



Threshold condition for global existence and blow-up to a radially symmetric drift–diffusion system[☆]

Carlos Conca^{a,b}, Elio Espejo^{c,*}

^a Departamento de Ingeniería Matemática (DIM) and Centro de Modelamiento Matemático (CMM), Universidad de Chile (UMI CNRS 2807), Casilla 170-3, Correo 3, Santiago, Chile

^b Institute for Cell Dynamics and Biotechnology: a Centre for Systems Biology, University of Chile, Santiago, Chile

^c Millennium Institute for Cell Dynamics and Biotechnology, University of Chile, Santiago, Chile

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 25 October 2010

Received in revised form 25 August 2011

Accepted 7 September 2011

Keywords:

Blow-up

Drift–diffusion

Threshold condition

Parabolic equations

ABSTRACT

For a class of drift–diffusion systems Kurokiba et al. [M. Kurokiba, T. Nagai, T. Ogawa, The uniform boundedness and threshold for the global existence of the radial solution to a drift–diffusion system, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* 5 (2006) 97–106.] proved global existence and uniform boundedness of the radial solutions when the L_1 -norm of the initial data satisfies a threshold condition. We prove in this letter that this result prescribes a region in the plane of masses which is sharp in the sense that if the drift–diffusion system is initiated outside the threshold region of global existence, then blow-up is possible: suitable initial data can be built up in such a way that the corresponding solution blows up in a finite time.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

A mathematical model for particles interacting via the gravitational potential is the following system of PDE's:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n - \Delta n + \nabla \cdot (n \nabla \psi) = 0 & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \\ \partial_t p - \Delta p - \nabla \cdot (p \nabla \psi) = 0 & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \\ -\Delta \psi = -(p - n) & x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \\ n(0, x) = n_0(x) \geq 0, \quad p(0, x) = p_0(x) \geq 0 & x \in \mathbb{R}^2. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

The initial value problem (1) is one of the most representative systems of the so-called drift–diffusion models. Kurokiba and Ogawa proved in [1] for system (1) local well-posedness, positiveness of the variables n and p , mass conservation and the following blow-up result.

Theorem 1 (*Blow-up in Finite Time*). Let $s > 1$ and

$$L_s^2(\mathbb{R}^2) = \{f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^2); (1 + |x|^2)^{s/2} f(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\}.$$

Let n_0 and p_0 be given in $L_s^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $n_0, p_0 \geq 0$ everywhere, and satisfying

$$\frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (n_0 - p_0) dx\right)^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (n_0 + p_0) dx} > 8\pi. \quad (2)$$

Then the solution of (1) blows up in a finite time.

[☆] The authors acknowledge the Chilean & French Governments through a CONICYT-INRIA Grant.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +56 57 1 781 5690.

E-mail addresses: cconca@dim.uchile.cl (C. Conca), spiegel12@gmail.com, eespejo@ing.uchile.cl (E. Espejo).

The possibility of having global existence in time for system (1) whenever

$$\frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (n_0 - p_0) dx\right)^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (n_0 + p_0) dx} < 8\pi \tag{3}$$

was suggested by Kurokiba and Ogawa in [1] and it was partially proved by Kurokiba et al. in [2]. Specifically, they proved in particular the following.

Theorem 2 (Boundedness and Global Existence). *Let $s > 1$. Suppose that the initial data n_0 and $p_0 \in L^2_s(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ are positive radially symmetric functions. If*

$$\|n_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \quad \|p_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} < 8\pi, \tag{4}$$

then the corresponding radially symmetric solution $(n(t), p(t))$ exists globally in $C(0, \infty; L^2_s(\mathbb{R}^2))$. Moreover, there exists a constant C such that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|n(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C, \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} \|p(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C.$$

However, condition (3) results not to be sufficient to guarantee global existence as was proved by Espejo et al. in [3]. The aim of this letter is to show that although condition (3) does not represent a sufficient condition for global existence in time, the condition of global existence (4) is optimal (in the radial case). Precisely, we show that if θ_1 and θ_2 are arbitrary positive parameters satisfying

$$\theta_1 > 8\pi \quad \text{or} \quad \theta_2 > 8\pi,$$

then initial data n_0 and p_0 can be constructed in such a way that

$$\theta_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n_0 dx, \quad \theta_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_0 dx,$$

and system (1) blows up.

We find it worth pointing out that the blow-up result of Theorem 1 is valid even in the non-radial case; meanwhile Theorem 2 holds true only under radially symmetric conditions on the initial data. As already mentioned, condition (4) involves the constant 8π which results to be sharp. The problem of finding a similar optimal threshold condition under non-radial initial conditions remains open. Threshold-type conditions for a similar system, mainly for the Keller–Segel model of two species with non-radial initial conditions, are currently being investigated by Conca et al. (see [4], for example).

Notation. We denote $M_1(r, t) = \int_{B(0,r)} n dx = 2\pi \int_0^r n \rho d\rho$, $M_2(r, t) = \int_{B(0,r)} p dx = 2\pi \int_0^r p \rho d\rho$, $\theta_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n_0 dx$ and $\theta_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_0 dx$. In terms of M_1 and M_2 , system (1) reduces to

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \partial_t M_1 &= r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial M_1}{\partial r} \right) - \frac{M_2 - M_1}{2\pi r} \frac{\partial M_1}{\partial r} \\ \partial_t M_2 &= r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial M_2}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{M_2 - M_1}{2\pi r} \frac{\partial M_2}{\partial r} \end{aligned} \right\}. \tag{5}$$

2. Optimization of the blow-up condition

In order to simplify system (5) we prove first that under suitable conditions on the initial data, then either $M_1 \leq M_2$ or $M_1 \geq M_2$. This result will allow us to reduce our analysis to only one equation and then obtain our main result concerning blow-up.

Theorem 3 (Mass Comparison). *Suppose that the initial data $n_0, p_0 \in L^2_s(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ of (1) are positive radially symmetric functions. If*

$$M_1(0, r) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n_0 dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_0 dx = M_2(0, r), \tag{6}$$

then for any solution in $C([0, T]; L^2_s(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap C([0, T]; C^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$,

$$M_1(t, r) \geq M_2(t, r).$$

Proof. The idea of the proof is to formulate a suitable parabolic equation in the variable $R := \int_0^r (n - p) \rho d\rho$ and then apply the maximum principle to show that $R \geq 0$. With this end in mind, we first define the following variables:

$$v(t, x) = n(t, x) + p(t, x)$$

$$w(t, x) = n(t, x) - p(t, x).$$

It follows that (v, w) satisfies the following parabolic–elliptic system:

$$\partial_t v - \Delta v + \nabla(w \nabla \psi) = 0 \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$\partial_t w - \Delta w + \nabla(v \nabla \psi) = 0 \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$-\Delta \psi = w \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$v(0, x) = n_0(x) + p(x), \quad w(0, x) = n_0(x) - p_0(x).$$

We change now to polar coordinates and integrate on $(0, r)$. Denoting $S = \int_0^r v \rho d\rho$, $R = \int_0^r w \rho d\rho$ and using $v = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \int_0^r v \rho d\rho = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial S}{\partial r}$ and $w = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \int_0^r w \rho d\rho = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial R}{\partial r}$ we get after simplifying the following reduced system:

$$\partial_t S - r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial S}{\partial r} \right) - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial R}{\partial r} R = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$\partial_t R - r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial R}{\partial r} \right) - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial S}{\partial r} R = 0. \quad (8)$$

By hypothesis in $t = 0$ we have $R \geq 0$ and $R = 0$ on $r = 0$, in addition the coefficient of R is negative. By means of the change of variables $R = \bar{R} e^t$ in (8) we get

$$\partial_t \bar{R} - r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \bar{R}}{\partial r} \right) + \left(-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial S}{\partial r} - 1 \right) \bar{R} = 0.$$

Now if the minimum of \bar{R} were negative at this point we would have $\partial_t \bar{R} \leq 0$, $\frac{\partial \bar{R}}{\partial r} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial^2 \bar{R}}{\partial r^2} \geq 0$ and therefore $\partial_t \bar{R} - r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \bar{R}}{\partial r} \right) = \partial_t \bar{R} - \frac{\partial^2 \bar{R}}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \bar{R}}{\partial r} \leq 0$ and $(-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial S}{\partial r} - 1) \bar{R} > 0$ getting a contradiction with (8). It follows that $\bar{R} \geq 0$ and consequently $R \geq 0$. Using that

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \int_0^r w \rho d\rho = \int_0^r (n - p) \rho d\rho \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_{B(0,r)} n \rho d\rho - \int_{B(0,r)} p \rho d\rho \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi} (M_1 - M_2) \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that

$$M_1 \geq M_2. \quad (9)$$

Therefore, variables M_1 and M_2 are comparable. \square

The following result was proved in [[3], Th. 3] and it plays an essential role for our considerations. For the sake of completeness we outline the proof here.

Theorem 4 (Conditions for the Boundedness of p). Suppose that the initial data $n_0, p_0 \in L^2_s(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ of (1) are positive radially symmetric functions. If the initial data of (1) satisfy

$$n(r, 0) \geq p(r, 0), \quad (10)$$

then for any solution in $C([0, T]; L^2_s(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap C([0, T]; C^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$ there exists a constant C such that

$$p(r, t) \leq C \quad \forall t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Proof. From (5) and (9) it follows that

$$\partial_t M_2 \leq r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial M_2}{\partial r} \right). \quad (11)$$

At the time $t = 0$, the variable p_0 is bounded for some constant C , then we have $M_2(r, 0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{B(0,r)} p_0 \rho d\rho \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} C \int_{B(0,r)} \rho d\rho = Cr^2$. Introducing the transformation $\bar{M}(r, t) = M_2(r, t) - Cr^2$, it follows from (11) that \bar{M} satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \bar{M} &\leq \frac{\partial^2 \bar{M}}{\partial r^2} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \bar{M}}{\partial r} \\ \bar{M}(r, 0) &\leq 0, \quad \bar{M}(0, t) = 0, \quad \bar{M}(R, t) \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Thus the maximum principle yields

$$\bar{M}(r, t) = M_2(r, t) - Cr^2 \leq 0$$

and, hence, $M_2(r, t) = 2\pi \int_0^r p\rho d\rho \leq Cr^2$. Using regularity theory for parabolic equations (see [5]), we then obtain the bound $p = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial M_2}{\partial r} \leq C$, for a suitable constant $C > 0$. \square

The last theorem will allow us to simplify system (5) and apply the moments technique (see, e.g. [6–8]) to prove blow-up. This final result shows that in the radial case condition (2) for blow-up can be improved and even more it shows that conditions (4) for global existence (2) are optimal.

Theorem 5 (Finite Time of Existence for p). *Suppose that the initial data $n_0, p_0 \in L^2_s(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ are positive radially symmetric functions and that the inequality $n(r, 0) \geq p(r, 0)$ is satisfied. If*

$$\frac{\theta_1}{2\pi}(8\pi - \theta_1) + Cm_1(0) < 0, \tag{13}$$

where

$$\theta_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n_0 dx \quad \theta_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_0 dx,$$

is fulfilled, then we have $T_{\max} < \infty$, where T_{\max} is the maximum time of existence of solution n .

Proof. Let $m_1(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 n(x, t) dx$. Multiplying the first equation of (1) by $|x|^2$ and integrating the resulting relation over \mathbb{R}^2 , we obtain

$$\partial_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n|x|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 \Delta n dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 \nabla \cdot (n \nabla \psi) dx. \tag{14}$$

From Green’s identity we get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n|x|^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\Delta |x|^2) n dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 \nabla \cdot (n \nabla \psi) dx = 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla(|x|^2) \cdot (n \nabla \psi) dx \\ &= 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n(x \cdot \nabla \psi) dx. \end{aligned}$$

From $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} = \frac{M_2 - M_1}{2\pi r}$ and the identity for radial symmetric functions $x \cdot \nabla \psi = r \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n(x \cdot \nabla \psi) dx &= 2\pi \int_0^\infty nr \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} r dr = 2\pi \int_0^\infty n \left(\frac{M_2 - M_1}{2\pi} \right) r dr \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty M_2 n r dr - \int_0^\infty M_1 n r dr. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

From Theorem 4 we know that p is bounded. Thus we obtain the estimate $M_2 \leq cr^2$. It follows from (15) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n(x \cdot \nabla \psi) dx &\leq C \int_0^\infty nr^3 dr - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty M_1 \frac{\partial M_1}{\partial r} dr \\ &= \frac{C}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n|x|^2 dx - \frac{1}{4\pi} \theta_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

From (14) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} m_1(t) &\leq 4\theta_1 + 2 \left(-\frac{1}{4\pi} \theta_1^2 \right) + Cm_1(t) \\ &= 4\theta_1 - \frac{1}{2\pi} \theta_1^2 + Cm_1(t) \\ &= \frac{\theta_1}{2\pi} (8\pi - \theta_1) + Cm_1(t). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose

$$\frac{\theta_1}{2\pi} (8\pi - \theta_1) + Cm_1(0) < 0.$$

In consequence,

$$0 \leq m_1(t) < m_1(0) + \left(\frac{\theta_1}{2\pi} (8\pi - \theta_1) + Cm_1(0) \right) t.$$

Thus there exists $T_0 \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$m_1(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow T_0.$$

Therefore $T_{\max} \leq T_0 < \infty$. \square

In a similar way we obtain the following result.

Theorem 6 (Finite Time of Existence for n). Suppose that the initial data n_0 and $p_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and

$$p(r, 0) \geq n(r, 0).$$

If

$$\frac{\theta_2}{2\pi}(8\pi - \theta_2) + Cm_2(0) < 0, \tag{16}$$

where

$$\theta_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n_0 dx \quad \theta_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_0 dx,$$

is fulfilled, then we have $T_{\max} < \infty$, where T_{\max} is the maximum time of existence of solution p .

Theorems 5 and 6 show that if θ_1 and θ_2 are arbitrary positive parameters satisfying

$$\theta_1 > 8\pi \quad \text{or} \quad \theta_2 > 8\pi,$$

then we can construct initial data n_0 and p_0 such that

$$\theta_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n_0 dx, \quad \theta_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p_0 dx,$$

and system (1) blows up. For example, take n_0, p_0 satisfying (6) with $\theta_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n_0 dx > 8\pi$ together with an initial moment $m_1(0)$ small enough such that inequality (13) holds, then Theorem 5 implies blow-up for system (1). Consequently, the optimal blow-up region should be the square found by Kurokiba et al. in [2].

References

- [1] M. Kurokiba, T. Ogawa, Finite time blow-up of the solution for a nonlinear parabolic equation of drift-diffusion type, *Differ. Integral Eqns.* 4 (2003) 427–452.
- [2] M. Kurokiba, T. Nagai, T. Ogawa, The uniform boundedness and threshold for the global existence of the radial solution to a drift-diffusion system, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* 5 (2006) 97–106.
- [3] E. Espejo, A. Stevens, J.J.L. Velázquez, A note on non-simultaneous blow-up for a drift-diffusion model, *Differ. Integral Eqns.* 23 (2010) 451–462.
- [4] C. Conca, E. Espejo, K. Vilches, Remarks on the blowup and global existence for a two species chemotactic Keller–Segel system in \mathbb{R}^2 , *European J. Appl. Math.* (2011) doi:10.1017/S0956792511000258. Available on CJO 2011.
- [5] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, V.A. Solonnikov, N.N. Uraltseva, *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of the Parabolic Type*, Nauka, Moscow, 1967.
- [6] A. Blanchet, J. Dolbeault, B. Perthame, Two-dimensional Keller–Segel model: optimal critical mass and qualitative properties of the solutions, *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* 44 (2006) 1–32.
- [7] P. Biler, Local and global solvability of some parabolic systems modelling chemotaxis, *Adv. Math. Sci. Appl.* 8 (1998) 715–743.
- [8] T. Nagai, Blow-up of radially symmetric solutions to a chemotaxis system, *Adv. Math. Sci. Appl.* 5 (1995) 581–601.