

# Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Theoretical framework</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Game theory . . . . .	3
2.1.1	History . . . . .	3
2.1.2	Games representation . . . . .	4
2.1.3	Examples of games . . . . .	5
2.2	Stackelberg competition . . . . .	6
2.2.1	Stackelberg equilibrium . . . . .	7
2.2.2	Types of games . . . . .	8
2.3	Bilevel programming . . . . .	9
2.3.1	Mathematical problems with complementarity constraints . . . . .	10
2.4	Benders decomposition methods . . . . .	11
2.4.1	Benders decomposition algorithm . . . . .	11
2.4.2	Minimally infeasible subsystems . . . . .	13
2.5	Mixed integer linear problems . . . . .	14
2.5.1	Branch & cut . . . . .	17
2.5.2	Cut & branch . . . . .	18
<b>3</b>	<b>Problem definition</b>	<b>19</b>
3.1	General Stackelberg games . . . . .	19
3.2	Security Stackelberg games . . . . .	20
3.2.1	The box method . . . . .	24
<b>4</b>	<b>General and security Stackelberg game formulations</b>	<b>28</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	28
4.2	General Stackelberg games . . . . .	28
4.2.1	Single level formulations . . . . .	28
4.2.2	Theoretical comparison of the formulations . . . . .	32
4.2.3	Computational comparison of the formulations . . . . .	33
4.3	Security Stackelberg games . . . . .	35
4.3.1	Single level formulations . . . . .	35
4.3.2	Theoretical comparison of the formulations . . . . .	39
4.3.3	Computational comparison of the formulations . . . . .	39
<b>5</b>	<b>Benders decomposition approaches</b>	<b>42</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	42

5.2	General Stackelberg games . . . . .	42
5.2.1	q remains in master problem . . . . .	43
5.2.2	q remains in master problem, normalized . . . . .	44
5.2.3	x remains in master problem, normalized . . . . .	46
5.2.4	x and q remains in master problem, normalized . . . . .	47
5.3	Security Stackelberg games . . . . .	48
5.3.1	q remains in the master problem . . . . .	48
5.3.2	q remains in the master problem, normalized . . . . .	50
5.3.3	c remains in master problem, normalized . . . . .	54
5.3.4	c and q remains in master problem, normalized . . . . .	56
<b>6</b>	<b>Branching algorithms</b>	<b>57</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	57
6.2	Branch & cut algorithm . . . . .	57
6.3	Cut & branch algorithm . . . . .	59
6.4	Implementation . . . . .	60
6.4.1	Cut loop stabilization . . . . .	60
6.4.2	Initial feasible solution . . . . .	61
6.4.3	Lower bound enhancing heuristics . . . . .	61
6.4.4	Upper bound enhancing heuristics . . . . .	63
<b>7</b>	<b>Computational experiments</b>	<b>64</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	64
7.2	Instances . . . . .	64
7.2.1	General Stackelberg games . . . . .	64
7.2.2	Security Stackelberg games . . . . .	65
7.3	Computational resources . . . . .	65
7.4	Best configuration . . . . .	65
7.4.1	General Stackelberg games . . . . .	65
7.4.2	Security Stackelberg games . . . . .	78
7.5	Comparing algorithms . . . . .	90
7.5.1	General Stackelberg games . . . . .	90
7.5.2	Security Stackelberg games . . . . .	94
<b>8</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>99</b>
8.1	Other results and research proposals . . . . .	100
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>101</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Switching roles between objective function and normalization condition</b>	<b>106</b>