

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

journal homepage: www.intl.elsevierhealth.com/journals/dema

The effect of proanthocyanidin-containing 10% phosphoric acid on bonding properties and MMP inhibition

Viviane Hass^{a,b}, Issis Luque-Martinez^c, Miguel Angel Muñoz^d,
 Mario Felipe Gutierrez Reyes^{a,e}, Gabriel Abuna^f,
 Mario Alexandre Coelho Sinhoreti^f, Alex Yi Liu^b,
 Alessandro D. Loguercio^a, Yong Wang^b, Alessandra Reis^{a,*}

^a Department of Restorative Dentistry, School of Dentistry, State University of Ponta Grossa, Paraná, Brazil

^b Department of Oral & Craniofacial Sciences, University of Missouri Kansas City, Kansas City, MO, USA

^c Dentistry Academic Unit, Faculty of Medicine, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile

^d Department of Biomaterials, School of Dentistry, Universidad Val Paraíso, Valparaíso, Chile

^e Institute for Research in Dental Sciences, Faculty of Odontology, University of Chile, Chile

^f Department of Dental Materials, Piracicaba Dental School, Piracicaba, São Paulo, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 13 July 2015

Received in revised form

27 October 2015

Accepted 7 December 2015

Keywords:

Dentin collagen

Acid etching

Crosslinking reagents

Proanthocyanidins

DeminerIALIZED dentin layer

MMPs

ABSTRACT

Objectives. This study evaluated the effect of etching using 2% proanthocyanidin-containing 10% phosphoric acid 2% PA/10% PhA vs. 35% phosphoric acid 35% PhA on immediate (IM) and 6-months (6M) resin–enamel microshear bond strength (μ SBS), resin–dentin microtensile bond strength (μ TBS), nanoleakage (NL) and as well as *in situ* MMP inhibition potential.

Methods. The dentin surface of human were exposed and then etched using 35% phosphoric acid for 15s or 2% PA/10% phosphoric acid for 30s. After rinsing with water, the dentin was bonded with Single Bond Plus (3M ESPE) and composite build-ups were constructed, followed by polymerization. The teeth were sectioned and the bonds were testing for microtensile bond strength (μ TBS) and by SEM for NL analysis at IM and 6M. For MMP activity, resin–dentin slices were prepared for *in situ* zymography, and analyzed under confocal microscopy. For μ SBS, others teeth had flattened enamel surfaces etched according the experimental groups and prepared to microshear procedure. The specimens were tested IM and after 6M by microshear bond strength. The data were submitted to two-way repeated measures ANOVA and Tukey's test ($\alpha = 0.05$).

Results. Acid-etching using the 2% PA/10% phosphoric acid did not lower the μ TBS in IM ($p > 0.05$) compared to the control 35% phosphoric acid group. However, after 6M, only the 2% PA/10% PhA etched dentin had remained stable the resin–dentin bond strength ($p < 0.05$). Bonds made with 35% PhA showed significant increase in NL% after 6M ($p < 0.05$). Dentin bonds made with 2% PA/10% phosphoric acid showed no increase in NL% after 6 months. The MMP activity within the resin–dentin interface was almost completely reduced after 2% PA/10% PhA etching, while the 35% PhA exhibited intense MMP activity. For μ SBS, the type of etchant and the storage period did not affect the resin–enamel bond strengths ($p > 0.05$).

* Corresponding author at: Universidade Estadual de Ponta Grossa, Departamento de Odontologia, Avenida Carlos Cavalcanti, 4748–Uvaranas, Ponta Grossa, Paraná 84030-900, Brazil. Tel.: +55 42 30277898.

E-mail address: reis.ale@hotmail.com (A. Reis).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2015.12.007>

0109-5641/© 2016 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Academy of Dental Materials. All rights reserved.

Significance. Ten percent phosphoric acid containing 2% PA can produce stable resin–dentin and enamel–resin interfaces, without requiring additional steps in the bonding procedure. Future studies for longer evaluation time are required.

© 2016 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Academy of Dental Materials. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

There is a general consensus that the resin–dentin bonds created with contemporary hydrophilic dentin bonding systems deteriorate over time [1,2]. For etch-and-rinse adhesives, there is a decreasing gradient of resin monomer diffusion within the hybrid layers [3,4]. This results in incomplete resin infiltration at the bottom the hybrid layer, leaving denuded collagen fibrils [3,5,6] that are susceptible to enzymatic degradation by host-derived collagen-bound matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and cysteine cathepsins [6–9]. Additionally, simplified etch-and-rinse systems are capable of activating these matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) [6,10]. Consequently, procedures that enhance dentin collagen's resistance towards collagenolytic activity of host-derived enzymes have great potential to improving the longevity of dentin bonding.

To increase the collagen stability, one might employ MMP inhibitors and collagen cross-linking agents. Exogenous MMP inhibitors, such as chlorhexidine, are capable to reduce the protease activity and to prolong the durability of resin–dentin bonds [11–13] but they lack chemical bond with the collagen fibrils. Collagen cross-linkers establish chemical bonds with the collagen and may also increase the collagen resistance against the effect of host-derived proteases [14–16].

The delivery of these agents to demineralized dentin can be via application of primers where a therapeutic agent can be incorporated into one of the components of the bonding protocol [17]. The application of the agents as a primer is hampered by the fact that this procedure adds another step to the bonding protocol, which is against the clinician's preference for simplification. This fact has motivated some authors to combine MMPs inhibitors or cross-linking agents in the etchants [13,18,19].

Among the cross-linking agents, proanthocyanidin (PA)-rich grape seed extract (GSE) is a promising agent due to its effectiveness under shorter treatment times [20] and its absence of cytotoxicity [21]. Additionally, PA can stabilize the resin–dentin bond strength of the adhesive interface and decrease the dentin-bound MMPs activity [22].

In previous work, the incorporation of PA into a 10% phosphoric acid showed promising results, rendering the demineralized dentin collagen inert to bacterial collagenase digestion [18]. However, the authors did not evaluate the PA-etchant under clinically relevant bonding procedures, which prevent us from knowing whether or not the low concentrated PA-containing phosphoric acid is capable to promote an effective etching in enamel and dentin substrates, and if such treatment would produce stable resin–dentin bonds after water storage.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the immediate and 6-month effectiveness of this modified phosphoric acid etchant in dentin and enamel through resin–dentin microtensile bond strength, resin–enamel microshear bond strength and nanoleakage studies. Additionally, the *in situ* MMP inhibition potential was also evaluated through *in situ* zymography [23].

2. Material and methods

2.1. Specimen preparation

A total of 26 extracted, caries-free, human third molars were used. The teeth were collected after obtaining the patients' informed consent under a protocol approved by the Ethics Committee Review Board from the State University of Ponta Grossa (Parana, Brazil). The teeth were disinfected in 0.5% chloramine, stored in distilled water, and used within 6 months after extraction.

In 16 teeth, a flat occlusal dentin surface was exposed after wet grinding the occlusal enamel with #180-grit silicon-carbide (SiC) paper for 60 s. The exposed dentin surfaces were further polished with wet #600-grit SiC paper for 60 s to standardize the smear layer. Ten teeth were used for evaluation of the resin–dentin microtensile bond strength (μ TBS) and nanoleakage, while the remaining six was used for the evaluation of the MMP-activity.

In 10 teeth, the roots of all teeth were removed by sectioning at the enamel–cementum junction. The dental crowns were then sectioned parallel to the long axis of the teeth to produce four enamel specimens (buccal, lingual, and proximals). Forty enamel specimens were ground wet with # 180 and 600-grit SiC paper for 60 s each. The unsanded surfaces were potted in acrylic resin to stabilize the specimens that were used for the evaluation of resin–enamel microshear bond strength (μ SBS).

2.2. Experimental groups and restorative procedure

The tooth specimens were randomly distributed into the control and experimental groups by a person not involved in the research protocol using computer-generated tables. In the control group, the dentin and enamel surfaces of all specimens were conditioned with a 35% phosphoric acid gel for 15 s (Scotchbond etchant, 3 M ESPE, St. Paul, USA, batch number N261433). In the experimental group, an experimental etchant made of 2% PA, containing 10% phosphoric acid was prepared by mixing GSE powder, ethanol, distilled water, and 85% phosphoric acid to final concentrations (weight percentage with respect to total mass) of 2% PA-rich GSE, 20% ethanol and 10% phosphoric acid [18]. The chemicals were purchased

from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), except for the GSE which was purchased from Mega Natural Gold (Polyphenolics, Madera, CA, USA). The experimental etchant was then applied to dentin or enamel for 30 s and then rinsed with water.

After conditioning, the etched surfaces were rinsed with distilled water for 30 s, air-dried for 5 s and kept slightly moist for the application of the two-step etch-and-rinse adhesive (Adper Single Bond Plus, 3 M ESPE, St. Paul, USA, batch number N531785) using a double application layer according to the manufacturer's instructions. A composite resin (Filtek Z350, 3 M ESPE, St Paul, MN, USA) was used to create a build-up in two 2-mm increments and each one was light-cured for 40 s using an LED light curing (1200 mW/cm²; Radium-cal, SDI Limited, Bayswater, Victoria, Australia). A radiometer (Demetron LED Radiometer, Kerr Sybron Dental Specialties, Middleton, WI, USA) was used to check the light intensity after every five restorations had been completed.

2.3. Resin–dentin microtensile bond strength (μ TBS)

After water storage for 24 h at 37 °C, the restored teeth were longitudinally sectioned in both mesio-to-distal and buccal-to-lingual directions across the bonded interface, using a diamond saw mounted in a cutting machine (Isomet 1000, Buehler, Lake Bluff, USA). This procedure was performed to obtain resin–dentin sticks with a cross-sectional area of approximately 1 mm². They were either tested immediately or after 6 months of storage in distilled water for 37 °C. The distilled water was changed weekly to maximize the degradation process [24].

The cross-sectional area of each stick was measured with a digital caliper (Absolute Digimatic, Mitutoyo, Tokyo, Japan) to the nearest 0.01 mm. Each bonded stick was attached to a jig for microtensile testing with cyanoacrylate resin (Super Bonder Gel, Loctite, São Paulo, Brazil) and subjected to a tensile force in a universal testing machine (Model 5565, Instron, Canton, OH, USA) at a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/min. The failure modes were evaluated under stereomicroscopy at 100 \times magnification and classified as cohesive (within dentin or resin composite), adhesive (failure at resin–dentin interface, or adhesive/mixed (failure at resin–dentin interface with partial cohesive failure of the neighboring substrates).

2.4. Nanoleakage evaluation

Two resin-bonded sticks from each tooth at each storage period, and not used for microtensile testing, were randomly selected for nanoleakage evaluation. The sticks were immersed in 50 wt% ammoniacal silver nitrate solution in total darkness for 24 h. Thereafter, they were rinsed thoroughly in distilled water, and immersed in a photo-developing solution for 8 h under fluorescent light to reduce silver ions into metallic silver grains within voids along the bonded interface. Specimens were polished using 1000-, 1500-, 2000- and 2500-grit SiC papers and 1 and 0.25 μ m diamond paste (Buehler Ltd., Lake Bluff, IL, USA) on polishing clothes. They were ultrasonically cleaned, air-dried, mounted on stubs and coated with evaporated carbon (MED 010, Balzers Union, Balzers, Liechtenstein).

The interfaces were observed in a scanning electron microscope (SEM) in the backscattered mode at 12 kV (VEGA 3 TESCAM, Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan). Three images were taken from each specimen. The first image was obtained in the center of the stick, while the further two were obtained 0.3 mm left and 0.3 mm right from the first picture. A total of six images were obtained per tooth at each period (3 images \times 2 bonded sticks). Thus, for each experimental condition, 30 images were evaluated per group (6 images \times 5 teeth) [25]. A blinded author to the experimental conditions took the pictures. The relative percentage of silver nitrate uptake within the hybrid layer was measured in all pictures using the ImageTool 3.0 software (Department of Dental Diagnostic Science, University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, USA).

2.5. In situ zymography by CLSM

A dye quenched MMP substrate based on gelatin was prepared by means of a fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) hypersaturated gelatine. Five mg of FITC was dissolved in 2 mL 0.1 M sodium carbonate/bicarbonate buffer (pH 9.0, Sigma–Aldrich, Milwaukee, WI, USA). This reactant was added dropwise to a 1 mg/mL gelatine solution in the dark and was incubated at room temperature for 2 h. The reacted FITC-gelatine conjugate was isolated from unbound FITC by means of a G-25 M Sephadex column. The fluorescein to protein ratio of >15 was confirmed from absorbance readings at 495 nm and 280 nm, respectively. This MMP-substrate was dissolved (0.3 wt%) distilled water, and this solution was actively applied for 60 s on the acid-etched dentin before the application of the bonding agents. Three teeth per group ($n=3$) were bonded as previously described and cut into 1 mm thick resin–dentin slabs and the interfaces were observed by confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) similarly to a previous study [23]. The specimens were examined using a CLSM (Leica SP5 CLSM, Heidelberg, Germany) equipped with a 63 \times /1.4 NA oil immersion lens using 468-nm laser illumination. The z-stack scans (one at each micrometer up to 20 μ m below the surface) were compiled into single projections. Each resin–dentin interface was entirely characterized and the images were captured representing the MMP-activity observed along the bonded interfaces.

2.6. Resin–enamel microshear bond strength (μ SBS)

Prior to applying the adhesive, each enamel specimen was mounted in a polyvinyl chloride ring filled with acrylic resin (AutoClear, DentBras, Pirassununga, São Paulo, Brazil). So that the sanded enamel surface was on the top of the cylinder. An acid-resistant, double-faced adhesive tape (Adelbras Ind e Com Adesivos Ltda, São Paulo, Brazil) was perforated with a Hygenic Ainsworth-style rubber-dam punch with a known surface area (Coltene, Alstätten, Switzerland) and bonded to the enamel surface Shimaoka et al. [26].

The enamel surfaces were acid-etched with their respective etchants, rinsed with water, and the adhesive applied according to the manufacturer's directions. Then, polyethylene Tygon tubes (Tygon Medical Tubing Formulations 54-HL, Saint Gobain Performance Plastics, Akron, OH, USA) with an

Table 1 – Means and standard deviations of the resin–dentin microtensile bond strength (μ TBS) and nanoleakage for both groups as well as the percentage of fracture pattern of the resin–dentin sticks [adhesive and mixed/cohesive/premature failures].

Groups	μ TBS (MPa) [fracture mode]		Nanoleakage (%)	
	Immediate	6 months	Immediate	6 months
35% etchant	41.5 (2.1) a [97/3/0]	21.9 (1.0) b [100/0/0]	31.7 (4.7) A	67.7 (6.5) B
2%PA-containing, 10% etchant	47.4 (2.9) a [84/16/0]	48.7 (4.8) a [82/18/0]	36.4 (5.0) A	33.8 (4.1) A

*Means identified with the same letter are statistically similar ($p > 0.05$).

internal diameter of 0.8 mm and a height of 0.5 mm were positioned over the double-faced tape with the lumen coincident with the perforations. An operator trained in the μ SBS technique positioned seven to nine tubes per surface, using magnifying loupes. Resin composite was carefully packed inside each tube, pressed gently into place and light-cured for 40 s using an LED light-curing (1200 mW/cm²; Radium-cal, SDI Limited, Bayswater, Victoria, Australia).

After 24 h of storage in distilled water at 37 °C, the Tygon tubes and the double-faced adhesive tape were carefully removed with a surgical blade to expose the composite resin cylinders. Each specimen was examined under a stereomicroscope at 10 \times magnification. Specimens with evidence of air bubbles or gaps at the interface were discarded.

The specimens were attached to a shear-testing fixture (Odeme Biotechnology) so that each composite resin cylinder was tested in a universal testing machine (Kratos IKCL 3-USB, Kratos Equipamentos Industriais Ltda, Cotia, São Paulo, Brazil). A thin wire (0.2 mm diameter) was looped around the base of each composite cylinder to maintain the setup aligned (resin–enamel interface, the wire loop, and the center of the load cell) and ensure correct orientation of the shear forces [27]. The shear load was applied at a crosshead of 1 mm/min until failure. One half of specimens were tested immediately and another half after 6 months of storage, as described previously for μ TBS. The μ SBS values were calculated by dividing the load at failure by the surface area (mm²).

2.7. Statistical analysis

The μ TBS values from the same dentin surface at each storage period and the μ SBS values from the same enamel surface were averaged, so that the statistical unit was the tooth, not stick. Specimens that showed cohesive failures as well as the premature failures were not included in the tooth mean due to the low frequency of this fracture mode. The percentage of nanoleakage observed in specimens from the same tooth at each storage period was also averaged and only one value per tooth was taken to the statistical analysis.

The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was performed to assess whether the data followed a normal distribution. The Bartlett's test was performed to evaluate the equality of variances. After observing the normality of the data distribution and the equality of the variances, the μ TBS and the μ SBS (MPa) and nanoleakage (%) data were submitted to a two-way repeated measures ANOVA and Tukey's test. For all tests, the level of significance was pre-set in 5%.

3. Results

3.1. Resin–dentin microtensile bond strength

The mean cross-sectional areas of the resin–dentin bonded sticks ranged from 0.7 mm² to 1.05 mm² (0.92 \pm 0.15 mm²). Most of the resin–dentin bonded sticks showed mixed failures and a low number of cohesive and premature failures were observed (Table 1). A statistically significant cross-product interaction etchant vs. storage period was observed (Table 1, $p = 0.002$). Both etchants yielded similar bond strength values at the immediate period; however stable bond strengths was only observed for the PA-containing 10% phosphoric acid (Table 1).

3.2. Nanoleakage evaluation of resin–dentin bonds

In none of the conditions was a nanoleakage-free interface observed (Fig. 1). The cross-product interaction etchant vs. storage period was statistically significant (Table 1, $p = 0.002$). Both etchants yield similar nanoleakage at the immediate period; however this nanoleakage increased significantly after 6 months only for the conventional 35% phosphoric acid etchant (Table 1).

3.3. In situ zymography by CLSM

The confocal micrographs of *in situ* MMP-activity at the resin–dentin interface after incubation for 24 h can be seen in Fig. 2. A more intense fluorescence (yellow hand), which represents intense MMP activity, can be seen in cyan (overlay of the fluorescein green and the reflection at blue) within the hybrid layer of the 35% phosphoric acid group. Although the MMP activity was barely seen in the GSE group, the presence of MMP fluorescence even at small proportion appears just inside the tubules (yellow pointer), suggesting the MMPs were highly inactivated in the GSE group.

3.4. Resin–enamel microshear bond strength

Most of the failures of the resin–enamel specimens were adhesive/mixed (Table 2). Neither the cross-product interaction etchant vs. storage period ($p = 0.274$) nor the main factors etchant ($p = 0.107$) and storage period ($p = 0.601$) were statistically significant (Table 2). The type of etchant and the storage period did not affect the resin–enamel bond strengths.




Fig. 1 – Representative backscattered SEM images of the resin–dentin interfaces for all experimental groups. After 6 months storage time, the amount of silver penetration (white hand) for the 35% phosphoric acid group (C) was higher than the 2% PA-containing 10% phosphoric acid (D). Co, composite resin; Ad, adhesive layer; and De, dentin.




Fig. 2 – Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy. The Figure 35% phosphoric acid represents the control group and shows the intense activity of MMP (cyan staining) at the hybrid layer and beneath it (yellow hand). In contrast to the control group, the 2% PA-containing 10% phosphoric acid group eliminated almost entirely the MMP-activity represented by the lack of cyan staining at the hybrid layer and some activity within the dentinal tubules (yellow hand) but these are not in contact the hybrid layer. CR, composite resin; HL, hybrid layer; D, dentin.

Table 2 – Means and standard deviations of the resin–enamel microshear bond strength (μ SBS) for both groups as well as the percentage of fracture pattern of the resin–dentin specimens [adhesive and mixed/cohesive/premature failures].

Groups	μ SBS (MPa) [fracture mode]	
	Immediate	6 months
35% etchant	18.9 (2.5) a [87.5/7.5/5]	20.7 (0.8) a [79.1/4.3/16.6]
2%PA-containing, 10% etchant	18.6 (2.6) a [95/2.5/2.5]	17.9 (1.2) a [75/4.2/20.8]

*Means identified with the same letter are statistically similar.

4. Discussion

The similar immediate bond strengths produced with the 35% phosphoric acid and the PA-containing 10% phosphoric acid on enamel are in agreement with earlier studies. It was already demonstrated that low and high concentrations of phosphoric acids (from 2.5% to 40%) [28,29] as well as varying etching times (15–120 s) [30,31] did not significantly alter the resin–enamel strength. Indeed, the concentration of phosphoric acid used in the PA-containing acid seems to be enough to produce selective enamel dissolution [28,29,31]. Although we have not evaluated the etching pattern of the enamel surfaces after conditioning with the different etching protocols, the present results suggest that both etching agents were able to promote selective dissolution of the enamel surface to allow inter-crystallite resin penetration and result in a strong adhesive interface on the enamel substrate [28].

After 6-month water storage, no deterioration of the resin–enamel bond strength was observed for either etchant. This was expected in light that previous studies revealed that enamel bonding does not deteriorate over time, as does dentin [32,33], and therefore the short 6-month aging period was not long enough to induce significant changes in the resin–enamel adhesive interface as demonstrated in previous investigations [34]. Lack of organic component (collagen) part may be likely one of the reasons for the increased resistance of the resin bond produced by the enamel substrate.

PA-etchant and commercial etchant produced similar immediate bond strength to dentin. Coupled with similar immediate bond strength to enamel, 10% acid for 30 s seems to be fine. Earlier reports claimed that low acid concentrations generates precipitates with different phases of calcium phosphate salts, as brushite and thus lower the immediate bonding in enamel [35]. However, more recent publications found good results for low acid concentrations using phosphoric acid in this substrate [28], as well for dentin in immediate and after storage time [36]. It is never thought that mineral precipitation under the etched surface is dependent on the pH, buffer capacity and number of hydrogen ions available for deprotonation [37]. At pH below 3, such as in phosphoric acid, brushite is mainly precipitated [38]. The low solubility of brushite, along with the volume of crystallites, could contribute to the entrapment of minerals within the demineralized dentin collagen and less water content within the etched–dentin substrate, which could facilitate the diffusion and greater polymerization of more hydrophobic resin monomers within the bonding interface [36]. However, the possible formation of precipitates from the brushite within the resin–interface requires further investigation and cannot be confirmed by our

findings. Within the limitation of current study, low acid concentration was not a concern regardless of bonding to dentin or enamel.

After water storage, the bond strengths of 35% phosphoric acid-etched dentin controls decrease by half. Water storage accelerated bond degradation. In contrast, etching dentin with 2% PA containing 10% phosphoric acid produced stable resin–dentin interfaces after 6 months of water storage. This agrees with earlier reports that the effectiveness of the PA was not affected by the low pH of the phosphoric acid [18]. Additionally, the cross-linking collagen leads to stiffening of the collagen fibrils, making them less susceptible to collagen network collapse after air-drying [39]. As a consequence, better adhesive infiltration may occur resulting in an adhesive interface less prone to hydrolytic and enzymatic breakdown.

Other cross-linking agents, such as glutaraldehyde, are incompatible with the phosphoric acid as they depend on deprotonated amines to form covalent bonds. At low pH, amines are predominantly protonated and not reactive for covalent bonding [40]. The reason that PA can cross-link collagen at low pH is that the cross-links are not covalent [41–43]. PA can form hydrogen bonds, and hydrophobic interactions [18].

PA has a high affinity and specificity for interaction with the collagen proteins. This is achieved through several chemical mechanisms such as hydrogen bonding, hydrophobic interactions, covalent and ionic bonds [21,42,44] producing collagen cross-linking. This improves the mechanical properties of the demineralized dentin matrix, making it less prone to digestion by host-derived MMPs [22,45,46].

In the present study, we observed reduced MMP activity in hybrid layer of dentin interfaces treated with the PA-containing 10% phosphoric acid, compared to 35% phosphoric acid. PA can reduce the MMP activity by stiffening the collagen polypeptides so that they cannot be unwind; furthermore they also inactivate the catalytic site of the host-derived proteases by creating a new peptide bond across adjacent peptides [39].

Therefore, the stability of the resin–dentin interfaces produced with a PA-containing 10% phosphoric acid after 6 months of water storage can be attributed to several factors that work synergistically. The ability of PA to interact with collagen even in an acidic environment, its ability to inactivate the catalytic site of proteases and the increase in the strength of collagen fibrils that makes it less susceptible to digestion by proteases, are the most important ones. However, clinical studies are still needed to clarify whether the use of a PA-containing 10% phosphoric acid preserves resin–dentin interface after long-term function.

In summary, the application of a 2% PA-containing 10% phosphoric acid for 30 s produced nanoleakage, resin–enamel and resin–dentin bond strength values similar to the control

35% phosphoric acid with the advantage of producing stable resin–dentin bond strength after 6 months which may be probably attributed to collagen cross-linking and the reduction of the MMP activity.

5. Conclusion

The use of a 2% PA-containing 10% phosphoric acid did not jeopardize the bonding effectiveness on enamel and dentin, and also produced stable resin–dentin bond strengths after 6 months of water aging.

REFERENCES

- [1] Breschi L, Mazzoni A, Ruggeri A, Cadenaro M, Di Lenarda R, De Stefano Dorigo E. Dental adhesion review: aging and stability of the bonded interface. *Dent Mater* 2008;24:90–101.
- [2] Liu Y, Tjäderhane L, Breschi L, Mazzoni A, Li N, Mao J, et al. Limitations in bonding to dentin and experimental strategies to prevent bond degradation. *J Dent Res* 2011;90:953–68.
- [3] Breschi L, Prati C, Gobbi P, Pashley D, Mazzotti G, Teti G, et al. Immunohistochemical analysis of collagen fibrils within the hybrid layer: a FEISEM study. *Oper Dent* 2004;29:538–46.
- [4] Wang Y, Spencer P. Quantifying adhesive penetration in adhesive/dentin interface using confocal Raman microspectroscopy. *J Biomed Mater Res* 2002;59:46–55.
- [5] Armstrong SR, Vargas MA, Chung I, Pashley DH, Campbell JA, Laffoon JE, et al. Resin–dentin interfacial ultrastructure and microtensile dentin bond strength after five-year water storage. *Oper Dent* 2004;29:705–12.
- [6] Mazzoni A, Pashley DH, Nishitani Y, Breschi L, Mannello F, Tjäderhane L, et al. Reactivation of inactivated endogenous proteolytic activities in phosphoric acid-etched dentine by etch-and-rinse adhesives. *Biomaterials* 2006;27:4470–6.
- [7] Nishitani Y, Yoshiyama M, Wadgaonkar B, Breschi L, Mannello F, Mazzoni A, et al. Activation of gelatinolytic/collagenolytic activity in dentin by self-etching adhesives. *Eur J Oral Sci* 2006;114:160–6.
- [8] Osorio R, Yamauti M, Osorio E, Ruiz-Requena ME, Pashley D, Tay F, et al. Effect of dentin etching and chlorhexidine application on metalloproteinase-mediated collagen degradation. *Eur J Oral Sci* 2011;119:79–85.
- [9] Tjäderhane L, Mehtala P, Scaffa P, Vidal C, Paakonen V, Breschi L, et al. The effect of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) on dentin bonding and nanoleakage of etch-and-rinse adhesives. *Dent Mater* 2013;29:1055–62.
- [10] Breschi L, Martin P, Mazzoni A, Nato F, Carrilho M, Tjäderhane L, et al. Use of a specific MMP-inhibitor (galardin) for preservation of hybrid layer. *Dent Mater* 2010;26:571–8.
- [11] Carrilho MR, Carvalho RM, de Goes MF, di Hipolito V, Geraldini S, Tay FR, et al. Chlorhexidine preserves dentin bond in vitro. *J Dent Res* 2007;86:90–4.
- [12] Hebling J, Pashley DH, Tjäderhane L, Tay FR. Chlorhexidine arrests subclinical degradation of dentin hybrid layers in vivo. *J Dent Res* 2005;84:741–6.
- [13] Stanislawczuk R, Amaral RC, Zander-Grande C, Gagler D, Reis A, Loguercio AD. Chlorhexidine-containing acid conditioner preserves the longevity of resin–dentin bonds. *Oper Dent* 2009;34:481–90.
- [14] Bedran-Russo AK, Vidal CM, Dos Santos PH, Castellan CS. Long-term effect of carbodiimide on dentin matrix and resin–dentin bonds. *J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater* 2010;94:250–5.
- [15] Castellan CS, Bedran-Russo AK, Karol S, Pereira PN. Long-term stability of dentin matrix following treatment with various natural collagen cross-linkers. *J Mech Behav Biomed Mater* 2011;4:1343–50.
- [16] Macedo GV, Yamauchi M, Bedran-Russo AK. Effects of chemical cross-linkers on caries-affected dentin bonding. *J Dent Res* 2009;88:1096–100.
- [17] Sabatini C, Pashley DH. Mechanisms regulating the degradation of dentin matrices by endogenous dentin proteases and their role in dental adhesion. A review. *Am J Dent* 2014;27:203–14.
- [18] Liu Y, Dusevich V, Wang Y. Addition of grape seed extract renders phosphoric acid a collagen-stabilizing etchant. *J Dent Res* 2014;93:821–7.
- [19] Stanislawczuk R, Reis A, Loguercio AD. A 2-year in vitro evaluation of a chlorhexidine-containing acid on the durability of resin–dentin interfaces. *J Dent* 2011;39:40–7.
- [20] Liu Y, Chen M, Yao X, Xu C, Zhang Y, Wang Y. Enhancement in dentin collagen's biological stability after proanthocyanidins treatment in clinically relevant time periods. *Dent Mater* 2013;29:485–92.
- [21] Han B, Jauregui J, Tang BW, Nimni ME. Proanthocyanidin: a natural crosslinking reagent for stabilizing collagen matrices. *J Biomed Mater Res A* 2003;65:118–24.
- [22] Scheffel DL, Hebling J, Scheffel RH, Agee KA, Cadenaro M, Turco G, et al. Stabilization of dentin matrix after cross-linking treatments, in vitro. *Dent Mater* 2014;30:227–33.
- [23] Mazzoni A, Nascimento FD, Carrilho M, Tersariol I, Papa V, Tjäderhane L, et al. MMP activity in the hybrid layer detected with in situ zymography. *J Dent Res* 2012;91:467–72.
- [24] Skovron L, Kogeo D, Gordillo LA, Meier MM, Gomes OM, Reis A, et al. Effects of immersion time and frequency of water exchange on durability of etch-and-rinse adhesive. *J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater* 2010;95:339–46.
- [25] Reis A, Grande RH, Oliveira GM, Lopes GC, Loguercio AD. A 2-year evaluation of moisture on microtensile bond strength and nanoleakage. *Dent Mater* 2007;23:862–70.
- [26] Shimaoka AM, de Andrade AP, Cardoso MV, de Carvalho RC. The importance of adhesive area delimitation in a microshear bond strength experimental design. *J Adhes Dent* 2011;13:307–14.
- [27] Shimada Y, Yamaguchi S, Tagami J. Micro-shear bond strength of dual-cured resin cement to glass ceramics. *Dent Mater* 2002;18:380–8.
- [28] Erickson RL, Barkmeier WW, Latta MA. The role of etching in bonding to enamel: a comparison of self-etching and etch-and-rinse adhesive systems. *Dent Mater* 2009;25:1459–67.
- [29] Shinchi MJ, Soma K, Nakabayashi N. The effect of phosphoric acid concentration on resin tag length and bond strength of a photo-cured resin to acid-etched enamel. *Dent Mater* 2000;16:324–9.
- [30] Uno S, Finger WJ. Effect of acid etchant composition and etch duration on enamel loss and resin composite bonding. *Am J Dent* 1995;8:165–9.
- [31] Zidan O, Hill G. Phosphoric acid concentration: enamel surface loss and bonding strength. *J Prosthet Dent* 1986;55:388–92.
- [32] De Munck J, Van Meerbeek B, Satoshi I, Vargas M, Yoshida Y, Armstrong S, et al. Microtensile bond strengths of one- and two-step self-etch adhesives to bur-cut enamel and dentin. *Am J Dent* 2003;16:414–20.
- [33] Loguercio AD, Moura SK, Pellizzaro A, Dal-Bianco K, Patzlaff RT, Grande RH, et al. Durability of enamel bonding using two-step self-etch systems on ground and unground enamel. *Oper Dent* 2008;33:79–88.

- [34] Ansari ZJ, Sadr A, Moezizadeh M, Aminian R, Ghasemi A, Shimada Y, et al. Effects of one-year storage in water on bond strength of self-etching adhesives to enamel and dentin. *Dent Mater J* 2008;27:266–72.
- [35] Beech DR, Jalaly T. Bonding of polymers to enamel: influence of deposits formed during etching, etching time and period of water immersion. *J Dent Res* 1980;59:1156–62.
- [36] Feitosa VP, Bazzocchi MG, Putignano A, Orsini G, Luzi AL, Sinhoreti MA, et al. Dicalcium phosphate ($\text{CaHPO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$) precipitation through ortho- or meta-phosphoric acid-etching: effects on the durability and nanoleakage/ultra-morphology of resin–dentine interfaces. *J Dent* 2013;41:1068–80.
- [37] Shellis RP, Barbour ME, Jones SB, Addy M. Effects of pH and acid concentration on erosive dissolution of enamel, dentine, and compressed hydroxyapatite. *Eur J Oral Sci* 2010;118:475–82.
- [38] Pan HB, Darvell BW. Solid titration of octacalcium phosphate. *Caries Res* 2009;43:322–30.
- [39] Liu R, Fang M, Xiao Y, Li F, Yu L, Zhao S, et al. The effect of transient proanthocyanidins preconditioning on the cross-linking and mechanical properties of demineralized dentin. *J Mater Sci Mater Med* 2011;22:2403–11.
- [40] Migneault I, Dartiguenave C, Bertrand MJ, Waldron KC. Glutaraldehyde: behavior in aqueous solution, reaction with proteins, and application to enzyme crosslinking. *Biotechniques* 2004; 37: 790–6, 8–802.
- [41] Haslam E. Natural polyphenols (vegetable tannins) as drugs: possible modes of action. *J Nat Prod* 1996;59:205–15.
- [42] Hagerman AE, Butler LG. The specificity of proanthocyanidin–protein interactions. *J Biol Chem* 1981;256:4494–7.
- [43] Hagerman AE, Klucher KM. Tannin–protein interactions. *Prog Clin Biol Res* 1986;213:67–76.
- [44] Bedran-Russo AK, Castellan CS, Shinohara MS, Hassan L, Antunes A. Characterization of biomodified dentin matrices for potential preventive and reparative therapies. *Acta Biomater* 2011;7:1735–41.
- [45] Cova A, Breschi L, Nato F, Ruggeri Jr A, Carrilho M, Tjäderhane L, et al. Effect of UVA-activated riboflavin on dentin bonding. *J Dent Res* 2011;90:1439–45.
- [46] Vidal CM, Aguiar TR, Phansalkar R, McAlpine JB, Napolitano JG, Chen SN, et al. Galloyl moieties enhance the dentin biomodification potential of plant-derived catechins. *Acta Biomater* 2014;10:3288–94.