



UNIVERSIDAD DE CHILE
FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS FÍSICAS Y MATEMÁTICAS
DEPARTAMENTO DE INGENIERÍA ELÉCTRICA

REDUCED BIAS CONTROL ELECTRONICS FOR SIS MIXERS IN LARGE-FORMAT
HETERODYNE ARRAY RECEIVERS

TESIS PARA OPTAR AL GRADO DE
MAGISTER EN CIENCIAS DE LA INGENIERÍA MENCIÓN ELÉCTRICA

PABLO ANDRÉS TAPIA UGARTE

PROFESOR GUÍA:
PATRICIO MENA MENA

PROFESOR COGUÍA:
URS GRAF

MIEMBROS DE LA COMISIÓN:
MARCOS DÍAZ QUEZADA
KARL JACOBS

SANTIAGO DE CHILE

2015

RESUMEN DE LA TESIS PARA OPTAR AL GRADO DE:
MAGISTER EN CIENCIAS DE LA INGENIERÍA MENCIÓN ELÉCTRICA
POR: PABLO ANDRÉS TAPIA UGARTE
FECHA: 2015
PROF. GUÍA: PATRICIO MENA MENA

REDUCED BIAS CONTROL ELECTRONICS FOR SIS MIXERS IN LARGE-FORMAT HETERODYNE ARRAY RECEIVERS

The upcoming construction of Cerro Chajnantor Atacama Telescope (CCAT) will bring enormous challenges to astronomical instrumentation. Included in this challenges is the CCAT Heterodyne Array Instrument (CHAI), a modular, dual-frequency band array high-spectral resolution receiver. This is intended to be one of the first heterodyne array receiver with 64 (baseline) and 128 pixels (goal), substantially larger than any of the heterodyne array instruments currently in operation. However, large format heterodyne array receivers can only be built if it is possible to massively reduce the complexity of each receiver pixel.

This thesis presents work done in developing a reduced bias-control electronics for SIS-mixers in large-format heterodyne array receivers. The main subject of the thesis is to program the microprocessor of a single-channel prototype of the bias-control board to interact with the mixer, and then test its functionality in a laboratory set-up.

The software was successfully programmed and it was first tested on a dummy set-up to ensure a safe interaction with the mixer. Then it was tested that is possible to supply the high precision mixer-bias voltage and the current for the magnetic field coils to suppress the Josephson effect in the mixer. Another specific functions as sweeping the mixer-bias voltage or to enabling the mixer connection were also tested. The instrument control PC can remotely control all the previous parameters and functions along with the monitoring of the actual current and voltage of the mixer.

The fundamental role of the electronic time constant of the board, is presented together with an analysis in terms of the distortion of the current-voltage curve of the mixer and its influence in the electronic noise. The results show, anyway, that the distortion and electronic noise are within a very good range due to the sector of the curve that is expected to tune the mixer.

In conclusion, the software programmed on the microprocessor creates a base of instructions that allows the user to make use of the bias-control board to safely interact with the mixer. This interaction permits him to perform a manual (but remotely controlled) tuning of the mixer, obtaining the same results as the current process. This represents a big step forward in reducing the hardware complexity of the bias-control system which is key for the development of large-format heterodyne receivers.

RESUMEN DE LA TESIS PARA OPTAR AL GRADO DE:
MAGISTER EN CIENCIAS DE LA INGENIERÍA MENCIÓN ELÉCTRICA
POR: PABLO ANDRÉS TAPIA UGARTE
FECHA: 2015
PROF. GUÍA: PATRICIO MENA MENA

REDUCED BIAS CONTROL ELECTRONICS FOR SIS MIXERS IN LARGE-FORMAT
HETERODYNE ARRAY RECEIVERS

La próxima construcción del radiotelescopio *Cerro Chajnantor Atacama Telescope* (CCAT) traerá enormes desafíos para la instrumentación astronómica. Dentro de estos desafíos se encuentra el *CCAT Heterodyne Array Receiver*, un arreglo modular de receptores heterodinos de doble banda con alta resolución espectral. Este se espera sea el primer arreglo de receptores heterodinos con 64 (base) y 128 pixeles (meta), substancialmente mas grande que cualquier arreglo de este tipo actualmente en operación. Sin embargo, estos receptores heterodinos de gran escala solo pueden ser construidos si es posible reducir considerablemente la complejidad de cada pixel.

Esta tesis presenta el trabajo realizado en el desarrollo compacto de la electrónica de control de polarización para mezcladores de juntura *Superconductor-Insulator-Superconductor* (SIS) en arreglos heterodinos de gran escala. El objetivo principal de esta tesis corresponde a la programación del microprocesador, en un prototipo de canal singular, de la tarjeta de control de polarización para interactuar con el mezclador, y luego probar su funcionamiento en un setup de laboratorio.

El software fue exitósamente programado y fue primero probado en un sistema de prueba para asegurar una interacción sin riesgos con el mezclador. Luego se probó experimentalmente que fue posible suministrar el voltaje de ajuste de alta precisión del mezclador y la corriente del las bobinas de campo magnético para suprimir los efectos de Josephson en el mezclador. Otras funciones específicas como el barrido de voltaje en el mezclador o la habilitación de la conexión con el mezclador también fueron testeadas. El PC de control es capaz de controlar todos estos parámetros y funciones junto con el monitoreo de la corriente y voltaje reales en el mezclador.

El rol fundamental de la constante de tiempo electrónica de la tarjeta es presentado en conjunto con un análisis en términos de la distorsión de la curva de voltaje-corriente del mezclador y su influencia en el ruido electrónico. Los resultados muestran, de todas formas, que tanto la distorsión como el ruido se encuentran dentro de un rango adecuado debido al sector donde se espera ajustar el voltaje del mezclador.

En conclusión, el software programado en el microprocesador crea una base de instrucciones que permiten al usuario hacer uso de la tarjeta de control de polarización para interactuar con el mezclador de manera segura. Esta interacción le permite realizar una calibración manual (aunque controlada remotamente) del mezclador, obteniendo los mismos resultados que el proceso actual. Esto representa un gran paso adelante en la reducción de la complejidad de hardware del sistema de control de polarización, siendo esto clave para el desarrollo de arreglo de receptores heterodinos de gran escala.

Dedicado a mis amados padres, Marcela Ugarte Varas y Carlos Tapia Vera.

*Este Magister fue financiado por la Comisión Nacional de Investigación Científica y Tecnológica de Chile.
CONICYT-PCHA/MagísterNacional/2014-22141808*

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 4 |
| 1.1 | Objectives | 5 |
| 1.1.1 | General Objective | 5 |
| 1.1.2 | Specific Objectives | 5 |
| 1.2 | Thesis Structure | 5 |
| 2 | Background Concepts | 6 |
| 2.1 | SIS Mixers | 6 |
| 2.1.1 | Description | 6 |
| 2.1.2 | Photon-assisted Quasi-particle Tunneling | 7 |
| 2.1.3 | Josephson Effect | 8 |
| 2.2 | Bias-control System in SIS-array Receivers | 9 |
| 2.3 | Tuning of SMART | 11 |
| 3 | Microprocessor Programming | 13 |
| 3.1 | Setting Up the System | 13 |
| 3.1.1 | Initial Set-up | 13 |
| 3.1.2 | Design Improvement | 13 |
| 3.2 | Software Architecture | 14 |
| 3.3 | Description of Important Functions | 15 |
| 3.3.1 | Set Mixer Bias Voltage Function | 15 |

| | | |
|----------|--|------------|
| 3.3.2 | Enable Mixer Function | 16 |
| 3.3.3 | Sweep Functions | 16 |
| 4 | Laboratory Testing | 19 |
| 4.1 | Dummy Set-up | 19 |
| 4.2 | Mixer Set Up | 21 |
| 4.3 | Performance Analysis | 22 |
| 4.3.1 | Time Constant | 22 |
| 4.3.2 | IV-Curve Distortion | 25 |
| 4.3.3 | Actual Distortion on the IV Curve | 26 |
| 5 | Summary and Conclusion | 27 |
| 5.1 | Results Summary | 28 |
| 5.1.1 | Scaling Factor | 28 |
| 5.1.2 | Time Constant | 28 |
| 5.1.3 | Final IV Curve of the Mixer | 29 |
| | Bibliografía | 30 |
| | Annex | III |
| A | Microprocessor Programming | III |
| A.1 | Hexadecimal Command List | III |
| B | Laboratory Testing | V |
| B.1 | Dummy Set-Up Value Response | V |
| B.2 | IV Curves for the Mixer Set-Up | V |
| B.2.1 | Initial IV Curve | V |
| B.2.2 | IV Curve with Magnetic Field Effect | V |
| B.2.3 | Effect of the Local Oscillator on the IV Curve | V |

| | | |
|----------|--|-------------|
| B.2.4 | Oscilloscope Screenshot for the Final IV Curve | V |
| C | Performance Analysis | VIII |
| C.1 | Method to Obtain the Time Constant | VIII |
| C.2 | Linear Fit Over Experimental Values of the Time Constant | IX |
| C.3 | Curve Shape Distortion Simulation | X |

Chapter 1

Introduction

The Cerro Chajnantor Atacama Telescope (CCAT) will be a 25-meter telescope for submillimeter astronomy located at 5,600 m altitude on Cerro Chajnantor in northern Chile. The upcoming construction of CCAT will bring enormous challenges to astronomical instrumentation. CCAT combines high sensitivity, a wide field of view, and a broad wavelength range to provide an unprecedented capability for deep, large-area, multicolor submillimeter surveys. Included in the instrumentation needed for this project is the CCAT Heterodyne Array Instrument (CHAI), a modular, dual-frequency band array high-spectral resolution receiver. This is intended to be one of the first heterodyne array receiver with 64 (baseline) and 128 pixels (goal), substantially larger than any of the heterodyne array instruments currently in operation¹ [3] [4].

Large format heterodyne array receivers can only be built if it is possible to massively reduce the complexity of each receiver pixel. In this context the University of Cologne decided to develop a design for the SIS-mixer bias-control electronics, which will be suitable for focal plane arrays of 100 pixels or more. The immediate goal is to build a 16-pixel prototype receiver before the construction of CHAI. For each receiver pixel, the bias-control electronics supply the high-precision mixer bias voltage, the current for the magnetic field coils to suppress the Josephson effect in the SIS-mixers, and the voltage for the low-noise amplifiers. All these parameters can be controlled remotely from the instrument control PC. The approach of this work relies heavily on the usage of microprocessors in order to reduce the hardware complexity and replace it by software complexity. The latter is more easily modifiable and can be reproduced more reliably. An a-priori arbitrary number of microprocessors can work in parallel, which makes this approach scalable to very large pixel numbers.

¹ SuperCam is a 64 pixel heterodyne array receiver that, according to the last publication in 2010 [1] [2], is undergoing laboratory testing with four of the eight mixer array modules installed in the cryostat (32 pixels).

Four identical boards will work autonomously in parallel under the supervision of a fifth microprocessor, which provides fast Ethernet communication. Each prototype bias-control board can operate four pixels. The array receiver must be capable to tuning itself automatically. For this process each microprocessor will run a software to gather its mixer information. Then, the fifth processor and the control PC will make an analysis of the data and take a decision over the final mixer bias voltage. A first version of the prototype bias-control board has been designed and manufactured at the University of Cologne. After lab testing, this prototype will be deployed for field testing in the existing Sub-Milimeter Array Receiver for Two frequencies (SMART) receiver at the NANTEN2 telescope on Pampa la Bola, Chile. As part of a collaboration between the Universities of Chile and Cologne, the aim of this thesis work is to program a single-channel prototype of the bias-control board to interact with the mixer and thus have a basic functioning module.

1.1 Objectives

1.1.1 General Objective

Program the microprocessor of a single-channel prototype of the bias-control board to interact with the mixer.

1.1.2 Specific Objectives

- Design and program the software of the microprocessor.
- Test the board in a laboratory set-up.

1.2 Thesis Structure

This thesis is structured as follows.

- **Chapter 2 - Background:** Summary of the necessary concepts to understand this thesis work.
- **Chapters 3 and 4 - Methods:** Description, developing and results of the programming and testing.
- **Chapter 5 - Conclusion:** Conclusion about the proposed objectives and summary of the obtained results.

Chapter 2

Background Concepts

2.1 SIS Mixers

2.1.1 Description

The Superconductor-Insulator-Superconductor (SIS) junction, also known as superconducting tunnel junction, is an electronic device consisting of two superconductors separated by a very thin layer of insulating material. The quantum mechanical nature of SIS tunnel junctions lies in charge carriers flowing through the junction via the quantum-tunneling process. Heterodyne mixers based on quasi (single)-particle tunneling are known as SIS mixers.

The theory of quantum limited detection in superconducting tunnel junctions was presented by Tucker in 1979 [5]. The important aspects of this theory are the prediction of quantum limited mixer noise and the possibility of RF-IF conversion gain, i.e. down conversion. The sharp non-linear IV curve of the tunnel junction is key for this latter phenomenon to occur and is also responsible of frequency mixing. When two signals with different frequencies are applied to the junction, the Intermediate Frequency (IF) is produced as one of the resulting combinations. This non-linearity is explained by the semiconductor model of the excitation spectrum in a superconductor [6].




Figure 2.1: Semiconductor picture of an SIS tunnel junction. **a)**: Density of states vs. energy for quasi-particle tunneling at the same energy level. **b)**: Photon assisted tunneling. Reference: [8] “Chapter 4: Fundamentals of SIS and HEB mixers”.

2.1.2 Photon-assisted Quasi-particle Tunneling

The microscopy theory of superconductivity, called the BSC theory, was formulated by Bardeen, Cooper and Schreiffer in 1957 [7]. In certain metals, due to phonon-mediated attraction, two electrons with opposite momentum and spin can form the so-called Cooper pairs. Low temperatures ($T \sim 0$ K) are favorable energy-wise for these pairs to condense into the lowest energy state, called ground state. In this state, a macroscopic number of pairs interact and move in a correlated way causing the superconductivity. According to the BCS theory, the minimum excitation energy of a quasi-particle (unpaired electron) excited from the ground state is Δ . Therefore, a minimum energy of 2Δ is required to break a cooper-pair and create two quasi-particles (quasi-electron and quasi-hole) with the same momentum but in opposite directions. This breaking energy is known as the superconducting energy gap.

The onset of quasi-particle tunneling (see Fig.2.1a) takes place at DC voltage levels of $eV_0 = 2\Delta$. At those levels there is enough energy to allow a single electron to tunnel through the barrier and enter to an available quasi-particle state above the gap, leaving an unpaired quasi-hole particle behind. In the photon-assisted tunneling (see Fig. 2.1b), however, the photon energy plus the DC voltage should be higher than 2Δ for electrons to be able to tunnel.




Figure 2.2: Semiconducting picture for an SIS tunnel junction. **a)**: Ideal high-current density AlN-barrier SIS I/V curve at 0 K **b)**: Real measured I/V curve. Reference: [8] “Chapter 4: Fundamentals of SIS and HEB mixers”.

Fig. 2.2a shows an ideal IV curve at 0 K. The sharp rise in current at the gap voltage correspond to the divergent density of states just above and below the gap. A real SIS junction at non-zero temperature will conduct some small leakage current when biased below the gap. Fig 2.2b shows a measured IV curve of a high current density SIS junction. The parameters that define the junction are gap voltage, sub-gap leakage current, gap smearing, and the critical current (I_c). This critical current is a constant determined by the superconducting energy gap and normal state resistance of the junction, and represents the maximum possible zero-voltage tunnel current that can be driven [8] [6].

2.1.3 Josephson Effect

The potential barrier between two superconductors also supports Cooper-pair tunneling. This phenomena was discovered by B. D. Josephson in 1962 [9]. The current induced by the cooper-pair (see Equation 2.1) depends on the phase difference ϕ of the superconducting waves functions across the barriers, and the critical current I_c (see Fig. 2.2).

$$I = I_c \sin(\phi). \quad (2.1)$$

If a constant voltage is applied to the junction, the phase difference varies in time following

$$\frac{d\phi}{dt} = \frac{2eV_0}{h}. \quad (2.2)$$




Figure 2.3: Hysteresis and statistical effects of the magnetic field scans. **Left):** Two magnetic field scans in different directions. **Right):** Magnetic field scans on different days. Reference: [11].

This implies an oscillating current at a frequency $\nu = 2eV_0/h$, known as the “AC-Josephson effect”. In an LO pumped mixer, this Josephson oscillations are excited at discrete voltages $S_n = nh\nu_{lo}/2e$ (with n an integer number), known as Shapiro steps [10]. Biasing on a Shapiro step results in a considerable amount of noise in the mixer with the subsequent unstable behavior of the receiver. However, the Cooper-pair tunneling can be suppressed using a magnetic field. This is because the critical current is a function of the magnetic field flux Φ that is applied to the junction. For rectangular junctions the critical current can be describe as follows

$$I_c = I_c(0) \left| \frac{\sin(x)}{x} \right|, \quad x = \frac{\pi\Phi}{\Phi_0}. \quad (2.3)$$

Fig. 2.3 shows the behavior of Josephson current (in terms of magnetic field flux) in the SIS-mixer of the SMART receiver. This experiment points out, nonetheless, that the magnetic field required to suppress the Josephson current is not always reproducible due to external and statistical effects.

2.2 Bias-control System in SIS-array Receivers

In the last decade, SIS array receivers have been installed on mm- and sub-mm telescopes by different universities and research centers. Starting with PoleStar [12] and SMART [13] in 2000, DesertStar [14] and HERA [15] in 2004, to the SuperCam [16] in 2010. However, the bias-control system has been developed under the same concepts of the early projects, the advances in other areas notwithstanding.




Figure 2.4: Photograph of the SMART electronics rack (left hand panel). The rack contains (from top to bottom): an oscilloscope, the magnet supply box, the mixer bias box, the IF processor box, two microwave synthesizers for the LO phase lock, the computer interface box, and the instrument control PC. Reference: [13].

Fig. 2.4 shows the bias electronics subsystems of KOSMA's array receiver (currently installed in NANTEN2). A control PC is connected to all of the other subsystems through a PC interface. This provides full control of the mixer's operating parameters through a terminal or Ethernet connection. The central units are the mixer magnet supply, the mixer bias box, and the IF processor. All of these units contain individual cards (one for each receiver channel) plus a control unit to select a channel, and to display and modify this channel's operating parameters. This bias-control electronic was designed for both manual and computer controlled operation. All the signals needed for the bias-control process have to pass the PC interface, where a switch controls whether or not the PC is connected to the subsystems. This approach made the process heavily dependent on specialized hardware [11] [13].

Even though this early approach was focused on scalability, the advances in digital electronics technology nowadays allow considerable differences in terms of implementation. Leaving aside the manual controlled operation and using microprocessor technology, it is possible to change hardware complexity into software complexity. This creates more integrated systems that are easy to modify and can be reproduced more reliably for a large amount of pixels.

This thesis work shows how the mixer magnet supply and mixer bias box can be replaced with microprocessor functionalities, obtaining the same results when measuring the IV curve.




Figure 2.5: Diagram of the receiver electronics consisting of: the magnetic field control; the mixer bias measurement and control system; and the IF-processor, in which the IF total-power measurement is integrated. The shaded boxes are computer controlled. Reference: [11].

2.3 Tuning of SMART

This section summarizes the important concepts of the tuning of the SMART array receiver, but focused only in one pixel. The discussion reviews the article of S. Stanko, U. Graf, and S. Heyminck 2002, Automatic Tuning of SMART, KOSMA's 490/810 GHz Array Receiver [11].

Although SMART is an array receiver, each of the channels has to be tuned individually. Fig. 2.5 shows the main elements of the electronics. All of these units contain individual cards (one for each receiver channel) plus a control unit to select a channel, and to display and modify this channel's operating parameters. This bias-control electronic was designed for both manual and computer controlled operation.

The mixer bias-control unit is the primary power supply for the junctions and their IV characteristics can be measured with this device. The magnetic field control generates the current to establish a magnetic field which is applied to the junction in order to suppress the Josephson current with its associated noise ¹.

¹Due to the variability of the Josephson current presented in Fig. 2.3, it is impractical to use algorithms based on lookup tables. The correct magnetic field strength must be calculated by measuring the IV curve or the conversion curve. One method presented by S. Stanko, U. Graf and S. Heyminck in 2002 [11], consists of an algorithm that evaluates magnetic fields scans over the IV curve and conversion curve, near to the Shapiro step.




Figure 2.6: Experimental IV-curve (with and without magnetic field) and conversion curve. Reference: [11].

The process to compute the proper bias point consist in the following steps² (see Fig. 2.6).

1. Perform a sweep of the mixer bias voltage (bias point from here) in order to obtain a real time IV-curve on the oscilloscope.
2. Increase the magnetic field to get a smooth IV-curve (i.e eliminate the Shapiro steps).
3. Set the bias point to approximately the middle part of the first bump step (see Fig. 2.6 near 37000 counts).
4. Increase the power of the local oscillator to get a reasonable bias current, approximately 1/4 of the knee current.
5. Fine tune of the magnetic field to eliminate the noise on the conversion curve related with the Josephson current.
6. Fine tune the local oscillator to get maximum response.
7. Set the bias point to an optimum value according to the conversion curve.

²For the starting point is assumed: mixer bias voltage = 0 mV, magnet field coil current = 0 mA, and local oscillator off.

Chapter 3

Microprocessor Programming

This chapter will describe the important aspects of this work related to the microprocessor programming. First we describe how the system was set-up. This includes a first iteration of the work and the resulting design improvements. Then we describe the software architecture refers to the high-level set of structures and their relationships. Finally, we describe two functions to show how the software system works.

3.1 Setting Up the System

3.1.1 Initial Set-up

As shown in Fig. 3.1.1 the system is composed of three main sections: control (A), electronics (B) and peripheral components (C). In the Control PC (1) the instructions are read and interpreted to be sent via serial port (RS232) to the microprocessor (2) in the electronic board. After the instructions arrive to the microprocessor, it will: control the access to the mixer (3) by enabling/disabling the relays (4); then configure the DAC (5) to set the objective voltages on the mixer, magnetic coil (6) and LNAs; finally configure the ADC (7) to read the voltages on the mixer and send back the readout information to the Control PC.

3.1.2 Design Improvement

An initial iteration of microprocessor programming and lab testing was needed for a stable version of the hardware to work with. In this process a dangerous voltage spike on the mixer terminal was detected when activating the connection relay. The amplitude of this spike was diminished by placing a solid state relay (8) (see Fig. 3.1.1), that takes the gain of the OpAmp to the minimum possible level before the connection occurs. This situation also motivated the creation of a specific series of instructions in order to safely enable the mixer (see Section 3.3.2).




Figure 3.1.1: General diagram of the control (A), electronics (B) and peripheral components (C) system set-up. The numbered modules correspond to Control PC (1), microprocessor (2), mixer (3), connection relays (4), Digital-Analog Converter (DAC) (5), magnetic coil (6), Analog-Digital Converter (ADC) (7), solid state relay (8), and low pass filter (9).




Figure 3.2.1: Architecture Diagram

3.2 Software Architecture

The software was written in C using the basic structure for AVR CPUs, but following a proper software architecture. As shown in Fig. 3.2.1 the software is composed of four layers: Control PC, Controllers, Components and the Hardware Access Layer (HAL).

- The Control PC is the layer that provides the interface for the communication of the user with the Controllers functions.
- The Controllers are high level functions that allow the user to manipulate the system in order to achieve certain objectives (e.g. make a sweep of the mixer bias voltage).
- The Components layer contains the modules to interact with the devices (mixer, LNAs & magnetic coil) through the HAL layer.
- The HAL is the lowest level layer in charge of the configuration and communication with the hardware.




Figure 3.3.1: Instructions sequence example of setting the mixer bias voltage.

3.3 Description of Important Functions

To illustrate the process two important instructions will be explained referring to the software architecture (see Fig. 3.2.1)¹.

3.3.1 Set Mixer Bias Voltage Function

This function is defined as SET_BIAS_VOLTAGE and aims to set up the bias voltage of the mixer in a specific value. As shown in Fig. 3.3.1, the process starts with a command typed in the Control PC with the code of the instruction and the desired value (seen in Fig. 3.3.1 as ‘2047’). After some technical steps, this value arrives to the mixer component module, and here it is stored in the variable `mixer_bias_value`. This module then call a function of the HAL to modify the bias voltage channel BIAS_CH to the recently stored value `mixer_bias_value`. After this last process ends a confirmation messages is sent back to the Control PC.

¹For the rest of the functions please refer to hexadecimal command list in Appendix A.1

3.3.2 Enable Mixer Function

Even though the bias voltage channel is modified with the instruction explained above, there is no real effect on the mixer. The latter needs a controlled series of steps to be safely connected with the electronic, so the change can take effect. This function is defined as `ENABLE_MIXER` and aims to minimize all the voltages before the connection in order to avoid or diminish any spike in the junction with the mixer. The instructions process can be seen in Fig. 3.3.2 and what occurs inside the mixer module can be described as follows.

1. Set the DAC channel of the mixer bias voltage (`BIAS_CH`) to the minimum voltage value (`BIAS_ZERO`). As the DAC is configured to function in the ± 2.5 V and has a resolution of 12 bits, the zero value is located at 2047 approximately.
2. Enable the relay `OPAMP` of the regulation OpAmp to take the gain of it to low.
3. Wait 20 ms for the relay to act.
4. Enable the relay `MIXER` that actually makes the connection between the mixer and the electronics.
5. Wait 20 ms for the relay to act.
6. Disable the relay `OPAMP` of the regulation OpAmp to take back the gain of it to high.
7. Set the DAC channel of the mixer bias voltage to the value that is stored in the `mixer_bias_value` variable.

3.3.3 Sweep Functions

These functions are used to see the actual behavior of the mixer and to gather information to the Control PC in order to make performance analysis. A short description of each of these functions are presented below.

- `START_BIAS_SWEEP`: Makes a continuous sweep of the mixer bias voltage between the minimum to the maximum value.
- `START_MAGNET_SWEEP`: Makes a continuous sweep of the magnet current bias voltage between the minimum to the maximum value.
- `STOP_SWEEP`: Stops the the bias/magnet sweep.
- `BIAS_SCAN`: Performs a single sweep of the mixer bias value from the minimum to the maximum value and back. After every change of mixer bias voltage value the actual mixer voltage and current are measured and sent to the Control PC.




Figure 3.3.2: Instructions sequence example of enabling the mixer connection.

- **MAGNET_SCAN:** Performs a single sweep of the magnet current from the minimum to the maximum value and back. After every change of magnet current value the actual mixer voltage and current are measured and sent to the Control PC.
- **BIAS_SCAN_SLOW:** Performs a single slow sweep of the mixer bias value from the minimum to the maximum value and back. After every change, a delay is included and then the actual mixer voltage and current are measured and sent to the Control PC.

The actual sweep functions (bias and magnet sweep) are used for diagnostic purposes and as an early version of the scan functions. In this stage of the project it is not possible to decide the final mixer bias voltage automatically. Therefore, it is useful to have a real time response when changing the magnet field or when connecting the local oscillator. For the results to be displayed in real time on the oscilloscope the sweep frequency should be near or less than 10-20 Hz. The scan functions, on the other hand, are now useful to gather information of the behavior for post-analysis of the performance. In the future, the goal is that these functions will gather information for the Control PC to analyze and then make the decision of the final bias voltage for the mixer. In this sense, scans can be slower but they should not take significantly more than one sec.

In relation with the sweep function, it was possible to set a time base of 10 ms (see Fig. B.2.4 in Appendix B.2.4) giving the user a real-time monitoring. The scan function, however, shows a measurement time of 1.4 s. Even though the time is considerably longer than the sweep function, is still between the acceptable range.

Chapter 4

Laboratory Testing

In this chapter we describe the software verification process by testing the board in two different environments, a dummy and a mixer set-up. The difference between both lies in whether the real mixer is or not connected to the electronic board. The first set-up checks the basic functionality of the software in a safe environment and the latter aims to obtain the IV curve of the real mixer, using just the programmed software. Finally, we made a performance analysis by measuring the time constant and evaluating its influence on the quality of the obtained data.

4.1 Dummy Set-up

In this set-up the peripheral components (see block C in Fig. 3.1.1) were replaced by a dummy board. The aim is to protect the mixer and take advantage of the simplicity of the set-up. The verification process consisted of the following stages.

1 Check the Communication The STATUS function was executed in order to see the firmware information of the microprocessor. With this function it was possible to check that the board was receiving instructions from the control PC.

2 Check the Response The SET_BIAS_VOLTAGE function made possible to set critical values and then use analog tools to measure the response. The MIXER_STATUS function was used to obtain the values measured digitally. This process can be summarized in Table 4.1 and the results were also used to check the following initial system considerations.

- The voltage on the mixer can not be higher than ± 10 mV.
- The DAC has a resolution of 12 bits in a ± 2.5 V voltage range.

Table 4.1: Analog and digital values of the mixer voltage and current, corresponding to different values of the DAC determined by the Control PC.

| DAC | | Mixer I | | ADC | | Mixer V | | ADC | |
|-------------------|----------|---------|---------|--------|------|---------|--------|-----|--|
| Value | Output V | I mA | Input V | Value | V mV | Input V | Value | | |
| 0 | -2.503 | +0.89 | -2.011 | -13203 | -8.7 | -2.492 | -16366 | | |
| 2054 ¹ | +0.0 | +0.0 | -0.012 | -98 | +0.0 | +0.0 | -140 | | |
| 4095 | +2.487 | -0.89 | +1.975 | +12925 | +8.6 | +2.476 | +16223 | | |

- The ADC has a resolution of 16 bits and is configured to work in a ± 5 V voltage range.

3 Enabling and Disabling the Mixer It was verified that there was no actual change in the mixer bias voltage unless the mixer was enabled, or analogously, after the disable function is used. However, it is important to note that the software variable, `mixer_bias_value`, could be modified regardless of the state of the mixer.

A tracing of the voltage of the mixer bias voltage was made using an oscilloscope. The objective was to recognize that there are no over-voltages in the complete process of enabling and disabling the mixer.

The results of both tests were satisfying. However, an actual spike was identified in the first iteration of this thesis work. This resulted in a redesign, explained in Section 3.1.2.

4 Check Sweep and Scan In this stage, the functions were tested to ensure they worked properly. The test consisted of the following steps.

1. Execute the `START_BIAS_SWEEP` instruction.
2. Use the oscilloscope to measure the analog values of the mixer voltage and current. Take a screenshot of the oscilloscope and store the data.
3. Execute the `STOP_SWEEP` instruction.
4. Start the scan with the `BIAS_SCAN` instruction.
5. Process the information received in the control PC and with the oscilloscope and compare them in a plot.

Although there is no record of the test in this set-up, an example of this comparison can be seen later in Fig. 4.2.3.

¹The value 2054 represented values closer to zero than 2047. The complete version of this measurement can be reviewed in Appendix B.1




Figure 4.2.1: Mixer set-up for laboratory testing.

4.2 Mixer Set Up

In this set-up the peripheral components (see block C in Fig. 3.1.1) corresponded to the real mixer. In order to achieve the superconductor state of the mixer, it was necessary to cool down the cryostat to 4 K. The aim of this stage was to test the software in a real environment, by obtaining the IV curves of the real mixer under different conditions. The verification process consisted of the following stages.

1 Check the Communication The STATUS function was executed in order to see the firmware information of the microprocessor. This was done to check that the board is receiving instructions from the Control PC.

2 Check the Response The SET_BIAS_VOLTAGE function made possible to set critical values and then use analog tools to measure the response. The MIXER_STATUS function was used to obtain the values measured digitally.

3 Obtain the IV Curve For this process the sweep instruction was needed to see the real time effects on the mixer. However, after each change of the conditions, the sweep was stopped momentarily to perform a scan to record the current situation. Those measurements are shown in the Appendix B.2 as example curves of each step, excluding the final IV curve.

3.1 Initial IV Curve for the Mixer This corresponds to the IV curve of the mixer without the effects of the local oscillator or the magnetic field (see Fig. B.2.1 of Appendix B.2).

3.2 IV Curve with Magnetic Field Effect As explained in Section 2.1.3, the magnetic field is used to diminish the Josephson effects. While a sweep of the voltage is running in the mixer, it is possible to execute the SET_MAGNET_CURRENT function to change the value of the magnetic field coils current. The change of the shape of the curve could be seen in real time. A smooth version of the initial IV curve can be seen as a result of this process (see Fig. B.2.2 of Appendix B.2).

3.3 Effect of the Local Oscillator on the IV Curve This corresponds to the IV curve under the same conditions of the initial curve but with the mixer lit by the local oscillator (see Fig. B.2.3 of Appendix B.2).

3.4 Final IV Curve for the Mixer Fig. 4.2.2 shows the IV curve of the mixer lit by the local oscillator, but with a specific value of the magnetic field coils current that minimize the Josephson effects. Fig. 4.2.3 shows a comparison between the scan measurement and the data gathered from the oscilloscope.

4.3 Performance Analysis

4.3.1 Time Constant

After every instruction sent by the HAL layer (see section 3.2), the electronic board responds as an exponential decrease function in the time. This function has the form of Eq. 4.3.1, where K_1 and K_2 are constants, t the time and τ the time constant.

$$f(t) = K_1 \cdot e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}} + K_2 \quad (4.3.1)$$




Figure 4.2.2: IV curve of the mixer lit by the local oscillator, and magnetic field effect.




Figure 4.2.3: Oscilloscope-PC comparison of the IV curve of the mixer, lit by the local oscillator, and magnetic field effect.

Table 4.2: Values of electronic board time constant for three different installed capacitors.

| Capacitor Value nF | Time Constant τ ms |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| 320 | 0.57 |
| 570 | 1.1 |
| 1350 | 2.33 |

The time constant τ is an intrinsic property of an electronic system related to the construction method and the time response of all its components. However is possible to increase the value of this constant by placing a low pass filter in the electronic board. This procedure is done in order to diminish the electric noise since the filter behaves as an integrator in the time domain. With this purpose, a low pass filter with a capacitor of 570 nF was installed in the electronic board²(see Fig. 3.1.1). Table 4.2 shows the value of the time constant for this and another two values of the capacitor³.

The values that were obtained for the time constant are the sum of the capacitor effect plus the intrinsic constant of the board. Assuming a linear dependence, is possible to model the final value of the time constant as the Eq. 4.3.2, where c is the value of the added capacitor, A the proportional constant and τ_0 the intrinsic value of the time constant for the electronic board. A linear fit over the values (see Appendix C.2) of the Table 4.2 returns: $A = 1.67727 \cdot 10^{-3}$ and $\tau_0 = 0.081$ ms.

$$\tau(c) = A \cdot c + \tau_0 \quad (4.3.2)$$

²The decision to install the capacitor was made by the electronic group who designed the electronic board.

³These values were obtained using the dummy set-up (see Appendix C.1).




Figure 4.3.1: IV curve simulation for different values of the time constant.

4.3.2 IV-Curve Distortion

Due to the decreasing exponential response of the electronic board, a delay appears in the shape of the measured curve when performing a sweep or a scan. This is because the instruction to go forward in any of the voltage steps occurs before the electronic board could reach the previously desired voltage value. To diminish this effect the average between the rise and decrease curve of the scan is taken. This led to the effects of the delay disappearing in some sectors and presenting a distortion of the shape in others. A simulation⁴ of the distortion effects in the IV curve for different values of the time constant τ can be seen in Fig. 4.3.1. The simulation was made using the exponential response of Equation 4.3.1 over a nominal curve⁵.

⁴The Appendix C.3 shows a comparison of the simulation with real measurements, using the dummy set-up, for different values of the time constant.

⁵This correspond to an undistorted nominal curve and was obtained using the BIAS_SCAN_SLOW function (see Section 3.3.3). The delay introduced between every voltage step is long enough to allow the electronic board reach the desired value before the next instruction. Therefore the distortion effects are considerable low.




Figure 4.3.2: Comparison between nominal and actual IV curve for the mixer set-up.

4.3.3 Actual Distortion on the IV Curve

In the comparison between the actual and nominal IV curve, it is possible to distinguish the distortion mentioned above (left inset in Fig. 4.3.2). Even though this effect is present in every steep change of the current, the area where it is supposed to place the bias voltage (see Section 2.3) does not show signs of this type of distortion. However, it does have an oscillation (right inset in Fig. 4.3.2). A possible explanation is a transient effect after the step changes of the current.

Chapter 5

Summary and Conclusion

In this thesis work we programmed the microprocessor of a prototype bias-control board to interact with an SIS mixer. The first part includes the system set-up, design, and programming of the software of the microprocessor. The second part is about the laboratory testing and performance analysis.

The system set up and first iteration of the programming allowed us to improve the design of the electronic board in relation to the safe interaction with the mixer. The software was successfully programmed, and its functionality was tested in two different environments: dummy and mixer set-up. The dummy set-up was used to test the functions of the software, meanwhile the mixer set-up showed that a real IV curve can be obtained with this prototype bias-control board.

In terms of performance, the time constant of the board plays a fundamental role. It has direct influence on the processing time of the board, the electronic noise in the measurements, and the distortion of the IV curve. A smaller time constant will imply a faster response and less distortion in the IV curve, but will also increase the electronic noise in the measurements. The current time constant of the board was adequately measured. It was possible to identify the intrinsic and the low pass filter contribution. Nevertheless, the input from the mixer still needs to be measured in order to know the value of the entire system. This latter value will help to perform more accurate simulations of the curve shape distortion, and a nominal curve in terms of electronic noise. By comparing this nominal curve with the actual curve, it will be possible to measure the electronic noise.

The comparison between the actual and nominal curve (see Fig. 4.3.2) evinces that the distortion and electronic noise are within a very good range, due to the sector of the curve that is expected to tune the mixer (see Section. 2.3). Nevertheless, this generates a possibility in terms of efficiency, a trade off between the time response and the electronic noise.

In conclusion, the software programmed on the microprocessor creates a base of instructions that allows the bias-control board to safely interact with the mixer. This interaction permits the user to obtain and adjust the IV curve completely from the control PC; thereby allowing the user to perform a manual tuning of the mixer, obtaining the same results as the current process (see Section 2.3). This represents a step forward in replacing hardware complexity with software complexity, taking the bias-control electronics to a more integrated system; the latter is key for the development of large-format heterodyne receivers.

5.1 Results Summary

5.1.1 Scaling Factor

| Mixer I mA/count | Mixer V mV/count |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| $6.812615 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $5.308539 \cdot 10^{-4}$ |

Table 5.1.1: Scaling factor of the ADC for the current and voltage of the mixer

Using the ranges of voltage/current and counts given in Table 4.1, it was possible to measure the scaling factor of the physical quantity versus each count. The results are shown in Table 5.1.1.

5.1.2 Time Constant

| Capacitor Value nF | Time Constant τ ms |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| 320 | 0.57 |
| 570 (actual) | 1.1 |
| 1350 | 2.33 |

Table 5.1.2: Values of electronic board time constant for three different installed capacitors

Table 5.1.2 shows the total value of the time constant (intrinsic plus low pass filter contribution) of the board for three different installed capacitors. By using a linear fit over the previous values it was possible to calculate the contribution of the board itself $\tau_0 = 0.081$ ms.

5.1.3 Final IV Curve of the Mixer




Figure 5.1.1: IV curve of the mixer lit by the local oscillator, and adjusted with magnetic field

Fig. 5.1.1 shows the final IV curve obtained from the real mixer, lit by the local oscillator and adjusted with the magnetic field to suppress the Josephson effects.

Bibliography

- [1] C. Groppi, C. Walker, C. Kulesa, D. Golish, J. Kloosterman, S. Weinreb, G. Jones, J. Bardin, H. Mani, T. Kuiper, J. Kooi, A. Lichtenberger, T. Cecil, P. Puetz, G. Narayanan, and A. Hedden, “Test and integration results from SuperCam: a 64-pixel array receiver for the 350 GHz atmospheric window,” in *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, ser. Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, vol. 7741, Jul. 2010, p. 0.
- [2] Publications. Supercam Project. Last access in June-2015. [Online]. Available: <http://soral.as.arizona.edu/Supercam/Publications.html>
- [3] History about ccat. CCAT Project. Last access in June-2015. [Online]. Available: <http://www.ccatobservatory.org/index.cfm/page/about-ccat.htm>
- [4] (2013) Solar system studies with ccat. CCAT Project. Last access in June-2015. [Online]. Available: http://www.ccatobservatory.org/docs/ccat-posters-brochures/Lis%20&%20Bockelee_CCAT%20AAS%20Poster%20Jan2013.pdf
- [5] J. R. Tucker, “Quantum limited detection in tunnel junction mixers,” *IEEE Journal of Quantum Electronics*, vol. 15, pp. 1234–1258, Nov. 1979.
- [6] V. Vassilev, “Development of a sideband separating sis mixer technology for mm-wavelengths,” Ph.D. dissertation, Chalmers University of Technology, 2003.
- [7] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, and J. R. Schrieffer, “Theory of Superconductivity,” *Physical Review*, vol. 108, pp. 1175–1204, Dec. 1957.
- [8] J. Kooi, “Advanced receivers for submillimeter and far infrared astronomy,” Ph.D. dissertation, University of Groningen, 2008.
- [9] B. D. Josephson, “Possible new effects in superconductive tunnelling,” *Physics Letters*, vol. 1, pp. 251–253, Jul. 1962.
- [10] S. Shapiro, “Josephson Currents in Superconducting Tunneling: The Effect of Microwaves and Other Observations,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 11, pp. 80–82, Jul. 1963.
- [11] S. Stanko, U. U. Graf, and S. Heyminck, “Automatic Tuning of SMART, KOSMA’s 490/810 GHz Array Receiver,” in *Thirteenth International Symposium on Space Terahertz Technology*, Mar. 2002, pp. 421–430.

- [12] C. Walker, C. Groppi, D. Golish, C. Kulesa, A. Hungerford, and C. Drouet d'Aubigny, "PoleStar: An 810 GHz Array Receiver for AST/RO," in *Twelve International Symposium on Space Terahertz Technology*, 2001.
- [13] U. U. Graf, S. Heyminck, E. A. Michael, S. Stanko, C. E. Honingh, K. Jacobs, R. T. Schieder, J. Stutzki, and B. Vowinkel, "SMART: The KOSMA Sub-Millimeter Array Receiver for Two frequencies," in *Millimeter and Submillimeter Detectors for Astronomy*, ser. Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, T. G. Phillips and J. Zmuidzinas, Eds., vol. 4855, Feb. 2003, pp. 322–329.
- [14] C. Groppi, C. Walker, C. Kulesa, D. Golish, D. Hedden, P. Gensheimer, G. Narayanan, A. Lichtenberger, U. Graf, and S. Heyminck, "Desert STAR: a 7 pixel 345 GHz Heterodyne Array Receiver for the Heinrich Hertz Telescope," 2003.
- [15] K.-F. Schuster, C. Boucher, W. Brunswig *et al.*, "A 230 GHz heterodyne receiver array for the IRAM 30 m telescope," 2004.
- [16] C. E. Groppi, C. Walker, C. Kulesa, D. Golish, J. Kloosterman, S. Weinreb, G. Jones, J. Barden, H. Mani, J. Kooi, T. Kuiper, A. Lichtenberger, T. Cecil, A. Hedden, G. Narayanan, and P. Puetz, "Supercam: A 64-Pixel Array Receiver for the 870 micron Atmospheric Window," in *American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts #215*, 2010.

Appendix A

Microprocessor Programming

A.1 Hexadecimal Command List

```
/*! \file commands.h
```

```
List of commands to communicate with bias electronics frontend
```

```
Command structure:
```

```
<address><code><parameters>
```

```
<address>:
```

```
binary list of desired channels, e.g. 0x0C for channels 2,3
```

```
<code>:      command byte (see below)
```

```
<parameter>: required parameter(s) if any
```

```
\author Pablo Tapia U (tapia@ph1.uni-koeln.de)
```

```
\date started in 2014
```

```
*/
```

```
/* Bias Settings */
```

```
#define SET_BIAS_VOLTAGE 0x10
```

```
#define GET_BIAS_SETTINGS 0x11
```

```
/* Magnet Current */
```

```
#define SET_MAGNET_CURRENT 0x20
```

```

#define GET_MAGNET_CURRENT 0x21

/* LNA bias */
#define SET_LNA_VOLTAGE_A 0x30
#define GET_LNA_VOLTAGE_A 0x31
#define SET_LNA_VOLTAGE_B 0x32
#define GET_LNA_VOLTAGE_B 0x33

/* Mixer status */
#define MIXER_STATUS 0x40
#define ENABLE_MIXER 0x41
#define DISABLE_MIXER 0x42

/* Sweeps */
#define STOP_SWEEP 0x50
#define START_BIAS_SWEEP 0x51
#define START_MAGNET_SWEEP 0x52
#define BIAS_SCAN 0x53
#define MAGNET_SCAN 0x54
#define BIAS_SCAN_SLOW 0x55

/* Miscellaneous */
#define TEST 0xFA
#define TOGGLE_DEBUG 0xFD
#define STATUS 0xFE
#define RESET 0xFF
#define SET_CHANNEL 0xF0
#define GET_CHANNEL 0xF1
#define RELAY_ENABLE 0xF2
#define RELAY_DISABLE 0xF3

```

Appendix B

Laboratory Testing

B.1 Dummy Set-Up Value Response

Table B.1.1: Analog and digital values of the mixer voltage and current, corresponding to different values of the DAC determined by the Control PC.

| DAC | | Mixer I | | ADC | | Mixer V | | ADC | |
|-------|-----------|---------|----------|--------|-------|----------|--------|-----|--|
| Value | Output[V] | I [mA] | Input[V] | Value | V[mV] | Input[V] | Value | | |
| 0 | -2.503 | +0.89 | -2.011 | -13203 | -8.7 | -2.492 | -16366 | | |
| 1000 | -1.285 | +0.46 | -1.037 | -6824 | -4.5 | -1.279 | -8420 | | |
| 2047 | -0.009 | +0.0 | -0.019 | -134 | -0.1 | -0.019 | -720 | | |
| 2054 | +0.0 | +0.0 | -0.012 | -98 | +0.0 | +0.0 | -140 | | |
| 2500 | +0.543 | -0.19 | +0.422 | +2750 | +1.9 | +0.541 | +3534 | | |
| 4095 | +2.487 | -0.89 | +1.975 | +12925 | +8.6 | +2.476 | +16223 | | |

B.2 IV Curves for the Mixer Set-Up

B.2.1 Initial IV Curve

B.2.2 IV Curve with Magnetic Field Effect

B.2.3 Effect of the Local Oscillator on the IV Curve

B.2.4 Oscilloscope Screenshot for the Final IV Curve




Figure B.2.1: Initial IV curve of the mixer.




Figure B.2.2: IV curve of the mixer with magnetic field effect .




Figure B.2.3: IV curve of the mixer lit by the local oscillator.




Figure B.2.4: Oscilloscope screenshot of the IV curve of the mixer, lit by the local oscillator, and magnetic field effect.

Appendix C

Performance Analysis

C.1 Method to Obtain the Time Constant

Using the dummy set-up, an experiment was performed in order to determine the time constant of the electronic board. The mixer bias-voltage was placed in the maximum value and taken to the lowest possible in a single instruction. Fig. C.1.1 shows the fit function over the response of the voltage (measured at the ADC input). This fit was calculated using a programmed function for the Equation 4.3.1.




Figure C.1.1: Exponential fit over the response of the wider voltage step in the electronic board.

C.2 Linear Fit Over Experimental Values of the Time Constant




Figure C.2.1: Linear fit over the experimental values for the time constant τ . Experiment made with three different capacitors for the low pass filter of the electronic board.

C.3 Curve Shape Distortion Simulation




Figure C.3.1: Comparison between real and simulated IV curves, for different time constants (τ).