Proposal for an Assessment Training Program in Dermatologic Ultrasound by the DERMUS Group

Ximena Wortsman, MD, Fernando Alfageme, MD, Gaston Roustan, MD, Salvador Arias-Santiago, MD, Antonio Martorell, MD, Orlando Catalano, MD, Maria Scotto di Santolo, MD, Kian Zarchi, MD, Marcio Bouer, MD, Claudia Gonzalez, MD, Robert Bard, MD, Anitha Mandava, MD, Maria Crisan, MD, Diana Crisan, MD, Diana Gaitini, MD

From the Departments of Radiology and Dermatology, Institute for Diagnostic Imaging and Research of the Skin and Soft Tissues, Clinica Servet, Faculty of Medicine, University of Chile, Santiago, Chile (X.W.); Department of Dermatology, University Hospital Puerta del Hierro, EFSUMB Dermatologic Ultrasound Learning Center, Majahonda, Madrid, Spain (F.A., G.R.); Department of Dermatology, Virgen de las Nieves University Hospital, Granada, Spain (S.A.-S.); Department of Dermatology, Hospital de Manises, Valencia, Spain (A.M.); Department of Radiology, National Cancer Institute Fondazione Pascale, Naples, Italy (O.C.); Department of Radiology, Università Degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Naples, Italy (M.S.d.S.); Department of Dermatology, Roskilde Hospital, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Roskilde, Denmark (K.Z.); Department of Radiology, Hospital das Clínicas da Faculdade de Medicina de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil (M.B.); Department of Radiology, Instituto Diagnóstico Médico, Instituto de Imágenes Diagnósticas, Bogota, Colombia (C.G.); Bard Cancer Center, New York, New York USA (R.B.); Department of Radiodiagnosis, Central Hospital, Telangana, India (A.M.); Departments of Dermatology and Histology, Iuliu Hatieganu University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Cluj-Napoca, Romania (M.C.); Department of Dermatology and Allergic Diseases, University Clinic Ulm, Ulm, Germany (D.C.); and Department of Medical Imaging, Rambam Health Care Center, Haifa, Israel (D.G.).

Address correspondence to Ximena Wortsman MD, Departments of Radiology and Dermatology, Institute for Diagnostic Imaging and Research of the Skin and Soft Tissues, Clinica Servet, Faculty of Medicine, University of Chile, Lo Fontecilla 201, of 734, Las Condes, Santiago, Chile.

E-mail: xworts@yahoo.com

doi:10.7863/ultra.15.10068

ermatologic ultrasound has been growing rapidly in line with the development of more potent machines and high-frequency probes. So far, this application has covered a wide and common range of dermatologic conditions that include benign and malignant tumors, vascular anomalies, inflammatory diseases, nail and scalp disorders, and cosmetic conditions. ¹⁻⁶

To date, several training programs for other areas of ultrasound have been reported, such as in the musculoskeletal,⁷⁻¹¹ emergency,¹²⁻¹⁴ cardiology,¹⁵ and anesthesiology¹⁶ fields. Nevertheless, dermatologic ultrasound as a new application still lacks international formal training programs or accreditation.

Thus, the increasing number of reports on this new field of ultrasound allow us to predict that in the near future, formal guidelines and training assessment programs or courses with quality and accredited educational standards should be developed. Moreover, the spreading of this technique may require a joint effort of physicians from different specialties who can contribute with their views and experience to create a minimum curriculum with a basal level of expertise for training future generations in the dermatologic ultrasound field.

In 2015, the Spanish Society of Ultrasound (Sociedad Española de Ecografía), a member of the European Federation of Societies for Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology, organized a level 1 accreditation program in dermatology and aesthetics for Spanish physicians, with 8 theoretical topics mainly based on ultrasound basics, normal anatomy of the skin, nail, and hair, inflammatory diseases, common skin tumors, fillers, and basic vascular exploration in dermatology and aesthetic medicine. This program also has a workshop on normal anatomy of the skin and adnexa, a theory test that should be passed by the participants with a minimum of 80% correct answers, and a workshop test that evaluates the competence for identifying the normal dermatologic ultrasound anatomy.¹⁷

A core international task force group called the DERMUS (Dermatologic Ultrasound) group has been formed by 15 physicians from 11 countries (Spain, Italy, Chile, Denmark, United States, India, Israel, Brazil, Colombia, Romania, and Germany) in 3 continents

(Europe, America, and Asia) who have published on this topic in peer-reviewed journals and work in daily practice in this field of ultrasound. Of these members, 53% (n = 8) are radiologists, and 47% (n = 7) are dermatologists.

So far, the DERMUS group has developed the first guidelines for performing dermatologic ultrasound examinations, ¹⁸ and now this group has worked on the proposal of an assessment training program based on 3 levels of complexity that can be used for teaching in formal courses by accredited international institutions or organizations. This proposal is a recommendation based on the experience of the members and a collaborative work that included discussions on the content; however, it may be the subject of optimization according to future developments in technology and advances in knowledge. Also, these 3 levels might possibly be fused into 2 levels, maintaining the main contents.

The 3 stages were designated basic, intermediate, and advanced levels with a range of 8 to 10 hours of lectures and hands-on workshops per level. The programs included main topics for each category, which could be subdivided or extended into more specific subjects according to the complexity and duration of the sessions.

The proposal for these training levels covered a wide range of anatomy of dermatologic and adjacent structures, technical considerations, and relevant conditions as well as anatomic variants and some interventional procedures related to the dermatologic field (Tables 1–3). All levels require tutoring from physicians with expertise in the field, and they should be consecutive over time according to their complexity.

The contents for level 1 include basic ultrasound knowledge, normal dermatologic ultrasound anatomy, and common pathologic conditions. Levels 2 and 3 gather more complex dermatologic conditions and workshops. This program also includes theory and workshop tests for each level, which must be approved by the participant. Among other proposals of the members were the creation of a database catalog as a teaching file and the generation of standardized reports of the examinations for the wide range of dermatologic conditions, separated by categories (eg, tumors, inflammatory diseases, nail lesions, and cosmetics).

The development of an assessment training program in dermatologic ultrasound seems to be a critical need for the establishment of minimum quality standards in the educational process of this application. To our knowledge, this program is the first attempt to create such a scientific program in dermatology based on what the physician should or must know for performing the examinations.

The fusion of knowledge of specialties such as radiology and dermatology in the creation of this training program, in an international setting, seems to be of benefit for the development of a more solid and practical minimum

Table 1. Level 1: Basic Competence Assessment in Dermatologic Ultrasound

Name:	Date:
Affiliation:	Trainer Signature:

Basic ultrasound concepts

Echogenicity and artifacts

Color and power Doppler

Spectral curve analysis

Buttons

Basics of other imaging techniques in dermatology

Clinical, imaging, and histologic correlations

Normal anatomy

Skin

Nail

Hair

Adjacent structures

Anatomic variants

Examination technique

Skin

Nail

Hair

Basic pathology

Benign skin lesions

Hemangiomas

Vascular malformations

Epidermal cysts

Pilomatrixomas

Lipomas

Benign nail lesions

Glomus tumors

Fibromas

Subungual exostosis

Ungual psoriasis

Myxoid cysts

Benign scalp and hair lesions

Trichilemmal cysts

Subgaleal lipomas

Malignant skin lesions

Basal cell carcinoma

Squamous cell carcinoma

Melanoma

Inflammatory skin lesions

Plantar warts

Psoriasis

Superficial fluid collections

Granulomas and foreign bodies

Cosmetics

Fillers: basics

Hands-or

Normal anatomy of the skin, nail, hair, and adjacent structures Basics on ultrasound buttons and dermatologic technique curriculum, which could be of paramount importance in the application of this information in the real world. Ideally, these training levels would be adopted by international ultrasound organizations to ensure quality standards and

Table 2. Level 2: Intermediate Competence Assessment in Dermatologic Ultrasound

Name:	Date:
Affiliation:	Trainer Signature:

Advanced ultrasound imaging optimization for dermatology

Panoramic views

3-dimensional imaging

Vascularity software

Contrast and elastography

Skin tumors

Benign tumors

Dermatofibromas

Neurofibromatosis

Malignant tumors

Dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans

Merkel cell carcinoma

Liposarcoma

Locoregional staging and lymph node morphology in skin cancer

Nail congenital and location alterations

Onychocryptosis

Onychomadesis

Retronychia

Inflammatory skin diseases

Morphea-scleroderma

Dermatomyositis

Cutaneous lupus

Acne and rosacea

Hidradenitis suppurativa

Lipedema-scleredema

Mycetomas and myiasis

Scalp and hair

Alopecia

Perifolliculitis capitis abscedens et suffodiens

Folliculitis decalvans

Cosmetics

Fillers: advanced

Tensor threads

Photoaging

Dermatologic ultrasound report

Reporting dermatologic ultrasound examinations

Monitoring dermatologic entities

Hands-on/workshops

Advanced technology for dermatologic applications

Locoregional staging

Reporting dermatologic ultrasound examinations

create accredited programs and centers of excellence for the training and research fields in dermatologic ultrasound.

The 3-level format of assessment training has been previously adopted by other ultrasound applications, such

Table 3. Level 3: Advanced Competence Assessment in Dermatologic Ultrasound

Name:	Date:
Affiliation:	Trainer Signature:

Congenital hemangiomas

Rapidly involuting congenital hemangioma

Noninvoluting congenital hemangioma

Vascular tumors

Glomangiomas

Angiokeratomas

Verrucous hemangioma

Pyogenic granuloma

Angioma

Angiosarcoma

Congenital diseases of the skin

Pits, fistulas, and sinuses

Congenital cysts

Malignant skin diseases

Cutaneous lymphomas

Postoperative ultrasound imaging in skin cancer

Inflammatory diseases

Panniculitis

Odontogenic fistula

Ultrasound monitoring of inflammatory skin diseases

Giant cell temporal artery and Takayasu arteritis

Cosmetics

Ultrasound imaging of nonfiller cosmetic alterations

Ultrasound anatomy of the face for cosmetic purposes

Dermatologic ultrasound-guided procedures

Vascular malformations, percutaneous ultrasound-guided therapy

Percutaneous ultrasound-guided injection of fillers

Ultrasound-guided lymph node cytologic analysis or biopsy

Ultrasound-guided management of superficial varicose veins

Ultrasound-guided infiltration in inflammatory diseases of the skin

Presurgical ultrasound marking of skin lesions

Ultrasound-guided drainage of fluid collections

Hands-on

Dermatologic ultrasound-guided procedures

as the musculoskeletal programs for rheumatology, which are currently presented in accessible international ultrasound organization Web pages. ^{7,8,19} This successful educational experience may be replicated in the dermatologic field; moreover, in dermatology, the curriculum should include the morphologic characteristics of a broad range of common conditions and severity assessments of the diseases, as well as a topographic anatomic methodology. This practical approach has also been used in the development of ultrasound curricula for medical students²⁰ and the development of guidelines for ultrasound applications by several societies. ²¹

As proposed in the DERMUS guidelines, the training assessment levels should be combined with continuous practice in this application. Thus, at least 300 dermatologic ultrasound examinations per year have been proposed as the minimum number for assessing competence in this field.¹⁸

As suggested by other ultrasound modality groups, ¹⁹ the programs must be designed for covering both theoretical and practical skills; therefore, they should include hands-on workshops for each level. The recommended percentage between theoretic activities and workshops and/or live demonstrations may vary according to the complexity of the level and type of activity but should be around 60% theoretic and 40% workshops and/or live demonstrations for a 3-day course. However, these percentages may be inverted at advanced levels with more invasive procedures in their programs, or focused hands-on activities can be organized as separate courses but still attached to the main course.

One of the challenges of the dermatologic training programs is the difficulty of creating hands-on workshops with pathologic conditions or ultrasound-guided procedures. Nevertheless, this process can be done with the strong support of local medical teams, the use of phantoms, or live and virtual educational tools. Another possibility is the use of cadavers in the workshops, which may improve the learning of anatomy, a tool that has been previously included in musculoskeletal courses.

The process of selection of the faculties may also present some geographic difficulties because so far, the DERMUS members are dispersed in different countries and continents. However, under the support of local and international organizations, universities, or sponsors, this initial issue may be solved progressively. In latter stages, the selection of faculties probably will consider local and international faculties according to the location of the courses; therefore, a worldwide task force can be developed. Another point to consider is that even though the current members of DERMUS are actively working with derma-

tologic patients and publishing on the topic, a teach-theteacher course may be needed to homogenize the background and teaching skills of the faculties.

A topic for discussion is the requirement of publishing for the trainees of these programs. For example, in some rheumatologic training programs, there is a requirement of publishing at least 1 publication in a peer-reviewed journal every 5 years and teaching endorsed courses for passing the levels and accrediting the maintenance of skills. ¹⁹

The creation of these training levels has required several years of experience of the members of this group, which has been supported by the continuous and growing flow of publications in this field. ^{1-6,18,22,23} These facts have contributed to proving the strong support of ultrasound to the dermatologic field, which now seems to be a first-choice imaging modality for the study of frequent dermatologic conditions and a first-line imaging technique in dermatology, such as dermoscopy or confocal microscopy. Last, the creation of an organized minimum curriculum in the dermatologic ultrasound field seems to be of paramount importance to stimulate the solid growth of this application.

References

- Wortsman X, Wortsman J. Clinical usefulness of variable-frequency ultrasound in localized lesions of the skin. J Am Acad Dermatol 2010; 62:247–256.
- Wortsman X. Common applications of dermatologic sonography. *J Ultrasound Med* 2012; 31:97–111.
- Alfageme-Roldán F. Ultrasound skin imaging. Actas Dermosifiliogr 2014; 105:891–899.
- Kleinerman R, Whang TB, Bard RL, Marmur ES. Ultrasound in dermatology: principles and applications. J Am Acad Dermatol 2012; 67:478

 487.
- Mandava A, Ravuri PR, Konathan R. High-resolution ultrasound imaging of cutaneous lesions. *Indian J Radiol Imaging* 2013; 23:269–277.
- Scotto di Santolo M, Sagnelli M, Mancini M, et al. High-resolution color-Doppler ultrasound for the study of skin growths. Arch Dermatol Res 2015; 307:559–566.
- Terslev L, Hammer HB, Torp-Pedersen S, et al. EFSUMB minimum training requirements for rheumatologists performing musculoskeletal ultrasound. *Ultraschall Med* 2013; 34:475–477.
- Kissin EY, Niu J, Balint P, et al. Musculoskeletal ultrasound training and competency assessment program for rheumatology fellows. *J Ultrasound Med* 2013; 32:1735–1743.
- Finnoff JT, Berkoff D, Brennan F, et al. American Medical Society for Sports Medicine recommended sports ultrasound curriculum for sports medicine fellowships. Clin J Sport Med 2015; 25:23–29.
- Finnoff J, Lavallee ME, Smith J. Musculoskeletal ultrasound education for sports medicine fellows: a suggested/potential curriculum by the American Medical Society for Sports Medicine. Br J Sports Med 2010; 44:1144–1148.

- Ike R, Arnold E, Arnold W, et al. Ultrasound in American rheumatology practice: report of the American College of Rheumatology Musculoskeletal Ultrasound Task Force. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2010; 62:1206– 1219
- Boniface K, Yarris LM. Emergency ultrasound: leveling the training and assessment landscape. Acad Emerg Med 2014; 21:803–805.
- American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine. AIUM practice guideline for the performance of the focused assessment with sonography for trauma (FAST) examination. J Ultrasound Med 2008; 27:313–318.
- Hayward M, Chan T, Healey A. Dedicated time for deliberate practice: one emergency medicine program's approach to point-of-care ultrasound (PoCUS) training. CJEM 2015; 17:558–561.
- Siqueira VN, Mancuso FJ, Campos O, De Paola AA, Carvalho AC, Moises VA. Training program for cardiology residents to perform focused cardiac ultrasound examination with portable device. *Echocardiography* 2015; 32:1455–1462.
- 16. Sites BD, Chan VW, Neal JM, et al. The American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine and the European Society of Regional Anaesthesia and Pain Therapy joint committee recommendations for education and training in ultrasound-guided regional anesthesia. *Reg* Anesth Pain Med 2010; 35(suppl):S74–S80.
- Sociedad Española de Ecografía. SEECO accreditation for dermatology and aesthetics. Sociedad Española de Ecografía website. http:// www.seeco.es/images/icagenda/files/2015_06_Condiciones_ Acreditacion_Dermatologia_Medicina%20_Estetica.pdf. Drafted April 2015.
- Wortsman X, Alfageme F, Roustan G, et al. Guidelines for performing dermatologic ultrasound examinations by the DERMUS group. J Ultrasound Med 2016; 35:577–580.
- European Federation of Societies for Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology. Minimum training recommendations for the practice of medical ultrasound in Europe. European Federation of Societies for Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology website. http://www.efsumb.org/guidelines/guidelines01.asp. Updated October 2013.
- Baltarowich OH, Di Salvo DN, Scoutt LM, et al. National ultrasound curriculum for medical students. *Ultrasound* Q 2014; 30:13–19.
- American College of Radiology (ACR); Society for Pediatric Radiology (SPR); Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound (SRU). AIUM practice guideline for the performance of a musculoskeletal ultrasound examination. J Ultrasound Med 2012; 31:1473–1488.
- Hoffmann K, Hölzle E, Ulrich J, Dill-Müller D, Blum A, Gottlöber P; Untergruppe Ultraschall in der Dermatologie der Subkommission Physikalische Verfahren in der Dermatologie. Guidelines for quality assurance in ultrasound diagnosis in dermatology: guideline of the Ultrasound in Dermatology Subgroup of the Physical Procedures in Dermatology Subcommittee January 2000 [in German]. Hautarzt 2001; 52:304–311.
- Ulrich J, Schwürzer-Voit M, Jenderka KV, Voit C. Sonographic diagnostics in dermatology. J Dtsch Dermatol Ges 2014; 12:1083–1098.