

# Relative spinor class fields: A counterexample

LUIS ARENAS-CARMONA

**Abstract.** It is known that classes of indefinite quadratic forms in a genus are classified by the Galois group of a spinor class field [4]. Hsia has proved the existence of a representation field  $F$  with the property that a lattice in the genus represents a fixed given lattice if and only if the corresponding element of the Galois group is trivial on  $F$ . Spinor class fields can also be used to classify conjugacy classes of maximal orders in a central simple algebra. In [1] we left open the issue of whether for every fixed given non-maximal order  $\mathfrak{H}$  in a central simple division algebra there exists a representation field  $L$  with the property that  $\mathfrak{H}$  embeds into a given maximal order if and only if the corresponding element of the Galois group is trivial on  $L$ . In this work we give a negative answer to this question for central simple division algebras of dimension  $\geq 3^2$ . The case of non-division algebras is also treated by replacing the phrase *embeds into* by *is contained in a conjugate of*. As a byproduct of the techniques used in this paper we compute the representation field of an Eichler order in a quaternion algebra.

**Keywords.** Spinor class fields, maximal orders, central simple algebras.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  denote an  $n^2$ -dimensional central simple algebra over a number field  $k$ . Assume that  $\mathfrak{A}$  satisfies the following condition:

**Condition E:** Either  $n > 2$  or  $n = 2$  and the Eichler condition is satisfied, i.e., there exists an archimedean place  $\wp$  of  $k$  such that  $\mathfrak{A}_\wp$  is not the (unique) quaternion division  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra.

Condition E is immediate if  $k$  is non-totally real. When condition E is satisfied, then the conjugacy classes of maximal orders in  $\mathfrak{A}$  are in correspondence with the elements of the Galois group of an abelian extension  $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{A}}/k$ , unramified at finite

Supported by Fondecyt, Proyecto No. 1085017.

places, that can be explicitly described in terms of the ramification of  $\mathfrak{A}/k$  [1]. The field  $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{A}}$  is called the spinor class field of maximal orders of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . This correspondence is best seen as a map that associates an element  $\rho(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}')$  in the Galois group to every pair  $(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}')$  of maximal orders and satisfies  $\rho(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}'') = \rho(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}')\rho(\mathfrak{D}', \mathfrak{D}'')$ . The map  $\rho$  is defined on pairs of maximal orders by

$$\rho(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}') = [\mathcal{N}(\sigma), \Sigma_{\mathfrak{A}}/k],$$

where  $\mathcal{N}$  denotes the reduced norm on the adelic ring  $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{A}}$ , the element  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{A}}$  satisfies

$$(1) \quad \mathfrak{D}' = \sigma \mathfrak{D} \sigma^{-1},$$

and  $a \mapsto [a, \Sigma_{\mathfrak{A}}/k]$  denotes the Artin map on ideles. Then, if  $\mathfrak{D}$  is fixed, the correspondence  $\mathfrak{D}' \mapsto \rho(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}')$  is a bijection ([1, p. 2029]). Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be an arbitrary suborder of  $\mathfrak{D}$ . If the sub-set

$$\{\rho(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}') | \mathfrak{H} \text{ is contained in a conjugate of } \mathfrak{D}'\} \subseteq \text{Gal}(\Sigma_{\mathfrak{A}}/k)$$

is a subgroup, the corresponding fixed field is called the representation field  $\Sigma(\mathfrak{H})$ . It does not depend on the choice of the order  $\mathfrak{D}$  containing  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Note that if  $\mathfrak{H}$  spans a simple subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , any embedding extends to a conjugation by Skolem-Noether Theorem [5]. It follows that the phrase “is contained in a conjugate of” above can be replaced by “embeds into”. This is the case for all orders considered here. It is always the case if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a division algebra.

In [1] we computed the representation field when  $\mathfrak{H}$  is the ring of integers of a maximal abelian subfield  $L$ . Under some technical conditions on  $\mathfrak{A}$  we proved that the representation field is  $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{A}} \cap L$ . In [2] we determined the spinor class field of a suborder of maximal rank with generators  $x$  and  $y$  satisfying  $x^n, y^n \in \mathcal{O}_k$  and  $xy = \eta yx$  where  $\eta$  is a primitive root of unity. In either case we proved the existence of a representation field. This theory has an analog on the theory of spinor class fields for quadratic forms, where the representation fields are known to exist [3]. In [1], we did not know whether the representation field actually existed for any order. In this paper we present a counterexample. In fact, we prove the following result:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a central simple algebra of dimension at least  $3^2$  over the number field  $k$ . The representation field exists for every order  $\mathfrak{H}$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  if and only if the extension  $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{A}}/k$  has exponent 2.*

For instance, if  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathbb{M}_n(k)$  where the class group of  $k$  has exponent  $m$ , the representation field always exists if and only if  $\text{g.c.d.}(m, n) \in \{1, 2\}$ . In the counterexamples we provide to prove the necessity, the embedded suborder is a generalized Eichler order  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{D}'$ , where  $\mathfrak{D}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}'$  are maximal orders. As a byproduct of our work on Eichler orders we have the following result:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{D}'$  be an Eichler order in the quaternion algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Assume that Eichler condition is satisfied. The representation field  $F$  of  $\mathfrak{H}$  is the maximal subfield of  $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{A}}$  that splits completely at the places  $\wp$  for which  $\mathfrak{D}_{\wp} \neq \mathfrak{D}'_{\wp}$ .*

**2. Eichler orders and embeddings.** Let  $\mathfrak{D}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}'$  be maximal orders in the central simple  $k$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ . At any local place  $\wp$  there exist an element  $h_\wp \in \mathfrak{A}_\wp$  such that  $\mathfrak{D}'_\wp = h_\wp \mathfrak{D}_\wp h_\wp^{-1}$ . The local algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_\wp$  is a matrix algebra  $\mathbb{M}_f(E)$  where  $E$  is a division algebra with uniformizing parameter  $u$  and maximal order  $\mathfrak{E}$ . Without loss of generality we may assume  $\mathfrak{D}_\wp = \mathbb{M}_f(\mathfrak{E})$ . There exist matrices  $p, q \in \mathfrak{D}_\wp^*$  and  $d = \text{diag}(u^{t_1}, \dots, u^{t_f})$ , where  $t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_f$ , such that  $h_\wp = pdq$ . Then any conjugate to the local order

$$\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{D}_\wp \cap \mathfrak{D}'_\wp = p(\mathfrak{D}_\wp \cap d\mathfrak{D}_\wp d^{-1})p^{-1}$$

is said to be a local Eichler order of type  $(t_1, \dots, t_f)$ . We write  $[t_1, \dots, t_f]_\wp$  for the set of such orders. Then clearly  $[t_1, \dots, t_f]_\wp = [t_1 + t, \dots, t_f + t]_\wp$  for any integer  $t$ , so we can always assume  $t_1 = 0$ . Furthermore, the relation

$$\mathfrak{D}_\wp \cap d\mathfrak{D}_\wp d^{-1} = d(\mathfrak{D}_\wp \cap d^{-1}\mathfrak{D}_\wp d)d^{-1}$$

Shows that  $[t_1, \dots, t_f]_\wp = [-t_f, \dots, -t_1]_\wp$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *If a local Eichler order of type  $(t_1, \dots, t_f)$  embeds into a local Eichler order of type  $(s_1, \dots, s_f)$ , then  $\sum_{i>j}(s_i - s_j) \leq \sum_{i>j}(t_i - t_j)$ , with equality if and only if both orders coincide.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu$  be the additive Haar measure on  $\mathfrak{A}_\wp$  normalized so that  $\mu(\mathfrak{D}_\wp) = 1$ . Then  $\mu$  is conjugation invariant ([6, Corollary 3, p. 7]) and  $\mu(\mathfrak{H})^{-1} = [\mathfrak{D}_\wp : \mathfrak{H}]$ . It suffices therefore to prove that  $[\mathfrak{D}_\wp : \mathfrak{H}]$  is a strictly increasing function of  $\sum_{i<j}(s_j - s_i)$ , where  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{D}_\wp \cap d\mathfrak{D}_\wp d^{-1}$  and  $d = \text{diag}(u^{s_1}, \dots, u^{s_f})$ . Note that  $b \in \mathfrak{H}$  if and only if both  $b$  and  $d^{-1}bd$  are matrices with coefficients in  $\mathfrak{E}$ . In particular, if  $b = (\beta_{i,j})_{i,j}$  then  $b \in \mathfrak{H}$  if and only if for all  $i > j$  the coefficient  $\beta_{i,j}$  is divisible by  $u^{s_i - s_j}$ . It follows that  $[\mathfrak{D}_\wp : \mathfrak{H}] = |\mathfrak{E}/u\mathfrak{E}|^{\sum_{i>j}(s_i - s_j)}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* When  $n = 2$ , every local Eichler order is of type  $(0, t)$  for some non-negative integer  $t$ . In particular, an Eichler order  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{D}'$  is non-maximal at  $\wp$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{H}_\wp$  embeds into an order of type  $(0, 1)$ . We claim that  $\mathfrak{H}$  embeds into an order  $\mathfrak{D}''$  if and only if

$$(2) \quad \rho(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}'') = [\wp_1 \dots \wp_r, \Sigma_{\mathfrak{A}}/k]$$

where  $\mathfrak{H}_{\wp_i}$  is non-maximal for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , whence the result follows.

To prove the claim, observe that if  $\mathfrak{H}$  is conjugate to a sub-order of  $\mathfrak{D}''$ , then it is contained into  $\mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{D}'''$  for some conjugate  $\mathfrak{D}'''$  of  $\mathfrak{D}''$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{D}_\wp \neq \mathfrak{D}'''_\wp$  is possible only if  $\mathfrak{H}_\wp$  is non-maximal, whence  $\rho(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}''') = \rho(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}'')$  is in the subgroup generated by the set

$$\{[\wp, \Sigma_{\mathfrak{A}}/k] \mid \mathfrak{H}_\wp \text{ is not maximal}\}.$$

On the other hand, if (2) is satisfied, then we construct an order  $\mathfrak{D}'''$  by the following local conditions:

1.  $\mathfrak{D}'''_\wp = \mathfrak{D}_\wp$  for  $\wp \notin \{\wp_1, \dots, \wp_r\}$ .

2. If  $\wp = \wp_i$ , then  $\mathfrak{H}_\wp = \mathfrak{D}'_\wp \cap \mathfrak{D}_\wp$  is not maximal. We write  $\mathfrak{D}'_\wp = h\mathfrak{D}_\wp h^{-1}$ , where  $h = pdq$ , and  $d = \text{diag}(u^{t_1}, \dots, u^{t_f})$  as before. Since  $\mathfrak{H}_\wp$  is not maximal, at least  $t_f \geq 1$ . We set  $d_0 = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, u)$ ,  $h_0 = pd_0q$ , and  $\mathfrak{D}'''_\wp = h_0\mathfrak{D}_\wp h_0^{-1}$ .

Note that  $\mathfrak{D}'''_\wp$  contains  $\mathfrak{H}_\wp$  at all places  $\wp$  by construction. Then  $\mathfrak{H}$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{D}'''$ . As  $\rho(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}'') = \rho(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}''')$  by construction, it follows that  $\mathfrak{D}''$  and  $\mathfrak{D}'''$  are conjugate and therefore  $\mathfrak{H}$  embeds into  $\mathfrak{D}''$ .  $\square$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.

*Proof of the necessity.* Assume that the extension  $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{A}}/k$  is not of exponent 2. In other words, there exists an element  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\Sigma_{\mathfrak{A}}/k)$  of order  $q > 2$ . Let  $\wp$  be a finite place of  $k$  such that the Frobenius homomorphism at  $\wp$  is  $\sigma$ . Now let  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{D}'$ , where  $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{D}'$  except at  $\wp$ . We identify  $\mathfrak{D}_\wp = \mathbb{M}_f(\mathfrak{E})$  as before and set  $\mathfrak{D}'_\wp = d\mathfrak{D}_\wp d^{-1}$ , where  $d = \text{diag}(1, 1, \dots, 1, u)$  for a uniformizing parameter  $u$  of  $E$ . Recall that  $\sigma^f = \text{id}$  by definition of  $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{A}}$  [1]. By definition,  $\mathfrak{H} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$ ,  $\mathfrak{H} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}'$ , and  $\rho(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}') = \sigma$ . It suffices to see that  $\mathfrak{H}$  cannot be contained in an order  $\mathfrak{D}''$  such that  $\rho(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}'') = \sigma^{-1}$ . Suppose this is the case. Certainly  $\mathfrak{D}''$  coincide with  $\mathfrak{D}$  outside  $\wp$ , since  $\mathfrak{H}$  is maximal there. Set  $\mathfrak{D}''_\wp = g\mathfrak{D}_\wp g^{-1}$ , where  $g = p \text{diag}(u^{s_1}, \dots, u^{s_f})q$ , for some  $p, q \in \mathfrak{D}_\wp^*$ . The order  $\mathfrak{H}$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{H}'' = \mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{D}''$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{H}_\wp$  is of type  $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$  and  $\mathfrak{H}''_\wp$  is of type  $(s_1, \dots, s_f)$  where

$$\sum_{i < j} (s_j - s_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{f-1} i(f-1-i)(s_{i+1} - s_i) \geq f-1.$$

It follows from lemma 2.1 that equality must hold, whence  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}''$  and we have either  $(s_1, \dots, s_f) = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$  or  $(s_1, \dots, s_f) = (0, 1, \dots, 1)$ . Since

$$\sigma \neq \sigma^{-1} = \rho(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}'') = [\wp, \Sigma_{\mathfrak{A}}/k]^{\sum_{i=1}^f s_i},$$

it follows that  $(s_1, \dots, s_f) = (0, 1, \dots, 1)$ .

Next consider the image  $\mathbb{K}$  of  $\mathfrak{H}$  in  $\mathfrak{D}_\wp/u\mathfrak{D}_\wp$ . By definition of  $\mathfrak{D}_\wp$  and  $\mathfrak{D}'_\wp$  we have that  $\mathbb{K}$  is the algebra of matrices in  $\mathbb{M}_f(\mathfrak{E}/u\mathfrak{E})$  of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & v \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $B$  is a block of  $f-1$  rows and  $f-1$  columns. On the other hand, since  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{D} \cap \mathfrak{D}''$ , the algebra  $\mathbb{K}$  is conjugate to the algebra of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} B & w \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $B$  is a block of  $(f-1) \times (f-1)$ . When  $f \geq \text{ord}(\sigma) > 2$ , these two algebras are not isomorphic, since only the first one has an element  $P$  that satisfies the following conditions:

1.  $P^2 = P$ .

2.  $\mathbb{K}P$  is an ideal of dimension 1 over the residue field.

□

**Remark 3.1.** If the order of  $\sigma$  is bigger than 3, we can give a simpler proof by showing that  $\mathfrak{H}$  cannot be contained in an order  $\mathfrak{D}''$  such that  $\rho(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}'') = \sigma^2$ .

*Proof of the sufficiency.* Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a central simple  $k$ -algebra of dimension  $n^2$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{A}}$  be the algebra of adelic points of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . For any  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{A}}^*$  we define an idele  $\mathcal{N}(\sigma) \in J_k$  by the local relations  $\mathcal{N}(\sigma)_{\wp} = N_{\wp}(\sigma_{\wp})$ , where  $N_{\wp}$  is the reduced norm on  $\mathfrak{A}_{\wp}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{A}}^*$  act on the set of maximal orders by conjugation and let  $\Gamma$  be the stabilizer of the maximal order  $\mathfrak{D}$ . It follows from §3 in [1] that the set of spinor genera of maximal orders of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is in correspondence with the quotient  $J_k/k^* \mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$ , and therefore with the Galois group of the corresponding class field  $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{A}}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{A}$  has dimension greater than  $2^2$ , condition E is satisfied, whence spinor genera coincide with conjugacy classes. The orders containing a copy of  $\mathfrak{H}$  correspond to the images under  $\mathcal{N}$  of the generators for  $\mathfrak{D}|\mathfrak{H}$ , i.e., the elements  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{A}}^*$  satisfying  $\mathfrak{H} \subseteq \sigma \mathfrak{D} \sigma^{-1}$  [1]. It suffices, therefore, to prove that the image  $[\mathcal{N}(X), \Sigma_{\mathfrak{A}}/k]$  of the set  $X = X_{\mathfrak{D}|\mathfrak{H}}$  of generators is a subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(\Sigma_{\mathfrak{A}}/k)$ .

Let  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  be generators for  $\mathfrak{D}|\mathfrak{H}$ . By definition, this means  $\mathfrak{H} \in \sigma \mathfrak{D} \sigma^*$  and  $\mathfrak{H} \in \tau \mathfrak{D} \tau^*$ . We define a third adelic element  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{A}}$  as follows:

- if the valuations  $v_{\wp}[N_{\wp}(\sigma_{\wp})]$  and  $v_{\wp}[N_{\wp}(\tau_{\wp})]$  have the same parity, we define  $\lambda_{\wp} = 1$ .
- if  $v_{\wp}[N_{\wp}(\sigma_{\wp})]$  is odd and  $v_{\wp}[N_{\wp}(\tau_{\wp})]$  is even, we define  $\lambda_{\wp} = \sigma_{\wp}$ .
- if  $v_{\wp}[N_{\wp}(\sigma_{\wp})]$  is even and  $v_{\wp}[N_{\wp}(\tau_{\wp})]$  is odd, we define  $\lambda_{\wp} = \tau_{\wp}$ .
- if  $\wp$  is archimedean, we define  $\lambda_{\wp} = \sigma_{\wp} \tau_{\wp}$ .

Since the property defining a generator is local, the element  $\lambda$  is a generator. On the other hand, we have

$$\mathcal{N}(\lambda) = \mathcal{N}(\sigma)\mathcal{N}(\tau)ur^2$$

for some ideles  $r \in J_k$  and  $u \in J_{k, \infty}^+$ , where  $J_{k, \infty}^+$  is the subgroup of ideles that are positive at real places and units at finite places. Since the extension  $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{A}}/k$  is unramified, the subgroup  $J_{k, \infty}^+$  has trivial image under the Artin map. By the hypothesis on  $\text{Gal}(\Sigma_{\mathfrak{A}}/k)$ , also  $r^2$  has trivial image. □

## References

- [1] L. E. ARENAS-CARMONA, Applications of spinor class fields: embeddings of orders and quaternionic lattices, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **53**, 2021–2038 (2003).
- [2] L. E. ARENAS-CARMONA, An embedding theorem for orders in central simple algebras, Submitted.
- [3] J. S. HSIA, Y. Y. SHAO, AND F. XU, Representations of indefinite quadratic forms, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **494**, 129–140 (1998).

- [4] J. S. HSIA, Arithmetic of indefinite quadratic forms, Contemporary Math. **249**, 1–15 (1999).
- [5] I. REINER, Maximal Orders, Academic Press, London, 1975.
- [6] A. WEIL, Basic Number Theory, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.

LUIS ARENAS-CARMONA, Universidad de Chile, Facultad de Ciencias, Casilla 653,  
Santiago, Chile  
e-mail: learenas@uchile.cl