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#### Abstract

A series of polypyridine ruthenium complexes of the general formula $\{\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{Rph}-\mathrm{tpy})[\mathrm{dppz}(\mathrm{COOH})] \mathrm{Cl}\}$ $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ with $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Br}$ (1), Cl (2), $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ (3) where Rph-tpy is $4^{\prime}$-(4-Rphenyl-2,2':6', $2^{\prime \prime}$-terpyridine and $\mathrm{dppz}(\mathrm{COOH})$ is dipyrido[3,2-a:2, $3^{\prime} 3^{\prime}$-c]phenazine-2-carboxylic acid were prepared and characterized. These complexes display intense metal-to-ligand charge-transfer (MLCT) bands centered about 500 nm . The effect of pH on the absorption spectra of these complexes consisting of protonatable ligands has been investigated in water solution by spectrophotometric titration. The electrochemistry shows oxidation potentials for the $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})-\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{III})$ couple at +0.881 (1), +0.907 (2) and +0.447 V (3), respectively.
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## INTRODUCTION

The design of new ruthenium complexes with polypiridine ligand for their use as catalyst, ${ }^{1}$ molecular wires, ${ }^{2}$ and biosensors ${ }^{3}$ has been a fertile area in the last decades. The application of these complexes as dye-sensitized in solar cell technology is an interesting new area of research. ${ }^{4}$ Scheme 1A shows the molecular orbital of the Ru (II) complex and its relation with the energy levels of a semiconductor, such as $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$. If the $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ complex is anchored on the semiconductor surface through a carboxylate group, when an electron jumps from the metal to ligand orbital by visible light absorption, it is injected to the semiconductor empty band, Scheme 1B, thus providing the appropriate charge flow. ${ }^{5}$

[^0]In the last years, many groups have been focusing their attention in the design and preparation of new complexes of this type. One the goals of this research is to introduce different functional groups in polypyridine ligand, in order to allow the fine tuning of the redox and spectroscopic properties of the complex.

This work shows the design and the preparation of new metallic complexes with terpyridine 'antenna' functionalized at the $4^{\prime}$ position by a phenyl ring, Rph-tpy ( R represents a substituent group with different donor or withdrawing capacity; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Cl}$ or $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ ), and the bidentate planar ligand containing a carboxylic acid group, dipyrido[3,2$\mathrm{f}: 2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-h]phenazine-2-carboxilyc acid, $\mathrm{dppz}(\mathrm{COOH}),{ }^{5}$ which can be used for connection to a $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ surface, ${ }^{6}$ as is known for $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy}-(\mathrm{COOH}))_{2}(\mathrm{SCN})_{2}\right]$ complexes. Fig. 1 shows these two N -donor ligands and label used in the NMR study.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

## Instrumentation and measurements

IR spectra were obtained by using a Bruker IFS-66V FT-
IR spectrophotometer in the solid state ( KBr cell of 0.2 mm


Scheme 1. Mechanism of Ruthenium complexes with bypyridine as dye sensitized solar cell.



Figure 1. Ligand R-ph-tpy and dppz-COOH scheme proton allocation.
length). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra were recorded on a 350 MHz Bruker spectrometer.

Absorption spectra were measured on a Shimadzu UV160 spectrophotometer. Values of pH were registered using a Hanna Instrument pH-meter model 211. Electrochemical data were acquired by cyclic voltammetry using a potentiostat/galvanostat, Autolab/PGSTAT30, with Autolab 4.9 software.

The solutions of the complexes for cyclic measurements were prepared in anhydrous acetonitrile using tetra-nbutylammonium perchlorate (TBAP, 0.1 M ) as supporting
electrolyte. Measurements were made using a three-electrode configuration cell. The working electrode was vitreous carbon; the reference and counter electrodes were $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{AgCl}$ and a wire platinum, respectively. Potentials were reported as $E_{1 / 2}$ values determined from $E_{1 / 2}=1 / 2\left(E_{\mathrm{pa}}+E_{\mathrm{pc}}\right)$, where $E_{\mathrm{pa}}$ and $E_{\mathrm{pc}}$ are the anodic and cathodic peak potentials, respectively. A $100 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$ sweep rate was used for a complex solution of $8.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$.

## Materials

All chemicals were reagent-grade and used as received unless otherwise specified. 4-Bromobenzaldehyde, 4-nitrobenzaldehyde, 4-clorobenzaldehyde, 2-acetylpyridine, sodium hydroxide, ammonium acetate, ruthenium trichloride trihydrated, ammonium hexafluorophosphate, chloroform, acetonitrile, ethanol, methanol, methylene chloride, diethylether, chloroform-d, acetonitrile- $\mathrm{d}_{3}$ and acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ were purchased from Aldrich. $4^{\prime}$-(4-Chlorobenzene)- $2,2^{\prime} \cdot 6^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}$-terpyridine (Clph-tpy), $4^{\prime}$-(4-bromobenzene)-2, $2^{\prime} .6^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}$-terpyridine (Brphtpy) and $4^{\prime}$-(nitrobenzene)-2, $2^{\prime} .6^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}$-terpyridine ( $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ ph-tpy) ligands were prepared according to a published procedure. ${ }^{7}$ The $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{Brph}\right.$-tpy $\left.) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right],\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{Clph}\right.$-tpy $\left.) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right],\left[\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right.\right.$ ph-tpy $)$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ ] complexes were prepared by procedures similar to those used in the preparation of analogs $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{tpy}) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right] .{ }^{8}$

## Synthesis

Synthesis of $[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{Brph}-\mathrm{tpy})(d p p z \mathrm{COOH})) \mathrm{Cl}^{2} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ (1)
[ $\left.\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{Brph}-\mathrm{tpy}) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right],(0.309 \mathrm{~g}, 0.516 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1,10-phenanth-roline-5,6-dione ( $0.110 \mathrm{~g}, 0.523 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added in a roundbottomed flask and dissolved in a solution of ethanol-water ( $50 \mathrm{~mL}, 1: 1$ ratio). After the mixture had been heated at reflux for 3 h , it was cooled to room temperature. Then, 3,4 -diaminobenzoic acid $(0.100,0.671 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the mixture was refluxed for an additional 1 h . The red solution was filtrated and the filtrate was concentrated to evaporate ethanol. Then, solid $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ was added to produce dark red precipitated, which was filtered through a glass frit and it was washed with diethylether. The solid was recrystallized in acetonitrile/diethylether and vacuum dried.

Yield: $0.083 \mathrm{~g}, 16.6 \%$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR was similar to that obtained for the complex $\{\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{Brph}-\mathrm{tpy})[\mathrm{dppz}(\mathrm{COOH})] \mathrm{Cl}\} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ IR (KBr): $v_{\mathrm{CO}} 1706(\mathrm{~s}), v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}} 1624(\mathrm{~m}), \nu_{\mathrm{PF} 6-} 845(\mathrm{~s}), 560(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Elemental analysis. Calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{BrClF}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{PRu}$ : C, 43.51; H, 3.29; N, 8.88. Found (\%): C, 43.28; H, 3.90; N, 8.70. Complexes 2 and 3 were made by following the same procedure as used for complex 1.

## Synthesis of $[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{Clph}-\mathrm{tpy})(d p p z \mathrm{COOH})) \mathrm{Cl}^{2} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ (2)

From $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\right.$ Clph-tpy $\left.) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right](0.300 \mathrm{~g}, 0.542 \mathrm{mmol}), 1,10$-phenanth-roline-5,6-dione ( $0.110 \mathrm{~g}, 0.523 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3,4-diaminobenzoic acid $(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.671 \mathrm{mmol})$, the synthesis of 2 was obtained. Yield: 0.410 g, $82 \%$. M.p. $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (d). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta=7.3\left(\mathrm{~m}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{c}^{\prime}}\right), 7.6(\mathrm{~m}, J=9.40 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right), 7.1\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{g}}, \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 8.0\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~J}=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{b}^{\prime}}\right), 8.20(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3^{\prime}}\right), 8.26\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10,1, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d}^{\prime}}\right), 8.67\left(\mathrm{~m}, J=10.5, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$,
$8.67\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{f}^{\prime}}\right), 8.88\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a}^{\prime}}\right), 9.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 9.18(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{e}^{\prime}}\right), 9.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, J=9.45 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{1^{\prime}}\right), 9.99\left(\mathrm{~m}, J=9.43 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, $10.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$. IR (KBr): $v_{\mathrm{CO}} 1706(\mathrm{~s}), v_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{C} 1624(\mathrm{~m})$, $\nu_{\text {PF6- }} 845(\mathrm{~s}), 560(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Elemental analysis, calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{BrClF}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{PRu}: \mathrm{C}, 45.34 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.42 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.25$. Found (\%): C, 45.62; H, 2.91; N, 8.83

## Synthesis of $\left.\left[\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2} p h-t p y\right)(d p p z \mathrm{COOH})\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right]$

$P F_{6}$ (3)
From $\left[\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right.\right.$ ph-tpy $\left.) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right]$ ( $\left.0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.534 \mathrm{mmol}\right), 1,10$-phen-anthroline-5,6-dione $(0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 0.523 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 3.4 -diaminobenzoic acid ( $0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.671 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), compound 3 was obtained. Yield: $0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 22 \%$. M.p. $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (d). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR was similar to that obtained for the $\{\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{Clph}-\mathrm{tpy})[\mathrm{dppz}(\mathrm{COOH})] \mathrm{Cl}\}$ $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ complex. IR (KBr) $v_{\text {CO }} 1706(\mathrm{~s}), v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}} 1624(\mathrm{~m}), v_{\text {PF6- }}$ 845(s), $560(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Elemental analysis, calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{ClF}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{PRu}: \mathrm{C}, 44.89 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.39 \mathrm{~N}, 10.49$. Found (\%): C, 43.54; H, 2.72; N, 10.46.

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

## Synthesis

The synthesis of the complexes was carried out following the route shown in Scheme 2. The first step was the coordination of the substituted terpyridine ligand, followed by the incorporation of 1,10-phenanthroline-5,6-dione ${ }^{9}$ to obtain the intermediary complex. In situ, by Shiff reaction, the coordination of $\mathrm{dppz}(\mathrm{COOH})$ with 1,2-diaminobenzoic acid was obtained. ${ }^{10}$ This modified procedure was used in order to overcome the extreme insolubility in mixed solvent shown by the uncoordinated $\mathrm{dppz}(\mathrm{COOH})$. Finally, $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$anion was used as a counter ion to precipitate the complexes.

Infrared spectra of the complexes showed the classical $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ bond signals $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ and the typical pattern for every specific terpyridine. The two signals at 845 and $560 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ correspond to $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$ion. The intense signal at $1706 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ can be assigned to $\nu(\mathrm{CO})$ from carboxylic acid group.

## Absorption spectra

The absorption spectra of the $\{\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{Rph}-\mathrm{tpy})[\mathrm{dppz}(\mathrm{COOH})] \mathrm{Cl}\}$ $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ complexes with $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Cl}$ were recorded in acetonitrile. The data are listed in Table 1. The compounds display intense bands in the UV region ( 278 and 360 nm ). Considering shape, position and the spectra of the uncoordinated ligands these bands have been assigned as intraligand $\pi \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ transitions from polypyridine ligand terpyridine and $\mathrm{dppz}(\mathrm{COOH})$. The shape of the spectra (Fig. 2) retains most of the features of the components of dppz $(\mathrm{COOH})$, particularly the band centered at 364 nm , which essentially corresponds





$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}$

Scheme 2. (a) Ethanol, reflux 3 h ; (b) ethanol-water ( $3: 1$ ), reflux 3 h ; (c) ethanol-water (3:1), reflux 1 h ; (d) $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$.

Table 1. Spectroscopic ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ and ground-state $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values for Ru complexes determined by means of spectrophotometric titration ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$

| Compound ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\lambda_{\text {abs }}(\mathrm{nm}), \varepsilon\left(10^{4}, \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ |  |  | $[C x] \times 10^{5 c}$ | $\mathrm{p} k_{\mathrm{a}}$ | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MLCT | $\pi->\pi^{*}$ | $\pi->\pi^{*}$ |  |  |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{3}\right]^{2+}$ | 460 |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{ttpy})_{3}\right]^{2+}$ | 490(2.8) |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| $\left\{\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}[\mathrm{dppz}(\mathrm{COOH})]\right\}^{2+}$ | 448(1.9) | 364(1.9) | 285(12.3) | 1.0 | 5.8 | 5 |
| $\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)\left\{\mathrm{Ru}\left[\right.\right.$ tpy $\left.\left.-(\mathrm{COOH})_{3}(\mathrm{SCN})_{3}\right]\right\}$ | 611(7.3) | 344 | 330 | 5.0 | 3.3 | 11 |
| $\{\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{Brph}-\mathrm{tpy})[\mathrm{dppz}(\mathrm{COOH})] \mathrm{Cl}\}^{+}$(1) | 515(5.2) | 364(7.1) | 278(29) | 5.4 | 4.4 | This work |
| $\{\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{Clph}-\mathrm{tpy})[\mathrm{dppz}(\mathrm{COOH})] \mathrm{Cl}\}^{+}$(2) | 517(8.8) | 363(9.5) | 277(29) | 5.6 | 3.2 | This work |
| $\left\{\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2} \text { ph-tpy }\right)[\mathrm{dppz}(\mathrm{COOH})] \mathrm{Cl}\right\}^{+}$(3) | 515(7.4) | 360(16.9) | 278(47) | 5.6 | 4.7 | This work |

[^1]

Figure 2. Absorption spectra for $[R u(B r p h-t p y)[d p p z(C O O H)]$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(-\boldsymbol{\nabla}-), \mathrm{Ru}\left\{\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right.$ ph-tpy) $\left.[\mathrm{dppz}(\mathrm{COOH})] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right\} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(--)$, and $\{\mathrm{Ru}($ Clph-tpy $)[\mathrm{dppz}(\mathrm{COOH})] \mathrm{Cl}\} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(-\square-)$.
to the widely studied dppz fragment. ${ }^{11}$ A broad transition band appears between 400 and 600 nm centered at 515 nm , which is solvent-dependent and can be assigned to a spinallowed ${ }^{1}$ MLCT transition, while at ca. 278 nm and below that wavelength, the intense bands can be attributed to the overlap of Rph-tpy and $\operatorname{dppz}(\mathrm{COOH}) \pi \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ transitions. Fig. 2 shows the electronic absorption for the series of complexes $\{\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{Rph}$-tpy $)[\mathrm{dppz}(\mathrm{COOH})] \mathrm{Cl}\} \mathrm{PF}_{6}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}$, Cl . The more intense MLCT band occurs when $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Cl}$. All the complexes show higher molar extinction coefficients than those observed in related complexes already reported. For example both $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(\mathrm{dppz}(\mathrm{COOH}))\right]^{2+}, 5$ and $\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)\left[\left[\mathrm{Ru}\left(\text { tpy- }(\mathrm{COOH})_{3}(\mathrm{SCN})_{3}\right]\right]^{12}\right.$ containing ligands with an uncoordianted carboxylic group displaying MLCT bands centered at $\lambda_{\text {max }} 448 \mathrm{~nm}$ and 610 nm , with molar extinction
coefficient 1950 and $7320 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively. The intensity observed in the last band is attributed to the $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$ presence.

## Measurements of $p \mathrm{~K}_{a}$

The $p K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values for the ruthenium complexes were determined by a conventional pH -metric procedure and simultaneously a spectrophotometric titration was carried out in order to show that the carboxylic group is involved in the deprotonation process. The absorption spectra were less affected ( $2-3 \%$ over a $2-11 \mathrm{pH}$ range), since fully protonated dye is insoluble in water [acetonitrile ( $16 \%$ ) was added to prevent precipitation]. A complex solution ( $0.03-0.05 \mathrm{mM}$ ) was prepared in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-acetonitrile ( $100 \mathrm{~mL}, 4: 1$ ) containing $\mathrm{KNO}_{3}(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ in order to maintain an ionic strength constant. The initial pH of the solution was adjusted to 11 by adding NaOH solution ( 0.2 M ).

The UV-visible absorption spectra of each solution were obtained after adding hydrochloric acid and allowing the mixture to equilibrate. The $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values are reported in Table 1.

The absorption spectra of the $[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{Brph}-\mathrm{tpy})(\mathrm{dppz}(\mathrm{COOH}))$ $\mathrm{Cl}] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ complex is only slightly modified by the pH, Figure 3, the major change being on the absorption at 360 nm . This $\pi \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ absorption was used to determine the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ for each complex. The isobestic point at 390 nm represents the equilibrium between the carboxylic/carboxylate species:



Figure 3. Absorption spectral changes of $\{\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{Brph}-\mathrm{tpy})[\mathrm{dppz}(\mathrm{COOH})] \mathrm{Cl}]\} \mathrm{F}_{6}$ as function of pH in an aqueous solution with $16 \%$ of acetonitrile $\left(\mathrm{KNO}_{3}, 0.1 \mathrm{~m}\right)$.


Figure 4. Absorbance changes as a function of pH for the $\{$ Ru(Clph-tpy)[dppz(COOH)]Cl\}PF 6 complex at 360 nm .

Fig. 4 shows the absorption changes upon addition of hydrochloric acid to alkaline solutions of $\{\mathrm{Ru}($ Clph-tpy $)$ [dppz $(\mathrm{COOH})] \mathrm{Cl}\} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ complex. An inflection point at pH 3.2 can be observed, which corresponds to ground-state $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values.

The results in Table 1 show a unique $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ value for each complex. The difference in $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ value in this series of analogous complexes can be understood as a measure of the donor/acceptor properties of the R group on the Rph-tpy ligand.

## Electrochemical

Electrochemical data for complexes were measured in acetonitrile solution. In all cases the cyclic voltammogram shows a metal-based reversible couple in the oxidation region, which was assigned for the $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II}-\mathrm{III})$ oxidation couple. In addition the cyclic voltammogram shows several events in the reduction region ( 0 to -2.0 V ), which are essentially due to ligand-based reduction (see Fig. 5). The three complexes have the common $\mathrm{dppz}(\mathrm{COOH})$ ligand with the same $\pi$-electron acceptor characteristics. Then, the position and shift of the oxidation $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II}-\mathrm{III})$ couple depend only on the presence of the terpyridine ligand with different substituents, in this case we have Rph-tpy with $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}$ and $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$. The data are compiled in Table 2 (Fig. 5).


Figure 5. Cyclic voltammogram for the $\{$ Ru(Clph-tpy) $[\mathrm{dppz}$ $(\mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{Cl\mid}\} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ complex.

## NMR analysis

Fig. 6 shows the assignments of the protons in NMR spectrum of the $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$-ph-tpy ligand. The presence of different substituting ligands ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Cl}$ ) does not shift significantly the signals on the spectrum.

As expected, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra of the complexes $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{R}-$ ph-tpy) $(\mathrm{dppzCOOH})) \mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{PF}_{6}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$ is very similar. Fig 7 shows the spectrum of the complex with $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Cl}$, as well as the assignment of all the proton signals. 1H-NMR COSY, Fig. 8, was used for this assignment.

## CONCLUSIONS

A new series of $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes $[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{Rph}-\mathrm{tpy})(\mathrm{dppz}(\mathrm{COOH}))$ $\mathrm{Cl}] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, $\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$, containing an uncoordinated carboxylic group, have been synthesized. These complexes show a very intense MCLT band centered ca. 515 nm . We have shown that, by changing the R group on the tpy ligand, a wide range of $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II}) / \mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{III})$ redox potentials can be achieved. This fine tuning of the redox potentials, together with the rest of the characteristics mentioned above, make these complexes specially appropriated for their use in solar cell devices. ${ }^{12,13}$

Table 2. Redox potentials $(V)$ of Ru complexes ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Compound | $E_{1 / 2} \mathrm{Ox}(\Delta E)$ |  | $E_{1 / 2} \operatorname{Red}(\Delta E)$ | Ref |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\text { bpy })_{3}\right]^{2+}$ | +0.88 | -1.69 | -1.89 | -2.14 | 5 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{ttpy})_{3}\right]^{2+}$ | +1.25 |  |  | 6 |  |
| $\left\{\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}[\mathrm{dppz}(\mathrm{COOH})]\right\}^{2+\mathrm{b}}$ | +0.85 | -1.40 | -1.81 | -1.98 | 5 |
| $\left(\mathrm{NEt} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)\left\{\mathrm{Ru}\left[\mathrm{tpy}-(\mathrm{COOH})_{3}(\mathrm{SCN})_{3}\right]\right\}$ | +0.72 | -1.10 |  |  | 11 |
| $\{\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{Brph}-\mathrm{tpy})[\mathrm{dppz}(\mathrm{COOH})] \mathrm{Cl}\}^{+}(1)$ | $+0.88(73)$ | $-1.02(53)$ | $-1.67(\mathrm{i})$ | $-1.99(\mathrm{i})$ | This work |
| $\{\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{Clph}-\mathrm{tpy})[\mathrm{dppz}(\mathrm{COOH})] \mathrm{Cl}\}^{+}(2)$ | $+0.91(59)$ | $-1.62(\mathrm{i})$ | $-1.78(\mathrm{i})$ | $-1.93(\mathrm{i})$ | This work |
| $\left[\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right.\right.$ ph-tpy $)[\mathrm{dppz}(\mathrm{COOH})] \mathrm{Cl}^{+}(3)^{\mathrm{c}}$ | +0.45 | $-0.72(\mathrm{i})$ | $-1.20(\mathrm{i})$ |  | This work |

[^2]

Figure 6. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$-ph-tpy ligand.


Figure 7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the $\left\{\right.$ Ru(Clph-tpy) $\left.\left[\mathrm{dppz}(\mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{I}}\right]\right\} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ complex.


Figure 8. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR COSY spectrum for the $\{$ Ru(Clph-tpy)[dppz $(\mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{Cl}]\} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ complex.

Additional support for this conclusion arose when we compared our results with those obtained by Grätzel et al., ${ }^{12}$ who reported a band centered at 610 nm with a molar extinction coefficient of $7320 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)\left\{\mathrm{Ru}\left[\mathrm{tpy}-(\mathrm{COOH})_{3}(\mathrm{SCN})_{3}\right]\right\}$.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Complexes are $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ salts and the values are obtained in MeCN at room temperature. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ At room temperature in solutions containing $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KNO}_{3}$. ttpy $=4^{\prime}$-(p-tolyl)-2, $2^{\prime}-6^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}$-terpyridine. ${ }^{\text {c }}[\mathrm{Cx}]=$ complex concentration used.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ At room temperature and in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solution containing 0.1 m tetra- $n$-butylammonium perchlorate, measured at $100 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$. Redox potentials of $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{3}\right]^{2+}$ are given for comparison. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ In DMF. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ In DMSO. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ (i) Irreversible; $\left[\right.$ complex] $=8.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$.

