

# Contents

<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>Random Finite Sets in Visual SLAM</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1 Hypothesis . . . . .	3
2 Objectives . . . . .	4
3 Thesis structure . . . . .	4
<b>2 Review of the State of the Art</b>	<b>5</b>
1 Structure from Motion (SfM) . . . . .	5
2 Simultaneous Localization and Mapping (SLAM) . . . . .	8
2.1 Mathematical Formulation . . . . .	8
2.2 EKF SLAM . . . . .	10
2.3 FastSLAM . . . . .	12
2.4 GraphSLAM . . . . .	14
2.5 RFS-SLAM . . . . .	20
3 SfM and SLAM . . . . .	20
4 Visual SLAM . . . . .	21
4.1 Alternative features . . . . .	23
5 Estimation with Random Finite Sets . . . . .	24
5.1 Filtering in the RFS framework . . . . .	25
5.2 Smoothing in the RFS framework . . . . .	29
6 Data Association . . . . .	31

7	Modelling tools . . . . .	34
7.1	Sensors . . . . .	34
7.2	Rotations . . . . .	35
7.3	Map distances . . . . .	38
<b>3</b>	<b>Visual SLAM</b>	<b>40</b>
1	System Design . . . . .	40
1.1	Visual Perception . . . . .	40
1.2	3D RFS SLAM . . . . .	43
1.3	Pipeline . . . . .	46
2	Results . . . . .	53
3	Discussion . . . . .	59
<b>4</b>	<b>PHD and GraphSLAM</b>	<b>63</b>
1	Setup . . . . .	63
2	Results . . . . .	64
3	Discussion . . . . .	72
<b>5</b>	<b>Going Beyond Filtering</b>	<b>75</b>
1	Extending Previous Work . . . . .	75
2	Extending the RFS framework . . . . .	77
2.1	Probabilistic Graphical Models . . . . .	77
2.2	RFS Graph SLAM . . . . .	82
2.3	Proof-of-Concept Implementation . . . . .	93
2.4	Results . . . . .	96
2.5	Discussion . . . . .	98
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>101</b>
	<b>Acronyms</b>	<b>104</b>
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>106</b>

# List of Tables

2.1 Comparative summary of reviewed methods. . . . .	32
--	----

# List of Figures

2.1	Elements in the SLAM problem . . . . .	8
2.2	Hidden Markov Model. . . . .	10
2.3	EKF vector and covariance representation. . . . .	12
2.4	Factor graph representation of the SLAM problem. . . . .	17
2.5	Variable elimination procedure. . . . .	18
2.6	Cost cube in DTAM. . . . .	23
2.7	Landmarks and measurements in RFS. . . . .	24
2.8	Vu’s PM-MHMC proposal moves. . . . .	31
2.9	Nearest neighbours data association scheme. . . . .	33
2.10	Linear assignment data association scheme. . . . .	34
2.11	Lie group and algebra. . . . .	37
3.1	Visual SLAM system overview. . . . .	41
3.2	System pipeline. . . . .	47
3.3	System real-time rendering. . . . .	48
3.4	PHD filter performance on a simulated environment. . . . .	52
3.5	PHD filter performance with known localization . . . . .	53
3.6	Localization plotting modes. . . . .	54
3.7	PHD filter performance with different number of particles. . . . .	55
3.8	PHD filter performance with incorrect statistics. . . . .	57
3.9	PHD estimate on a real video. . . . .	58
3.10	Pose error in a real video. . . . .	58
3.11	Loop closure hard recall. . . . .	59

4.1	Comparison between the PHD filter and iSAM2 in a baseline case. . . . .	65
4.2	Comparison between the PHD filter and iSAM2 in a noisy environment. . . . .	66
4.3	Comparison between the PHD filter and iSAM2 in clutter. . . . .	67
4.4	Comparison between the PHD filter and iSAM2 with low probability of detection. . . . .	68
4.5	Comparison between the PHD filter and iSAM2 when several kinds of errors are compounded . . . . .	69
4.6	Effect of preprocessing in the iSAM2 algorithm . . . . .	70
4.7	Effect of perfectly known association in the GraphSLAM alternative . . . . .	71
4.8	Comparison between PHD and iSAM2 for real data. . . . .	72
4.9	Local error for real data. . . . .	73
5.1	Forward-Backward Propagation. . . . .	76
5.2	Example of a probabilistic graphical model. . . . .	78
5.3	Elemental relationships between triplets found on any graphical model. . . . .	78
5.4	Example of a factor graph. . . . .	79
5.5	Example of a pairwise Markov random field . . . . .	80
5.7	Effect of disjoint observable sub-graphs in inference quality. . . . .	83
5.8	Information flow in the variations of the SLAM problem. . . . .	84
5.9	RFS Loopy Belief Propagation formulation. . . . .	85
5.10	Dynamic settings for the Loopy RFS. . . . .	89
5.11	Supernodes reduce the problem to the filtering case. . . . .	89
5.12	Parallel lanes allow for information to flow faster. . . . .	90
5.13	Loopy PHD performance in a 1D scenario. . . . .	97
5.14	Loopy PHD performance in an easy scenario. . . . .	98
5.15	Loopy PHD performance on a realistic scenario. . . . .	99