BIOTRANSFORMATION OF ENT-BEYERENES WITH MUCOR PLUMBEUS

MARÍA CRISTINA CHAMY¹, SILVIA OREJARENA², JUANA ROVIROSA² AND AURELIO SAN MARTIN*^{2,3}

¹Depto de Química, sede Viña del Mar, Universidad Andrés Bello ²Depto de Química, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Chile, ³Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Magallanes (Received: July 18, 2013 - Accepted: October 7, 2013)

ABSTRACT

The microbiological transformation of *ent*-beyer-15-en-18-ol **1** and *ent*-beyer-15-en-19-ol **2**, using *Mucor plumbeus*, resulted in hydroxylated products. After nine days of incubation, 15β , 16β -epoxide-*ent*-beyeran- 7β , 18-diol **3**, *ent*-beyer-15-en- 7β , 19-diol **4** and 15β , 16β -epoxide-*ent*-beyeran- 7β , 19-diol **5** were isolated. The metabolites were identified by spectroscopic methods.

Key Words: Mucor plumbeus, microbiological transformation, diterpenes, ent-beyerenes.

INTRODUCTION

Biotransformation is today considered to be an economically competitive technology by synthetic organic chemists in search of new production routes for fine chemical, pharmaceutical and agrochemical compounds¹. From the different transformations catalyzed by enzymatic systems, the selective hydroxylation of non-activated carbon atoms is particularly interesting, because this transformation is difficult to achieve by classical methods^{2,3} The introduction of hydroxyl groups in diterpenoids may enhance existing properties or lead to new biological activities. Microbial transformations have been used to introduce hydroxyl groups at positions remote from the functional group on diterpenoid molecules, such as stemodanes4, kaurenes5, pimaranes6, among others. We had carried out the biotransformation of *ent*-beverene derivatives with Giberella fujikuroi (analogue biosynthesis) concluding that the presence of a 18 hydroxyl in the molecule is inhibitory for the formation of the gibberellins^{7,8}. Although several microbial hydroxylations on beyerane derivatives9-11 have been described, to the best of our knowledge, there are no reports about biotransformation of ent-beyer-15-ene with Mucor plumbeus. In this work we describe the isolation and structural elucidation of the xenobiotic biotransformation of ent-beyer-15-en-18-ol, 1 and ent-beyer-15-en-19-ol, 2 with M. plumbeus

EXPERIMENTAL

General procedures. ⁻¹H NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl₃ solution at 400.13 MHz , and the ¹³C NMR at 125.03 MHz in a Bruker multidimensional spectrometer. Purification by HPLC was achieved using a silica gel column (Ultrasphere Si 5 lm, 10 - 250 mm). Dry column chromatography was made on silica gel Merck 0.040–0.063 mm.

Microorganism.-The fungal strain was *M. plumbeus* CMI 116688 was a gift from Prof. M. Fraga Universidad de La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain.

Incubation procedure.- The fungus *M. plumbeus*, was grown on shake culture at 25°C for two days in 80 conical flasks (250 mL), each containing 50 mL of sterile medium comprising (per dm³) glucose (80 g), NH₄NO₃ (0.48 g), KH₂PO₄ (5 g), MgSO₄(1 g), and trace elements solution (2 mL). The trace elements solution contained (per 100 mL) Co(NO₃)₂ (0.01 g), CuSO₄ (0.015 g), ZnSO₄ (0.16 g), MnSO₄ (0.01 g), (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄ (0.01 g). The substrate dissolved in EtOH (11–16 mL) and Tween 80 (three drops) was evenly distributed between the flasks and the incubation allowed to continue for a further 9 days. The broth was filtered and the culture filtrate extracted with EtOAc. The mycelium was treated with liquid nitrogen, crushed in a mortar and extracted with EtOAc. Both extracts were combined and separated into 'acidic' and 'neutral' fractions with aqueous NaHCO₄.

Incubation of ent-beyer-15-en-18-ol, **1**: The substrate **1** (300 mg) in EtOH (20 mL) was distributed between 80 conical flasks. Its biotransformation gave in the neutral fraction, starting material (130 mg) and 15β , 16β - epoxide-ent-beyeran- 7β ,18-diol **3** (20 mg) and 15β , 16β - epoxide-ent-beyeran- 7β ,18-diol **3** (20 mg) and 15β , 16β - epoxide-ent-beyeran- 7β ,19-diol, **4** (45 mg). Transformed products were not obtained in the acid fraction.

*15β,16 β-epoxide-ent-beyeran-*7β,*18-diol*, **3**: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 4.02 (1H, t, J= 3.0 Hz, H-7), 3.6 (1H, d, J= 11.0 Hz, H-18), 3.3 (1H, d, J= 3.0 Hz, H-15), 3.1 (1H,d, J= 3.0 Hz, H-16), 2.9 (1H, d, J= 11.0 Hz, H-18'), 1.06 (3) H, s, Me -17) 0.95 (3H,s, Me -20), 0.75 (3 H, s, Me-19).¹³C NMR: see Table 1 Incubation of ent-beyer-15-en-19-ol, 2: The substrate 2 (300 mg) in EtOH (20 mL) was distributed between 80 conical flasks. Its biotransformation gave in the neutral fraction, starting material (130 mg), ent-beyer-15-en-7β,19-diol 4 (40 mg) and 15β,16 β- epoxide-ent-beyeran-7β,19-diol (5) (50 mg). ¹³C NMR: see Table 1

Table 1. ¹³C NMR data of Compounds 1 – 5 (CDCl., d in ppm)

Carbon N°	1*	2*	3	4	5
1	38.8	39.2	39.3	39.3	39.3
2	18.0	18.3	18.2	18.5	18.1
3	35.4	35.7	35.3	35.9	35.5
4	37.6	38.5	37.6	38.2	37.5
5	49.1	56.8	39.4	47.2	47.4
6	19.9	20.1	27.3	27.9	27.7
7	37.0	37.3	70.7	73.5	70.1
8	48.6	49.0	49.6	54.6	49.2
9	52.8	53.0	51.3	47.8	50.7
10	37.1	37.3	37.2	37.5	37.9
11	20.3	20.3	19.5	20.2	19.1
12	33.3	33.2	35.3	33.1	35.0
13	43.6	43.6	39.5	44.3	39.1
14	61.2	61.2	42.5	56.8	42.3
15	135.0	135.0	55.8	133.5	55.4
16	136.0	136.5	60.0	136.5	59.7
17	24.9	24.9	21.7	25.1	21.5
18	72.3	27	71.2	27.0	26.7
19	17.7	65.5	18.0	66.1	65.7
20	15.6	15.6	15.9	15.5	15.8

*Values in these columns were taken from the literature¹¹

ent-beyer-15-en-7b,19-diol, **4**: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 5.53 (1H, d J= 5.7 Hz, H-15), 5.49 (1H,d J= 5.7 Hz, H-16), 3.76 (1H, d,J= 10.9 Hz, H-19), 3.68 (1H, t J= 2.7 Hz, H-7), 3.45 (1H, d, J= 10.9 Hz, H-19⁻), 1.04 (3 H, s, Me-18), 0.98 (3H,s, Me -H), 0.73 (3 H, s, Me-20). ¹³C NMR: see Table 1

*15β,16β-epoxide-ent-beyeran-*7*β,19-diol*, **5**: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 3.99 (1H, bt , J= 2.6 Hz, H-7), 3.77 (1H, d, J= 11Hz, H-19), 3.48 (1H, d, J= 11 Hz, H-19[°]), 3.30 (1H, d, J= 2.9 Hz, H-15), 3.07 (1H,d, J= 2.9 Hz, H-16), 1.06

(3H, s, Me-17), 0.99 (3H, s, Me-18), 0.91 (3H, s, Me-20), 1.23 (1H, dd, J= 2.8 and 10.8 Hz, H-14) 0.79 (1H, d, J= 10.8 Hz H-14').¹³C NMR: see Table 1



Figure 1: Natural and Biotransformed terpenes.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Baccharis tola (Compositae), popularly known as "ñaka or lejía" is a perennial herb that grows wild in Antofagasta, Chile. Previous phytochemical studies of these plant established the presence of the triterpene oleanolic acid, as well as two *ent*-beyerene –type diterpenoids, *ent*-beyer-15-en-18-ol, 1 and *ent*-beyer-15-en-19-ol, 2. These diterpenoids are rare and little-studied biologically thus far¹¹.

Each substrates were added to 36 hours-old shake cultures of M. plumbeus and the metabolites were extracted after a further 9 days, combined broth and mycelium extract were chromatographed eluting with mixtures of petroleum ether-EtOAc of increasing polarity. The fermentation of ent-beyer-15-en-18-ol, 1 afforded compound 3, and the fermentation of ent-beyer-15-en-19-ol, 2 gave compounds 4 and 5. The 'H NMR spectrum of 3 was very similar to that of 1 with the disappearance of the olefinic protons in 1 at Δ 5.60 and 5.43 (d, J=6Hz, 1H each) and the appearance of two doublets at \triangle 3.3 and 3.1 (1H each, d, J=3.0 Hz) corresponding to the protons of an oxirane ring and a broad triplet at $\Delta 4.02$ corresponding to a methine proton bearing an hydroxyl group. The position of the newly introduce hydroxyl (C-7) was established by unambiguous assignments of all carbons and protons through combined use of HMQC, HMBC and COSY 45 spectra. The HMBC spectrum of 3 showed correlation of H-7 (d 4.02 brt) with C-5 (d 39.4) and C-9 (d 51.3). The Δ stereochemistry of the hydroxyl group al C-7 was established by the pattern of H-7_{eq} Δ 4.02 (t, J=3 Hz). Furthermore, Me-17 was shifted from $\triangle 0.77$ in 1 to $\triangle 1.06$ in 3 indicating that the oxirane ring must be between C-15 and C-16 and confirmed by the HMBC spectrum, which showed correlations between Me-17 (Δ 1.06) and C-16 (A 60. 0), C-12 (d 35.3) and C-14 (d 42.5). It is of interest to note that in the ¹³C NMR spectrum of this compound, the pronounced shielding effect exerted by the 15,16 epoxide on C-14. ($\Delta d_{1,3}$ -18.7). The (CH₂)₁₄ signal appears as a doublet doublet instead of a triplet in the spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$ indicating the β orientation of the epoxide ring¹². So, compound 3 must be 15β,16β-epoxideent-beyeran-7A,18-diol. The fermentation of ent-beyer-15-en-19-ol, 2, gave

compounds 4 and 5 see Figure 1.

The ¹H NMR spectrum of 4 was very similar to that of 1. The ¹H NMR spectrum of 4 shows methyl signals at Δ 1.04, 0.98 and 0.73, a collapsed AB system at Δ 5.50 assigned to a *Z* olefin with no hydrogens at the vicinal carbon atoms, a Q_{AB} systems with *J* = 10.9 Hz and signals centered at Δ 3.76 and 3.45 attributable to the -CH₂OH group situated at C-19. A signal at Δ 3.68 (bt, J = 2.7 Hz) attributable proton geminal to an hydroxyl group. The chemical shift and form of the signal of this proton described may be due to an equatorial proton geminal to the hydroxyl group. The position of these newly introduce hydroxyl (C-7) was confirmed by ¹³C NMR spectrum (Table 1). The signal for C–5 was shifted upfield by $\Delta d_{1.4}$ 9.6 ppm, C-9, C-14 and C-15 were shifted downfield by $\Delta d_{1.4}$ 7.8 and 5.6 ppm. Therefore, compound **4** must be *ent*-beyer–15-en-7 b,19-diol.

The ¹H NMR spectrum of compound **5** is very similar to that of **3**. The only differences are the signals corresponding to the hydroxymethylene which are shifted from Δ_{μ} 3.6 and 2.9 in **3** to Δ 3.77 and 3.48 (1H each, d, J=11.0 Hz) and Δ_{c} from 71.2 to 66.1 ppm in **5** which is indicative of the hydroxyl in C-19. So compound **5** must be 15 β , 16 β - epoxide-*ent*-beyeran-7 Δ ,19-diol.

CONCLUSIONS

The presence of an hydroxyl group at C-18 or C-19 does not affect the hydroxylation pattern with *M. plumbeus*. A different situation was observed in the biotransformation of these products with *G. fujikuroi*, where only compound **2** produced gibberellines and compound **1** produced hydroxylation at C-7 and C-19⁷.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by Grant No 1120199 from FONDECYT

REFERENCES

- 1. B.G. Davis, V. Boyer, Nat. Prod. Rep. 18, 618 (2001).
- 2. L.R. Lehman, J.D. Stewart, Curr. Org. Chem. 5, 439 (2001).
- 3. J.R. Hanson, Nat. Prod. Rep. 9, 139 (1992).
- B. M. Fraga, R. Guillermo, M. G. Hernández, M. C. Chamy, J. Garbarino, *Tetrahedron*, 60, 7921 (2004).
- S. Marquina, J. L. Parra, M. González, A. Zamilpa, J. Escalante, M. R. Trejo-Hernández, L. Álvarez, *Phytochem.* 70, 2017 (2009).
- B.M.Fraga, P.Gonzalez, M.G.Hernandez, M.C.Chamy, J.A.Garbarino, Phytochem. 47, 211 (1998)
- C.E. Díaz, B.M. Fraga, A.G. Gonzalez, J.R. Hanson, M.G. Hernández, A. San-Martín, *Phytochem.* 24, 1489 (1985).
- 8. A. San-Martín, J. Rovirosa, M. Castillo, Phytochem. 22, 1461 (1983).
- 9. H.S. Ali, J.R. Hanson, B.H. de Oliveira, Phytochem. 31, 507 (1992).
- 10. A. García-Granados, A. Parra, J.M. Arias, J. Nat. Prod. 60, 86 (1997).
- A. García-Granados, A. Guerrero, A. Martínez, A. Parra, J.M. Arias, *Phytochem.* 36, 657 (1994).
- A. San-Martin, J. Rovirosa, R. Becker, M. Castillo, *Phytochem.* 19, 1985 (1980).