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Abstract

BACKGROUND: The insect *Bagrada hilaris* (Burmeister) is an important pest worldwide, mainly due to the serious economic losses incurred and the large number of zones invaded. However, current and future spatial distributions of this pest, and the total area of cropland potentially affected, have not been estimated. Here, we aim to: (1) estimate the potential geographic distribution of *B. hilaris*; (2) quantify the total area of cropland potentially affected worldwide, and in two recently colonized zones (California and Chile); and (3) estimate future changes in distribution under different climate change scenarios.

RESULTS: We found that *B. hilaris* shows high environmental suitability in Mediterranean and arid regions, potentially affecting 1 108 184.1 km² of cropland worldwide. The most affected continents were Asia and America, with 309 659.8 and 294 638.6 km² of cropland at risk. More than 50% of cropland areas are at risk in seven countries. In California and central Chile, 43.7% and 50% of susceptible crops are at a high level of risk, respectively. Climate change scenarios predict an increase in the potential distribution of *B. hilaris* worldwide; America being the most affected continent.

CONCLUSIONS: Our results provide a spatially explicit baseline from which to focus efforts on the prevention, management and control of this pest worldwide.
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recent; it was accidentally introduced into California in 2008 and by 2014 had spread significantly across the West Coast of the USA.13 In the USA and Mexico, B. hilaris has had serious effects on agriculture, with important economic losses (approximately US $1 billion in 2013 in California and more than US $679 million in Monterey).17–19 This insect was not present in South America until 2016, when it was accidentally introduced into central Chile, where it was reported for the first time near the city of Santiago.20 Since then, reports of B. hilaris in the Chile have increased in both number and spatial reach, two unambiguous indications that the insect is in the spreading stage of invasion.21,22

Current and future potential distributions of this pest have not been estimated, nor have the potentially affected croplands worldwide. Accordingly, the aims of this study are to: (1) estimate the current potential distribution of B. hilaris; (2) quantify potentially affected croplands by country, considering levels of potential risk at a global level and in two recently colonized zones (California and Chile); and (3) predict future potential distribution considering climate change scenarios on a global level.

## 2 METHODS

### 2.1 Estimation of the current potential distribution of B. hilaris worldwide

Ecological niche modeling based on the maximum entropy technique is the main approach used to estimate the potential distribution of this invasive species.23 The ecological niche model (ENM) uses two main sets of input data; a series of environmental layers as niche predictor variables and a set of occurrences of the target species. We used as environmental layers the 19 bioclimatic layers, wind speed, water vapor pressure and solar radiation from the Worldclim v2 project.24 In addition, we included the human footprint layer from SEDAC-NASA25 which is associated with anthropogenic influence and disturbance. All the environmental layers were at a pixel resolution of 1 km². A worldwide data set of occurrences was compiled from the Global Biodiversity Information Facility,26 INaturalist (https://www.inaturalist.org/) and from published articles,21,27–34 giving a total of 415 occurrences (Table S1).

To reduce the spatial autocorrelation of the occurrence data set, a spatial rarefying function was performed in a Geographic Information System (QGIS). This process identified occurrence clusters, and then selected and removed the highly autocorrelated ones within clusters, maintaining random occurrences separated by > 5 km.35 We generated an exploratory ENM in MaxEnt v. 3.4.123 using all the environmental layers and the non-autocorrelated occurrences data set, calculating the percentage contribution and permutation importance for each variable. The normality of predictor environmental variables was tested with the Shapiro–Wilk test.26

To reduce the over-fitting associated with co-linearity among predictor variables, a non-parametric multiple correlation matrix expressed in a correlogram was then generated by calculating the absolute correlation coefficients.37 Finally, we selected the environmental variables with most relevance in the exploratory ENM and with correlation coefficients less than ± 0.7.

We projected the potential global niche, aiming to estimate zones of high suitability for the species associated with its ecological niche requirements.38–41 This projection was performed by generating an ENM in MaxEnt with a five-fold cross-validation technique, using as input data the non-autocorrelated occurrences and only the selected predictor environmental variables. We used the Cloglog algorithm of MaxEnt, which estimates the potential abundance of an organism based on the suitability of the habitat for the target organism.23 The accuracy of the model was assessed through the area under the receiver operator characteristic curve (AUC). We applied a random forest algorithm (RF) and partial least squares regression analysis (PLS) as a posteriori tests to measure the contribution of each variable to the final model. Finally, we analyzed the contribution of predictor variables and the response curves of environmental suitability for the species.

All statistical analyses and process were performed using R environment (R Development Core Team, 2008).

### 2.2 Quantification of the potential affected cropland areas

Potentially affected croplands were quantified using as input data the current ENM of B. hilaris and maps of global cropland extent. First, we considered the probabilities under the 10 percentile threshold (statistically non-significant probabilities) of training presence as non-significant,39 excluding them from the risk analysis. We reclassified the statistically significant probabilities of the ENM in three equal intervals of probabilities: low, medium, and high. These levels were considered as a proxy for the expected risk produced on the croplands, based on the potential abundance of the organism modeled in MaxEnt.23

To quantify the potentially affected cropland we used the ‘Global Food Security-support Analysis Data 30 meter (GFSAD30) Crop-land Extent data product’ from NASA. This map is a global cropland cover map for 2015 with a pixel resolution of 30 m, constructed using: (1) remote sensing images from MODIS, Landsat™ and other high-resolution sensors in some specific areas; (2) thematic maps of cropland extent and local agriculture censuses; (3) road networks; and (4) additional geospatial data including elevation, slope, aspect and ecological region. This product was generated thorough compilation of several published studies and it is the most up-to-date and finest scale product currently available.42–52 We used a nearest neighbor interpolation algorithm to resample the original resolution GFSAD30 cover (30 m²) to 500 m², aiming to facilitate data processing at the world level. The resampled world map of croplands was then overlapped with the reclassified ENM of B. hilaris (potential abundance), to give a map of potentially affected croplands that corresponds to a spatially explicit estimation of the expected risk. This map represents areas of cropland potentially exposed to different abundance levels of B. hilaris. Finally, the results are expressed by continent and country, showing the total potentially affected area (in hectares) and the relative area of croplands affected by country (percentage of the total cropland area in the country) (Table S2).

In addition, we estimate the potentially affected crops (cruciferous and others) in two recently invaded zones: California (USA) and central Chile. For the California assessment, we used the satellite product ‘USDA NASS Cropland Data Layers’, which classified croplands into 131 types at a spatial resolution of 30 m for 2017.53 Based on published studies about potential hosts plants, we selected 18 vulnerable cropland types that were reclassified as susceptible crops; this map was then overlapped with the B. hilaris expected risk map quantifying the areas per expected risk level.54–56 For central Chile, we followed Zhao et al.57 who mapped land cover types at 30 m of spatial resolution for 2014. This product identified five cropland types, one of which was associated with susceptible crops (cruciferous), despite including other crop types also. We then overlapped the susceptible crops with the B. hilaris expected risk map, quantifying the areas per expected risk level.
2.3 Estimation of future potential distribution of *B. hilaris* worldwide

Estimation of the future distribution of organisms is based on niche conservatism theory, which proposes that species do not change their niche requirements in time and space. This approach is based on modeling the species niche under current environmental conditions, allowing estimation of its spatial distribution. Once the niche is modeled it is projected into future environmental conditions, estimating its future spatial distribution. To estimate the future potential distribution, we generated ENMs in MaxEnt 3.4.1, using as input data the non-spatially autocorrelated occurrences, but changing the environmental variable dataset. We included only bioclimatic variables, aiming to generate a projection based on climate because the other variables are not available for climate change scenarios (wind speed, water vapor pressure, solar radiation and human footprint). We also included elevation as a predictor variable because the niche projection in time and space achieves better performance considering topography. According to this, to generate the ENM, we used the 19 bioclimatic variables in the current time (present) to model the species niche and its projection, considering climate change scenarios to estimate the future spatial distribution.

Future distribution of *B. hilaris* was estimated considering two climate change scenarios projected for 2050, Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) 4.5 and RCP 8.5. These scenarios were proposed by the Intergovernmental Panel for Climate Change (IPCC) in their Fifth Assessment Report of Climate Change (AR5). Each scenario represents the radiative force estimated for 2050 based on the predicted greenhouse gas emissions. We used an optimistic scenario (RCP 4.5) and a pessimistic scenario (RCP 8.5). We selected three general circulation models (GCMs), the Australian Community Climate and Earth System Simulator (ACCESS1.3), Model for Interdisciplinary Research on Climate (MIROC5) and Community Atmosphere Model (CAMS). This GCM uses the IPCC RCP scenarios to estimate the future climate conditions in a spatially explicit way.

We first modeled the species niche with current climatic conditions. To do this, we generated an exploratory ENM using the current 19 bioclimatic variables plus altitude, calculating the percentage contribution and permutation importance of each variable in the prediction. We then generated a multiple correlation matrix expressed in a correlogram, calculating the Spearman rank correlation index. We performed a final model using only the non-autocorrelated occurrence data set and the variables with the greatest contribution to the exploratory model with lower correlation values (less than ±0.7). The model used the Cloglog algorithm and a five-fold cross-validation technique. Finally, we projected the modeled niche into future conditions represented by GCM for each RCP scenario (six projections, three per scenario) (Fig. 1).

In GIS software, we calculated the average suitability from the three GCMs, considering the two RCP scenarios for each GCM. We considered as significant suitability threshold values over the 10 percentile training presence. The accuracy of the projection was evaluated with Multivariate Environmental Similarity Surface (MESS) analysis, which allows estimation of the closeness of a given point to the distribution point, identifying the quality of the projection in a spatially explicit way. An average MESS analysis was generated by considering the MESS values of each replicate for each model (average MESS per niche model). Finally, the accuracy of the model was evaluated through ROC analysis.

We analyzed the spatial changes between the current and future distribution ranges, quantifying the areas of contraction and expansion.

\[ \text{Current} = \text{Contraction} + \text{No Change} \]

\[ \text{Change} = (\text{Expansion} - \text{Contraction})/\text{Current} \]
3 RESULTS

3.1 Estimation of the current potential distribution of *B. hilaris* worldwide

The final ENM reached an AUC of 0.986 ± 0.005, predicting potential distribution in the five continents. The variable with the most significant contribution was the human footprint, at 48.7%, followed by isothermality and precipitation in the warmest quarter, at 19% and 17.4%, respectively. RF analysis showed an increase in the mean squared error (MSE) of 76.8% in the model when the human footprint variable was subtracted, highlighting the importance of this predictor (Fig. S1). This result was corroborated by PLS, confirming the human footprint as the most important predictor for the species (Fig. S2). The response curve for the human footprint showed a sigmoidal pattern, reaching a peak of suitability of ~70% of the human footprint index. By contrast, isothermality showed a Gaussian pattern with a peak of suitability at 55%.
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### Table 1. Cropland areas potentially affected by *Bagrada hilaris* ($\times 10^3$ km)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Continent</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td>202.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceania</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>184.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>America</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>132.1</td>
<td>294.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>97.1</td>
<td>110.3</td>
<td>102.3</td>
<td>309.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>117.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>339.0</td>
<td>374.4</td>
<td>394.7</td>
<td>1108.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

whereas the precipitation in the warmest quarter showed a peak at 0 mm precipitation, decreasing quickly with the increase in precipitation (Fig. S3).

There is suitability in all continents for *B. hilaris*, mainly in Mediterranean zones from $\sim 20^\circ$ to $40^\circ$ in the Northern Hemisphere and from $15^\circ$ to $40^\circ$ in the Southern Hemisphere. *B. hilaris* has high suitability in the West Coast of the USA and the central zone of Mexico, whereas in South America the main suitability is located mostly in central Chile and Argentina. In Europe, the greatest suitability is concentrated in Portugal, Spain, Italy (mainly in the Mediterranean Sea islands) and the coastal zone of Turkey. In Africa, the suitability is located mainly in the northern coast near the Strait of Gibraltar (Algeria and Morocco), and in the southern coast across the Cape of Good Hope (South Africa, Namibia, Zimbabwe and Zambia). In Oceania, the suitability is concentrated in the southern coasts of Australia, particularly near the cities of Perth and Adelaide. In Asia, the suitability occurs mainly in Pakistan, India and southern Iran (Fig. 2).

#### 3.2 Quantification of the potentially affected cropland areas

Globally, $1108.184.1 \text{ km}^2$ are at risk associated with *B. hilaris*. Of these areas, 35.6% have a high level of risk, 33.8% have a medium level and 30.6% have a low level (Table 1). Of the total surface of croplands potentially affected, 27.9% is in Asia, followed by America, Africa, Oceania and Europe with 26.6%, 18.2%, 16.6% and 10.6%, respectively.

Figure 3. Distribution of potentially affected croplands by level of risk. Each map shows potentially affected zones. Cropland surfaces without risk estimated by the model are shown in gray (downloadable as File S2).
Figure 4. (a) Cropland area potentially affected by *Bagrada hilaris* per continent and level of risk. (b) Percentage of croplands potentially affected by *B. hilaris* in relation to the total cropland surface per country (downloadable as Files S3 and S4).

In America, 294,600 km² are potentially at risk; and 44.8% of the potentially affected croplands are at high level of risk. In Europe, 117,606.3 km² are at risk; 30.4% at a high level. In Africa, 229,007.3 km² are at risk; 43% at a high level. Oceania has 184,310.1 km² potentially affected by *B. hilaris*, equivalent to 16.2% of the total cropland within the continent. Of these potentially affected areas, 20.5% are at a high level of risk. In Asia, 309,659.8 km² are potentially affected, with 33% at a high level of risk (Figs 3 and 4).

This pest could potentially be present in croplands of 54 countries worldwide, with 16 countries having >10% of their total croplands potentially affected. The most affected countries by percentage of cropland areas potentially affected by *B. hilaris* are: Morocco (76.9%), Pakistan (59.4%), Chile (53.6%), Portugal (51.9%), Cyprus (31.3%), South Africa (30.3%), Namibia (27.4%), Lesotho (26.1%) and Australia (19.4%) (Fig. 4b).

In the case of the two selected zones, a predominance of high levels of risk was identified, followed by medium levels. In central Chile, the risk zone is located in the central valley from 32° to 37°S, with a total area of 5635.8 km² representing 80.8% of the susceptible crops. The risk levels are 50% high, 19.7% medium and 11.1% low. In California, the risk zone is located between 34° and 40°N, with a total area of 5779.7 km² which represents 70.9% of the susceptible crops. The risk levels are 43.7% high, 16.2% medium and 11% low (Fig. 5).

3.3 Estimation of future potential distribution of *B. hilaris* worldwide
Worldwide there is a change of 18.2% and 8.6% for the RCP scenarios 4.5 and 8.5, respectively. In North America, mainly the USA, the potential distribution range of *B. hilaris* will expand to higher latitudes similarly under both climate change scenarios, with the affected area changing by 24.4% and 21.3% in RCP 4.5 and 8.5, respectively (Fig. 6). In South Africa, the potential distribution under the RCP 4.5 climate change scenario would have a negative change in area (5.9%), expanding the potential distribution in Zimbabwe but contracting in South Africa, Botswana and...
Namibia. By contrast, in South Africa the RCP 8.5 climate change scenario predicts an 18.1% change in the distribution range, mainly in the northern zone of the potential current distribution (Fig. 6). In South America, the potential distribution range of *B. hilaris* increases to the south of the current potential distribution, presenting a change of 108%, mainly in Argentina and Chile, with a significant increase in Patagonia. There is no significant difference between RCP 4.5 and 8.5 in terms of the overall shift in distribution range in South America, however in Chile, RCP 8.5 increases the potential distribution of *B. hilaris* by 37.3% more than RCP 4.5 (285 299.8 km² vs. 211 812.3 km² for RCP 8.5 and 4.5, respectively) (Fig. 6). In Asia, both climate change scenarios result in a contraction in the potential distribution range of *B. hilaris*, with changes of −42.3% and −62.3% for RCP 4.5 and 8.5, respectively (Fig. 7). In Australia, there are changes of 46.1% and 21.6% for RCP 4.5 and 8.5, respectively. In Europe, climate change results in mainly a contraction in the potential distribution, of −36.9% and −68.6% for RCP 4.5 and 8.5, respectively. In northern Africa, there is a preponderance of contraction in the potential distribution range, mainly in Morocco, Algeria and Tunisia, of −67.6% and −46% for RCP 4.5 and 8.5, respectively (Fig. 7). Climate change scenarios predict that *B. hilaris* could find suitable bioclimatic conditions in Colombia, Egypt, Niger and Venezuela (where it is not currently present), whereas Kazakhstan, Nepal, Turkmenistan, Malawi, Zimbabwe, Botswana and Chile the current suitable area is predicted to more than double under climate change scenarios.

## 4 DISCUSSION

### 4.1 Potentialities and assumptions

The predicted suitability of our model must be interpreted cautiously; in zones already colonized by *B. hilaris* the model represents the potential distribution of the pest in North America, Asia, Africa and Europe; whereas in zones where the species is not currently present it represents the potentially colonizable area, such as Oceania and South America (considering the recent arrival of the species)\(^{38,41}\). The map of potential current distribution includes more specific information associated with the human footprint, whereas future scenarios are based only on climate projections plus topography. Considering that the human footprint was identified as the most important variable in the current model, not including it in future scenarios may affect the specificity of predictions. However, considering the high dispersal ability of invasive
Figure 6. Shifts in potential distribution range of Bagrada hilaris under climate change scenarios in North America (upper), South Africa (middle) and southern South America (lower).

species, which usually have no dispersal restrictions, we decided not to restrict the future distribution models by dispersion. The actual areas occupied will depend on the capacity of the organism to disperse across the new suitable zones, accessing these new available resources. Nevertheless, our approach constitutes a baseline for estimation of the potential future spread and spatial distribution of B. hilaris under different climate change scenarios.

It is important to mention that occurrence of this pest and its success in the colonized zones depends on many other factors that are difficult to integrate into the model. Our approach allows an estimate of suitability based mainly on abiotic variables, which may be a proxy for abiotic resistance. However, other factors related to the biotic characteristics of the colonized zones could influence pest failure or success, based on the biotic resistance hypothesis. Factors such as the presence of predators and competitors might regulate B. hilaris growth rate associated with the control by natural enemies (predators, parasitoids) or through competitive exclusion (competitors). Highly biodiverse communities increase the probability of biological control and functional redundancy by local species through the presence of predators and competitors that deal with the pest. In addition, landscape configuration is essential for the regulation of invasive species, by modulating the spread and growth of the population, which depend on landscape structural and compositional traits. Highly compositional and...
Figure 7. Shifts in potential distribution range of Bagrada hilaris under climate change scenarios in middle Asia (upper), Australia (middle) and Europe–North Africa (lower).

structural landscape heterogeneity influences the success of an invasive species, by increasing the availability of habitat types for other species (predators and competitors) and hindering the dispersion capacity of the invasive species across the landscape.\textsuperscript{70–72} Hence, we recommend that agroecosystems management is focused on maximizing the ecological diversity of communities and landscape heterogeneity in zones that are or will be potentially affected by B. hilaris. Organic and traditional agricultural management strategies and cropland rotation regimes could benefit from the presence of natural enemies–competitors and landscape heterogeneity, allowing ecological control of the pest.\textsuperscript{73}

4.2 Bagrada hilaris as a potential world threat

Our results show that B. hilaris has high environmental suitability in zones with Mediterranean and arid climates which have median isothermality with a scarcity of precipitation in the warmest quarter.\textsuperscript{24} This species is unable to colonize zones with tropical or temperate climates, and is restricted to coastal zones at latitudes between 15° and 40° in both hemispheres (Fig. 2). Our model predicts that the ‘human footprint’ variable is a significant predictor of B. hilaris suitability with 47.8% contribution to the ENM. The species shows highly synanthropic behavior, increasing their suitability with human influence and disturbance. This could be associated with ecological traits in the species such as generalism, high tolerance and dispersal capacity, and be influenced by human factors such as globalization (increase in global trade), expansion of cropland limits, shifts to industrial agriculture and landscape homogenization.\textsuperscript{13,71,74}

The geographic distribution of this species represents a serious threat to Mediterranean and arid zones, because water scarcity in these regions could make croplands highly vulnerable to the effects of B. hilaris, intensifying economic losses and threatening food security.\textsuperscript{75,76} Control actions for this pest imply high economic investments, which may not be affordable for developing and poor countries and small-scale farmers who have limited resilience and adaptive capacity to deal with the magnitude of this pest.\textsuperscript{18,77}

Another factor shown here is related to climate change, which modifies the future potential geographic distribution of B. hilaris worldwide, allowing colonization of new zones in some continents such as America and Australia. In other continents, climate change might contribute to abiotic control of this pest by decreasing the suitability of particular zones for this species (Figs 6 and 7). Nevertheless, in countries affected by drought and water scarcity, climate change will affect irrigated agriculture systems, limiting access to water for crop irrigation and intensifying the frequency and intensity of drought events.\textsuperscript{10,12} These extreme events and the effect of B. hilaris could increase the economic losses associated with a decrease in the cropland success, the cost of pesticides and the accessibility to water resources for crop irrigation. Given the ectothermal physiology of this pest, studies have proposed that an increase in temperature in Mediterranean and Temperate zones could induce an increase in the growth rate and activity of phytophagous insects, leading to the need to use huge amounts of pesticides to maintain productivity.\textsuperscript{78} Considering that B. hilaris presents a seasonal crop distribution and different plant hosts during the year, climate change could generate shifts in these seasonal and phenological patterns, forcing changes in seasonal
management strategies.\textsuperscript{13,18} These effects could imply changes in organic and traditional farming practices, forcing the use of pesticides, incurring in new costs and affecting the target markets of producers.\textsuperscript{77}

The results presented here highlight \textit{B. hilaris} as a global problem, with a potentially affected cropland area equivalent to the surface area of South Africa and presenting suitability in all continents. We generated a series of maps that provide guidance on the spatial dimension of the \textit{B. hilaris} threat, identifying its global distribution, cropland areas potentially affected and their levels of risk. These maps may be useful to practitioners in the prevention, management and control of \textit{B. hilaris}, constituting a spatially explicit tool to deal with this threat. The projections shown here point out potential zones of expansion and contraction in the distribution of \textit{B. hilaris}. Considering the global scale of our analysis, the results constitute a baseline for governments to develop more detailed national or regional assessment of this pest.
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