect size at any scale.

The lowest quality espinals are found predominantly in the north of the central zone of Chile, although we also observe values below the mean throughout central Chile. These patterns did not change dramatically across wet and dry ENSO years. The least-cost paths between highest and lowest quality espinals are within a feasible length range,



Fig. 3 a Wet ENSO years. b Dry ENSO years. Least-cost paths assessed between high- and low-quality espinal areas. In each year, least-cost paths connect high-quality espinal to low-quality espinal and low-quality espinal to high-quality espinal. The least-cost function in ArcGIS connects all sources to only the closest targets, so combining both high to low and low to high paths gives a fully

connected network. All years are shown with a common scale to facilitate comparison, so the < 0.5 and 3–3.5 categories shown on the maps do not correspond to the high and low restoration quality areas. Rather, these areas can be identified as the end points of the transhumance routes

Fig. 4 a Transhumant rewilding routes in wet ENSO years are shown in *blue*, in dry ENSO years in *red*. **b** Overlap of path densities and espinal densities with equal weighting (color figure online)



by comparison with guanacos' natural range sizes (see below) for a transhumant rewilding scenario using guanacos. Finally, the ecosystem service costs and benefits associated with guanaco transhumant rewilding are comparable to other scenarios, with potentially more institutionally achievable benefits and lower monetary costs.

Our observations of guanaco foraging on *A. caven* support the interpretation that leaves and branches are a normal element of their diet rather than a starvation food. Although our opportunistic camera trap data are probably biased due to the conflation of section, space availability (pulse treatments in 2014), and month, it suggests that group cohesion as well as browsing effort could be reduced in summer/fall. It remains to be seen whether the observed level of browsing during spring is adequate to stimulate a significant change in *A. caven* growth and with what lag time. Analysis of guanaco stocking density in espinal will allow us to determine a tree-to-guanaco density ratio where the amount of supplemental alfalfa provided could be reduced, which would substantially reduce guanaco maintenance costs as well as water input to the system.

The GIS analysis found very few putative espinals in the top range of condition for any year. This suggests that factors other than water availability are constraining espinal condition. The observed distribution of espinal condition values may be explained partially by the altitudinal gradient of the mountain ranges, the greater intensity of farming in the southern central valley, local socioeconomic factors affecting espinal exploitation history, or the interaction of these factors. The area of the selected espinals was larger in higher condition espinals and in wet years, which suggests that continuos espinals, regardless of the amount of edge, are in better condition and may capture available moisture more efficiently.

The obtained scale of transhumant rewilding also appears to be feasible. Guanacos can be either migratory, moving in family groups to winter ranges, or sedentary (Marino and Baldi 2014). The average range of three wild male guanacos, two of them solitary and one of them in a family group, tracked via GPS collar for a year in areas of savanna and shrub habitat, was 34 km², varying between 8 and 98.4 km² between seasons (Bonacic et al. unpublished data). This mean range size is close to the mean size of espinal fragments in our distribution map. The distances that guanacos migrate also appear to vary considerably, from 12 km (Ortega and Franklin 1995) to movements

Year espinal areas	Number of fragments	Mean area (km ²)	Mean area-to-perimeter ratio	Mean espinal condition index	ENSO
2000 H	39	3.50 ± 3.11	0.13 ± 0.04	2.28 ± 0.40	Dry
2000 L	72	7.50 ± 7.63	0.24 ± 0.21	0.47 ± 0.13	
2005 H	36	3.83 ± 3.01	0.12 ± 0.04	2.08 ± 0.23	Dry
2005 L	266	10.57 ± 11.22	0.25 ± 0.21	0.68 ± 0.21	
2002 H	348	10.98 ± 9.62	0.20 ± 0.09	1.62 ± 0.20	Wet
2002 L	90	7.77 ± 7.13	0.23 ± 0.16	0.50 ± 0.12	
2004 H	36	53.6 ± 0.39	1.82 ± 0.01	2.42 ± 0.38	Wet
2004 L	276	11.6 ± 11.70	0.25 ± 0.21	0.72 ± 0.24	
2006 H	38	4.19 ± 3.47	0.12 ± 0.04	2.48 ± 0.37	Wet
2006 L	279	11.43 ± 11.56	0.25 ± 0.21	0.74 ± 0.25	

Table 2 Fragment number, area, area-to-perimeter ratio, and mean espinal condition of high condition areas (top two SDs) and low condition areas (bottom two SDs)

from the east to west within a 1700 km^2 area [(actual distances moved are not reported) Puig et al. 2011]. This is comparable to the core overlap of paths in the identified transhumant rewilding network. The trade-offs in terms of body condition of guanacos for long-range movements should be studied further.

A finer-scale model informed by experimental results is necessary for the assessment of how a transhumant rewilding network can be implemented. Our analysis uses a coarse temporal scale (yearly) and a relatively coarse spatial scale (8 km resolution), and was only able to consider a small span of years for which all data were available. However, this is prudent given the clear limitations of our map of espinal distribution, which has not been groundtruthed, is outside the temporal range of our other data, and does not represent changes in espinal cover (estimates of which vary considerably, see Supplementary Data Table 5). The extent of espinal that we find, just over one million ha, is also only 25-50 % of published estimates (Serra 1997; Ovalle et al. 1990), i.e., less than can be accounted for by estimated land-cover change rates. In addition, the possibility of legacy effects from ENSO years was not examined here and should be considered (Monger et al.2015).

Our predictions for the relative water efficiency of potential ecosystem services under different land-use scenarios illustrate the complexity of trade-offs and valuation exercises that would be required in order to fully assess the water-use rationality of transhumant rewilding. The "factory farms and sclerophyllous forest restoration" scenario is the least realistic, since there is currently no governance mechanism by which a majority of espinal landowners would be induced to restore a protected forest type on their land (Root-Bernstein et al. 2013). The "factory farms and orchards/vineyards" scenario is similar to the current condition of California, where despite increasing droughts affecting natural habitats and ecosystem services, industrial agriculture monopolizes water resources (Diffenbaugh et al. 2015). The "restored silvopastoral system with guanacos" is predicted to increase the water efficiency of ecosystem services, not only due to restored espinals losing less water to evaporation, but also due to the potential for a transhumant rewilding network that would adjust restoration and management to optimize production over dry and wet years. The costs of guanaco breeding, care, management, and protection within fenced espinals appear more complex than expensive. However, comparing costs and benefits across scenarios is beyond our capacity and points to important data shortfalls associated with nearly all services, and their valuation.

Finally, we believe that developing a transhumant rewilding system can be compatible with the local socioeconomic and cultural context, although this should be the focus of further studies. Livestock transhumance, called "veranada," is still practiced between the central valley of Chile and the Andes (Westreicher et al. 2007). Arrieros, or muleteers, also practice small-scale herding or pasturing throughout central Chile. Both smallholders and owners of large estates use espinal for extensive livestock, charcoal, and honey production, which we believe are compatible with guanaco browsing, either through rotation or coexistence. Reinforcement of fencing, adoption of guard animals, or active shepherding are possible strategies to reduce threats. However, uptake of guanaco management will clearly require regional or national programs for training and coordination, and a willingness among landholders to learn and adopt new skills.

In conclusion, our preliminary analysis and predictions associated with the Chilean case study suggest that traditions of transhumant or nomadic pastoralism can inspire

ses
l los
s and
costs
ise c
n-pu
d la
ciate
ISSO
, pu
os, a
nari
sce
-use
land
our
ler f
pund
ater
wpu
grou
or 8
rain
1 of
forn
the
t ii
inpu
iter
y we
ja be
duce
pro
ices
serv
em
syst
Ecc
le 3
[ab]

Services produced by water inputs (per mm/year)	Scenario					
	Factory 1 orchards/	arms and vineyards	Factory farr sclerophyllo restoration v protection	ns and us forest vith strict	Status quo	Restored silvopastoral system with guanacos
	Farms	Orchard	Farms	Forest	Espinal	Espinal
Food	~ +	~ +	~ +			↓
Raw materials	ہ +		≀ +		11	\$
Forest: air quality regulation		=		<i></i> +	Π	<i>≥</i> +
Climate regulation		=		≀ +	11	\$
Water regulation		=		<i></i> +	Π	<i>≥</i> +
Erosion protection		=		<i></i> +	11	<i>≥</i> +
Soil formation				≀ +	Ι	\$ +
Pollination				<i></i> +	ΙΙ	<i></i> +
Biological regulation				≀ +	Ι	\$ +
Nursery habitat				≀ +	Π	<i></i> +
Genepool protection				≀ +	Π	<i></i> +
Aesthetic				≀ +	II	<i>₹</i> +
Recreational				+	11	۲ ۲
Inspiration for culture, art, design				+	11	+
Cultural heritage and identity		+		+	II	
Spiritual and religious inspiration				+		
Education and science	+	+	+	+	11	+
Notes	Wine and may con greater l Agronon opportun	fruit production te to acquire ocal specialty. iical science ities	We assume th forest has hi and ecosyste assume no p forest under Sclerophyllo more highly landscapes/h	at sclerophyllous ghest biodiversity im services [1]. We rovisioning value of strict protection [2]. than other than other abitats [3]	Although there are no comprehensive estimates of espinal (or similar systems') ecosystem services, we assume that their provision is suboptimal both in the short term and in terms of sustainability [4]	High-quality guanaco wool can contribute to raw materials [5]. Urban Chileans positively rate areas with guanacos aesthetically and for tourism [6]. Opportunities for socioecological system research
Costs/Losses	Infrastructu	ure	Infrastructure		Soil erosion/degradation	Guanaco breeding or relocation facility
	Polluted ef	fluent water	Polluted efflue	nt water	Low biodiversity	Guanaco care and management; CITES
	Livestock 4	liversity	Livestock dive	rsity	Low efficiency of livestock production	certification of wool
	Irrigation Biodiversit	X	Funding restor sclerophyllo	ation of us forest	Ongoing conversion to fruit plantations/vineyards	Feral dog control and associated social conflicts
	Traditional culture	landscape and	Opportunity co landowners Rural culture	ost to private		
			<i>Arriero</i> land-u protection co	se rights and forest inflicts		
+ indicates an increase, \sim one that is dependent on 2010 (21 D \sim 4 D \sim 2012) (21 D \sim 4 D \sim	n water inpu	It. = indicates	no change fro	im the status quo.	References: [1], e.g., Hernández et al. (2015)	, van Leeuwen et al. (2013), Schulz et al.

feasible and flexible solutions to climate variation in drylands and silvopastoral systems. Notably, transhumant rewilding allows flexibility at regional and subregional scales of ecosystem processes and associated services in reacting to variance in water availability. (Silvo)pastoralism and transhumance here could serve as the human cultural interface, shepherding rewilded processes through complex anthropogenic landscapes.

Acknowledgments Thanks to Joaquín Solo de Zaldivar, Fernanda Romero Gárate, and Francisco Novoa de la Maza for their cooperation with Proyecto REGenera; Jorge Ramos for guanaco behavior data collection; Samira Kolyaie for valuable GIS assistance; and Magdalena Bennett and Brody Sandel for GIS data preparation. MR-B was funded by Fondo Nacional de Desarrollo Cientifico y Tecnológico Post Doctoral Fellowship No. 3130336 and Danish National Research Foundation Niels Bohr professorship project Aarhus University Research on the Anthropocene (AURA). FMJ was funded by FB 0002-2014.

References

- Alvard MS, Kuznar L (2001) Deferred harvests: the transition from hunting to animal husbandry. Am Anthropol 103(2):295–311
- Armesto JJ, Manuschevich D, Mora A, Smith-Ramirez C, Rozzi R, Abarzúa AR, Marquet PA (2009) From the Holocene to the Anthropocene: a historical framework for land cover change in southwestern South America in the past 15,000 years. Land Use Policy 27:148–160. doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2009.07.006
- Asner GP, Elmore AJ, Olander LP, Martin RE, Harris AT (2004) Grazing systems, ecosystem responses, and global change. Ann Rev Environ Res 29:261–299. doi:10.1146/annurev.energy.29. 062403.102142
- Baldi B, Lichtenstein G, González B, Funes M, Cuéllar E, Villalba L, Hoces D, Puig S (2008) *Lama guanicoe*. The IUCN red list of threatened species. Version 2015.2. www.iucnredlist.org. Accessed 23 July 2015
- Bar-Oz G, Zeder M, Hole F (2011) Role of mass-kill hunting strategies in the extirpation of Persian gazelle (*Gazella subgutturosa*) in the northern Levant. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108:7345–7350. doi:10.1073/pnas.1017647108
- Bond WJ, Lee WG, Craine JM (2004) Plant structural defenses against browsing birds: a legacy of New Zealand's extinct moas. Oikos 104:500–508. doi:10.1111/j.0030-1299.2004.12720.x
- Bosire CK, Ogutu JO, Said MY, Krol MS, de Leeuw J, Hoekstra AY (2015) Trends and spatial variation in water and land footprints of meat and milk production systems in Kenya. Agric Ecosyst Environ 205:36–47. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2015.02.015
- Budds J (2004) Power, nature and neoliberalism: the political ecology of water in Chile. Singap J Trop Geogr 25(3):322–342. doi:10. 1111/j.0129-7619.2004.00189.x
- Campos Paciullo DS, Tavares de Castro CR, de Miranda Gomide CA, Martins Maurício R, MdeF Ávila Pires, Dias Muller M, Ferreira Xavier D (2011) Performance of dairy heifers in a silvopastoral system. Livest Sci 141:166–172. doi:10.1016/j.livsci.2011.05. 012
- Capone R, Iannetta M, El Bilali H, Colonna N, Debs P, Dernini S, Maiani G, Intorre F, Polito A, Turrini A, Cardone G, Lorusso F, Belsanti V (2013) A preliminary assessment of the environmental sustainability of the current Italian dietary pattern: water

footprint related to food consumption. J Food Nutr Res 1(4):59–67. doi:10.12691/jfnr-1-4-5

- Cavieres LA, Fajardo A (2005) Guanaco (*Lama guanicoe*) on *Nothofagus pumilio* forest gaps in tierra del Fuego, chile. Forest Ecol Manage 204:237–248
- Cromsigt JPGM, Kuijper DPJ (2011) Revisiting the browsing lawn concept: evolutionary interactions or pruning herbivores? Perspect Plant Ecol Evol Syst 13:207–215. doi:10.1016/j.ppees. 2011.04.004
- Cronon W (1996) The trouble with wilderness: or, getting back to the wrong nature. Environ Hist 1:7–28. http://www.jstor.org/stable/ 3985059
- Dangerfield JM, Modukanele B (1996) Overcompensation by *Acacia erubescens* in response to simulated browsing. J Trop Ecol 12(6):905–908. doi:10.1017/S0266467400010178
- De Groot RS, Alkemade R, Braat L, Hein L, Willemen L (2010) Challenges in integrating the concept of ecosystem services and values in landscape planning, management and decision making. Ecol Complex 7(3):260–272
- Diffenbaugh NS, Swain DL, Touma D (2015) Anthropogenic warming has increased drought risk in California. Proc Natl Acad Sci 12(13):3931–3936. doi:10.1073/pnas.1422385112
- Doughty CE, Faurby S, Svenning J-C (2016) The impact of megafauna extinctions on savanna woody cover in South America. Ecography 39:213–222
- Dube F, Sotomayor A, Loewe V, Müller-Using B, Stolpe N, Zagal E, Doussoulin M (2016) Silvopastoral systems in temperate zones of Chile. In: Peri PL et al (eds) Silvopastoral systems in Southern South America, Adv Agrofor 11. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-24109-8 8
- Eriksen PJ, Ingram JSI, Liverman DM (2009) Food security and global environmental change: emerging challenges. Environ Sci Policy 12:373–377. doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2009.04.007
- FAO (1996) Declaration of the world summit on food security. Downloaded from http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/templates/wsfs/ Summit/Docs/Final_Declaration/WSFS09_Declaration.pdf. Accessed 2015
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations) (2001) Pastoralism in the new millennium. FAO animal production and health paper 150, Rome, Italy. http://www.fao. org/docrep/005/y2647e/y2647e00.HTM Accessed 2015
- Fornara DA, du Toit JT (2007) Browsing lawns? Responses of Acacia nigrescens to ungulate browsing in an African savanna. Ecology 88(1):200–209. doi:10.1890/0012-9658(2007)88[200:BLROAN]2.0.CO;2
- Fuentes ER, Avilés R, Segura A (1989) Landscape change under indirect effects of human use: the Savanna of Central Chile. Landscape Ecol 2(2):73–80. doi:10.1007/BF00137151
- Gadd ME, Young TP, Palmer TM (2001) Effects of simulated shoot and leaf herbivory on vegetative growth and plant defense in *Acacia drepanolobium*. Oikos 92:515–521. doi:10.1034/j.1600-0706.2001.920312.x
- Gerbens-Leenes PW, Mekonnen MM, Hoekstra AY (2013) The water footprint of poultry, pork and beef: a comparative study in different countries and production systems. Water Resour Ind 1–2:25–36
- Gerstmann C, Miranda M, Condal A (2010) Description of space-time variability of the potential productivity of *Acacia caven* espinales based on MODIS images and the Enhanced Vegetation Index. Cien Invest Agrar 37(1):63–73. doi:10.1016/j.wri.2013. 03.001
- Giraldo C, Escobar F, Chará JD, Calle Z (2011) The adoption of silvopastoral systems promotes the recovery of ecological processes regulated by dung beetles in the Colombian Andes. Insect Cons Div 4:115–122

- González BA, Palma RE, Zapata B, Marín JC (2006) Taxonomic and biogeographical status of guanaco *Lama guanicoe* (Artiodactyla, Camelidae). Mamm Rev 36(2):157–178. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2907.2006.00084.x
- Gordon IJ, Hester AJ, Festa-Bianchet M (2004) The management of wild large herbivores to meet economic, conservation and environmental objectives. J App Ecol 41:1021–1031. http:// www.jstor.org/stable/3505778
- Gowda JH (1997) Physical and chemical response of juvenile Acacia tortilis trees to browsing. Experimental evidence. Funct Ecol 11:106–111. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2435.1997.00065.x
- Gutiérrez JR, Armesto JJ (1981) El rol del ganado en la dispersión de las semillas de *Acacia caven* (Leguminosae). Cien Invest Agrar 8:3–8
- Hernández A, Miranda M, Arellano EC, Saura S, Ovalle C (2015) Landscape dynamics and their effect on the functional connectivity of a Mediterranean landscape in Chile. Ecol Indic 48:198–206. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2014.08.010
- Hoffman T, Boerma D (2014) Ecosystem services provided by livestock species and breeds, with special consideration to the contributions of small-scale livestock keepers and pastoralists. FAO Commission on genetic resources for food and agriculture. Background study paper 66(1). http://www.fao.org/3/a-at598e. pdf
- Hughes FMR, Stroh PA, Adams WM, Kirby KJ, Mountford JO, Warrington S (2011) Monitoring and evaluating large-scale, 'open-ended' habitat creation projects: a journey rather than a destination. J Nat Conserv 19:245–253. doi:10.1016/j.jnc.2011. 02.003
- Hughes FMR, Adams WM, Stroh PA (2012) When is open-endedness desirable in restoration projects? Rest Ecol 20:291–295. doi:10. 1111/j.1526-100X.2012.00874.x
- Ingram J (2011) A food systems approach to researching food security and its interactions with global environmental change. Food Sec 3:417–431. doi:10.1007/s12571-011-0149-9
- Jepson P (2016) A rewilding agenda for Europe: creating a network of experimental reserves. Ecography 39:117–124. doi:10.1111/ ecog.01602
- Kratli S, Huelsebusch C, Brooks S, Kaufmann B (2013) Pastoralism: a critical asset for food security under global climate change. Anim Front 3(1):42–50. doi:10.2527/af.2013-0007
- Lindon A, Root-Bernstein M (2015) Pheonix flagships: conservation values and guanaco reintroduction in an anthropogenic landscape. Ambio 44(5):458–471. doi:10.1007/s13280-014-0608-6
- Lorimer J, Driessen C (2014) Wild experiments at the Oosvardersplassen: rethinking environmentalism in the Anthropocene. Trans Inst Br Geogr 39:169–181. doi:10.1111/tran.12030
- Manzano Baena P, Casas R (2010) Past, present and future of transhumancia in Spain: Nomadism in a developed country. Pastoralism 1:72–90. doi:10.3362/2041-7136.2010.005
- Marino A Baldi R (2014) Ecological correlates of group-size variation in a resource-defense ungulate, the sedentary guanaco. PloS one 9(2):e89060
- Medina ME, Rivero DE (2007) Zooarqueología, Lama guanicoe y dinamica evolutiva del Chaco Serrano. Mundo de antes 5:211–234
- Mekonnen MM, Hoekstra AY (2012) A global assessment of the water footprint of farm animal products. Ecosystems 15:401–415. doi:10.1007/s10021-011-9517-8
- Miller S (1980) Human influence on the distribution and abundance of wild Chilean mammals: prehistoric-present. PhD thesis, University of Washington
- Monger C, Sala OE, Duniway MC, Goldfus H, Meir IA, Poch RM, Throop HL, Vivoni ER (2015) Legacy effects in linked ecological-soil-geomorphic systems of drylands. Frontiers Ecology Environ 13(1):13–9

- Montagnini F, Finney C (2011) Payments for environmental services in Latin America as a tool for restoration and rural development. Ambio 40(3):285–297
- Montes MC, Carmanchahi PD, Rey A, Funes MC (2006) Live shearing free-ranging guanacos (*Lama guanicoe*) in Patagonia for sustainable use. J Arid Environ 64:616–625. doi:10.1016/j. jaridenv.2005.05.008
- Myers N, Mittermeier RA, Mittermeier CG, da Fonseca GAB, Kent J (2000) Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. Nature 403:853–858. doi:10.1038/35002501
- Navarro Gutiérrez RA (1995) Efecto de Intervenciones Silviculturales Sobre El Crecimiento y La Producción de Fitomasa de *Acacia Caven* En Milipilla Region Metropolitana. Dissertation, Universidad de Chile
- Navarro LM, Pereira HM (2012) Rewilding abandoned landscapes in Europe. Ecosystems 15:900–912. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-12039-3_1
- Niven L, Steele TE, Rendu W, Mallye J-B, McPherron SP et al (2012) Neandertal mobility and large-game hunting: the exploitation of reindeer during the Quina Mousterian at Chez-Pinaud Jonzac (Charente-Maritime, France). J Human Evol 63:624–635. doi:10. 1016/j.jhevol.2012.07.002
- Oba G, Mengistu Z, Stenseth NC (2000) Compensatory growth of the African dwarf shrub *Indigofera spinosa* following simulated herbivory. Ecol Appl 10(4):1133–1146. doi:10.1890/1051-0761(2000)010[1133:CGOTAD]2.0.CO;2
- Olff H, Vera FWM, Bokdam J, Bakker ES, Gleichman JM, de Maeyer K, Smit R (1999) Shifting mosaics in grazed woodlands driven by alternation of plant facilitation and competition. Plant Biol 1:127–137. doi:10.1111/j.1438-8677.1999.tb00236.x
- Olivares AE (2006) Relaciones entre el estrato arbóreo, el estrato herbáceo y la conducta animal en el matorral de *Acacia caven* (espinal). Sécheresse 17(1–2):333–339
- Ortega IM, Franklin WL (1995) Social organization, distribution and movements of a migratory guanaco population in the Chilean Patagonia. Rev Chil Hist Nat 68:489–500
- Oteros-Rozas E, Martín-López B, López CA, Palomo I, González JA (2013) Envisioning the future of transhumant pastoralism through participatory scenario planning: a case study in Spain. Rangel J 35(3):251–272. doi:10.1071/RJ12092
- Ovalle C, Aronson J, del Pozo A, Avendaño J (1990) The espinal: agroforestry systems of the mediterranean-type climate region of Chile. Agrofor Syst 10:213–239. doi:10.1007/BF00122913
- Ovalle C, Del Pozo A, Casado MA, Acosta B, de Miguel JM (2006) Consequences of landscape heterogeneity on grassland diversity and productivity in the Espinal agroforestry system of central Chile. Landsc Ecol 21:585–594
- Papanastasis VP (2009) Restoration of degraded grazing lands through grazing management: can it work? Rest Ecol 17(4):441–445. doi:10.1111/j.1526-100X.2009.00567.x
- Parfitt J, Barthel M, Macnaughton S (2010) Food waste within food supply chains: quantification and potential for change to 2050. Philos Trans R Soc B 365:3065–3081. doi:10.1098/rstb.2010.0126
- Pedersen J, Benjaminsen TA (2008) One leg or two? Food security and pastoralism in the Northern Sahel. Human Ecol 36:43–57. doi:10.1007/s10745-007-9136-3
- Peri PL, Dube F, Varella AC (2016) Silvopastoral systems in the subtropical and temperate zones of South America: an overview. In: Peri PL et al (eds) Silvopastoral systems in Southern South America, Adv Agrofor 11. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-24109-8_8
- Pimentel D, Houser J, Preiss E, White O, Fang H, Mesnick L, Barsky T, Tariche S, Schrek J, Alpert S (1997) Water resources: agriculture, the environment and society. BioScience 47(2):97–106. http://www.jstor.org/stable/1313020
- Poschlod P, Bonn S (1998) Changing dispersal processes in the central European landscape since the last ice age: an explanation

for the actual decrease of plant species richness in different habitats? Acta Bot Neerl 47(1):27-44

- Puig S, Rosi MI, Videla F, Mendez E (2011) Summer and winter diet of the guanaco and food availability for a High Andean migratory population (Mendoza, Argentina). Mamm Biol 76:727–734. doi:10.1016/j.mambio.2011.07.001
- Puig S, Videla F, Monge S, Roig V (1996) Seasonal variations in guanaco diet (Lama guanicoe Müller 1776) and food availability in northern patagonia, argentina. J Arid Environ 34:215–224
- Puig S, Videla F, Cona MI (1997) Diet and abundance of the guanaco (Lama guanicoe Müller 1776) in four habitats of northern patagonia, argentina. J Arid Environ 36:343–357
- Ridoutt BG, Sanguansri P, Freer M, Harper GS (2012) Water footprint of livestock: comparison of six geographically defined beef production systems. Int J Life Cycle Assess 17:165–175. doi:10.1007/s11367-011-0346-y
- Rojas P, Gonzálex M, Benedetti S, Yates P, Sotomayor A, Dube F (2016) Silvopastoral systems in arid and semiarid zones of Chile. In Peri PL et al (eds) Silvopastoral systems in Southern South America, Adv Agrofor 11. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-24109-8_7
- Rook AJ, Dumont B, Isselstein J, Osoro K, WallisDeVries MF, Parente G, Mills J (2004) Matching type of livestock to desired biodiversity outcomes in pastures—a review. Biol Conserv 119:137–150. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2003.11.010
- Root-Bernstein M (2014) Nostalgia, the fleeting and the rare in Chilean relationships to nature and non human animals. Soc Anim 22:560–579. doi:10.1163/15685306-12341348
- Root-Bernstein M, Jaksic F (2013) The Chilean espinal: restoration for a sustainable silvopastoral system. Rest Ecol 21(4):409–414. doi:10.1111/rec.12019
- Root-Bernstein M, Jaksic F (2015) Ecosystem process interactions between central Chilean habitats. GECCO 3:776–788. doi:10. 1016/j.gecco.2015.04.007
- Root-Bernstein M, Montecinos Carvajal Y, Ladle R, Jepson P, Jaksic F (2013) Conservation easements and mining: the case of Chile. Earth's Future 1(1):33–38. doi:10.1002/2013EF000136
- Sandom C, Donlan J, Svenning J-C, Hansen D (2012) Rewilding. Key topics. Conserv Biol 2:430–451. doi:10.1002/9781118520178. ch23
- Sayre NF, McAllister RRJ, Bestelmeyer BT, Moritz M, Turner MD (2013) Earth Stewardship of rangelands: coping with ecological, economic, and political marginality. Front Ecol Environ 11(7):348–354. doi:10.1890/120333
- Scheele J (2010) Traders, saints, and irrigation: refelection on saharan connectivity. J Af Hist 51:281–300. doi:10.1017/ S0021853711000016
- Scholz MM, van Ryssen JBJ, Meissner HH, Laker MC (2013) A South African perspective on livestock production in relation to greenhouse gases and water usage. S Afr J Anim Sci 43(3):247–254
- Schulz JJ, Cayuela L, Echeverria C, Salas J, Rey Benayas JM (2010) Monitoring land cover change of the dryland forest landscape of Central Chile (1975–2008). Appl Geogr 30:436–447. doi:10. 1016/j.apgeog.2009.12.003

- Serra MT (1997) Acacia caven (Mol.) Mol. En: FAO: Especies arbóreas y arbustivas para las zonas áridas y semi áridas de América Latina. Serie Zonas Áridas y Semiáridas N° 12(1):57–168
- Shipley LA (2007) The influence of bite size on foraging at larger spatial and temporal scales by mammalian herbivores. Oikos 116:1964–1974. doi:10.1111/j.2007.0030-1299.15974.x
- Simonetti JA (1999) Diversity and conservation of terrestrial vertebrates in mediterranean Chile. Rev Chil Hist Nat 72:493–500
- Smith P (2013) Delivering food security without increasing pressure on land. Glob Food Sec 2:18–23. doi:10.1016/j.gfs.2012.11.008
- Solh M, van Ginkel M (2014) Drought preparedness and drought mitigation in the developing world's drylands. Weather Clim Extrem 3:62–66. doi:10.1016/j.wace.2014.03.003
- Stehfest E, Bouwman L, van Vuuren DP, den Elzen MGJ, Eickhout B, Kabat P (2009) Climate benefits of changing diet. Clim Change 95:83–102. doi:10.1007/s10584-008-9534-6
- Svenning J-C, Pedersen PBM, Donlan CJ, Ejnæs R, Faurby S, Galetti M, Hansen DM, Sandel B, Sandom CJ, Terborgh JW, Vera FWM (2016) Science for a wilder anthropocene: synthesis and future directions for trophic rewilding research. PNAS 113(4):898–906. doi:10.1073/pnas.1502556112
- Tilman D, Cassman KG, Matson PA, Naylor R, Polasky S (2002) Agricultural sustainability and intensive production practices. Nature 418:671–677. doi:10.1038/nature01014
- Tscharntke T, Clough Y, Wanger TC, Jackson L, Motzke I, Perfecto I, Vandermeer J, Whitbread A (2012) Global food security, biodiversity conservation and the future of agricultural intensification. Biol Conserv 151:53–59. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2012.01. 068
- van Leeuwen WJD, Hartfield K, Miranda M, Meza FJ (2013) Trends and ENSO/AAO driven variability in NDVI derived productivity and phenology alongside the Andes Mountains. Remote Sens 5:1177–1203. doi:10.3390/rs5031177
- Vanham D, Mekonnen MM, Hoekstra AY (2013) The water footprint of the EU for different sites. Ecol Indic 32:1–8. doi:10.1016/j. ecolind.2013.02.020
- Vera FWM (2000) Grazing ecology and forest history [electronic resource]. CABI. doi:10.1079/9780851994420.0000
- Vermeulen SJ, Aggarwal PK, Ainslie A, Angelone C, Campbell BM, Challinor AJ, Hansen JW, Ingram JSI, Jarvis A, Kristjanson P, Lau C, Nelson GC, Thornton PK, Wollenberg E (2012) Options for support to agriculture and food security under climate change. Environ Sci Policy 15:136–144. doi:10.1016/j.envsci. 2011.09.003
- Vita A, Serra MT, Grez I, González M, Olivares DA (1995) Intervenciones silviculturales en espino (*Acacia caven* (Mol.)) en la zona árida de Chile. Rev Cien Forest (Chile) 10:51–62
- Westreicher CA, Mérega JL, Palmili G (2007) The economics of pastoralism: study on current practices in South America. Nomad People 11(2):87–105. http://www.jstor.org/stable/ 43123802