Phylogenetic Relationships of the *Spinolai* Complex and Other Triatomini Based on Mitochondrial DNA Sequences (Hemiptera: Reduviidae)

R. Campos,¹ C. Botto-Mahan,² X. Coronado,¹ S.S. Catala,³ and A. Solari¹

Abstract

In this study, we performed a phylogenetic analysis of 35 species of the tribe Triatomini by means of available 16S ribosomal DNA and cytochrome *b* (*Cyt b*) gene sequence data, adding taxa of the *spinolai* complex, to clarify phylogenetic relationships of this complex and related triatomines. The phylogenetic analysis suggests a monophyletic clustering of the *spinolai* complex related to the South American species of triatomines.

Key Words: Triatominae vectors—Spinolai complex—Phylogeny—Cytochrome b gene.

Introduction

WITHIN THE SUBFAMILY TRIATOMINAE (Hemiptera: Re-duviidae), the genus *Mepraia* is endemic from the semiarid and arid regions of northern and central Chile. It is mainly found amongst rock piles, but occasionally it enters and colonizes domestic and peridomestic habitats (Frías et al. 1998). This genus was first described with the inclusion of Triatoma spinolai Porter 1934, as Mepraia spinolai by Mazza et al. (1940), synonymized by Lent and Wydogzinsky (1979), but then revalidated by Lent et al. (1994). Lent and Wygodzinsky (1979) described the spinolai complex as a taxonomic group composed of Triatoma eratyrusiformis Del Ponte 1929, Triatoma breyeri Del Ponte 1929, and Triatoma (Mepraia) spinolai Porter 1934. T. eratyrusiformis and T. breyeri occur in Argentina, and are geographically separated from spinolai by the Andean Range (Lent and Wygodzinsky 1979). Carcavallo et al. (2000) described the breyeri complex formed by breyeri and *eratyrusiformis*, as a group related to the T. (M.) spinolai, while Hypsa et al. (2002), on the basis of molecular studies using 16S rDNA, postulated that this complex should be assigned to the Mepraia genus. Recently, Schofield and Galvão (2009) indicated that is necessary to establish the relationships within the spinolai complex to decide the inclusion or exclusion of Mepraia from the genus Triatoma.

Until 1998, *Mepraia spinolai* was the only species of the genus, distributed in coastal and interior valleys from Chile between parallels 18° and 34° S (Lent and Wygodzinsky 1979). However, on the basis of karyotype, morphological characters, and experimental crosses, coastal desert populations between parallels 18° and 26° S were ranked as a new species named Mepraia gajardoi (Frías et al. 1998). The remaining populations, from 26° to 34° S, distributed in the interior mountains from the Atacama to the Metropolitan Regions, maintain the name M. spinolai (Frías et al. 1998). Recently, genetic studies using nuclear and mitochondrial markers on Mepraia sp. populations suggest the possible existence of introgression due to past hybridization events or the retention of ancestral polymorphisms, in the geographical intersection zone between these two taxa (Calleros et al. 2010). The goal of our study is to clarify the systematic uncertainties of Mepraia sp. and the Argentinan T. eratyrusiformis and T. breyeri. To this end, we constructed a phylogeny of Triatominae species belonging to the Triatomini tribe (Lent and Wygodzinsky 1979) by means of currently available mitochondrial gene sequence data of 16S ribosomal DNA and cytochrome b (Cyt b), adding the missing sequence data of the spinolai complex.

Materials and Methods

Insect collection

Collection of *M. gajardoi* specimens was carried in the locality of Caleta Vitor (Arica and Parinacota Region, 18° 45′45″ S, 70° 20′34″ W), while the *M. spinolai* were collected in the locality of Til Til (Metropolitan Region, 33° 06′19″ S, 70° 55′53″

¹Programa de Biología Celular y Molecular, ICBM, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile.

²Departamento de Ciencias Ecológicas, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile.

³Centro Regional de Investigaciones Científicas y Transferencia Tecnológica de La Rioja, Anillaco, La Rioja, Argentina.

W). In addition, we obtained *Triatoma eratyrusiformis* specimens from Salinas de Bustos ($30^{\circ} 15'50''$ S, $67^{\circ} 36'23''$ W), and *Triatoma breyeri* from Patquia Viejo ($30^{\circ} 2'36''$ S, $66^{\circ} 52'46''$ W). Both locations belong to Department of Independencia, Province of La Rioja, Argentina. Insects were collected manually; then they were dissected in the laboratory and their legs were kept in 70% ethanol at -20° C.

Mitochondrial DNA extraction, amplification, and sequence alignment

For DNA extraction, legs from each specimen were cut and macerated in an Eppendorf tube and incubated overnight with protease K, followed by DNA extraction with the EZNA Tissue DNA[®] kit according to manufacturer's instructions. A 663-bp fragment of the mitochondrial Cyt b gene was amplified via PCR using the primers and conditions as described (Monteiro et al. 2003). Verification of successful amplification was assessed by agarose gel electrophoresis. The amplified fragment was sequenced using the PCR primers in an internal service information http://www.macrogem.com/. Sequences were edited using the programs Bioedit 7.0.8.0 (Hall 1999) and aligned using ClustalW as implemented in Bioedit (Hall 1999). After alignment, sites that showed nucleotide substitutions were re-examined by visual inspection of each individual fluorogram data. We obtained 4 sequences that represent the 4 taxa of the spinolai complex, GenBank accession numbers JN102358-JN102361. The accession numbers of available mitochondrial gene sequence are shown in Table 1. All the 16S and Cyt b gene sequences obtained were concatenated manually. Unknown sequences in some taxa were filled in the matrix with missing data symbols following (Wiens and Morrill 2011).

Phylogenetic analyses

Maximum likelihood (ML) and maximum parsimony (MP) algorithms were used to infer phylogenetic trees. ML analysis was inferred using the online platform PhyML 3.0 (Guindon and Gascuel 2003). The best-fitting model of nucleotide substitution was selected using the Akaike information criterion implemented in the program JmodelTest 0.1.1 (Posada 2008). These results gave a best fit for the GTR+G (G=0.2060, -lnL=8298.59467) nucleotide substitution model. Nodal supports were estimated by the bootstrap method (Felsenstein 1985) with 1000 replicates using PhyML 3.0 (Guindon and Gascuel 2003). MP analyses were performed using PAUP* 4.0b10 (Swofford 2003) with the heuristic search option, TBR algorithm, and 1000 replicates for the bootstrap method (Felsenstein 1985). Trees were visualized using the FigTree v1.1.2 program, available at http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/ software/figtree/. Tiarodes venenatus and Tapeinus fuscipennis (Hemiptera; Reduviidae) were used as outgroups, on the basis of their phylogenetic relationships with the Triatomini tribe (de Paula et al. 2005).

Results and Discussion

The phylogenetic analysis showed a clustering for the members of the *spinolai* complex, with support of 69% for this node (Fig. 1), which is marginally significant according to Hillis and Bull (1993). Within the complex, *M. gajardoi* and *M. spinolai* show a close relationship with significant nodal

TABLE 1. ACCESSION NUMBERS OF SEQUENCES
Used in This Analysis

Taxa	16S rDNA	Cyt b
Outgroup		
Reduviinae		
Tapeinus fuscipennis	AY127044	
Tiarodes venenatus	AY127045	
Ingroup		
Triatomini		
Triatoma dimidiata	AY035448	FN641817
Triatoma pallidipennis	AF045697	DQ198814
Triatoma picturata	AY035447	DQ198817
Triatoma phyllosoma		DQ198818
Triatoma mazzottii	AY035446	DQ198816
Triatoma longipennis		DQ198815
Triatoma recurva	FJ230417	DQ198813
Triatoma sanguisuga	AF045696	HQ141306
Triatoma nitida	AF045702	AF045723
Triatoma rubida	AY185842	DQ198811
Triatoma protracta	AY035444	AF045727
Dipetalogaster maxima	AY035442	AF045728
Triatoma matogrossensis	AY035454	
Triatoma garciabesi	AY035455	
Triatoma sordida	AF021209	AF045730
Triatoma guazu	AY035457	
Triatoma williami	AY035458	
Triatoma pseudomaculata	AY035461	
Triatoma klugi	AY035463	
Triatoma rubrovaria	AF021203	GQ398000
Triatoma guasayana	AF021192	
Triatoma patagonica	AY035464	
Triatoma circummaculata	AF021188	
Triatoma brasiliensis	AF021183	AY494164
Triatoma maculata	AY035465	
Triatoma platensis	AF021201	
Triatoma infestans	AF021198	AY062165
Triatoma delpontei	AF028745	HQ333240
Triatoma tibiamaculata	AY035453	
Panstrongylus megistus	AF021177	AF045722
Panstrongylus herreri	AY035452	
Mepraia spinolai	AY035467	JN102358
Mepraia gajardoi		JN102359
Triatoma eratyrusiformis	AY035466	JN102360
Triatoma breyeri		JN102361

support values, close to *T. breyeri* and *T. eratyrusiformis*. Considering this phylogeny, we suggest that the species of the *spinolai* complex form a monophyletic group and that *Mepraia* corresponds to a clearly established genus and the phylogenetic relationship between *T. eratyrusiformis* and *T. breyeri* with *M. spinolai* and *M. gajardoi* reframes the debate to include these species in a single genus as proposed (Hypsa et al. 2002). According to our phylogeny, it would not be appropriate to synonymize *Mepraia* with *Triatoma* because a clear node supporting the genus *Triatoma* is lacking. In fact, species from the genera *Panstrongylus* and *Dipetalogaster* are also included within the genus *Triatoma*, suggesting a polyphyletic origin. However, it is necessary to include more gene sequence data from other species of the genus *Triatoma* to perform a more complete phylogenetic analysis.

The detected clustering of the *spinolai* complex members agrees with the classical studies proposed by morphological studies (Lent and Wygodzinsky 1979, Moreno et al. 2006).



FIG.1. Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic tree of Triatomini species based on 16S ribosomal DNA and *Cyt b* concatenated gene sequences, inferred with the model GTR+G (G=0.2060, -lnL=8298.59467). Numbers above the branch are the ML support values derived from bootstrap resampling with 1000 replicates and numbers under the branches are parsimony bootstrap values. Gray branches indicate the spinolai complex members. Moreno et al. (2006) compared the phenotype of the antennae among the species of the *spinolai* complex and found that *Mepraia* species and *T. eratyrusiformis* shared similarities in bristles and receptors that are not present in *T. breyeri*. In the same vein, it has been reported that *T. eratyrusiformis* shows multiple sex chromosomes like *spinolai* and *gajardoi*, but unlike most other South American Triatoma species (Panzera et al. 1998). It is necessary to complete the information provided by cytogenetic studies to have the whole scenario of the relationships of *spinolai* complex.

The monophyletic relationships between the taxa of the spinolai complex suggest that the Argentinian T. breyeri and T. eratyrusiformis could have had a common ancestor with the Mepraia genus. It has been proposed that the species of spinolai complex were separated with the uplifting of the Andes mountains during the Miocene in the Tertiary geological era about 20 million years ago (Moreno et al. 2006). It would be interesting to perform studies of the most recent common ancestor to estimate dates of divergence among the taxa to be compared with geological events. The spinolai complex appears related to South American species of triatomines. The relationship between M. spinolai and T. eratyrusiformis with South American species of the infestans complex were previously reported (Hypsa et al. 2002, de Paula et al. 2005). Interestingly, North American species seems to form a distictive group from South American species, even though not supported by high bootstrap values, which agrees with de Paula et al. (2005). This topology could be explained by the polyphyly of the Triatomini and the radiation pattern of these species (Schofield and Galvão 2009).

In conclusion, our phylogenetic evidence suggests that all the members of the *spinolai* complex constitute a monophyletic group corresponding to an established genus.

Acknowledgments

We thank Nicanor Villarroel (Universidad de Chile, Chile) and Natalia Folguera (CRILAR, Argentina) for laboratory assistance. Financial support was obtained from FONDECYT 1085154 (AS). Partial support was obtained from PBCT/PSD-66 and FONDECYT 11090086 (CBM). R Campos was supported by a CONICYT–PhD fellowship. S Catala is a member of CONICET, Argentina.

Author Disclosure Statement

No conflicts of interest are declared.

References

- Calleros L, Panzera F, Bargues MD, Monteiro FA, et al. Systematics of *Mepraia* (Hemiptera-Reduviidae): Cytogenetic and molecular variation. Infect Genet Evol 2010; 10:221–228.
- Carcavallo R, Jurberg J, Lent H, Noireau F, et al. Phylogeny of the Triatominae (Hemiptera: Reduviidae) proposals for taxonomic arrangement. Entomol Vect 2000; 1:1–86.
- de Paula A, Diotaiuti L, Schofield C. Testing the sister-group relationship of the Rhodniini and Triatomini (Insecta: Hemiptera: Reduviidae: Triatominae). Mol Phylogenet Evol 2005; 35:712–718.

- Felsenstein J. Confidence limits on phylogenies: An approach using the bootstrap. Evolution 1985; 39:783–791.
- Frías DA, Henry AA, González CR. Mepraia gajardoi: A new species of Triatominae (Hemiptera: Reduviidae) from Chile and its comparison with Mepraia spinolai. Rev Chil Hist Nat 1998; 71:177–188.
- Guindon S, Gascuel O. A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by maximum likelihood. Sys Biol 2003; 52:696–704.
- Hall T. BioEdit: A user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucl Acids Symp Ser 1999; 41:95–98.
- Hillis DM, Bull JJ. An empirical-test of bootstrapping as a method for assessing confidence in phylogenetic analysis. Syst Biol 1993; 42:182–192.
- Hypsa V, Tietz D, Zrzavy J, Rego R, et al. Phylogeny and biogeography of Triatominae (Hemiptera: Reduviidae): Molecular evidence of a New World origin of the Asiatic clade. Mol Phylogenet Evol 2002; 23:447–457.
- Lent H, Wygodzinsky P. Revision of the Triatominae (Hemiptera, Reduviidae), and their significance as vectors of Chagas disease. Bull Am Mus Nat Hist 1979; 163:123–520.
- Lent, H, Jurberg, J, Galvão, C. Revalidação do gênero *Mepraia* Mazza, Gajardo and Jörg, 1940 (Hemiptera, Reduviidae, Triatominae). Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 1994; 89:347–352.
- Mazza, S, Gajardo, R, Jörg, M. Mepraia novum genus de Triatominae. Mepraia spinolai (Porter) 1933, redescripción del macho y descripción de la hembra. MEPRA Publicación 1940; 44:3–30.
- Monteiro, FA, Barrett, T, Fitzpatrick, S, Cordon-Rosales, C, et al. Molecular phylogeography of the Amazonian Chagas disease vectors *Rhodnius prolixus* and *R. robustus*. Mol Ecol 2003; 12:997–1006.
- Moreno, ML, Gorla, D, Catalá, S. Association between antennal phenotype, wing polymorphism and sex in the genus *Mepraia* (Reduviidae: Triatominae). Infect Genet Evol 2006; 3:228–234.
- Panzera, F, Scvortzoff, E, Perez, R, Panzera, Y. Cytogenetics of Triatomines. In: Atlas of Chagas Disease Vectors in the Americas, vol. II. Rio de Janeiro: Editora FIOCRUZ, 1998:621–664.
- Posada, D. jModelTest: Phylogenetic model averaging. Mol Biol Evol 2008; 25:1253–1256.
- Schofield, CJ. Galvão, C. Classification, evolution, and species groups within the Triatominae. Acta Trop 2009; 110: 88–100.
- Swofford, DL. PAUP. *Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (and Other Methods)*. Sunderland, Massachusetts: Sinauer, 2003.
- Wiens, JJ, Morrill, MC. Missing data in phylogenetic analysis: reconciling results from simulations and empirical data. Syst Biol 2011; 60:1–13.

Address correspondence to: Aldo Solari Programa de Biología Celular y Molecular Instituto de Ciencias Biomedicas Facultad de Medicina Universidad de Chile Casilla 70086 Santiago 7 Chile

E-mail: asolari@med.uchile.cl