

# Tabla de contenido

<b>Resumen</b>	I
<b>Abstract</b>	II
<b>Résumé</b>	III
<b>Rezyume</b>	IV
<b>Dedicatoria</b>	V
<b>Agradecimientos</b>	VI
<b>Tabla de contenido</b>	VII
<b>Índice de Tablas</b>	XII
<b>Índice de Figuras</b>	XIV
<b>1 Introducción</b>	1
1.1 Teoría básica . . . . .	2
1.1.1 Ecuaciones de movimiento . . . . .	3
1.1.2 Generación de Tsunamis . . . . .	4
1.1.3 Inundación y run-up . . . . .	5
1.2 Publicaciones resultantes de este trabajo . . . . .	7
1.3 Exposición en congresos . . . . .	8
<b>2 A Study of the 2015 <math>M_W</math> 8.3 Illapel Earthquake and Tsunami: Numerical and Analytical Approaches</b>	9
Abstract . . . . .	10
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	10
2.1.1 Previous studies . . . . .	11
2.2 Slip Distribution Solution . . . . .	12
2.2.1 USGS Solution . . . . .	12

2.2.2	Berkeley Solution . . . . .	12
2.3	Tsunami Modeling . . . . .	13
2.3.1	Numerical Modeling and Setup . . . . .	13
2.3.2	Analytical Modeling . . . . .	13
2.3.3	Field Observations . . . . .	14
2.4	Tsunami Run-up Inversion . . . . .	15
2.5	Results . . . . .	16
2.6	Discussion and Conclusions . . . . .	18
	Acknowledgments . . . . .	20
2.7	References . . . . .	20
<b>3</b>	<b>Simple estimation of linear 1+1 D long wave run-up</b>	<b>22</b>
	Abstract . . . . .	23
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	23
3.2	Mathematical Derivation . . . . .	24
3.3	Initial Waves Tested . . . . .	25
3.3.1	Analytical solution: Parabolic wave . . . . .	25
3.3.2	Gaussian pulse . . . . .	25
3.3.3	Solitary wave . . . . .	25
3.3.4	N-waves . . . . .	25
3.3.5	A case study: The 2014 $M_W = 8.2$ Iquique earthquake . . . . .	26
3.4	Discussion and Conclusions . . . . .	28
	Acknowledgements . . . . .	29
3.5	References . . . . .	29
	Appendix A: Detailed mathematical derivation . . . . .	29
	A1 The still water shoreline (SWS) motion ( $\eta(0, t)$ ) . . . . .	29
	A2 The approximated shoreline velocity $u(0, t)$ . . . . .	31
<b>4</b>	<b>Implications on 1+1 D Tsunami Runup Modeling due to Time Features of the Earthquake Source</b>	<b>32</b>
	Abstract . . . . .	33
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	33
4.2	Mathematical Formulation . . . . .	34
4.2.1	Source Time-Dependent Solution . . . . .	35
4.2.2	The Seabed Deformation . . . . .	36
4.2.2.1	Uniform Slip . . . . .	36
4.2.2.2	Non-uniform Slip . . . . .	37
4.3	Numerical Tests . . . . .	37

4.3.1	A Classical $M_W = 8.0$ Earthquake . . . . .	37
4.3.2	Parametric Analysis . . . . .	39
4.3.2.1	Rise Time . . . . .	39
4.3.2.2	Rupture Velocity . . . . .	40
4.3.2.3	Combined effect of $V_r$ and $t_R$ . . . . .	40
4.4	Discussion and Conclusions . . . . .	40
	Acknowledgements . . . . .	43
4.5	References . . . . .	43
<b>5</b>	<b>Speeding up Tsunami Forecasting to boost Tsunami Warning in Chile</b>	<b>45</b>
	Summary . . . . .	46
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	46
5.2	Methodology . . . . .	47
5.2.1	Slip Distribution Model . . . . .	47
5.2.2	Tsunami Initial Condition . . . . .	47
5.2.3	Tsunami Modeling . . . . .	47
5.3	Implementation and Benchmarking . . . . .	48
5.3.1	Discussion of Computational Results . . . . .	48
5.4	Application to compliment tsunami alert. Case study: The 2015 Illapel Earthquake . . . . .	49
5.5	Conclusions . . . . .	49
	Acknowledgements . . . . .	51
5.6	References . . . . .	51
	Supporting Information . . . . .	53
	S1 Elliptic sources data . . . . .	53
	S2 Scenarios tested . . . . .	53
<b>6</b>	<b>Tsunami Hazard evaluation in the Coquimbo region using non-uniform slip distribution sources</b>	<b>67</b>
	Summary . . . . .	68
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	68
6.2	Methodology . . . . .	69
6.2.1	Seismic analysis . . . . .	69
6.2.1.1	Gutenberg-Richter law . . . . .	69
6.2.1.2	Seismic Coupling . . . . .	70
6.2.2	Tsunami Modeling . . . . .	72
6.2.2.1	Geometry of the rupture area . . . . .	72
6.2.2.2	Stochastic source . . . . .	72

6.2.2.3	The initial condition . . . . .	72
6.2.2.4	Tsunami setting: Nested grids, propagation and shoaling . . . . .	72
6.3	Results . . . . .	73
6.4	Conclusions . . . . .	74
	Data and Resources . . . . .	74
	Acknowledgements . . . . .	74
6.5	References . . . . .	74
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusiones</b>	<b>76</b>
7.1	Discusión . . . . .	76
7.2	Conclusiones finales . . . . .	77
<b>8</b>	<b>Bibliografía</b>	<b>79</b>
	<b>Apéndice</b>	<b>82</b>
<b>I</b>	<b>A rapid estimation of near-field tsunami runup</b>	<b>82</b>
	Abstract . . . . .	83
I.1	Introduction . . . . .	83
I.2	Method . . . . .	84
I.2.1	The Runup Distribution Along the Coastline: 2+1 D Problem . . . . .	85
I.2.2	The Runup in a Piecewise Linear Bathymetry: 1+1 D Problem . . . . .	86
I.2.3	Comments About Each Method . . . . .	87
I.3	Results . . . . .	88
I.3.1	Earthquake Tested . . . . .	89
I.3.1.1	The 1992 $M_w$ 7.7 Nicaragua Tsunami-Earthquake . . . . .	89
I.3.1.2	The 2001 $M_w$ 8.4 Perú Earthquake . . . . .	90
I.3.1.3	The 2003 $M_w$ 8.2 Tokachi-Oki Earthquake . . . . .	91
I.3.1.4	The 2007 $M_w$ 8.2 Pisco Earthquake . . . . .	91
I.3.1.5	The 2010 $M_w$ 8.8 Maule Earthquake . . . . .	92
I.3.1.6	The 2011 $M_w$ 9.1 Tohoku Earthquake . . . . .	92
I.3.1.7	The 2014 $M_w$ 8.2 Iquique Earthquake . . . . .	92
I.3.2	Past Earthquakes . . . . .	93
I.3.3	Discussion . . . . .	93
I.4	Conclusions . . . . .	94
	Acknowledgments . . . . .	95
I.5	References . . . . .	95

<b>II Slip segmentation and slow rupture to the trench during the 2015, <math>M_w</math> 8.3 Illapel, Chile earthquake</b>	<b>97</b>
Abstract . . . . .	98
II.1 Motivation . . . . .	98
II.2 Data and Methods . . . . .	98
II.3 Results . . . . .	100
II.4 Discussion . . . . .	102
II.5 Conclusions . . . . .	103
Acknowledgments . . . . .	103
II.6 References . . . . .	103
Supporting Information . . . . .	104
S1 Introduction . . . . .	104
II.1 Text S1, data processing . . . . .	105
II.1 Text S2, source imaging methods . . . . .	105
<b>III Participación en congresos</b>	<b>119</b>
III.1 AGU 2015 . . . . .	120
III.2 AGU 2016 . . . . .	121
III.3 AGU 2017 . . . . .	122
III.4 AGU 2018 . . . . .	123