

Contents

Introduction	1
Hypothesis	3
1 Theoretical Background	4
1.1 Physics of radiation scattering and absorption	4
1.1.1 Radiation scattering by atmospheric particles	5
1.1.2 Radiation absorption in the atmosphere	6
1.2 Aerosol Optical Thickness	8
1.3 Ångström Coefficient	10
1.4 Langley Plot Calibration	10
1.5 The Radar Equation	11
1.6 Relationship between Reflectivity and Cloud Properties	12
2 LED Based Sun Photometer	14
2.1 Instrument Prototype	14
2.1.1 Electronic Subsystem	15
2.1.2 Mechanical Subsystem	17
2.2 Sensor correction	18
2.2.1 Effect of using wide sensors on AOT estimation	19
2.2.2 Ozone and Water Vapor effect in the AOT estimation	21
2.3 Calibration	22
2.3.1 Langley Plot	23
2.3.2 Measurement correction methodology and error estimation	23
2.4 Distributed Measurements	28
2.4.1 First campaign	29
2.4.2 Second campaign	30
3 Cloud Radar proof of concept	38
3.1 Introduction	38
3.2 Proof of concept description	39
3.2.1 Radiofrequency Elements	40
3.2.2 Power Source	45
3.2.3 Prototype Software	46
3.3 Experiments	48
3.3.1 Target Measurement	48
3.3.2 Fog formation inside a closed box	51

Conclusion	53
Bibliography	57
A Ångström Coefficient Time series	63
B Mixers datasheet	65
C RF filter S parameters	67
D LO output curve	68
E Radar power supply schematic	69
F MATLAB real time monitoring interface	71
G MATLAB code for total reflection measurement	72

List of Figures

1.1	Illustration of the radiation deflection lobes for different scattering regimes. (a) represents a Rayleigh scattering pattern ($x \ll 1$), while (b) and (c) correspond to an intermediate ($x \approx 1$) and strong ($x \gg 1$) case of the Mie scattering regime respectively. Figure extracted from [Wallace and Hobbs, 2006].	6
1.2	Size parameter x as a function of the incident radiation wavelength λ and particle radius r , with the main operation zones of Sun Photometers and Cloud Radars encircled (figure adapted from [Wallace and Hobbs, 2006]).	7
1.3	Spectrum of monochromatic absorptivity of the entire atmosphere for the UV, visible and infrared range. The Sun Photometer developed in this work operates in the visible spectrum range, highlighted in this picture (adapted from the book [Wallace and Hobbs, 2006]).	8
1.4	Electromagnetic attenuation across the electromagnetic spectrum, including gases and clouds effect. It is possible to see the local minimums in the curves of gases absorption that cause the 'atmospheric windows' around the 35 and 95 [GHz] frequencies. This figure is included with illustrative purposes, however for calculations it is recommended to use tested models such as the MPM [Liebe, 1989]. Illustration adapted from the Encyclopædia Britannica [2017].	9
1.5	Langley plot example for monochromatic measurements at $\lambda = 0.58$ and $0.40\mu m$. If θ is the solar zenith angle, the airmass m_{air} can be approximated as $\sec \theta$. The value of $\ln I_{0\lambda}$ can be estimated by intercepting the line with the vertical axis (image adapted from [Wallace and Hobbs, 2006]).	11
1.6	Illustration summarizing the meaning of each term in the radar power budget equation.	12
1.7	Examples of DSD which follow a Log-normal diameter distribution. In this case, the reflectivity and liquid water content associated to each distribution is the same, even though they have a different shapes. Illustration adapted from [Miles et al., 2000].	13
2.1	Sun photometer prototype. The left picture illustrates the control system, then at the middle the external cover and to the right the LED sensor and light input.	16

2.2	Blue and yellow LEDs relative response superposed to the H_2O and O_3 absorption lines. After checking the main absorption bands it was discovered that the yellow sensor response curve is coincident with water vapor and ozone absorption regions, while the curve of the blue LED is free from these effects. To obtain the LED wavelength response, each LED was exposed to a sliding wavelength monochromatic light source generated by an Oriel Instruments 77200 Monochromator with a Xenon lamp of 1000 [W] LOT Oriel.	17
2.3	Virtual measurement simulation flowchart. Before obtaining Virtual OT measurements a Virtual Calibration constant must be obtained. This is done simulating Sensor Responses for different solar angles and using the Langley Plot calibration method. All the simulations were done using the U.S. Standard Atmosphere as the basal atmospheric profile [NASA, 1976].	20
2.4	Comparison between the wide and narrow sensor simulated 408 [nm] AOT measurement. The main effect of using a wide sensor in an atmosphere with zero GOT is the appearance of a constant bias in the measurements.	21
2.5	Simulated GOT introduced to the yellow sensor by different water vapor columns (a) and stratospheric ozone concentrations (b).	22
2.6	Langley plots for the yellow (YS) and blue (BS) sensors for the three prototypes, showing the calibration constant V_0 and r^2 obtained in each extrapolation. The results are almost identical to those expected from a monochromatic Langley Plot. Measurements taken on May 21 2016, at the Chajnantor Plateau of the Atacama Desert, Chile (4500 [m a.s.l.]). V_0 is in ADC voltage units, which can be used in the same way as analog Voltage for the measurement model because they are linearly related, with no bias (consistent units). . . .	24
2.7	Bias corrected measurements of the yellow (a) and blue (b) channels compared to their CIMEL equivalent AOT. Measurements taken on June 7 of 2016. . .	27
2.8	Percentage error with respect to the CIMEL considering the N AOT samples obtained in the validation with the three prototypes. Percentage error calculated as $100 \cdot (\tau_p - \tau_c) / \tau_c$	28
2.9	Map showing the area studied in the field campaigns. The black line shows the limits of the urban area of Santiago, with the ground altitude indicated by the color scale. The location of the sun photometers and other stations is indicated by black dots. The sites involved in the first campaign are the DGF, San Joaquin and La Reina, while in the second campaign the observation points were alternating between the DGF, Cerro Calan, La Ermita, Camino Farellones, Valle Nevado and Talagante. Additionally, Queltehue location is indicated to reference a previous study [Cordova et al., 2016].	30
2.10	Yellow sensor measurements before and after gases correction. One of the prototypes was located at the rooftop of the DGF, next to a CIMEL and a commercial hand-held sun photometer MICROTOPS II. The ozone correction is significantly more important in the AOT estimation compared to the water vapor effect using this yellow sensor.	31
2.11	Measurements of AOT from the yellow and blue obtained in the first campaign. They represent simultaneous AOT results obtained at different observation points distributed within Santiago basin. The three built prototypes, one CIMEL and one MICROTOPS II were used.	32

2.12	Satellite retrievals of AOT at 550 [nm] from the MAIAC product [Lyapustin et al., 2011] over the Santiago Region. The black edge dots indicate the values of the AOT measured by the sun photometers and taken to 550 [nm] using both Yellow and Blue Chanel measurements. (a) June 6th 2016, 15:15 UTC and (b) June 6th 2016 17:55 UTC	33
2.13	Measurements of AOT for June 16 of 2016. Location and altitude of the instruments: Talagante: 326 [m a.s.l.], DGF: 500 [m a.s.l.], La Ermita: 1165 [m a.s.l.], Camino Farellones 1974 [m a.s.l.], Valle Nevado: 3033 [m a.s.l.] . .	34
2.14	Measurements of AOT for June 18 of 2016. Location and altitude of the instruments: DGF: 500 [m a.s.l.], Cerro Calan: 867 [m a.s.l.], La Ermita: 1165 [m a.s.l.], Camino Farellones 1974 [m a.s.l.], Valle Nevado: 3033 [m a.s.l.] . .	35
2.15	Measurements of AOT for June 28 of 2016. Location and altitude of the instruments: DGF: 500 [m a.s.l.], Cerro Calan: 867 [m a.s.l.], Camino Farellones 1974 [m a.s.l.], Valle Nevado: 3033 [m a.s.l.]. Only two prototypes were operative this day.	36
3.1	Prototype RF circuit schematic.	40
3.2	Radar case, showing the power control panel (see section 3.2.2) and the external connections. Special care has been taken to protect the internal elements from rain or dust, but there is still the need for an aperture to connect the SDRs to an external computer via USB cables.	40
3.3	Radar prototype. Includes labels for the RF schematic components.	41
3.4	HackRF One emission power curve with a resolution of 50 [MHz]. This curve was obtained using an high precision spectrum analyzer at the MWL. It was only measured for one radio, so it is advisable to use it as a reference only. The large discontinuities between sequential points is caused by internal switching of amplifiers controlled by the HackRF firmware, depending on the operation frequency.	43
3.5	LO heat dissipation system. It kept the LO under its safety threshold temperature of 40°C for environmental temperatures up to 30°C. Experiments indicated that the LO took up to 30 minutes to reach temperature equilibrium after being turned on.	44
3.6	Summary of the RF components power connections. S0 is a plug in switch, next to the power cable connection while S1, S2, S3 and S4 represent the switches on the power control panel, from left to right. The last row of blocks represent the power regulation boards designed for each component.	45
3.7	GNU Radio software developed to emit and receive at a fixed frequency. It is used to measure the total received power from scatterers located in the ray path. It uses the minimum advisable sampling rate to ensure correct operation of the radios, since the emission of a fixed tone does not require a wide bandwidth.	47
3.8	Proposed chirp generating software, including receiver implementation. It mixes the emitted and received signal using the computer's processor. Because of this and the high sampling rate, it is advisable to use this algorithm with a high performance quad core processor, using USB 3.0 ports. The radios must have their internal clocks synchronized between them to avoid independent time drift. Additionally, it is highly advisable to use an external stable reference such as a precision RTC to minimize the conjoint time drift.	48

3.9	Picture illustrating the target measurement experiment. The prototype (on the right) emits a sinusoidal wave to the trihedral corner reflector (on the left) and registers the resulting echo power.	49
3.10	The prototype is aligned until 17:15. After this time it is slowly misaligned until 17:46, when it is aligned again to recover the original signal. It is possible to see the passing through a secondary antenna lobe around 17:38.	49
3.11	Calculated calibration constant R_c time series for the calibration period, including its mean standard deviation. The final value after the two days of samples is $R_c = -111 \pm 1$ [dBZ].	50
3.12	Experimental setting for the enclosed box fog experiment. It is highly advisable to verify that the box is tightly sealed, to ensure stable conditions inside it. Tests done with a slightly opened box resulted in unstable absorption measurements. The radar is located in the Fresnel zone [Sekelsky, 2002], between 18 [cm] and 1.89 [m] from the antennas, and even though equation 1.12 cannot be used directly (requires beam shape corrections) the electromagnetic behavior is still predominantly radiative.	51
3.13	Scatterplot of the <i>negative</i> of the radar received power versus LWC. Since this is a continuous wave radar, it continuously detects the power reflected from the first and second walls of the box. This curve indicates that part of the received power is absorbed proportionally to the amount of suspended liquid water inside the box.	52
D.1	Power output of the LO for a control voltage of 5V. The frequency and power may vary with the operation temperature.	68
F.1	Picture of the interface taken during an example sampling experiment. The high sampling rate of the radios causes a high resource tax on the computer, and consequently it is advisable to avoid introducing commands during measurement sessions. This interface enables the detection of abnormalities in the radar operation immediately, avoiding the expenditure of long periods registering invalid data.	71