Alvaro Glavic<sup>1</sup>, José Luis Gómez-Skarmeta<sup>2,\*</sup> and Roberto Mayor<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Millennium Nucleus in Developmental Biology, Laboratory of Developmental Biology, Faculty of Science, University of Chile, Casilla 653, Santiago, Chile

<sup>2</sup>Centro de Biología Molecular Severo Ochoa, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas and Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Cantoblanco, 28049 Madrid, Spain

\*Authors for correspondence (e-mail: rmayor@uchile.cl and jlgomez@cbm.uam.es)

Accepted 7 January 2002

#### SUMMARY

The isthmic organizer, which patterns the anterior hindbrain and midbrain, is one of the most studied secondary organizers. In recent years, new insights have been reported on the molecular nature of its morphogenetic activity. Studies in chick, mouse and zebrafish have converged to show that mutually repressive interactions between the homeoproteins encoded by Otx and Gbx genes position this organizer in the neural primordia.

We present evidence that equivalent, in addition to novel, interactions between these and other genes operate in *Xenopus* embryos to position the isthmic organizer. We made use of fusion proteins in which we combined Otx2 or Gbx2 homeodomains with the E1A activation domain or the EnR repressor element which were then injected into embryos. Our results show that Otx2 and Gbx2 are likely to be transcriptional repressors, and that these two proteins repress each other transcription. Our experiments show that the interaction between these two proteins is required for the positioning of the isthmic organizer genes Fg/8, *Pax2* and *En2*. In this study we also developed a novel in vitro assay for the study of the formation of this organizer. We show that conjugating animal caps previously injected with *Otx2* and *Gbx2* mRNAs recreate the interactions required for the induction of the isthmic organizer. We have used this assay to determine which cells produce and which cells receive the Fgf signal.

Finally, we have added a novel genetic element to this process, *Xiro1*, which encode another homeoprotein. We show that the *Xiro1* expression domain overlaps with territories expressing Otx2, Gbx2 and Fgf8. By expressing wild-type or dominant negative forms of *Xiro1*, we show that this gene activates the expression of Gbx2 in the hindbrain. In addition, *Xiro1* is required in the Otx2 territory to allow cells within this region to respond to the signals produced by adjacent Gbx2 cells. Moreover, *Xiro1* is absolutely required for Fgf8 expression at the isthmic organizer. We discuss a model where *Xiro1* plays different roles in regulating the genetic cascade of interactions between Otx2 and Gbx2 that are necessary for the specification of the isthmic organizer.

Key words: Xenopus, Iroquois, Midbrain, Hindbrain, Isthmus organizer

#### INTRODUCTION

The developing vertebrate brain is subdivided into three main territories: the forebrain, the midbrain and the hindbrain. The forebrain contains two vesicles, the telencephalon and diencephalon, while the midbrain forms one vesicle, the mesencephalon (mes). The hindbrain or rhombencephalon is further subdivided into transverse domains called rhombomeres. The isthmus between midbrain and hindbrain and the two most anterior rhombomeres are called the metencephalon (met), from which the pons and cerebellum develop. During the past decade, several studies have shown that the isthmus acts as an organizing center that patterns adjacent territories (reviewed by Alvarado-Mallart, 1993; Joyner et al., 2000; Liu and Joyner, 2001; Martínez, 2001; Rhinn and Brand, 2001). Chick-quail isthmic transplantation

experiments have shown that the isthmus can induce ectopic midbrain structures when transplanted to the posterior diencephalon and cerebellum structures, when transplanted to the rhombencephalon (Gardner and Barald, 1991; Marin and Puelles, 1994; Martínez and Alvarado-Mallart, 1990; Martínez et al., 1995; Martínez et al., 1991). A key molecule in mediating the patterning effects of the isthmus is the diffusible molecule fibroblast growth factor 8 (Fgf8). In both chick and mouse, Fgf8 can activate the expression of many other mesmet genes, and directs the formation of ectopic midbrain and anterior hindbrain structures in the caudal diencephalon and mesencephalon (Crossley et al., 1996; Liu et al., 1999; Martínez et al., 1999; Shamim et al., 1999). Genetic studies in mouse and fish support the requirement of Fgf8 for the correct patterning of territories adjacent to the isthmus (Brand et al., 1996; Meyers et al., 1998; Reifers et al., 1998). Fgf8 is

expressed in the metencephalon that abuts the domain of expression of another diffusible molecule Wnt1 in the mesencephalon. In addition, engrailed 1/engrailed 2 (En1/En2) and the paired homeobox genes Pax2/Pax5 are expressed both in the midbrain and hindbrain territories and, as well as Wnt1, are required for the correct midbrain and cerebellum development (reviewed by Joyner et al., 2000; Liu and Joyner, 2001; Martínez, 2001). Otx1/2 and Gbx2, genes that encode homeoproteins, are essential for the positioning and maintenance of the isthmus organizer as well as for midbrain and cerebellum development. These are the earliest expressed genes in the prospective midbrain-hindbrain organizer territory with restricted expression domains. At early gastrula the Otx1/Otx2 genes are expressed in the anterior neuroectoderm abutting the Gbx2 expression domain at the prospective midbrain-hindbrain boundary (Simeone et al., 1992a; Simeone et al., 1992b; Wassarman et al., 1997). Their complementary expression domains suggest mutual repression. Gain- and lossof-function mutations have confirmed this hypothesis and shows their requirement for midbrain and cerebellum development (Acampora et al., 1998; Broccoli et al., 1999; Katahira et al., 2000; Millet et al., 1999; Rhinn et al., 1998; Wassarman et al., 1997). However, a recent study by Garda et al. (Garda et al., 2001), has shown that the initial expression domains of Otx2 and Gbx2 do not come into contact but are instead separated by a gap of Otx2- and Gbx2-negative cells. Soon after, the expression domains of these two genes overlap, and Fgf8 is first detected within this overlapping territory. Fgf8 then overactivates Gbx2, causing Otx2 repression and the generation of a sharp boundary between Otx2 and Gbx2. This sharp boundary maintains Fgf8 expression that continues to act positively on Gbx2 and negatively on Otx2. Fgf8 also activates other midbrain-hindbrain genes whose domains of expression are later refined by a complex crossregulation mechanism (Garda et al., 2001; Wurst and Bally-Cuif, 2001). In addition, other factors such as the Hes1, Hes3 and Her5 also participate in the establishment of this border (Müller et al., 1996; Hirata et al., 2001).

The iroquois (Iro) genes belong to the TALE class of homeobox-encoding proteins (Bürglin, 1997). As their discovery as prepattern factors required for proneural and provein gene activation (Gómez-Skarmeta and Modolell, 1996; Leyns et al., 1996), they have been shown to participate in many developmental processes (reviewed by Cavodeassi et al., 2001). Both Drosophila and vertebrates Iro genes, have an early functional requirement for the specification of large territories, and a late function necessary for the subdivision of these territories into more restricted domains (reviewed by Cavodeassi et al., 2001). Thus, in Drosophila the Iro genes are required for the formation of the dorsal eye, head and mesothorax (Cavodeassi et al., 2000; Diez del Corral et al., 1999). In Xenopus laevis they participate in the specification of the Spemann organizer (Glavic et al., 2001) and the neuroectoderm (Gómez-Skarmeta et al., 2001). Later during development, the Iro genes help pattern the Drosophila imaginal discs and vertebrate neuroectoderm and heart (Bao et al., 1999; Bellefroid et al., 1998; Bruneau et al., 2001; Cavodeassi et al., 1999; Christoffels et al., 2000a; Gómez-Skarmeta et al., 1998; Gómez-Skarmeta and Modolell, 1996; Kehl et al., 1998; Leyns et al., 1996). In Drosophila, the Iro genes have been shown to be essential for the formation of several organizer centers in both the eye and wing imaginal discs (Cavodeassi et al., 1999; Diez del Corral, 1999; Cho and Choi, 1998; Domínguez and de Celis, 1998; Papayannopoulos et al., 1998). Although most of the vertebrate Iro genes have restricted patterns of expression in the midbrain-hindbrain boundary, their functions in the formation of this organizer center have not been explored (Bellefroid et al., 1998; Bosse et al., 2000; Bosse et al., 1997; Bruneau et al., 2001; Christoffels et al., 2000b; Cohen et al., 2000; Gómez-Skarmeta et al., 1998; Goriely et al., 1999; Peters et al., 2000; Tan et al., 1999).

In this work, we have examined whether *Gbx2* and *Otx2* function as activators or repressors in midbrain-hindbrain boundary formation in *Xenopus*. In addition, we have used conjugates of injected animal caps to recreate the isthmus organizer in vitro. This and other assays allowed us to explore how the *Xenopus* Iro gene, *Xiro1*, participates in the formation of this organizer.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Plasmid constructions, *in vitro* RNA synthesis and microinjection of mRNAs

The Otx2 and Gbx2 homeodomain coding regions were amplified using the following primers 5'-ATGCCGTGAATTCGCT-CAGCC-3'/5'-CACTCCGAGGCTCACTTCCC-3' and 5'-ACCTG-GACTAGAATTCAGATGAC-3'/5'-TTGCTTGCTCGAGCTGCTGG-3' respectively. EcoRI and XhoI sites (underlined) were used to fuse them to the engrailed repressor domain (EnR) or the E1A transactivator domain in the pCS2-MT-NLS-EnR and pCS2-MT-NLS-E1A plasmids (donated by N. Papalopulu). The fragments generated were digested with EcoRI and XhoI restriction enzymes and cloned in pBS SKII and were subsequently sequenced. To obtain the E1A fusion proteins the pCS2-MT-NLS-E1A vector and the homeodomain fragments were double digested with EcoRI and XhoI restriction enzymes and then ligated together. The EnR fusion constructs were generated by exchanging the E1A domain, excised with XhoI and KpnI, from the pCS2-MT-NLS-Otx-E1A or pCS2-MT-NLS-Gbx2-E1A with the EnR-coding sequence, excised with the same enzymes, from the pCS2-MT-NLS-EnR vector. Xirol constructs are described elsewhere (Gómez-Skarmeta et al., 2001). All cDNAs were linearized and transcribed, as described by Harland and Weintraub (Harland and Weintraub, 1985) with GTP cap analog (New England Biolabs). SP6, T3 or T7 RNA polymerases were used. After DNAse treatment, RNA was extracted using phenol-chloroform, column purified and precipitated with ethanol. For injections, mRNAs were resuspended in DEPC-water and injected using 8-12 nl needles in two-cell stage embryos.

### Whole-mount in situ hybridization, X-Gal, Myc staining and histology

Antisense RNA probes for *Xiro-1* (Gómez-Skarmeta et al., 1998), *Gbx2* (von Bubnoff et al., 1995), *Otx2* (Blitz and Cho, 1995), *Pax2* (Heller and Brändli, 1997), *En2* (Hemmati-Brivanlou et al., 1991), *Fgf8* (Christen and Slack, 1997), *Wnt1* (Wolda et al., 1993), were synthesized from cDNAs using digoxigenin or fluorescein (Boehringer Mannheim) as a label. Specimens were prepared, hybridized and stained using the method of Harland (Harland, 1991). NBT/BCIP or BCIP alone were used as substrate for alkaline phosphatase. X-Gal staining was performed according to Coffman et al. (Coffman et al., 1993). Antibody staining was performed after in situ hybridization of the embryos using anti Myc mouse monoclonal antibodies from BabCo, and according to the method described by Turner and Weintraub (Turner and Weintraub, 1994). Histology was performed as described by Mayor et al. (Mayor et al., 2000).



### Embryos, micromanipulation and dexamethasone treatments

*Xenopus* embryos were obtained as described previously (Gómez-Skarmeta et al., 1998) and staged according to Nieuwkoop and Faber (Nieuwkoop and Faber, 1967). Dissections and conjugates were performed as described by Mancilla and Mayor (Mancilla and Mayor, 1996). Dexamethasone treatment was performed as described by Kolm and Sive (Kolm and Sive, 1995). Dexamethasone was included in the culture medium at stage 9.5-10 or 12-12.5 and maintained until the embryos were fixed.

#### RESULTS

### *Xiro1* is co-expressed with *Otx2* and *Gbx2* in *Xenopus* embryos

The expression patterns of Otx2, Gbx2 and Xiro1 were examined by whole-mount double in situ hybridization to address the possible role of each gene in isthmus development. As described previously, Otx2 expression is restricted during gastrulation to the anterior region of the embryo (Blitz and Cho, 1995). By the end of gastrulation, Otx2 is located in the anterior neural plate including the presumptive forebrain and midbrain territories. At this time, Gbx2 begins to be expressed (von Bubnoff et al., 1995) in two patches within the neural tissue, which overlap in the most anterior region with the Otx2-expressing cells (Fig. 1A,A'). At mid neurula stage, Otx2 and Gbx2 expression domains begin to separate (Fig. 1B). Still, a faint graded Otx2 expression is detected in sections which overlap with the Gbx2 expression domain (Fig. 1B'). Finally, the faint graded Otx2 expression becomes narrower by the late neurula stage and the boundary between Gbx2 and Otx2 expression domains becomes sharp (Fig. 1C,C'). Xirol is co-expressed with both Otx2 and Gbx2 during the earliest stages analyzed (Fig. 1D,G). The co-expression territory of Xirol and Otx2 corresponds to the presumptive midbrain territory. This overlap between the anterior region of Xiro1 expression and the caudal expression of Otx2 is maintained and refined

**Fig. 1.** Comparison between Otx2, Gbx2 and Xiro1 expression. Embryos were fixed at late gastrula (stage 12-12.5) (A,A',D,G), early neurula (stage 13-14) (B,B',E,H,J,K) and mid neurula (stage 17-18) (C,C',F,I,L), and double in situ hybridization and sectioning were carried out for each pair of genes. The whole mounts are dorsal views oriented with anterior to the top and the sections and inset are oriented with anterior to the left. (A-C) Otx2 (green) and Gbx2 (purple) are expressed in complementary domains that overlap in the isthmus region. (A') Higher magnification of the square shown in A. Notice the overlapping expression of both

genes. (B',C') Upper panels show a sagittal section of an embryo after the first chromogenic reaction for Otx2 detection (green). Lower panels show the same embryo after the second chromogenic reaction for Gbx2 detection (purple). Notice the overlap in the expression of both genes at the early neurula stage (bracket in B'), which disappears at the mid neurula stage (bracket in C'), to generate a sharp boundary of Otx2/Gbx2expression. (D-F) Otx2 (purple) and Xiro1 (light blue) overlap at the presumptive midbrain domain. (G-I) Gbx2 expression (purple) is almost completely included in Xiro1 (light blue)-expressing territory. (J) Position of Fgf8 expression. The initial isthmus expression of Fgf8 appears at early neurula stage in the region where Otx2 and Gbx2 are co-expressed (brackets). This early expression precedes the establishment of the sharp border described for Otx2 and Gbx2. Images were taken from the same embryos after the first gene detection (right panels, green for Otx2and Gbx2) and at after the second chromogenic reaction (left panels, purple for Fgf8). (K) Double in situ hybridization for Fgf8 (purple) and Xiro1 (green) mRNAs. The Fgf8 isthmus expression is included in the Xiro1-positive cells at this stage (arrow). Arrowhead points the anterior limit of Xiro1. (L) Double staining for En2 (purple) and Gbx2 (green). En2 is expressed mainly in the Otx2 domain with a faint graded coexpression with Gbx2 at stage 17 (arrowhead). (M-O) The expression patterns observed by whole-mount in situ hybridization during the three stages described above. The positions of Fgf8 and En2 expression are also shown. Note the refinement in the Otx2-Gbx2 overlapping region and the co-expression domains of Xiro1, Otx2 and Gbx2.



Fig. 2. Otx2 and Gbx2 participate as transcriptional repressors in the positioning of the isthmus organizer. Embryos were injected in one blastomere of two-cell stage embryos with 2 ng of Gbx2 (A,D,G,J), 2 ng of the Gbx2 repressor fusion (Gbx-EnR) (B,E,H,K) or 0.3 ng Gbx2 activator fusion (Gbx2-E1A) (C,F,I,L) mRNAs. The expression of Otx2, Fgf8, En2 and Pax2 were analyzed at stage 17 and the injected sides were detected by X-Gal stain. (A-C) Otx2 expression is inhibited in embryos injected with Gbx2 or Gbx2-EnR mRNAs (A,B, broken lines), while is displaced caudally in those injected with Gbx2-EIA mRNA (C, broken lines). (D-F) A rostral shift of Fgf8 isthmic expression territory is observed upon Gbx2 or Gbx2-EnR overexpression (D,E, broken lines), and inhibition and caudal shift of this expression domain occurs in Gbx2-E1A-injected embryos (F, arrowhead). (G-I) En2 is displaced anteriorly in Gbx2- or Gbx2-EnR-injected embryos (G,H, broken lines), while is repressed and shift caudally in those injected with Gbx2-EIA mRNA (I, broken lines). (J-L) Pax2 expression is displaced rostrally in embryos injected with Gbx2 or Gbx2-EnR mRNAs (J,K, broken lines), while a caudal shift occurs in Gbx2-ElA-injected embryos (L, broken lines). The injection at the two-cell stage of 5 ng of Otx2 (M,P,S,V), 2 ng of Otx2-EnR (N,Q,T,W) or 1 ng of Otx2-E1A (O,R,U,X). The expression of Gbx2, Fgf8, En2 and Pax2 were analyzed at stage 17. (M-O) Overexpression of Otx2 or Otx2-EnR mRNAs produce repression and caudal shift of Gbx2 (broken lines) and injection of Otx2-EIA mRNA caused an anterior shift and diffusion of Gbx2 (O, broken lines). (P-R) Fgf8 is shifted posteriorly in embryos injected with Otx2 or Otx2-EnR mRNAs (P.O. broken lines) while injection of Otx2-E1A mRNA causes inhibition of the isthmus expression of Fgf8 (R, arrowhead). (S-U) En2 is shifted caudally in Otx2 and Otx2-EnR injected embryos (S,T, broken lines), while there is a decrease in En2 expression with an anterior displacement in embryos injected with Otx2-ElA mRNA (U, broken lines). (V-X) Pax2 is shifted caudally in Otx2- and Otx2-EnR-injected embryos (V,W broken lines), while its expression decrease in the Otx2-EIA-injected embryos (X, arrowhead). Arrowheads point to the injected sides. Each experiment was performed at least twice with a minimum of 30 embryos. The percentage of effect for each experiment was ~ 70%.

during development (Fig. 1E,F) and it corresponds to the region where *En2* is expressed (Gómez-Skarmeta et al., 1998). *En2* is expressed mainly in the posterior midbrain and overlaps a small region of the *Gbx2* expression domain (Fig. 1L,O). The *Xiro1-Gbx2* early co-expression domain is broader than the region shared by *Xiro1* and *Otx2* and seems to be larger than the presumptive rhombomere one territory (Fig. 1D,G,M). Later on, during neurulation, expression patterns of *Gbx2* and *Xiro1* change drastically, maintaining their colocalization in part of the spinal chord and in rhombomere one (Fig. 1H,I,N,O).

At the gastrula stage, a clear intermingled population of cells expressing *Otx2* and *Gbx2* can be observed (Fig. 1A',M). It is

important to note that at the early neurula stage, the time that Fgf8 begins to be expressed (Fig. 1J,K,N), a faint overlap between the Otx2 and Gbx2 territories exists (Fig. 1B,N). The early Fgf8-expressing domain within the neural plate overlaps the faint Otx2-expressing region, within the Gbx2 territory (Fig. 1J,N).

*Xiro1* encompasses the *Fgf*8-expressing domain (Fig. 1K,N) and as mentioned before, the Otx2 and Gbx2-expressing domains.

# *Otx2* and *Gbx2* participate as repressors in positioning the isthmus

In the mouse, these homeoproteins have been implicated in the

Fig. 3. The interaction between *Otx2* and *Gbx2* induce the isthmus. Embryos were injected with different mRNAs (5 ng of Otx2, 2 ng of *Gbx2*, 0.3 ng of  $\beta$ -galactosidase, 1 ng of *XFD*) at the one-cell stage. Animal caps were dissected at stage 10 and cultured as conjugates. (A,B) No Fgf8 expression was detected in conjugates of control uninjected animal caps with Gbx2-injected ones (A, 0%, n=20) or with Otx2-expressing caps (B, 0%, n=23) at stage 17. (C-E) Conjugates of Otx2- with Gbx2-expressing caps performed at stage 10 can induce Fgf8 (C; 69%, n=45), En2 (D; 93%, n=109) and Wnt1 (E; 65%, n=17) (arrowheads) at stage 17.  $\beta$ -Galactosidase (arrow) was co-injected with Otx2 (C) or with Gbx2 (D). Fgf8 was induced in the Gbx2 injected cap and En2 in the Otx2-expressing cap as shown by the X-Gal staining. (F) Conjugate of Otx2+XFD- and Gbx2-expressing caps. En2 induction was blocked when XFD was co-expressed with Otx2 (arrow in F shows X-Gal staining in the Otx2+XFD animal cap, 22% of expression, n=37). (G) Conjugate of Otx2- and Gbx2+XFD-expressing caps. XFD co-injected with Gbx2 did not block the induction of En2 (arrowhead, 95% of expression, n=47). Arrow in G shows X-Gal in the Gbx2+XFD cap.

positioning of the isthmus. It has been postulated that they antagonize the transcription of each other and in this manner, generate the sharp border between Otx2 and Gbx2 expression territories, thus defining the position of the Fgf8-expressing domain (Millet et al., 1999; Broccoli et al., 1999; Katahira et al., 2000). To examine if they have similar functions in Xenopus midbrain-hindbrain boundary formation, and whether they act as activators or repressor, we fused their homeodomains with activator (E1A) and repressor (EnR) domains and compared the effects of overexpressing the corresponding mRNAs (Gbx2-E1A, Gbx2-EnR, Otx2-E1A and Otx2-EnR) with that caused by the wild-type Gbx2 and Otx2mRNAs counterparts injections. Embryos were injected with the corresponding mRNA in one blastomere at the two-cell stage together with  $\beta$ -galactosidase mRNA, fixed at neurula stages, and analyzed for the expression of Otx2, Gbx2, Fgf8, En2 and Pax2. Figure 2 shows that overexpression of Gbx2 or Gbx2-EnR mRNAs shifts the expression of Otx2 to more anterior positions or inhibits its expression (Fig. 2A,B), whereas the opposite effect was observed in Gbx2-E1Ainjected embryos (Fig. 2C). The new limit created by the overexpression of Gbx2 or its repressor construct repositioned *Fgf8* expression towards a more anterior position (Fig. 2D,E). This anterior shift was also observed in the cases of En2 and Pax2 expressions (Fig. 2G,H,J,K). By contrast, injection of Gbx2-EIA mRNA produced a posterior diffusion and expansion of Fgf8 expression (Fig. 2F), similar to that observed on En2 and Pax2 expressions (Fig. 2I,L). This indicates that Gbx2 acts as a repressor and that the activator fusion constructs interfere with Gbx2 function.

Otx2 participates as a transcriptional repressor in the positioning of the isthmus organizer as defined by the effect observed for the injection of the wild-type transcript and the repressor construct. Thus, in embryos injected with Otx2 or Otx2-EnR mRNAs, Gbx2 is repressed and shifted posteriorly (Fig. 2M,N). Pax2 and En2 moved in accordance caudally (Fig. 2S,T,V,W), while Fgf8 was shifted posteriorly and sometimes disappeared from the injected side in embryos injected with the wild type or repressor construct (Fig. 2P,Q). Conversely, Otx2-E1A expanded Gbx2 into the forebrain region (Fig. 2O) and decreased its expression. Fgf8, En2 and Pax2 were inhibited or diffused and shifted anteriorly (Fig. 2R,U,X). Thus, Otx2 and



Gbx2 work as transcriptional repressors and they repress each other.

# The interaction between *Otx2* and *Gbx2* expressing cells is enough to induce the isthmus organizer

Data from chick experiments have shown that tissue from rhombomere 1 or tissue electroporated with a Gbx2-expressing vector induces an ectopic isthmus when transplanted into the Otx2 expression domain (Marin and Puelles, 1994; Katahira et al., 2000). We analyzed whether the interaction between cells over expressing Otx2 and Gbx2 was enough for the induction of markers of the isthmus. Embryos were injected with Otx2 or Gbx2 mRNAs at the one-cell stage. At stage 10, their animal caps were explanted. When Otx2- or Gbx2-injected caps were conjugated with control uninjected animal caps, no isthimc markers were induced (Fig. 3A,B for Fgf8, expression data for *En2* and *Wnt1* not shown). However, when caps expressing *Otx2* were conjugated with those expressing Gbx2, the expression of Fgf8, En2 and Wnt1 was observed (Fig. 3C,D,E). In Fig. 3C, the Otx2-expressing cap was co-injected with  $\beta$ -galactosidase mRNA as a lineage tracer, which allowed us to conclude that Fgf8 expression appeared in the Gbx2 cap. In Fig. 3D, the Gbx2expressing cap was co-injected with  $\beta$ -galactosidase mRNA; therefore, the expression of En2 occurred within the Otx2 cap. We have used this in vitro assay to determine whether FGF signal pathway is strictly required in the Otx2-expressing tissue for En2 activation, or whether it is necessary in the Gbx2 region for activation of a relay signal that promotes En2 activation in the adjacent Otx2-expressing territory. For that, we co-expressed Otx2 or Gbx2 with a dominant negative form of the FGF receptor (XFD), conjugated these caps with caps expressing Gbx2 or Otx2, respectively, and analyzed their ability to express En2. Fig. 3F,G show that En2 is completely inhibited when FGF signaling is impaired in the Otx2 territory, but is not affected when this pathway is blocked in the Gbx2 region. This indicates that the induction of *En2* is promoted by the activation of the FGF signal pathway in the Otx2-positive cells, probably caused by the FGF8 molecules produced in the Gbx2 cap.

## *Xiro1* participates in positioning the isthmus organizer

In Xenopus, Xiro1 expression precedes that of Gbx2, which

appears within the *Xiro1* expression domain, and overlaps with the *Otx2*-midbrain expressing territory. This prompted us to examine whether *Xiro1* participates in the midbrain-hindbrain boundary formation. To that end, we analyzed the effect of overexpressing *Xiro1* mRNA and its derivatives over the



Fig. 4. Xirol participates in the positioning of the isthmus organizer. Embryos were injected in one blastomere at the two-cell stage with 2 ng of Xirol mRNA (A,D,G,J), 0.5 ng of HD-GR-EnR (B,E,H,K) or HD-GR-E1A (C,F,I,L); the inducible constructs were induced around stage 12.5. The injected side is marked by X-Gal stain in the Xirolinjected embryos and by Myc staining in the case of the inducible constructs. (A) Xirol overexpression promotes an expansion and caudal shift of Gbx2. (B) HD-GR-EnR mRNA injection causes expansion and anterior shift of Gbx2 expression. (C) Gbx2 is repressed in embryos injected with HD-GR-E1A mRNA. (D) In embryos injected with Xiro1 mRNA Otx2 midbrain expression domain is expanded caudally. (E) However, injection of HD-GR-EnR mRNA caused an anterior shift of the Otx2 expression domain. (F) A caudal expansion of Otx2 when HD-GR-E1A mRNA is overexpressed. (G) Fgf8 expression is displaced posteriorly in embryos injected with Xirol mRNA. (H) Overexpression of HD-GR-*EnR* promotes an expansion and anterior shift of the isthmus domain of Fgf8. (I) This domain is repressed in HD-GR-E1A-injected embryos. (J) In embryos injected with Xiro1 mRNA, Pax2 is expanded. (K) HD-GR-EnR mRNA injection causes an anterior shift of Pax2 expression. (L) Pax2 is repressed and shifted caudally in embryos injected with HD-GR-E1A mRNA. Broken lines show the described effects. Arrowheads indicate the injected sides. Each experiment was performed at least twice with a minimum of 45 embryos. The percentage of effect for each experiment was ~70%.

midbrain-hindbrain boundary at early neurula, when the isthmus begins to be established (Fig. 5), and at mid neurula (Fig. 4), when the midbrain-hindbrain boundary has been refined and reached its final configuration. Injection of *Xiro1* mRNA increased the expression of *Gbx2* and displaced its rostral limit posteriorly (Fig. 4, Fig. 5B). Accordingly, the midbrain expression domain of *Otx2*, shifted to a more caudal position (Fig. 4D, Fig. 5A). In addition, at the stages analyzed *Pax2* was expanded and displaced caudally in embryos injected with *Xiro1* mRNA (Fig. 4J, Fig. 5C). A posterior displacement was also observed for *Fgf8* expression (Fig. 4G). This indicates that *Xiro1* could participate at the initial events during isthmus establishment through the activation of *Gbx2*, but also may modulate *Otx2* and *Pax2* expression.

Previous studies have implicated Xirol in the repression of Bmp4 expression in the neural plate and dorsal mesoderm during gastrulation (Glavic et al., 2001; Gómez-Skarmeta et al., 2001). Thus, the effects of overexpressing Xirol on Gbx2 and Otx2 may be an indirect consequence of mesoderm alteration earlier during development, which then affects neural plate patterning. To overcome these possible early effects, we used Xiro1 inducible chimeras. Overexpression of Xirol homeodomain fused to an inducible module and to a EnR repressor domain (HD-GR-EnR) has been shown to produce similar effects to that caused by overexpression of wild type Xirol (Glavic et al., 2001; Gómez-Skarmeta et al., 2001). By contrast, overexpression of a similar fusion with no transcriptional module (HD-GR) or with an activator domain (HD-GR-E1A) interferes with Xiro1 function (Glavic et al., 2001; Gómez-Skarmeta et al., 2001). These constructs allowed us to modify Xirol function at different stages of development.

When the *HD-GR-EnR* fusion protein was induced at late gastrula stage in injected embryos *Gbx2* expression was increased but, in contrast to *Xiro1* injected embryos, its rostral limit was shifted anteriorly (Fig. 4B). Moreover, *Otx2* expression was displaced rostrally rather than expanded posteriorly (Fig. 4E) and the isthmus domain of *Fgf8* and *Pax2* expression was shifted anteriorly (Fig. 4H,K). In the case of *HD-GR-E1A* overexpression, the opposite effects were observed, that is, inhibition of *Gbx2* and posterior expansion of the *Otx2* expression domain (Fig. 4C,F). Notice that the inhibition of *Xiro1* function with *HD-GR-E1A* completely represses *Fgf8* (Fig. 4I) and decrease and shift posteriorly the expression of *Pax2* (Fig. 4L) and *En2* (not shown).

The different effects of Xirol and HD-GR-EnR on the isthmus positioning could be a consequence of an early requirement of *Xiro1* for *Otx2* expression that is no longer observed when the inducible construct is activated at late gastrula or early neurula stages. Indeed, Xirol is necessary for neural plate formation and activates Otx2 in animal caps (Gómez-Skarmeta et al., 2001). To address this point more directly, Xiro1 derivatives were activated at early gastrula stage (stage 10) or late gastrula (stage 12) and their effects were examined by the time when the initial Fgf8 expression is detected (stage 14). Induction of HD-GR-EnR at stage 10 produced similar effects to that observed in Xiro1 injected embryos, that is, Otx2 expression was displaced caudally (Fig. 5D), Gbx2 expression was expanded and its anterior limit was moved posteriorly (Fig. 5D,G). In addition, Pax2 was shifted caudally in these embryos (Fig. 5J). Interference with Xirol function at early gastrula by injecting HD-GR-E1A and HD-GR repressed Otx2 (Fig. 5E,F), Gbx2 (Fig.



Fig. 5. Xirol controls the expression of Otx2 and Gbx2 at different developmental stages. Embryos were injected in one blastomere at two-cell stage with 2 ng of Xirol mRNA (A-C), 0.5 ng of HD-GR-EnR (D,G,J,M,P,S), 0.5 ng of HD-GR-ElA (E,H,K,N,Q,T) or 0.5 ng of HD-GR (F,I,L,O,R,U) and the expression of Otx2, Gbx2 and Pax2 were analyzed at early neurula stage (stage 14). Activation of the inducible constructs was achieved by adding dexamethasone at stage 9.5-10 (D-L) or at stage 12-12.5 (M-U). Embryos injected with Xirol mRNA show a caudal expansion of Otx2 (A, broken lines), expansion and caudal shift of Gbx2 (B, broken lines), and Pax2 is displaced caudally (C, broken lines). (D-I) Otx2 (green) and Gbx2 (purple) were expanded and shifted caudally in embryos injected with HD-GR-EnR mRNA (D,G, broken lines). HD-GR-E1A and HD-GR repressed Otx2 and Gbx2 expression when activated at stage 9.5-10 (E,H and F,I, arrowheads). A caudal shift of Pax2 expression is observed in embryos injected with HD-GR-EnR when activated at stage 9.5-10 (J, broken lines). The injection of both HD-GR-E1A and HD-GR repress Pax2 midbrain expression domain (K,L, arrowheads). (M-O) Otx2 midbrain territory is inhibited and shifted rostrally in embryos injected with HD-GR-EnR mRNA (M, broken lines). A caudal expansion in Otx2 expression is produced by HD-GR-E1A and HD-GR overexpression and activation at stage 12-12.5 (N,O, broken lines). (P-R) Gbx2 expression is expanded anteriorly in embryos injected with HD-GR-EnR mRNA and activated at stage 12-12.5 (P, broken lines), while the injection of HD-GR-E1A and HD-GR mRNAs promote repression of Gbx2 (O.R. arrowheads). (S-U) Embryos injected with HD-GR-EnR and activated at stage 12-12.5 causes an anterior shift of Pax2 expression (S, broken lines), while HD-GR-EIA and HD-GR produce repression and caudal displacement of Pax2 expression when activated at stage 12-12.5 (T,U, broken lines). Arrowheads indicate the injected sides. Each experiment was performed at least twice with a minimum of 20 embryos. The percentage of effect for each experiment was ~70%.

5E,F,H,I) and *Pax2* expression (Fig. 5K,L). This is probably due to suppression of neural plate fate by early interference with Xirol function (Gómez-Skarmeta et al., 2001). At early neurula, and similar to what is observed at mid neurula (Fig. 4B,E,K), activation of *HD-GR-EnR* at late gastrula displaced the *Otx2* (Fig. 5M) and *Gbx2* (Fig. 5P) expression domains anteriorly. In addition, *Gbx2* expression is also expanded (Fig. 5P). Accordingly, *Pax2* expression shifted rostrally in these embryos (Fig. 5S). Conversely, activation *HD-GR-E1A* and *HD-GR* at stage 12, which do not affect neural plate formation (Gómez-Skarmeta et al., 2001), expanded *Otx2* expression (Fig. 5N,O), while *Gbx2* was decreased (Fig. 5Q,R). *Pax2* expression was inhibited and shifted posteriorly by these treatments (Fig. 5T,U).

These results suggest that *Xiro1* upregulates *Otx2* expression at early gastrula and *Gbx2* at early neurula. Thus, in *Xiro1*injected embryos or in embryos in which *HD-GR-EnR* is activated at early gastrula, *Otx2* is ectopically expressed at a more caudal position. This causes posterior displacement of *Gbx2* and of the midbrain-hindbrain boundary. In addition, *Xiro1* has a positive effect of on *Gbx2*, which causes an expansion of *Gbx2* expression domain. By contrast, in embryos injected with *HD-GR-EnR* and induced at late gastrula, only *Gbx2* is activated. Gbx2 then represses *Otx2* and shifts the isthmus organizer anteriorly.

In order to define the specificity of the phenotypes described for the gain and loss of Xiro1 function and to further define Xiro1 transcriptional activity, we performed rescue experiments. As described above, dominant negative forms of Xiro1 (HD-GR-E1A and HD-GR) inhibit Gbx2 expression (Fig. 5H,I,Q,R). Coinjection with Xirol rescued completely the Gbx2 expression when the dominant negative was induced at the early or late gastrula stages (Fig. 6B,C and 6H,I respectively). The Xirol dominant negatives (HD-GR-E1A and HD-GR) produced an inhibition or a caudal expansion of Otx2, depending whether they were induced at the early or late gastrula stage, respectively (Fig. 5E,F,N,O). Both phenotypes were rescued by co-injection with Xiro1 (Fig. 6E,F,K,L). Co-injection of HD-GR-EnR and Xiro1, when hormone was added at early gastrula, caused Gbx2 upregulation associated with a caudal displacement of Gbx2 and Otx2 (Fig. 6A,D). This effect is identical to that observed in Xiro1-injected embryos. When HD-GR-EnR was activated at late gastrula, Gbx2 is upregulated but the isthmus position was

not altered (Fig. 6G,J). This indicates that the posterior displacement of the isthmus, which is caused by Xiro1mediated activation of Otx2 in early gastrula, is counteracted by the anterior displacement, because of Gbx2 activation by HD-GR-EnR at early neurula. These data further support the fact that Xiro1 behaves as a transcriptional repressor capable of promoting the expression of Otx2 at early gastrula and of Gbx2 at late gastrula.

We next examined whether the effects of dominant negative forms of Xiro1 on Otx2 (Fig. 7A) and Fgf8 expression were consequence of the suppression of Gbx2 expression in the injected embryos (Fig. 7C). Indeed, this was the case for the caudal limit of Otx2, as co-injection of HD-GR and Gbx2 was sufficient to generate embryos with a normal Otx2 expression pattern (Fig. 7A,B). Although the co-injection of HD-GR and Gbx2 rescued the normal expression of Otx2 it did not rescue Fgf8 expression (Fig. 7D). We conclude, that Xiro1 function is necessary for Fgf8 induction independent of Gbx2 and Otx2expression.

To clarify the epistatic relationships between the genes involved in the positioning of the isthmus organizer, we performed animal cap assays and the conjugate experiments described previously. In the embryo, Otx2 and Xiro1expression domains overlap; thus, we tested whether Otx2was capable of inducing Xiro1 expression. Indeed, Otx2overexpression activated Xiro1 expression in animal caps (Fig. 8B). The ability of Xiro1 to activate Otx2 has been reported previously (Gómez-Skarmeta et al., 2001). Gbx2 is initially expressed within the Xiro1 territory and Xiro1 overexpression induces Gbx2 in the embryo. Thus, we asked whether Xiro1 could also promote Gbx2 expression in an animal cap assays where other signals presents in the embryo are absent. *Xiro1* activity effectively induced the expression of Gbx2 in competent ectoderm, while Gbx2 was unable to induce *Xiro1* expression (Fig. 8A,C). Next we analyzed the relationships between *Xiro1* and Gbx2 using conjugate experiments. If *Xiro1* was able to promote Gbx2 expression, then conjugates of Otx2expressing cells and *Xiro1* expressing cells should produce the induction of Fgf8 and En2. Fig. 8D,E show that this is indeed the case. The interaction between tissue expressing Otx2 and tissue expressing *Xiro1* was enough to induce the isthmus organizer markers Fgf8 and En2 in the Gbx2- and Otx2expressing caps, respectively.

Xirol and Otx2 activate each other and the corresponding genes are co-expressed in the midbrain territory in which En2is activated. We have examined if Xirol is required in the Otx2expression domain for En2 expression. To that end, the inducible dominant negative form of Xirol was co-injected with Otx2, the corresponding animal caps were conjugated with caps expressing Gbx2 and the expression of En2 was analyzed. Fig. 8F shows that Xirol function is indispensable for the induction of En2.

#### DISCUSSION

# Conserved mechanisms of positioning the isthmic organizer between chick/mouse and *Xenopus*: *Otx2* and *Gbx2* activities

In recent years, new insights have been reported by numerous



**Fig. 6.** Rescue experiments. Embryos were injected in one blastomere at the two-cell stage with *Xirol* (1 ng) and *HD-GR-EnR* (0.5 ng) (A,D,G,J), or *HD-GR-E1A* (0.5 ng) (B,E,H,K), or *HD-GR* (0.5 ng) (C,F,I,L). The inducible constructs were activated around stage 10 (A-F) or 12.5 (G-L) and the expression of *Otx2* and *Gbx2* were analyzed at early neurula stage. Embryos injected with a mixture of *Xirol* and *HD-GR-EnR* (0.5 ng) and *HD-GR-EnR* (0.5 ng) (C,F,I,L). The inducible constructs were activated around stage 10 (A-F) or 12.5 (G-L) and the expression of *Otx2* and *Gbx2* were analyzed at early neurula stage. Embryos injected with a mixture of *Xirol* and *HD-GR-EnR* and activated around stage 10 show an expansion and caudal shift of *Gbx2* (A, broken lines, 90%, *n*=27) and a caudal expansion of *Otx2* midbrain expression domain (D, broken lines, 70%, *n*=23). The overexpression of *Xirol* with *HD-GR-E1A* or with *HD-GR* and activation at stage 10 rescue the expression of both *Otx2* and *Gbx2* (B,E and C,F, respectively). The expression of *Otx2* and *Gbx2* is rescued in the embryos injected with mixtures of *Xirol* with *HD-GR-EnR* (G,J), with *HD-GR-E1A* (H,K) or with *HD-GR* (I,L) activated at stage 12-12.5. Broken lines show the displacements of gene expression. Arrowheads indicate the injected sides. The percentage of rescue of normal expression for each experiment was ~75%.

#### Xiro1 in mid-hindbrain boundary formation 1617



the Myc

expression of Gbx2 and HD-GR (black lines). (C) Injection of HD-GR produced a complete inhibition of Gbx2. (D) The co-injection of HD-GR and Gbx2 did not rescue the expression of Fgf8, even though it produced a nearly normal Otx2 expression. Arrowheads show the injected sides and point the effects described above. Each experiment was carried out at least twice with a minimum of 54 embryos. The percentage of effect (or rescue) for each experiment was ~70%.

studies about the regulatory genetic mechanisms that underlie the specification of the isthmic organizer at the mid-hindbrain boundary (Broccoli et al., 1999; Liu et al., 1999; Martínez et al., 1999; Millet et al., 1999; Shamin et al., 1999) and the molecular nature of its morphogenetic activity (Crossley et al., 1996; Meyers et al., 1998; Reifers et al., 1998; Martínez et al., 1999; Shamin et al., 1999). Studies in chick, mouse and zebrafish have converged to show that mutually repressive interactions between homeodomain transcription factors of the Otx and Gbx class position this organizer in the neural primordia (Rhinn and Brand, 2001).

We have shown here that similar mechanisms are conserved in Xenopus and we have used the advantages of this system to further study this inductive process. We have analyzed the pattern of expression of Otx2 and Gbx2 genes from the gastrula until the neurula stages in Xenopus embryos. Our results show that at late gastrula, the posterior limits of Otx2 overlaps with the anterior limits of Gbx2. At the early neurula, the expression domains of these genes start to separate although still a faint overlap is detected. It is at this stage when the expression of Fgf8 is initiated in the overlapping region. A similar expression pattern was recently described for chick (Garda et al., 2001). Finally, at the mid neurula stage, the boundary between the Gbx2 and Otx2 expression domains becomes sharp and no overlap is detected.

We analyzed the transcriptional activity of Otx2 and Gbx2 by making fusion derivatives with activator or repressor domains (Friedman et al., 1988; Jaynes and O'Farrell, 1991). Our results indicate that Otx2 and Gbx2 are likely to be transcriptional repressors, as the same phenotype, assayed by the expression of several genes, is obtained when wild-type and



Fig. 8. Role of *Xiro1* on isthmic organizer in vitro. Embryos were injected at one-cell stage with the mRNAs described, the animal caps were explanted and conjugated at stage 10 and cultured until the equivalent of stage 17. At this stage the gene expression was assayed. (A) Injection of 2 ng of Gbx2 mRNA do not induce Xirol expression (0%, n=36). (B) In caps injected with 5 ng of Otx2 mRNA, Xiro1 expression is induced (arrowheads, 65%, n=23; inset shows uninjected animal caps). (C) Caps injected with 2 ng Xirol-EnR mRNA express Gbx2 (arrowheads, 57%, n=46; inset shows uninjected animal caps). (D) Otx2(5 ng)//Xiro1(2 ng) conjugates express En2 (arrowheads, 90%, n=30) in the Otx2 territory (arrow indicates the X-Gal stain in the Xirol-expressing caps). (E) Fgf8 also is induced in these conjugates (arrowhead, 71%, n=34, arrow shows the X-Gal stain in the Xirol caps). (F) Interference with Xirol function with HD-GR-E1A (0.5 ng) at stage 12 suppressed En2 expression in the *Otx2* expressing cap (40%, n=33).

repressor constructs are overexpressed, and the opposite effects are observed in embryos injected with the activator constructs. Thus, the injection of Gbx2 or its repressor construct shifts the expression of Otx2, Fgf8, Pax2 and En2 towards a more anterior position. This is similar to that observed in a transgenic mouse embryo that expresses Gbx2 under the Wnt1 promoter (Millet et al., 1999), or by misexpression experiments in chick (Katahira et al., 2000) and zebrafish (Rhinn and Brand, 2001). By contrast, overexpression of *Otx2* or its repressor construct produces the same phenotype as that observed in mutant mouse embryos that express Otx2 under the En1 promoter (Broccoli

**A** GASTRULA



Fig. 9. A model for the induction and positioning of the isthmus organizer. (A) Gastrula. Xirol encompasses Gbx2 expressing domain and the presumptive midbrain territory of Otx2 and participate in the activation of both genes (arrows). In addition, Otx2 also activates Xirol expression in the midbrain. At this stage Otx2 and Gbx2 expression domains overlap in the prospective isthmus and the mutual repressive activities between the corresponding proteins begin (red lines) (B) Early neurula. The expression domains of Otx2 and Gbx2 start to separate although a faint overlapping is still detected. At this stage, Xirol is no longer able to activate Otx2. In addition, Fgf8 expression, and therefore the establishment of the isthmus, begins as a result of the overlapping domain created by Otx2 and Gbx2 (broken arrows) and the activity of Xiro1 in this region (arrow). (C) Mid neurula. A sharp boundary between Otx2and Gbx2 arises, which is probably due to an equilibrium reached by their cross-inhibitory activities (red lines). The interaction between Otx2 and Gbx2 maintains Fgf8, which reinforces the expression of Gbx2 in the caudal face of the isthmus (arrow). In addition, Fgf8 induces En2 expression in the competent territory defined by the coexpression of Otx2 and Xiro1. a, anterior; p, posterior.

et al., 1999), or in the chick embryo where Otx2 was ectopically expressed in the hindbrain (Katahira et al., 2000): a posterior shift of the isthmic organizer genes. It should be noted that in some injected embryos, the expression of Gbx2, Fgf8, Pax2 or En2 is almost completely absent. This observation could be explained by the existence of a limited competent region in which these genes can be expressed. In other vertebrates, graft transplantations and implantation experiments using FGF8 loaded beads have shown that such a competent region for isthmic organizer induction exists (Martínez et al., 1991; Bally-Cuif and Wassef, 1994; Marin and Puelles, 1994; Crossley et al., 1996; Martínez et al., 1999). It should be noted that the size of the midbrain, and in consequence the area of competence, in Xenopus embryos is much smaller than in chick or mouse, and the probability of being in the area of competence is therefore lower in Xenopus.

Taken together, these observations suggest that, as in other organisms, a mutual repression between Gbx2 and Otx2 occurs

in *Xenopus*. This interaction defines the positioning of the limit of expression of these two transcription factors and the positioning of the isthmic organizer, as detected by the expression of Fgf8, Wnt1, Pax2 and En2.

All previous experiments concerning the interaction between Otx2 and Gbx2 in the specification of the isthmic organizer have been carried out in whole animals, where the possibility of additional signals coming from different regions of the embryos have not been directly ruled out. We have found that conjugating animal caps expressing Otx2 with animal caps expressing Gbx2 is sufficient for the induction of isthmic markers such as Fgf8, En2 and Wnt1. Interestingly, the expression of Fgf8 is induced in the Gbx2-expressing cells, while the induction of *En2* is found in the *Otx2*-expressing cells, which is similar to the pattern observed in whole embryos. This novel in vitro assay for the induction of the isthmic organizer support previous observations in zebrafish and mouse. In mutants that lack notochord, the anteriorposterior polarity at the mid-hindbrain boundary is correctly specified, indicating that the induction of this border does not require signals from the axial mesoderm (Halpern et al., 1993; Talbot et al., 1995; Ang and Rossant, 1994; Weinstein et al., 1994). However, we cannot rule out the possibility that, in the embryo, other factors, in addition to Otx2 and Gbx2, are required to induce some of the elements of the isthmic organizer. Indeed, supporting this possibility, in mouse there is some initial *En2* expression that is independent of the Otx-Gbx boundary (Acampora et al., 1997). Our results also suggest that a signal produced in the *Gbx2*-expressing cells, which is likely to be Fgf8, acts on the Otx2-expressing cells in order to induce En2 and Wnt1. Thus, interference with Fgf signaling by overexpressing a dominant negative Fgf receptor (XFD) in the Otx2 territory suppressed En2 expression. Although there is evidence that XFD is able to block several members of the Fgf family of receptors (Amaya et al., 1991), the simplest interpretation of our results is that XFD is blocking the Fgf8 signal produced by the Gbx2 cells. Indeed, it has been proposed that Fgf8 is the mediator of the organizing activity and is required for the maintaining of the expression of the isthmic markers (Reifers et al., 1998; Crossley et al., 1996; Heikinheimo et al., 1994). Our in vitro assay supports this idea and introduces a new in vitro assay system to analyze other signals involved in the induction of the isthmic organizer.

## Role of *Xiro1* on the positioning of the isthmic organizer

Previous work has shown that *Xiro1* functions as a transcriptional repressor in the Spemann organizer and in the neural plate (Glavic et al., 2001; Gómez-Skarmeta et al., 2001). We show that *Xiro1* is required for the expression of several isthmic organizer genes, and in this process acts as a repressor. In addition, *Xiro1* can acts at different stages of development, regulating the expression of different genes and, as a consequence, the isthmus position.

#### Xiro1 is required for Gbx2 expression

It is clear from our work that *Xiro1* expression precedes that of *Gbx2*, and that this gene is initially activated within the *Xiro1* domain. In embryos injected with *Xiro1* or an inducible repressor variant (*HD-GR-EnR*), *Gbx2* expression is expanded. By contrast, in embryos injected with an inducible dominant

negative form of *Xiro1* (*HD-GR*) or an inducible activator variant (*HD-GR-E1A*), *Gbx2* is downregulated. In addition, the expression of *Xiro1* in animal caps is enough to activate *Gbx2*. Taken together, these results strongly support the idea that *Xiro1* is required, as a repressor, for *Gbx2* expression in the isthmic organizer. Moreover, we have found that in embryos injected with *HD-GR-EnR*, activation of *Gbx2* expression was observed when dexamethasone was added at both early and late gastrula stages. This suggest that *Xiro*-mediated *Gbx2* activation occurs at late gastrula stage.

#### Xiro1 is required for Otx2 expression

*Xiro1* is co-expressed with Otx2 in the midbrain (Gómez-Skarmeta et al., 1998) (this work). We have found a mutual positive regulation between these two genes. Otx2 activates Xiro1 in animal caps and Xiro1 activates Otx2 expression in whole embryos and in animal caps (this work) (Gómez-Skarmeta et al., 2001). Otx2 activation was also observed in embryos injected with HD-GR-EnR and treated with Dex at early gastrula, but not when hormone was added at late gastrula. Moreover, interference with Xiro1 function with HD-GR or HD-GR-E1A downregulate Otx2. This indicates that Xiro1 is required as a repressor for Otx2 expression at early gastrula stage.

#### Xiro1 effects on isthmic positioning

The isthmic position is the result of the balance between Otx2 and Gbx2 mutual repression (Millet et al., 1999; Broccoli et al., 1999; Katahira et al., 2000). As *Xiro1* participates in the activation of both genes, it also help position the midbrainhindbrain boundary. Overexpression of *Xiro1* cause, during gastrulation, ectopic activation of Otx2 at more caudal positions. This promotes a posterior shift of the isthmic position, despite *Xiro1* also expanding *Gbx2* expression at neurula stage. This posterior displacement is also observed in embryos injected with *HD-GR-EnR* and treated with Dex at early gastrula, but not when hormone was added at late gastrula. In this late condition, *Xiro1* is not longer able to activate Otx2, but it can activates *Gbx2*, which displace the midbrain-hindbrain boundary anteriorly through Otx2 downregulation.

We do not know how *Xiro1* could activate two different genes, *Otx2* and *Gbx2*, at different places and at different times. It may do so by acting in collaboration with other factors such as retinoic acid, Fgf or Wnt signaling, as they are involved in posteriorizing signals in the neural plate and in the expression of *Gbx2* (Gvalas and Krumlauf, 2000; Gamse and Sive, 2000).

#### Xiro1 is required for Fgf8 expression

The effect of *Xiro1* on *Fgf8* expression is not completely explained by its effect on *Otx2* and *Gbx2*. Injection of *Xiro1* and *HD-GR-EnR* produced an enlargement in the domain of *Fgf8* expression. Part of this enlargement could be a consequence of a broader overlap between *Otx2* and *Gbx2*, as has been suggested for chick (Garda et al., 2001). Interference with *Xiro1* completely suppresses *Fgf8* expression. This is not due to absence of *Gbx2*, as the dominant negative form of *Gbx2* does not repress *Fgf8* expression. In addition, in embryos with impaired *Xiro1* function in which *Gbx2* expression is reconstituted, the expression of *Otx2*, but not that of *Fgf8*, is rescued. These results suggest that *Xiro1* is absolutely required for Fgf8 expression and that Gbx2 and Otx2 are not sufficient for the activation of Fgf8 expression. In agreement, in Gbx2null mice, Fgf8 is initially expressed, although this expression is not maintained (Wassarmann et al., 1997). Thus, *Xiro1* may participate in this initial Fgf8 activation.

We also used the in vitro assay developed here to test the role of Xirol on the induction of the isthmic organizer. Conjugates of caps expressing Otx2 and Xirol are able to induce En2 expression in the Otx2 cap and Fgf8 expression in the Xirol cap, as expected if Xirol is activating Gbx2 expression that in turn interacts with the Otx2 cap. In addition to this role of Xiro1 on isthmus induction, we found that Xiro1 activity was required in the Otx2 cap, as co-expression of a dominant negative form of Xirol in this cap blocks En2 induction. Thus, the mutual interaction between Otx2 and Xiro1 produces the co-expression of these two genes, which is probably required to define the competent domain for the signals coming from the *Gbx2*-expressing cells. The cephalic limit in the expression of the Iro genes in chick and mouse correlates exactly with the region of the diencephalon that induces ectopic isthmic tissue in response to grafts of midbrain or beads soaked with Fgf8 (Bosse et al., 1997; Bosse et al., 2000; Cohen et al., 2000; Alvaro-Mallart, 1993; Crossley et al., 1996).

#### A model for the positioning of the isthmic organizer

We propose the following model for the positioning of the isthmic organizer in Xenopus (Fig. 9). In this model, some elements are similar to those found in mouse and chicken. At the gastrula stage (Fig. 9A), there is a reciprocal activation of Otx2 and Xiro1 in the caudal part of the midbrain. These interactions help to maintain the co-expression of these two genes which will be required for the competence of this territory to receive the signals that later will promote En2 expression. During late gastrula-early neurula, Xiro1 upregulates Gbx2 (Fig. 9A,B). This produces an overlap in the expression of Otx2 and Gbx2 within the prospective isthmic territory. In this region, in part as a consequence of Xiro1, the expression of Fgf8 in the prospective isthmic organizer is initiated (Garda et al., 2001) (Fig. 9B). Fgf8 and Gbx2 begin a positive crossregulation. Then, Gbx2 and Otx2 by mutual repression transform this interface into a sharp border (Fig. 9C). Xirol is later required in the Otx2 territory for En2 (and probably for Wnt1) activation mediated by Fgf8 from adjacent Gbx2-expressing cells. The isthmic organizer is perpetuated by the mutual interaction of Fgf8, En2 and Wnt1.

In our experiments, we induced higher levels of *Gbx2* activity, either by injecting *Gbx2* mRNA directly by overexpressing *Xiro1*, which up regulates *Gbx2*. Under these circumstances, the equilibrium in the mutual repression between *Otx2* and *Gbx2* is shifted in favor of *Gbx2*, which, by repressing *Otx2*, shifts the *Otx2-Gbx2* border into a more anterior position and with it all of the midbrain-hindbrain boundary.

Although we show evidence for this model in *Xenopus* embryos, the expression patterns of several Iro genes in mouse, chick and zebrafish are compatible with our model. In *Xenopus*, *Xiro1*, *Xiro2* and *Xiro3* are expressed in the midbrain-hindbrain boundary (Gómez-Skarmeta et al., 1998; Bellefroid et al., 1998). A recent report by Sato et al. (Sato et al., 2001) shows that *Irx2*-positive territory is able to respond to the Fgf8b signal in the isthmic organizer region of chick embryos. Future experiments

are required in these organisms to test the role of the Iro genes in the specification of the isthmic organizer.

It is interesting to note that in *Drosophila*, the Iro genes participate in the generation of organizer boundaries during imaginal disc development (reviewed by Cavodeassi et al., 2001). We have found a similar Iro function in vertebrate brain development. The restricted pattern of expression of several Iro genes in vertebrate rhombomeres, which are know to behave as compartment borders (reviewed by Lumsden and Krumlauf, 1996), raise the possibility that the Iro genes are common elements in the genetic pathways required for the generation of boundaries.

We are very grateful to Miguel Allende and Vin Sahota for critical reading of the manuscript. We are also grateful to D. Kimelman, B. Christen, K. Cho, N. Papalopulu, N. Heller and R. T. Moon for the use of cDNAs. This research was supported by an International Research Scholar Award from the Howard Hughes Medical Institute to R. M. and by grants from Fondecyt (#2990122 to A. G., #1990570 to R. M.), the Millennium Program (P99-137F) to R. M.; the Dirección General de Investigación Científica y Técnica (BMC2001-2122) to J. L. G.-S.; and the Human Frontier Science Program (RG0042-98) to R. M. and Juan Modolell. A. G. is a fellow from Fundación Andes (Chile).

#### REFERENCES

- Acampora, D., Avantaggiato, V., Tuorto, F. and Simeone, A. (1997). Genetic control of brain morphogenesis through Otx gene dosage requirement. *Development* 124, 3639-3650.
- Acampora, D., Avantaggiato, V., Tuorto, F., Briata, P., Corte, G. and Simeone, A. (1998). Visceral endoderm-restricted translation of *Otx1* mediates recovery of *Otx2* requirements for specification of anterior neural plate and normal gastrulation. *Development* 125, 5091-5104.
- Alvarado-Mallart, R. M. (1993). Fate and potentialities of the avian mesencephalic/metencephalic neuroepithelium. J. Neurobiol. 24, 1341-1355.
- Amaya, E., Musci, T. J. and Kirschner, M. W. (1991). Expression of a dominant negative mutant of the FGF receptor disrupts mesoderm formation in Xenopus embryos. *Cell* 66, 257-270.
- Ang, S. L. and Rossant, J. (1994) HNF-3 beta is essential for node and notochord formation in mouse development. *Cell* 78, 561-574.
- Bally-Cuif, L. and Wassef, W. (1994) Ectopic induction and reorganization of Wnt-1 in quail/chick chimeras. *Development* 120, 3379-3394.
- Bao, Z.-Z., Bruneau, B. G., Seidman, J. G., Seidman, C. E. and Cepko, C. L. (1999). Regulation of chamber-specific gene expression in the developing heart by *Irx4*. *Science* 283, 1161-1164.
- Bellefroid, E. J., Kobbe, A., Gruss, P., Pieler, T., Gurdon, J. B. and Papalopulu, N. (1998). Xiro3 encodes a Xenopus homolog of the Drosophila Iroquois genes and functions in neural specification. EMBO. J. 17, 191-203.
- Blitz, I. L. and Cho, K. W. (1995) Anterior neuroectoderm is progressively induced during gastrulation: the role of the Xenopus homeobox orthodenticle. *Development* 121, 993-1004.
- Bosse, A., Zülch, A., Becker, M. B., Torres, M., Gómez-Skarmeta, J. L., Modolell, J. and Gruss, P. (1997). Identification of the vertebrate Iroquois homeobox gene family with overlapping expression during early development of the nervous system. *Mech. Dev.* 69, 169-181.
- Bosse, A., Stoykova, A., Nieselt-Struwe, K., Chowdhury, K., Copeland, N. G., Jenkins, N. A. and Gruss, P. (2000). Identification of a novel mouse *iroquois* homeobox gene, *Irx5*, and chromosomal localization of all members of the mouse *iroquois* gene family. *Dev. Dyn.* 218, 160-174.
- Brand, M., Heisenberg, C. P., Jiang, Y. J., Beuchle, D., Lun, K., Furutani-Seiki, M., Granato, M., Haffter, P., Hammerschmidt, M., Kane, D. A. et al. (1996). Mutations affecting development of the midline and general body shape during zebrafish embryogenesis. *Development* 123, 129-142.
- Broccoli, V., Boncinelli, E. and Wurst, W. (1999). The caudal limit of *Otx2* expression positions the isthmic organizer. *Nature* 401, 164-168.
- Bruneau, B. G., Bao, Z.-Z., Fatkin, D., Xavier-Neto, J., Georgakopoulos, D., Maguire, C. T., Berul, C. I., Kass, D. A., Kuroski-de Bold, M. L. et al. (2001). Cardiomyopathy in Irx4-deficient mice is preceded by abnormal ventricular gene expression. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 21, 1730-1736.

- Bürglin, T. R. (1997). Analysis of TALE superclass homeobox genes (MEIS, PBC, KNOX, Iroquois, TGIF) reveals a novel domain conserved between plants and animals. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 25, 4173-4180.
- **Cavodeassi, F., Diez del Corral, R., Campuzano, S. and Domínguez, M.** (1999). Compartments and organizing boundaries in the *Drosophila* eye: the role of the homeodomain Iroquois proteins. *Development* **126**, 4933-4942.
- Cavodeassi, F., Modolell, J. and Campuzano, S. (2000). The Iroquois homeobox genes function as dorsal selectors in the *Drosophila* head. *Development* 127, 1921-1927.
- Cavodeassi, F., Modolell, J. and Gómez-Skarmeta, J. L. (2001). The iroquois genes: from body building to neural patterning. *Development* 128, 2847-2855.
- Cho, K. O. and Choi, K. W. (1998). Fringe is essential for *mirror* symmetry and morphogenesis in the *Drosophila* eye. *Nature* 396, 272-276.
- Christoffels, V. M., Habets, P. E., Franco, D., Campione, M., de Jong, F., Lamers, W. H., Bao, Z. Z., Palmer, S., Biben, C., Harvey, R. P. and Moorman, A. F. (2000a). Chamber formation and morphogenesis in the developing mammalian heart. *Dev Biol.* 223, 266-278.
- Christoffels, V. M., Keijser, A. G., Houweling, A. C., Clout, D. E. and Moorman, A. F. (2000b). Patterning the embryonic heart: identification of five mouse *iroquois* homeobox genes in the developing heart. *Dev. Biol.* 224, 263-274.
- Christen, B. and Slack J. (1997). FGF-8 Is associated with anteroposterior patterning and limb regeneration in Xenopus. Dev. Biol. 192, 455-466.
- Cohen, D. R., Cheng, C. W., Cheng, S. H. and Hui, C. C. (2000). Expression of two novel mouse *iroquois* homeobox genes during neurogenesis. *Mech. Dev.* 91, 317-321.
- Coffman, C. R., Skoglund, P., Harris, W. A. and Kintner, C. R. (1993). Expression of an extracellular deletion of *Xnotch* diverts cell fate in *Xenopus* embryos. *Cell* 73, 659-671.
- Crossley, P. H., Martínez, S. and Martin, G. R. (1996). Midbrain development induced by FGF8 in the chick embryo. *Nature* 380, 66-68.
- Diez del Corral, R., Aroca, P., Gómez-Skarmeta, J. L., Cavodeassi, F. and Modolell, J. (1999). The Iroquois homeodomain proteins are required to specify body wall identity in *Drosophila. Genes Dev.* 13, 1754-1761.
- **Domínguez, M. and de Celis, J. F.** (1998). A dorsal/ventral boundary established by Notch controls growth and polarity in the *Drosophila* eye. *Nature* **396**, 276-278.
- Friedman, A. D., Triezenberg, S. J. and McKnight, S. L. (1988). Expression of a truncated viral trans-activator selectively impedes lytic infection by its cognate virus. *Nature* 335, 452-454.
- Gamse, J. and Sive, H. (2000). Vertebrate anteroposterior patterning: the Xenopus neuroectoderm as paradigm. *BioEssays* 22, 976-986.
- Garda, A.-L., Echevarria, D. and Martinez, S. (2001). Neuroepithelial coexpression of *Gbx2* and *Otx2* precedes Fgf8 expression in the isthmic organizer. *Mech Dev.* **101**, 111-118.
- Gardner, C. A. and Barald, K. F. (1991). The cellular environment controls the expression of engrailed-like protein in the cranial neuroepithelium of quail-chick chimeric embryos. *Development* 113, 1037-1048.
- Glavic, A., Gómez-Skarmeta, J. L. and Mayor, R. (2001) Xiro-1 controls mesoderm patterning by repressing BMP-4 expression in the Spemann organizer. *Dev. Dyn.* 222, 368-376.
- Gvalas, A. and Krumlauf, R. (2000). Retinoid signalling and hindbrain patterning. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 10, 380-386.
- Gómez-Skarmeta, J. L. and Modolell, J. (1996). araucan and caupolican provide a link between compartment subdivisions and patterning of sensory organs and veins in the Drosophila wing. Genes Dev. 10, 2935-2946.
- Gómez-Skarmeta, J. L., Glavic, A., de la Calle-Mustienes, E., Modolell, J. and Mayor, R. (1998). Xiro, a Xenopus homolog of the Drosophila Iroquois complex genes, controls development at the neural plate. EMBO J. 17, 181-190.
- Gómez-Skarmeta, J. L., De la Calle-Mustienes, E. and Modolell, J. (2001). The Wnt-activated Xiro-1 gene encodes a repressor that is essential for neural development and downregulates Bmp-4. Development 128, 551-560.
- Goriely, A., Diez del Corral, R. and Storey, K. G. (1999). *c-Irx2* expression reveals an early subdivision of the neural plate in the chick embryo. *Mech. Dev.* **87**, 203-206.
- Harland, R. and Weintraub, H. (1985). Translation of mRNA injected into Xenopus oocytes is specifically inhibited by antisense RNA. *Cell Biol.* 101, 1094-1099.
- Harland, R. M. (1991). In situ hybridization: an improved whole-mount method for Xenopus embryos. Methods Cell Biol. 36, 685-695.
- Halpern, M. E., Ho, R. K., Walker, C. and Kimmel, C. B. (1993). Induction

of muscles pioneers and floor plate is distinguished by the zebrafish no tail mutation. *Cell* **75**, 99-111.

- Heikinheimo, M., Lawshe, A., Shackleford, G. M., Wilson, D. B. and MacArthur, C. A. (1994). Fgf-8 expression in the post-gastrulation mouse suggests roles in the development of the face, limb and central nervous system. *Mech. Dev.* 48, 129-138.
- Heller, N. and Brändli, A. W. (1997) Xenopus Pax-2 displays multiple splice forms during embryogenesis and pronephric kidney development. *Mech. Dev.* 69, 83-104.
- Hemmati-Brivanlou, A., de la Torre, J. R., Holt, C. and Harland, R. M. (1991). Cephalic expression and molecular characterization of *Xenopus En-2*. *Development* **111**, 715-724.
- Hirata, H., Tomita, K., Bessho, Y. and Kageyama, R. (2001). *Hes1* and *Hes3* regulate maintenance of the isthmic organizer and development of the mid/hindbrain. *EMBO J.* 20, 4454-4466.
- Jaynes, J. B. and O'Farrell, P. H. (1991). Active repression of transcription by the *engrailed* homeodomain protein. *EMBO J.* 10, 1427-1433.
- Joyner, A. L., Liu, A. and Millet, S. (2000). Otx2, Gbx2 and Fgf8 interact to position and maintain a mid-hindbrain organizer. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 12, 736-741.
- Katahira, T., Sato, T., Sugiyama, S., Okafuji, T., Araki, I., Funahashi, J. and Nakamura, H. (2000). Interaction between *Otx2* and *Gbx2* defines the organizing center for the optic tectum. *Mech. Dev.* **91**, 43-52.
- Kehl, B., Cho, K. O. and Choi, K. W. (1998). *mirror*, a *Drosophila* homeobox gene in the Iroquois complex, is required for sensory organ and alula formation. *Development* 125, 1217-1227.
- Kolm, P. J. and Sive, H. (1995). Efficient hormone-inducible protein function in *Xenopus laevis*. Dev. Biol. 171, 791-800.
- Leyns, L., Gómez-Skarmeta, J. L. and Dambly-Chaudière, C. (1996). *iroquois*: a prepattern gene that controls the formation of bristles on the thorax of *Drosophila*. *Mech. Dev.* **59**, 63-72.
- Liu, A. and Joyner, A. L. (2001). Early anterior/posterior patterning of the midbrain and cerebellum. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 24, 869-896.
- Liu, A., Losos, K. and Joyner, A. L. (1999). FGF8 can activate Gbx2 and transform regions of the rostral mouse brain into a hindbrain fate. *Development* 126, 4827-4838.
- Lumsden, A. and Krumlauf, R. (1996). Patterning the vertebrate neuraxis. Science 1109-1115.
- Mancilla, A. and Mayor, R. (1996). Neural crest development formation in Xenopus laevis: mechanisms of Xslug induction. Dev. Biol. 177, 580-589.
- Marin, F. and Puelles, L. (1994). Patterning of the embryonic avian midbrain after experimental inversions: a polarizing activity from the isthmus. *Dev. Biol.* 163, 19-37.
- Martínez, S. (2001). The isthmic organizer and brain regionalization. Int. J. Dev. Biol. 45, 367-371.
- Martínez, S. and Alvarado-Mallart, R. M. (1990). Expression of the homeobox Chick-en gene in chick/quail chimeras with inverted mesmetencephalic grafts. Dev. Biol. 139, 432-436.
- Martínez, S., Wassef, M. and Alvarado-Mallart, R. M. (1991). Induction of a mesencephalic phenotype in the 2-day-old chick prosencephalon is preceded by the early expression of the homeobox gene *en. Neuron* **6**, 971-981.
- Martínez, S., Marin, F., Nieto, M. A. and Puelles, L. (1995). Induction of ectopic *engrailed* expression and fate change in avian rhombomeres: intersegmental boundaries as barriers. *Mech. Dev.* 51, 289-303.
- Martínez, S., Crossley, P. H., Cobos, I., Rubenstein, J. L. and Martin, G.
  R. (1999). FGF8 induces formation of an ectopic isthmic organizer and isthmocerebellar development via a repressive effect on *Otx2* expression. *Development* 126, 1189-1200.
- Mayor, R., Guerrero, N., Young, R. M., Gómez-Skarmeta, J. L. and Cuellar, C. (2000). A novel function for the *Xslug* gene: control of dorsal mesoderm development by repressing BMP-4. *Mech. Dev.* 97, 47-56.
- Meyers, E. N., Lewandoski, M. and Martin, G. R. (1998). An *Fgf8* mutant allelic series generated by Cre- and Flp-mediated recombination. *Nat. Genet.* 18, 136-141.

- Millet, S., Campbell, K., Epstein, D. J., Losos, K., Harris, E. and Joyner, A. L. (1999). A role for *Gbx2* in repression of *Otx2* and positioning the mid/hindbrain organizer. *Nature* 401, 161-164.
- Müller, M., Weizäcker, E. and Campos-Ortega, J. (1996). Transcription of a zebrafish gene of the *hairy-enhancer of split* family delinates the midbrain analage in the neural plate. *Dev. Genes Evol.* 206, 153-160.
- Nieuwkoop, P. D. and Faber, J. (1967). Normal table of Xenopus laevis (Daudin). Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Papayannopoulos, V., Tomlinson, A., Panin, V. M., Rauskolb, C. and Irvine, K. D. (1998). Dorsal-ventral signaling in the *Drosophila* eye. *Science* 281, 2031-2034.
- Peters, T., Dildrop, R., Ausmeier, K. and Ruther, U. (2000). Organization of mouse *iroquois* homeobox genes in two clusters suggests a conserved regulation and function in vertebrate development. *Genome Res.* 10, 1453-1462.
- Reifers, F., Bohli, H., Walsh, E. C., Crossley, P. H., Stainier, D. Y. and Brand, M. (1998). *Fgf8* is mutated in zebrafish *acerebellar* (*ace*) mutants and is required for maintenance of midbrain-hindbrain boundary development and somitogenesis. *Development* **125**, 2381-2395.
- Rhinn, M. and Brand, M. (2001) The midbrain-hindbrain boundary organizer. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 11, 34-42.
- Rhinn, M., Dierich, A., Shawlot, W., Behringer, R. R., Le Meur, M. and Ang, S. L. (1998). Sequential roles for *Otx2* in visceral endoderm and neuroectoderm for forebrain and midbrain induction and specification. *Development* 125, 845-856.
- Sato, T., Araki, I. and Nakamura, H. (2001). Inductive signal and tissue responsiveness defining the tectum and the cerebellum. *Development* **128**, 2461-2469.
- Shamim, H., Mahmood, R., Logan, C., Doherty, P., Lumsden, A. and Mason, I. (1999). Sequential roles for Fgf4, En1 and Fgf8 in specification and regionalisation of the midbrain. *Development* 126, 945-959.
- Simeone, A., Acampora, D., Gulisano, M., Stornaiuolo, A. and Boncinelli, E. (1992a). Nested expression of four homeobox genes in developing rostral brain. *Nature* 358, 687-690.
- Simeone, A., Gulisano, M., Acampora, D., Stornaiuolo, A., Rambaldi, M. and Boncinelli, E. (1992b). Two vertebrate homeobox genes related to the *Drosophila empty spiracles* gene are expressed in the embryonic cerebral cortex. *EMBO J.* 11, 2541-2550.
- Talbot, W. S., Trevarrow, B., Halpern, M. E., Melby, A. E., Farr, G., Postlethwait, J. H., Jowett, T., Kimmel, C. B. and Kimelman, D. (1995). A homeobox gene essential for zebrafish notochord development. *Nature* 378, 150-157.
- Tan, J. T., Korzh, V. and Gong, Z. (1999). Expression of a zebrafish *iroquois* homeobox gene, *Ziro3*, in the midline axial structures and central nervous system. *Mech. Dev.* 87, 165-168.
- Turner, D. L. and Weintraub, H. (1994). Expression of achate-scute homolog 3 in *Xenopus* embryos converts ectodermal cells in neural fate. *Genes Dev.* 12, 1424-1447.
- von Bubnoff, A., Schmidt, J. and Kimelman, D. (1995). The *Xenopus laevis* homeobox *Xgbx-2* is an early marker of anteroposterior patterning in the ectoderm. *Mech. Dev.* 54, 149-160.
- Wassarman, K. M., Lewandoski, M., Campbell, K., Joyner, A. L., Rubenstein, J. L., Martinez, S. and Martin, G. R. (1997). Specification of the anterior hindbrain and establishment of a normal mid/hindbrain organizer is dependent on *Gbx2* gene function. *Development* 124, 2923-2934.
- Weinstein, D. C., Ruiz i Altaba, A., Chen, W. S., Hoodless, P., Prezioso, V. R., Jessell, T. M. and Darnell, J. E. Jr. (1994). The winged-helix transcription factor HNF-3β is required for notochord development in the mouse embryos. *Cell* 78, 575-588.
- Wolda, S. L., Moody, C. J. and Moon, R. T. (1993). Overlapping expression of Xwnt-3A and Xwnt-1 in neural tissue of *Xenopus laevis* embryos. *Dev. Biol.* 155, 46-57.
- Wurst, W. and Bally-Cuif, L. (2001). Neural plate patterning: upstream and downstream of the isthmic organizer. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 2, 99-108.