

Contents

Chapter 1	1
Introduction	1
1.1 Presentation of the thesis	1
1.2 Hypothesis	2
1.3 General Background	2
1.3.1 Sublevel Stopping (SLS).....	3
1.3.2 Dilution / Overbreak.....	5
1.3.3 Influence of major faults on the stability of open stopes	7
1.3.4 Numerical Modelling	9
1.3.5 Continuous Methods in Numerical Modelling.....	9
1.3.6 Discontinuous methods in numerical modelling.....	10
1.4 Objectives of the Thesis	12
1.4.1 General Objective.....	12
1.4.2 Specific objectives.....	12
1.5 Scope of the research	12
1.6 General methodology.....	13
1.7 Thesis contents.....	14
Chapter 2	15
A methodology to evaluate the impact of major geological faults on the stability of backs in open stopes using DEM.....	15
Abstract.....	15
2.1 Introduction.....	15
2.2 Influence of faults on open stopes stability	16
2.3 Methodology	17
2.3.1 Data collection.....	17
2.3.3 Constitutive Model.....	19
2.3.4 Rock Mass Features	19
2.3.5 Faults Properties.....	21
2.3.6 In-situ stresses and boundary conditions.....	22
2.4 Results and discussion	22
2.4.1 Parametric analysis of the normal and shear stiffness of geological faults	22
2.4.2 Numerical analysis of Case Studies.....	23

2.4.3 Application of the numerical results to the classical Mathews' empirical model	26
2.5 Summary and conclusions	28
2.6 Acknowledgements.....	29
Chapter 3	30
Conclusions	30
Chapter 4	32
Bibliography	32

Index of Figures

Chapter 1

Figure 1.1 Classification of underground mining methods (Brady & Brown, 1993).....	2
Figure 1.2 Scheme of Sublevel Stopping development (Hamrin et al., 2001).....	3
Figure 1.3 Example of a regular open stope in SLS (Castro et al., 2007).....	3
Figure 1.4 Types of dilution in the design of a stope (Scoble & Moss, 1994).....	6
Figure 1.5 Determination of F_w through the ELOS stability graph (Suorineni, 2001).....	8
Figure 1.6 Methods in numerical modelling with respect to excavation scale: a) continuous ; b) discontinuous with discontinuity elements or discrete method; c) discrete method; d) equivalent continuous method. Modified from Jing (2003).....	9
Figure 1.7 Steps considered in the numerical modelling.....	13

Chapter 2

Figure 2.1 Case studies (open Mathews' adjustment factors; (a) Rock Stress Factor A; (b) Joint Orientation Factor B; (c) Design Surface Gravity Factor C.	17
Figure 2.2 Case studies (open stopes) with the definition of their walls and one of the geological structures that intercept them.	18
Figure 2.3 Flowchart of the numerical methodology.	19
Figure 2.4 Models built in 3DEC with their dimensions and detail of all geological structures analyzed.	20
Figure 2.5 Maximum displacement on the back of the open stopes (Case studies A and B).....	23
Figure 2.6 Cross section in the middle of case studies A, B and C showing the $\sigma_3 \leq 1MPa$ envelope, respectively. (a, d, g) Mine planning design with geological faults: calibration. (b, e, h) Verification of the criterion with the CMS. (c, f, i) Overbreak analysis of the mine planning design without geological faults. Note that negative values mean compression; whereas the pink and white areas are zones with $\sigma_3 > 1MPa$ and excavated, respectively.....	25
Figure 2.7 Original and modified stability graph compared with the site-specific stability curves for backs showing the three case studies.....	27

Index of tables

Chapter 1

Table 1.1 Based on the stability graph modifications.	5
Table 1.2 Comparison of commercially available continuous and discontinuous software.	12

Chapter 3

Table 2.1 Intact rock parameters	20
Table 2.2 Input σ_{ci} , GSI, m_i and D to calculate the Generalized Hoek-Brown failure envelope parameters m_b , s and a	21
Table 2.3 In-situ stresses (cartesian) used in the models.....	22
Table 2.4 Summary of the ELOS values and the fault factor of the backs of each case study	27