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#### Abstract

R)-(+)-nor-Roefractine (1) was synthesized by the Bischler-Napieralski route, using asymmetric reduction of the 1,2-didehydro precursor imine with sodium (S)-N-CBZ-prolinyloxyborohydride. Compound $\mathbf{1}$ was able to displace [ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ]-raclopride (a $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ dopamine receptor-selective ligand) from its specific binding sites in rat striatum with selectivity vs [ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ]-SCH 23390 ( $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ dopamine receptor-selective ligand).

Among the isoquinoline alkaloids and related synthetic compounds, the conformationally restricted aporphines have been the subject of extensive structureactivity studies with regard to their interactions with dopamine receptors. ${ }^{1}$ As a consequence, it is commonly accepted that the D ring of the aporphine skeleton, together with atoms $\mathrm{C}-7, \mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{a}$, and $\mathrm{N}-6$, mimic an "extended" pharmacophoric conformation of dopamine and that a hydroxyl group at $\mathrm{C}-11$ (corresponding to the meta hydroxyl of dopamine) is necessary for high dopamine receptor affinity, while a hydroxyl group or


[^0]a fluorine atom at C-2 (meta to a "folded" aminoethyl chain) only enhances this behavior (Figure 1). Nevertheless, recent work from our laboratories has shown that four tetrahydroprotoberberine (THPB) alkaloids that possess two O-methylated dopamine moieties locked in "fol ded" conformations displace [ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ]raclopride ( $\mathrm{a} \mathrm{D}_{2}$ dopamine receptor-selective ligand) from its specific binding sites in rat striatum with $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 0.028-0.075 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $[3 \mathrm{H}]-\mathrm{SCH} 23390$ (a $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ dopamine receptor-selective ligand) with $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 1.14-7.13 \mu \mathrm{M}$. These results indicate that such alkaloids, and particularly coreximine, have rather high affinity and selectivity for the striatal $D_{2}$ receptor despite the lack of an "extended" dopamine moiety. Although the two hydroxyl groups of coreximine occupy positions corresponding to the para hydroxyl of dopamine, no clear structure-activity relationships can be delineated from the measured affinities of these few compounds. It is noteworthy, however, that even (S)tetrahydropalmatine, which is completely O-methylated, retains quite strong affinity for the $D_{2}$ site. ${ }^{2}$

The conformationally labile 1-benzyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines (BTHIQ) are able to exist as either syn or anti rotamers (named on the basis of the spatial relationship of the benzene rings) approximating the geometries of aporphines or protoberberines, respectively. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR studies have suggested that the "pro-toberberine-like" conformation is preferred in solution when the nitrogen atom is unsubstituted, while for N -methylated or more highly substituted compounds the "aporphinoid-like" conformation predominates. ${ }^{3}$ Racemic tetrahydropapaveroline and some of its O-methylated derivatives, including reticuline and norreticuline, were tested 15 years ago as dopamine receptor ligands. In general, they were found to di splace $\left[{ }^{[3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ spiroperidol from dopamine binding sites with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in the $4-20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ range, with only 4'-O-methyltetrahydropapaverolineshowing much lower affinity. ${ }^{4}$ M ore recently, we have found that $(\mathrm{S})$-reticuline and $(\mathrm{R})$-coclaurine bind with low micromolar or submicromolar affinities to both $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ rat striatal receptors, possibly with some marginal selectivity for the latter. ${ }^{5}$ In these


Figure 1. Aporphine, dopamine, THPB, and BTHIQs.
alkaloids, one (O-methylated) dopamine moiety is held in a "folded" conformation, and the free hydroxyl group of this substructure is para to the amine side chain, as is the case for both dopamine moieties of coreximine. The pendant 1-benzyl substituent affords a second dopamine-like fragment in reticuline, but this does not seem to enhance dopamine receptor affinity, as the simple p-hydroxybenzyl group of coclaurine is associated with several times greater potency: the $\mathrm{C}_{50}$ values for the displacement of tritiated raclopride or SCH23390 by (R)-(+)-coclaurine are 0.13 and $0.24 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. In codlaurine, but not reticuline, the lack of an N-methyl group suggests that a "protoberberine-like" conformation should be preferred in the absence of specific ligand-receptor interactions. Contrary to what may be the rule in aporphines, N -demethylation appears to be associated with greater rather than lesser potency in these BTHIQ's, although obviously more extensive series must be studied in this regard.

As an initial target, we considered (R)-nor-roefractine [1, 1-(4'-methoxybenzyl)-6-hydroxy-7-methoxy-1,2,3,4tetrahydroisoquinoline], a hitherto unknown (R)-codlaurine analogue with the apparently unimportant 4'hydroxy group O-methylated to enhance lipophilicity and the C-6 and C-7 substituents exchanged to leave a hydroxyl meta to the amine side chain. We accomplished the synthesis of this analogue of natural roefractine ${ }^{6}$ in a seven-step stereosel ective sequence.

Compound $\mathbf{1}^{7}$ was prepared starting from isovanillin (2) via O-benzylisovanillin (3, yield 90\%), (4-methoxy-3-benzyloxy)- $\beta$-nitrostyrene (4, 77\%), and $\beta$-(3-benzyl-oxy-4-methoxyphenyl)ethylamine (5, 68\%) by standard methods. ${ }^{8,9}$ This amine and 4-methoxyphenylacetyl chloride (6) were condensed under Schotten-Baumann conditions, ${ }^{10,11}$ and the resulting N -(4-methoxy-3-benzyl oxy)phenylethyl-4'-methoxyphenacetamide (7, 44\%) was cyclized by a Bischler-Napieralski approach to afford 1-(4'-methoxybenzyl)-6-benzyl oxy-7-methoxy-3,4dihydroisoquinoline (8, 89\%). 9,11 This product was reduced without purification using sodium (S)-N-CBZprolinyloxyborohydride prepared in situ from $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ (1 equiv) and (S)-N-CBZ-proline (3 equiv). ${ }^{13,14}$ The reaction gave (+)-1-(4'-methoxybenzyl)-6-(benzyloxy)-7-meth-oxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (10, 49\%). The reducing agent provided an effective asymmetric reduction of the prochiral cydicimine. Selective hydrolysis of the benzyloxy protective group in this compound was achieved in $72 \%$ yield by refluxing ( 3 h ) with equal volumes of ethanol and concentrated HCl , while the
methyl ether linkage remained intact. This final deblocking stage afforded $\mathbf{1}$ (92\%) as its hydrochloride salt, whose structure was confirmed by spectroscopic methods and assigned as (R)-(+)-1-(4'-methoxybenzyl)-6-hydroxy-7-methoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (1). HPLC analysis with UV detection at 282.5 nm established the purity of $\mathbf{1}$ (Scheme 1).

The 1R configuration of our (+)-nor-roefractine (1) is indicated by the positive optical rotation of the free base and the negative optical rotation of the salt. This result is in line with those for analogous N -unsubstituted compounds in the BTHIQ series. ${ }^{3,12-15}$
(R)-(+)-nor-Roefractine (1) was able to displace both [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-\mathrm{SCH} 23390$ (a $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ dopamine receptor-selective ligand) and $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right.$ ]raclopride (a $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ dopamine receptorselective ligand) from their specific binding sites in rat striatum (Figure 2). ${ }^{16,17}$

Compared to the other BTHIQs previously tested by us, codlaurine and reticuline, ${ }^{5} \mathbf{1}$ appears to be less potent at both the $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ dopamine receptors. Nevertheless, although our results are not strictly comparable with those of Nimit et al., ${ }^{4}$ the dopamine receptor affinity of $\mathbf{1}$ seems to be in the same range as that of most of the assayed tetrahydropapaverol ine anal ogues. More interestingly, $\mathbf{1}$ exhibits 6-fold selectivity for $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ receptors, while the other similar ligands for which affinity measurements have been made with both major dopamine receptor subtypes are practically unselective. The decreased affi nity of $\mathbf{1}$ with regard to coclaurine and reticuline could be attributed to the fact that in the "folded" O-methylated dopamine moiety in coclaurine and reticuline the free hydroxyl group lies para to the amine side chain, whereas it is meta to the amine side chain in 1. However, we have observed that theTHPBs coreximine, containing two meta O-methylated dopamine moieties in "folded" conformations, and 10demethyldiscretine, containing two para O-methylated dopamine moieties in "fol ded" conformations, display similar micromolar affinities for $D_{1}$ dopamine receptors and nanomolar affinities for $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ dopamine receptors. ${ }^{2}$ Comparison of data obtained for THPB and aporphine alkaloids ${ }^{18}$ leads to another suggestion: since the norroefractine structure is present both in 10-demethyldiscretine (THPB) and in laurolitsine (aporphine), and the affinities of $\mathbf{1}$ for $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ dopamine receptors resemble those of Iaurolitsine much more closely than those of 10-demethyldiscretine, it would seem possible that the "aporphine-like" conformation of $\mathbf{1}$ is preferred when this BTHIQ is bound to the active site of dopamine receptors. NMR studies consistently suggest that in N-unsubstituted BTHIQs the "protoberberine-like" conformation predominates in solution. Experimental and theoretical studies on the rotational behavior of BTHIQ's are lacking, however, and it seems possible that any one conformer may undergo rotation through a large angle around the $\mathrm{C}-1 / \mathrm{C}-\alpha$ bond upon binding to a receptor macromolecule.

Molecular biology studies on seven transmembrane segment G-protein-coupled receptors ${ }^{19}$ indicate that the binding of catecholamines involves specific interactions between certain critical amino acid residues and complementary groups on the neurotransmitter molecule: the positively charged, protonated amino group with an aspartate residue and the phenol ic hydroxyl groups with

Scheme 1. Synthesis of $\mathbf{1}^{\text {a }}$

a Reagents and conditions: (a) benzyl chloride, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} / \mathrm{EtOH}$, reflux, 5 h ; (b) $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CNO}_{2}, \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAclAcOH}$, reflux, 3 h ; (c) LiH 4 Al , ether/
THF (1:1), reflux, 2 h ; (d) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{NaOH} 5 \%, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (e) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, reflux, $3 \mathrm{~h} ;(\mathrm{f}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 7 \mathrm{~h} ;(\mathrm{g}) \mathrm{HCl}-\mathrm{EtOH}$ (1:1), reflux, 3 h .


Figure 2. Displacement curves of [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-\mathrm{SCH} 23390$ and $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ raclopride binding by ( R )-(+)-nor-roefractine. Displacement curves correspond to four determinations at each concentration. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ values are 32.9 (11.9-80.4) and 5.0 (2.012.6) for [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-\mathrm{SCH} 23390$ and [ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ]racl opride binding, respectively.
two serine residues are apparently similar but with different global environments in different receptors. In addition, some amino acid residues (especially phenyIalanine and tryptophan) appear to be involved in conformational changes of the receptors and, for conformationally labile ligands, in conformational changes of dopamine or of nonphysiological ligands. It seems reasonable to assume that a protonated BTHIQ, even if lacking an N -substituent, may tend to assume an "aporphine-like" conformation when interacting with the key aspartate residue of the receptor. Comparison of our data obtained with codaurine and 1 seems to indicate that a hydroxyl group meta to the amine side
chain, as opposed to a para hydroxyl, only increases selectivity for $D_{2}$ receptors by sel ective reduction of $D_{1}$ receptor affinity. If hydrogen bonding to only one of the active-site serine residues were important for high affinity binding, this interaction with a para (C-7) hydroxyl might allow the BTHIQ molecule to adopt a less energetic conformation and thus obtain a lower $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value. These hypotheses will be tested using data obtained from a rational series of BTHIQs that are now being synthesized.
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(17) Binding experiments were performed on striatal membranes. Each striatum was homogenized in 2 mL of ice-cold Tris- HCl buffer ( $50 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH}=7.4$ at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) with a Polytron ( 4 s , maximal scale) and immediately diluted with Tris buffer. The homogenate was centrifuged either twice ( $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-\mathrm{SCH} 23390$ binding experiments) or four times ( $[3 \mathrm{H}$ ]raclopride binding experiments) at 20 000 g for 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with resuspension in the same volume of Tris buffer between centrifugations. For [3H]-SCH 23390 binding experiments, the final pellet was resuspended in Tris buffer containing 5 mM MgSO , 0.5 mM EDTA, and $0.02 \%$ ascorbic acid (Tris-Mg buffer), and the suspension was briefly sonicated and diluted to a protein concentration of $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$. A $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquot of freshly prepared membrane suspension (100 $\mu \mathrm{g}$ of striatal protein) was incubated for 1 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with 100 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of Tris buffer containing [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-\mathrm{SCH} 23390$ ( 0.25 nM final concentration) and $800 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Tris -Mg buffer containing the required drugs. Nonspecific binding was determined in the presence of $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ SK \&F 38393 and represented around 2-3\% of total binding. For [ 3 H ]raclopride binding experiments, the final pellet was resuspended in Tris buffer containing 120 mM NaCl , $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl} 2,1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}$, and $0.1 \%$ ascorbic acid (Tris-ions buffer), and the suspension was treated as described above. A $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquot of freshly prepared membrane suspension ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of striatal protein) was incubated for 1 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Tris-ion buffer containing [ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ]raclopride ( 0.5 nM final concentration) and $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Tris-ion buffer containing the drug being investigated. Nonspecific binding was determined in the presence of $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ apomorphine and represented $\sim 5-7 \%$ of total binding. In both cases, incubations were stopped by addition of 3 mL of ice-cold buffer (Tris- Mg buffer or Tris-ion buffer, as appropriate) followed by rapid filtration through Whatman GF/B filters. Tubes were rinsed with 3 mL of ice-cold buffer, and filters were washed with $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ of ice-cold buffer. After the filters had been dried, radioactivity was counted in 4 mL BCS scintillation liquid at an efficiency of $45 \%$. Filter blanks corresponded to approximately $0.5 \%$ of total binding and were not modified by drugs.
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