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## APPLICATION OF GEOMETRY INDEPENDENT FIELD APPROXIMATION (GIFT) IN THE STUDY OF PLATE VIBRATIONS

Los fenómenos físicos, presentes en las ciencias y en las diferentes áreas de la ingeniería, a menudo son modelados por Ecuaciones Diferenciales Parciales (EDP). Los problemas de valor de frontera resultantes en muchos casos carecen de soluciones analíticas. Para resolver tales problemas, uno puede hacer suposiciones que simplifiquen el problema, o usar métodos numéricos para aproximar la solución. Dentro de los métodos numéricos actualmente existentes, el más popular es el Método de Elementos Finitos (FEM), que es la base de diferentes programas comerciales, como ADINA o ANSYS, entre muchos otros. La desventaja de este método es la gran cantidad de recursos computacionales y los tiempos de iteración requeridos para obtener una solución precisa del problema.

Dada esta desventaja, Hughes desarrolló el Análisis IsoGeométrico (IGA). Este método permite integrar el modelo CAD con el Análisis de Elementos Finitos (FEA), por lo tanto, reduce los tiempos y los recursos necesarios para obtener una solución precisa. Pero a su vez, el IGA no tiene flexibilidad para obtener soluciones de ciertos problemas, ya que usa las mismas funciones bases para parametrizar tanto la geometría como el campo de solución.

Debido a esto último, surge el Análisis IsoGeométrico Generalizado (GIFT) como una generalización del IGA, este método utiliza diferentes funciones bases para parametrizar la geometría del objeto y el campo de solución, permitiendo la selección de funciones que se adapten mejor al problema estudiado. En trabajos anteriores, el GIFT ha sido aplicado a problemas de la Ecuación de Laplace y de Elasticidad Lineal.

El objetivo principal de este trabajo es estudiar el rendimiento del GIFT para problemas de flexión y de vibraciones de placas delgadas. El estudio consiste en implementar el GIFT para 3 placas diferentes y comparar los resultados numéricos con lo predicho por la Teoría de Placas de Kirchhoff-Love (KLPT). Se consideran una placa de geometría circular simple, una placa de geometría circular de dos parches y una placa cuadrada con un agujero de forma compleja, modelada por 8 parches. Las placas están parametrizadas por NURBS, mientras que las soluciones se aproximan por un parche usando NURBS o B-Splines. Los resultados se muestran en términos de curvas de convergencia, modos de vibración y frecuencias naturales.

Los resultados numéricos se comparan con las soluciones analíticas para problemas con geometría simple y con la solución FEM para el problema de una placa más compleja. El análisis realizado indica que, para la misma parametrización de geometría (uniforme), (a) la solución se puede aproximar mediante un parche NURBS o B-Splines, manteniendo inalterada la geometría original, (b) los resultados obtenidos con las aproximaciones de campo NURBS y B-Splines son idénticas, (c) la tasa de convergencia depende del grado de aproximación de la solución. Para parametrizaciones geométricas no uniformes, el método no produce una tasa de convergencia óptima o resultados suficientemente precisos, al igual que el IGA tradicional.

## Abstract

Physical phenomena, present in sciences and in the different areas of engineering, are often modeled by Partial Differential Equations (PDE). The resulting boundary value problems in many cases lack analytical solutions. To solve such problems, one can make assumptions that simplify the problem, or use numerical methods to approximate the solution. Within the currently existing numerical methods, the most popular one is the Finite Element Method (FEM), which is the basis of different commercial software, such as ADINA or ANSYS, among many others. The disadvantage of this method is the great amount of computational resources and iteration times required to obtain an accurate solution of the problem.

Given this disadvantage, Hughes developed the IsoGeometric Analysis (IGA). This method can integrate the CAD model with the Finite Element Analysis (FEA), thereby reduces the times and resources needed to obtain the precise solution. But in its turn, IGA lacks flexibility to obtain the solutions of certain problems because it uses the same basis functions to parameterize both, the geometry and the solution field.

Because of the latter, the Geometry Independent Field approximaTion (GIFT) was proposed as a generalization of IGA, which utilizes different basis functions to parameterize the geometry of the object and the solution field, allowing the selection of functions that adapt better to the problem studied. The previous work on GIFT was done for problems of Laplace's Equation and Linear Elasticity.

The main objective of this work is to further study the performance of GIFT for problems of bending and vibration of thin plates. The study consists in implementing GIFT for 3 different plates and comparing the numerical results with the analytical solutions of the Kirchhoff-Love Plate Theory (KLPT). A simple circular geometry plate, a circular two-patch geometry plate and a square plate with a cut-out of a complex shape, modeled by 8 patches, are considered. While all plates are parameterized by NURBS, the solutions are approximated by one patch NURBS or B-Splines. The results are demonstrated in terms of the convergence curves, vibrations modes and natural frequencies.

The numerical results are compared with the analytical solutions for problems with simple geometry and with the FEM solution for the problem of a plate of complex shape. The conducted analysis indicates that, for the same (smooth) geometry parameterization, (a) the solution can be approximated by one patch NURBS or B-Splines, while keeping the original geometry unaltered, (b) the results obtained with NURBS and B-Splines field approximations are quasi-identical, (c) the convergence rate depends on the degree of the solution approximation. For non-uniform geometry parameterizations, the method doesn't produce an optimal convergence rate or sufficiently accurate results, just like the traditional IGA.

To my family, my girlfriend and my friends, "Learning never exhausts the mind." Leonardo da Vinci
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## Introduction and Motivation

There are different phenomena present in science and engineering, such as heat transfer, wave propagation or fluid movement, which are described by Partial Differential Equations (PDE), which, in many cases can not be solved by analytical methods. There are two alternatives to obtain such solutions: the first is to make a series of assumptions that allow to idealize and simplify the problem until it is possible to solve it analytically; while the other alternative is to use numerical methods that allow obtaining an approximate solution of the real problem.

The Finite Element Method (FEM) is one of the most popular methods of numerical analysis and used worldwide in the different areas of engineering, since it allows finding an approximate solution to various physical problems, such as: vibrations, kinematics and dynamics of fluid, heat transfer, wave propagation, deformations, among others. This method is the basis of a vast amount of programs available in the market, such as: ADINA, ANSYS, Comsol, etc. However, when the FEM analysis is performed on the CAD geometry model, the FEM software receives the geometry of a CAD model and converts it to the FEM model by means of discretization and re-parameterization of the boundaries.

FEM consists in dividing the geometry of an object into a finite number of elements, approximating the computational domain and the solution by polynomial shape functions within each element and reducing the problem to a set of local equations connected to each other. If the accuracy of the numerical solution is not satisfactory, the mesh of the model must be refined and in each refinement it is necessary to communicate with the CAD model to re-parameterize the geometry. This procedure increases the computational resources and the iteration time. Figure 1 shows a CAD model with its respective meshing and refinement of it.

To overcome these difficulty a new method of analysis emerged, the IsoGeometric Analysis (IGA), developed by Hughes et al. [1].

The IGA is a numerical method that allows to integrate the CAD models that use NURBS functions to represent the geometry with the Finite Element Analysis (FEA). Unlike the FEM, IGA avoids the approximation of the objects and works directly with its CAD geometry. The main idea of the method is to use the same basis functions to parameterize the geometry of the body and to approximate the unknown solution field. This allows to reduce computational and time resources; but it has certain disadvantages, such as: (a) lack of local refinement due to the tensor-product structure of NURBS and (b) the need to couple multi-patch geometries. In Figure 2 can be observed the main idea of the IGA schematically.


Figure 1: CAD model, meshing and refinement [1].


Figure 2: The main idea of the IsoGeoemtric Analysis (IGA) 2 .

In order to overcome this lack of flexibility and adaptability, the Geometry Independent Field approximaTion (GIFT) was proposed in [3]. The GIFT is a generalization of the IGA and inherits the main advantage of it, which consists in preserving the original parametrization of the geometry of the CAD model; but allowing the use of other functions as the basis for the solution approximation. One can choose functions that are more convenient for the analysis, as, for example, B-Splines or PHT-Splines. It has been shown in [3] that the convergence rate of the method does not depend on the parameterization of the geometry and is fully defined by the polynomial order of the basis function of the solution approximation.

In [3] the performance of the method was demonstrated for Laplace's equation and linear elasticity, i.e. the PDEs of second order. The main idea of this work is to investigate the performance of GIFT when applied to problems of plate vibrations, based on Kirchhof-Love Plate Theory, described by the PDEs of 4-th order. The motivation of this thesis work is to show how the different options to parameterize the geometry and the solution field affect
the precision and the convergence rate of solution, both in terms of displacements as well as the natural frequencies for geometries composed of one or several patches and of various complexities.

For this, 3 plate problems are studied. The first one corresponds to a circular plate, with the analytical solution available to verify the implementation of the method. The second one is the same problem for a circular plate, but given by a two-patch NURBS parameterization. This problem serves to show how a simple but yet composite geometry can be analyzed using the GIFT method. The third problem is a realistic CAD geometry, i.e. a square plate with a cut-out of complex shape, parameterized by 8 NURBS patches. This problem demonstrates how this NURBS parameterization can be paired with a one-patch B-Splines solution field without any need for coupling the NURBS patches, like it is done in the standard IGA.

## Chapter 1

## Objectives and Scope

### 1.1 General Objective

The main objective of this work is to implement and validate the method of Geometry Independent Field approximaTion (GIFT) for the study of plate bending and vibrations, based on the Kirchhoff-Love Plate Theory (KLPT).

### 1.2 Specific Objectives

1. Modify and implement the GIFT algorithm for the study of thin plates.
2. Design three test cases based on three different NURBS geometry parameterizations.
3. Compare numerical data with analytical results, as well as the FEM solution for the plate of complex geometry, in terms of the convergence rate, vibration modes and natural frequencies.
4. Assess the performance of the method, based on the obtained results. Draw conclusions, analyze strengths and weaknesses of the GIFT method, formulate recommendations for potential users.

### 1.3 Scope

1. The work is restricted to applying the GIFT algorithm only to the study of KLPT plates. Other types of modifications to the existing code are not considered.
2. In this work, only 3 plates will be studied, which have 3 different geometries and characteristics between them.
3. Extension of the work to other plates theories, as well as other numerical methods, is not considered.

## Chapter 2

## Theoretical Background

In this section, the general and specific background information on which the thesis work is based will be presented and detailed.

### 2.1 Finite Element Method (FEM)

The Finite Element Method (FEM) is used to solve, numerically and roughly, physical problems necessary for engineering design and analysis. The FEM procedure is shown schematically in Figure 2.1 [4.

This method uses the following steps [5]:

1. Domain Discretization: it consists of dividing the object to study in a finite number of elements, where each element is formed by nodes that are in turn connected to the nodes of the nearby elements.
2. Approximate Solution: the variable under study is approximated by means of shape functions in each element.
3. Finite Element Model: it consists of transforming the problem to a set of local equations in each element that can be written in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[K_{\mathrm{e}}\right] \cdot\left\{u_{\mathrm{e}}\right\}=\left\{f_{\mathrm{e}}\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $K_{\mathrm{e}}$ represents the Elemental Stiffness Matrix, $u_{\mathrm{e}}$ is the vector of unknown nodal values in an element and $f_{\mathrm{e}}$ is the vector of Elemental Nodal Forces.
4. Element Connectivity: it consists in joining and assembling the equations of each of the elements, obtained in the previous stage; whereby the global equation of the problem is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[K_{g}\right] \cdot\left\{u_{g}\right\}=\left\{f_{g}\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $K_{g}$ represents the Global Stiffness Matrix, $u_{g}$ is the global unknowns vector and $f_{g}$ is the Global Nodal Forces Vector, the boundary conditions of the Neumann type are added to this last.


Figure 2.1: The process of Finite Element Method (FEM) 4].
5. Imposition of Boundary Conditions: the boundary conditions of the Dirichlet type are applied.
6. Problem Resolution: the global equation of the system is solved, the solution is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{u_{g}\right\}=\left[K_{g}\right]^{-1} \cdot\left\{f_{g}\right\} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

7. Post-Processing: the results obtained are compared and analyzed in order to verify that the numerical solution is accurate enough (for example, by comparing it with the analytical solution). In the case if this precision is not achieved, the entire process must be repeated, but this time refining the mesh, that is, increasing the number of elements that discretize the domain of the problem, as can be seen in Figure 2.2.

### 2.2 IsoGeometric Analysis (IGA)

IsoGeometric Analysis (IGA) is a computational approach that allows the integration of Finite Element Analysis (FEA) with CAD design tools, based on NURBS (Non-Uniform Rational B-Splines). When using the FEA on CAD models, it is necessary to convert the CAD data to the FEA data, i.e. to re-parameterize the boundaries of CAD objects with the polynomials used in FEM. This procedure must be repeated during the solution refinement


Figure 2.2: Refinement of the mesh when using FEM [4].
process. IGA allows to use CAD geometries directly for the finite element analysis. This allows the models to be designed, tested and adjusted at one time using a common data set [1]. This is achieved by utilizing the same shape functions, which are used in CAD to represent the geometries, to approximate the unknown fields.

The main idea of the IGA is shown schematically in Figure 2.3:


Figure 2.3: Main Idea of the IGA: the same shape functions are used both to parameterize the geometry of the object and to approximate the solution [3].

The bases of the IGA are detailed below:

### 2.2.1 B-Splines

## Knot Vectors

A knot vector in one dimension is a set of coordinates in the parametric space, written $\Xi=\left\{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{n+p+1}\right\}$, where $\xi_{\mathrm{i}} \in \mathbb{R}$ is the $\mathrm{i}-$ th knot, i is the knot index, $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, n+p+1$, $p$ is the polynomial order, and $n$ is the number of basis functions which comprise the B -Spline.

## Basis Functions

B-Spline [6] basis functions are defined recursively starting with piecewise constants ( $p=0$ ):

$$
N_{\mathrm{i}, 0}(\xi)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \xi_{\mathrm{i}} \leq \xi \leq \xi_{\mathrm{i}+1}  \tag{2.4}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

For $p=1,2,3, \ldots$, are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\mathrm{i}, p}(\xi)=\frac{\xi-\xi_{\mathrm{i}}}{\xi_{\mathrm{i}+p}-\xi_{\mathrm{i}}} N_{\mathrm{i}, p-1}(\xi)+\frac{\xi_{\mathrm{i}+p+1}-\xi}{\xi_{\mathrm{i}+p+1}-\xi_{\mathrm{i}-1}} N_{\mathrm{i}+1, p-1}(\xi) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The properties of the basis functions of the B-Splines are:

1. The basis functions constitute a partition of the unit, that is, $\forall \xi$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{n} N_{\mathrm{i}, p}(\xi)=1 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. The support of each $N_{\mathrm{i}, p}(\xi)$ is compact and contained in the interval $\left[\xi_{\mathrm{i}}, \xi_{\mathrm{i}+p+1}\right]$.
3. Each basis function is non-negative, that is, $N_{\mathrm{i}, p}(\xi) \geq 0, \forall \xi$. Consequently, all of the coefficients of a mass matrix computed from a B-spline basis are greater than, or equal to, zero.

## B-Spline Curves

B-Spline curves in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{d}}, \mathrm{d}=2,3$, are constructed by taking a linear combination of B-Spline basis functions. The coefficients of the basis functions are referred to as control points. These are somewhat analogous to nodal coordinates in finite element analysis. Piecewise linear interpolation of the control points gives the so-called control polygon. In general, control points are not interpolated by B-spline curves. Given $n$ basis functions, $N_{\mathrm{i}, p}, \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, n$, and corresponding control points $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{d}}, \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, n$; a piecewise-polynomial B-Spline curve is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}(\xi)=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{n} N_{\mathrm{i}, p}(\xi) \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

An example of a B-Splines curve is shown in Figure 2.4 for the quadratic basis functions shown in the same figure.

The properties of the B-Splines curves are:

(a) Quadratic basis function for the knot vector (b) B-Spline piecewise quadratic
$\Xi=\{0,0,0,1,2,3,4,4,5,5,5\}$. curve in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. $\Xi=\{0,0,0,1,2,3,4,4,5,5,5\}$.

Figure 2.4: Example for a B-Spline curve [6].

1. They have continuous derivatives of order $p-1$ in the absence of repeated knots or control points.
2. Repeating a knot or control point $k$ times decreases the continuity of the derivatives to $p-k$.
3. An affine transformation of a B-spline curve is obtained by applying the transformation to the control points, this property is called as affine covariance.

## B-Splines Surfaces

Given a control net $\left\{\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathrm{j}}\right\}, \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, n, j=1,2, \ldots, m$, and knot vectors $\Xi=\left\{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{n+p+1}\right\}$, and $H=\left\{\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \ldots, \eta_{m+q+1}\right\}$, a tensor product B-Spline surface is defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{S}(\xi, \eta)=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} N_{\mathrm{i}, p}(\xi) M_{j, q}(\eta) \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $N_{\mathrm{i}, p}$ and $M_{j, q}$ are basis functions of B-Spline curves. For purposes of numerically integrating arrays constructed from B-Splines, elements are taken to be knot spans, namely, $\left[\xi_{\mathrm{i}}, \xi_{\mathrm{i}+1}\right] \times\left[\eta_{\mathrm{i}}, \eta_{\mathrm{i}+1}\right]$.

## B-Splines Solids

Tensor product B-Spline solids are defined in analogous fashion to B-spline surfaces. Given a control net $\left\{\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \mathbf{k}}\right\}, \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, n, j=1,2, \ldots, m, k=1,2, \ldots, l$, and knot vectors $\Xi=\left\{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{n+p+1}\right\}, H=\left\{\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \ldots, \eta_{m+q+1}\right\}$ and $\mathfrak{L}=\left\{\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}, \ldots, \zeta_{l+r+1}\right\}$; a B-Spline solid is defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{V}(\xi, \eta, \zeta)=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{l} N_{\mathbf{i}, p}(\xi) M_{j, q}(\eta) L_{k, r}(\zeta) \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.2.2 NURBS (Non-Uniform Rational B-Splines)

The rational basis functions and NURBS [1] curve are given, respectively, by:

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{\mathrm{i}}^{p}(\xi) & =\frac{N_{\mathrm{i}, p}(\xi) w_{\mathrm{i}}}{\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{n} N_{\mathrm{i}, p}(\xi) w_{\mathrm{i}}}  \tag{2.10}\\
\mathbf{C}(\xi) & =\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{n} R_{\mathrm{i}}^{p}(\xi) \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}} \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Rational surfaces and solids are defined analogously in terms of the rational basis functions:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
R_{\mathrm{i}, j}^{p, q}(\xi, \eta)=\frac{N_{\mathrm{i}, p}(\xi) M_{j, q}(\eta) w_{\mathrm{i}, j}}{\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} N_{\mathrm{i}, p}(\xi) M_{j, q}(\eta) w_{\mathrm{i}, j}} \\
R_{\mathrm{i}, j, k}^{p, q, r}(\xi, \eta, \zeta)=\frac{N_{\mathrm{i}, p}(\xi) M_{j, q}(\eta) L_{k, r}(\zeta) w_{\mathrm{i}, j, k}}{\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{l} N_{\mathrm{i}, p}(\xi) M_{j, q}(\eta) L_{k, r}(\zeta) w_{\mathrm{i}, j, k}} \tag{2.13}
\end{array}
$$

Surfaces and solids NURBS are given, respectively, by:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{S}(\xi, \eta)=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} R_{\mathrm{i}, j}^{p, q}(\xi, \eta) \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}  \tag{2.14}\\
\mathbf{V}(\xi, \eta, \zeta)=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{l} R_{\mathrm{i}, j, k}^{p, q, r}(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}} \tag{2.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Figure 2.5 shows a control net and the corresponding NURBS surface description of a torus.


Figure 2.5: Control net for a toroidal surface and the toroidal surfaces generated [1].
The properties of the NURBS are:

1. NURBS basis functions form a partition of unity.
2. The continuity and support of NURBS basis functions are the same as for B-Splines.
3. Affine transformations in physical space are obtained by applying the transformation to the control points, that is, NURBS possess the property of affine covariance.
4. If weights are equal, NURBS become B-Splines (i.e., piecewise polynomials)
5. NURBS surfaces and solids are the projective transformations of tensor product, piecewise polynomial entities.
6. NURBS can accurately represent conical surfaces, such as: circles, parabolas, ellipses, among others.

A summary of similar and dissimilar Finite Element Analysis and IsoGeometric Analysis concepts is presented in Table 2.1 [1].

Table 2.1: Comparison of Finite Element Analysis and IsoGeometric Analysis based on NURBS [1].

| Differences |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Finite Element Analysis (FEA) | IsoGeometric Analysis (IGA) |
| Nodal points | Control points |
| Nodal variables | Control variables |
| Mesh | Knots |
| Basis interpolates nodal | Basis does not interpolate |
| points and variables | control points and variables |
| Approximate geometry | Exact geometry |
| Polynomial basis | NURBS basis |
| Gibbs phenomena | Variation diminishing |
| Subdomains | Patches |
| Similarities |  |
| Compact support |  |
| Partition of unity |  |
| Isoparametric concept |  |
| Affine covariance |  |
| Patch tests satisfied |  |

### 2.2.3 Refinement

An interesting feature of NURBS or B-Splines, among others, is that the shape functions space can be enhanced without modifying the geometry description and its parametrization. Three types of refinement exist, and for all of them, the space is enriched by adding control points to the geometry.

## $h$-Refinement: Knot Insertion

The first refinement method presented here is knot insertion [7]. This is the IGA counterpart of the classical $h$-refinement strategy in standard FEM. Given a knot vector $\Xi=$ $\left\{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \cdots, \xi_{n+p+1}\right\}$, let us define an enriched knot vector:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\Xi}=\left\{\widetilde{\xi}_{1}=\xi_{1}, \widetilde{\xi}_{2}, \cdots \widetilde{\xi}_{n+m+p+1}=\xi_{n+p+1}\right\} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such that $\Xi \subset \widetilde{\Xi}$. Subsequently, the new $n+m$ basis are defined recursively as previous introduced, but this time they associated to the new knot vector $\widetilde{\Xi}$, see Figure 2.6 . The new $m+n$ control points are computed as a linear combination of the original control points. The method is applicable directly to B-Splines, whereas for NURBS the same approach can be used but it has to be applied on the projective $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$-dimensional B-Spline entity, from which the NURBS is derived.


Figure 2.6: Basis functions before and after knot insertion, order $p=2$. Note the changes in continuity at the knots, given as $C^{p-k}[7]$.

## p-Refinement: Order Elevation

The second refinement method is order elevation [7], which has similarities with the classical $p$-refinement strategy in standard FEM. This method involves increasing the polynomial order of the shape functions used to represent the geometry. In this approach, the continuity at every knot is preserved and therefore, during order elevation, existing knots multiplicity is increased by one, without adding any new knot. Analogously to knot insertion, neither the geometry nor the parametrization are changed after performing order elevation. In Figure 2.7, an example of shape functions after order elevation is depicted.


Figure 2.7: Basis functions before and after order elevation, from $p=2$ to $p=4$. Note that the continuity at the knots is preserved as well as the number of distinct knot intervals [7].

## $h p-$ Refinement

The third method is the $h p$ - or $k$-refinement and it consists of a combined process of degree elevation and knot insertion. These processes are not commutative and therefore the order in which these refinements are applied will change the final basis. $h p$-refinement first applies degree elevation proceeded by knot insertion, offering a reduction in degrees of freedom over its counterpart [8].

### 2.3 Geometry Independent Field approximaTion (GIFT)

The Geometry Independent Field approximaTion (GIFT) [3] is a generalization of the concept of IsoGeometric Analysis (IGA), since it allows the coexistence of different spaces for the parametrization of the computational domain and for the approximation of the field of the solution. This means that this method allows to preserve the exact geometry of the CAD that uses for example, NURBS functions; but, in the approximation space of the solution, it
allows the use of more flexible and/or suitable functions for the analysis, such as: T-Splines, LR-Splines, Hierarchical B-Splines and PHT-Splines.

This generalization of the IGA allows a local refinement adapted without the need to reparameterize the geometry of the domain given by the CAD model, as can be seen in Figure 2.8. This method was so far applied to problems of heat transfer (Poisson's equation) and linear elasticity.


Figure 2.8: Refinements in the parametric space [3].
The main idea of GIFT is to preserve the original geometry of the CAD while adapting the base of the solution with flexibility and thus improving the approximation of the solution field. The main features of GIFT are:

1. Preserve exact CAD geometry provided in any form, including B-splines or NURBS, at any stage of the solution process.
2. Allow local refinement of the solution by choosing appropriate field approximations, as independently as possible of the geometrical parameterization of the domain.
3. Allow computational savings by not refining the geometry during the process of refining the solution and by choosing simpler approaches for the solution, that is, using polynomial functions instead of rational functions.

In Figure 2.9 one can see the main idea of the GIFT.

### 2.3.1 Formulation of GIFT

It is considered an open domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{d}}$, $\mathrm{d} \geq 2$, with boundary $\Gamma$ consisting of two parts ( $\Gamma_{D}$ and $\Gamma_{N}$ ), such that: $\Gamma=\left(\overline{\Gamma_{D} \cup \Gamma_{N}}\right), \Gamma_{D} \cap \Gamma_{N}=\emptyset$. The domain $\Omega$ is parameterized on a parametric domain $P$ by mapping $F$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F: P \rightarrow \Omega \quad \boldsymbol{x}=F(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \quad \boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega, \boldsymbol{\xi} \in P \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2.9: Main Idea of the GIFT: different basis functions are used to parameterize the geometry of the object and the approximation of the solution [3].

To be consistent with the previous notations, $\boldsymbol{\xi}=(\xi, \eta)$ in the two-dimensional case and $\boldsymbol{\xi}=(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$ in the three-dimensional case. Note, that the present work is limited to 2D plate problems. In what follows we also use collapsed indexes, for example $N_{k}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$, where $k=(\mathrm{i}, j)$. The NURBS basis functions are denoted as $N_{k}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$, while the B-Splines basis functions as $B_{k}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$.

The geometrical map $F$ is given by a set of basis functions $N_{\mathrm{i}}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ and a set of control points $\boldsymbol{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$, such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{x}(\boldsymbol{\xi})=F(\boldsymbol{\xi})=\sum_{k \in I} \boldsymbol{C}_{k} N_{k}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the framework of GIFT, one can choose different basis functions, $\left\{M_{k}(\boldsymbol{\xi})\right\}_{k \in J}$, to seek the solution in the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\boldsymbol{\xi})=\sum_{k \in J} u_{k} M_{k}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $u_{k}$ are the unknown control variables. If the weak form of the boundary value problem is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(u, v)=l(v) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

using the representation 2.19 together with $v=M_{j}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$, the weak form 2.20 can be transformed to a linear system of equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{K u}=\mathbf{f} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{K}$ correspond to the Global Stiffness Matrix, $\mathbf{u}$ is the vector of all unknown control variables $u_{k}$ and $\mathbf{f}$ is the vector of Global Nodal Forces; which are given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathrm{i}, j}=a\left(M_{\mathrm{i}}(\boldsymbol{x}), M_{j}(\boldsymbol{x})\right) \quad f_{\mathrm{i}}=l\left(M_{\mathrm{i}}(\boldsymbol{x})\right) \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.4 Kirchhoff-Love Plate Theory (KLPT)

The plates are defined as structures that have one dimension much smaller than the other two. Plate theory reduces the analysis of a 3-dimensional structure to a 2-dimensional problem. The equations that govern the plate theory are Partial Differential Equations (PDE) in two dimensions defined in the mid-plane of the plate.

The Kirchhoff-Love Plate Theory (KLPT) [9] is used for the analysis of thin plates, and which is based on assumptions that are closely related to the Euler-Bernoulli Beam Theory. The assumptions of the TPKL deal with the kinematics of a line of normal material, that is, a set of particles of material initially aligned in a direction normal to the mid-plane of the plate.

The fundamental assumption of the KLPT is that the line of normal material is infinitely rigid along its length, that is, no deformations occur in the direction normal to the middle plane of the plate. During deformation, it is assumed that the line of normal material remains straight and normal to the deformed mid-plane of the plate. The assumptions of the KLPT can be summarized in the following 3 :

1. The normal material line is infinitely rigid along its own length.
2. The normal material line of the plate remains a straight line after deformation.
3. The straight normal material line remains normal to the deformed mid-plane of the plate.

Experimental measurements show that these assumptions are valid for thin plates made of homogeneous, isotropic materials. When one or more of theses conditions are not met, the predictions of Kirchhoff-Love Plate Theory might become inaccurate.

The KLPT is characterized by the following set of equations:

1. Six Strain-Displacement Equations: three equations define the mid-plane strains in terms of the plate in-plane displacements, see Equation (2.23), and three equations define the plate curvatures in terms of the transverse displacement, see Equation (2.24).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{\varepsilon_{o}}=\left\{\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}, \frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}+\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}\right\}^{T}=\left\{\varepsilon_{1}^{0}, \varepsilon_{2}^{0}, \varepsilon_{12}^{0}\right\}^{T}  \tag{2.23}\\
& \underline{\kappa}=\left\{\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{u}_{3}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}},-\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{u}_{3}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}, 2 \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{u}_{3}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}\right\}^{T}=\left\{\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}, \kappa_{12}\right\}^{T} \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Where, $x_{\mathrm{i}}$ denotes the $\mathrm{i}-$ th direction, $\bar{u}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is one of the displacement of the normal material line, $\underline{\varepsilon_{o}}$ and $\underline{\kappa}$ are the array of mid-plane strain and curvatures of the plates, respectively.
2. Five Equilibrium Equations: : two equations express the equilibrium conditions for the in-plane forces, see Equation (2.25), one equation expresses the vertical force equilibrium condition, see Equation 2.26 ; and two equations express the moment equilibrium conditions, see Equation (2.27).

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial N_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial N_{12}}{\partial x_{2}}=-p_{1} \quad \frac{\partial N_{12}}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial N_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}=-p_{2}  \tag{2.25}\\
\frac{\partial Q_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial Q_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}=-p_{3}  \tag{2.26}\\
\frac{\partial M_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}-\frac{\partial M_{12}}{\partial x_{2}}-Q_{1}=0 \quad \frac{\partial M_{12}}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial M_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}+Q_{2}=0 \tag{2.27}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where, $N$ denotes to the in-plane forces, $p_{\mathrm{i}}$ is an in-plane pressure acting along the i-th direction, $Q$ denotes to the transverse shear forces and $M$ corresponding to the bending moments
3. Six Constitutive Laws: three equations state the relationship between the in-plane forces and mid-plane strains, see Equation (2.28), and three equations state the relationship between the bending moments and plate curvatures, see Equation 2.29.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\underline{N}=\left\{N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{12}\right\}^{T}=h \underline{\underline{C}} \underline{\varepsilon_{o}}=\frac{h E}{(1+\nu)(1-2 \nu)}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1-\nu & \nu & 0 \\
\nu & 1-\nu & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1-\nu}{2}
\end{array}\right] \underline{\varepsilon_{o}}  \tag{2.28}\\
\underline{M}=\left\{M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{12}\right\}^{T}=\underline{\underline{D} \kappa}=\frac{E h^{3}}{12\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -\nu & 0 \\
-\nu & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1-\nu}{2}
\end{array}\right] \underline{\kappa} \tag{2.29}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where, $\underline{N}$ and $\underline{M}$ are the array of in-plane forces and bending moments, respectively.
Figures 2.10, 2.11 and 2.12 respectively show the acting forces and free-body diagrams with the balances of external forces, shear stresses and moments of deflection on a differential element of a plate.


Figure 2.10: Stress resultants acting on a differential element of the plate [9.


Figure 2.11: (Left) Free body diagram for the equilibrium of in-plane forces. (Right) Free body diagram for the equilibrium of transverse shear forces. [9].


Figure 2.12: Free body diagram for the equilibrium of bending moment and shear forces [9].

### 2.4.1 The Bending Problem of the KLPT

This set of seventeen equations allow to reduce the bending problem to a single partial differential equation for the plate transverse displacement, $\bar{u}_{3}$.

This problem involves nine unknowns: the three bending moments, the two transverse shear forces, the three curvatures, and the transverse displacement; using the equations of the KLPT, the problem is reduced to an equation of the following type:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial^{4} \bar{u}_{3}}{\partial x_{1}^{4}}+2 \frac{\partial^{4} \bar{u}_{3}}{\partial x_{1}^{2} \partial x_{2}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{4} \bar{u}_{3}}{\partial x_{2}^{4}}=\frac{p_{3}}{D}  \tag{2.30}\\
D=\frac{E h^{3}}{12\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)} \tag{2.31}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where $\bar{u}_{3}=w$ is the plate transverse displacement, $D$ is the Plate Bending Stiffness, $E$ and $\nu$ correspond to the Young's Modulus and the Poisson's Ratio of the material, $h$ is the thickness of the plate and $p_{3}=q$ is an external force per unit area.

The basic equation of Kirchhoff-Love Plate Bending Theory, Eq. (2.30), is the biharmonic partial differential equation for the transverse displacement, which can be written in a more compact manner with the help of the Laplacian operator $\nabla^{4}=\nabla^{2} \nabla^{2}$ in two dimensions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{4} w=\frac{q}{D} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.4.2 The Vibration Problem of the KLPT

The Partial Differential Equation that describes the free vibrations of a plate subjected to external load is the following [10]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \nabla^{2} \nabla^{2} w+\rho h \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}=q \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The additional parameters are: $\rho$ - the density of the material, $q$ - the external force applied to the mean surface, distributed transversally per unit area.

The Laplacian operator $\nabla^{2}$ in Cartesian coordinates $(x, y)$ and in cylindrical coordinates $(r, \theta)$ for two dimensions is defined, respectively, as [11:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} w(x, y) \equiv \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}} \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} w(r, \theta) \equiv \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r \frac{\partial w}{\partial r}\right)+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial \theta^{2}} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.4.3 Weak Form of the Kirchhoff-Love Plate Theory

The weak form of the Kirchhoff-Love Plate Theory [12] is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& D \int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}+\nu\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}\right)+\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y^{2}}+2(1-\nu) \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x \partial y} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x \partial y}\right] d \Omega \\
& =\int_{\Omega} q v d \Omega+\int_{\partial \Omega} \bar{q} v d \partial \Omega-\int_{\partial \Omega} \bar{m}_{n m} \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} d \partial \Omega-\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{N}\left[R_{P_{\mathrm{i}}} v\right]_{P_{\mathrm{i}}} \tag{2.36}
\end{align*}
$$

Where:

- $v$ : is the test function.
- $q$ : transversal distributed load to the domain $\Omega$.
- $\bar{q}$ : transversal distributed load to the mean surface on the boundary $\partial \Omega$ of domain $\Omega$.
- $\bar{m}_{n m}$ : distributed moment in the tangent direction $t$ of boundary $\partial \Omega$.
- $R_{P_{\mathrm{i}}}$ : concentrated forces in the transversal direction of the plate on points $P_{\mathrm{i}}$ of the boundary with geometrical discontinuities
- $n$ : normal direction to the boundary $\partial \Omega$.

While the strong form of KLPT, see Eq. 2.32 or (2.33), has derivatives up to the fourth order, the weak form only has second-order derivatives. Therefore, functions $w$ and $v$ have to belong to the set $C^{1}(x, y)$ (continuous functions with continuous first-order partial derivatives) or $C_{c p}^{1}(x, y)$ (piecewise continuous first-order derivatives). In the strong form, these functions must be continuous in $C^{4}(x, y)$ or piecewise continuous in $C_{c p}^{4}(x, y)$.

If the approximate solution $w(x, y)$ for the transverse displacement of the Kirchhoff plate is given by the following linear combination of $N$ global basis functions $\left\{N_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{N}$, like the Eq. (2.19):

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(x, y)=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{N} u_{\mathrm{i}} N_{\mathrm{i}}(x, y) \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

While for $v(x, y)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x, y)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{\mathrm{i}} N_{j}(x, y) \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The approximation of the weak form of the Kirchhoff-Love Plate Theory is the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\mathrm{i}, j=1}^{N}\left\{D \int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} N_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial x^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} N_{j}}{\partial x^{2}}+\nu\left(\frac{\partial^{2} N_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial x^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} N_{j}}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} N_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial y^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} N_{j}}{\partial x^{2}}\right)+\frac{\partial^{2} N_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial y^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} N_{j}}{\partial y^{2}}+2(1-\nu) \frac{\partial^{2} N_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial x \partial y} \frac{\partial^{2} N_{j}}{\partial x \partial y}\right] d \Omega\right\} u_{\mathrm{i}} \\
& =\int_{\Omega} q N_{j} d \Omega+\int_{\partial \Omega} \bar{q} N_{j} d \partial \Omega-\int_{\partial \Omega} \bar{m}_{n m} \frac{\partial N_{j}}{\partial n} d \partial \Omega-\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{N}\left[R_{P_{\mathrm{i}}} N_{j}\right]_{P_{\mathrm{i}}} \tag{2.39}
\end{align*}
$$

This equation represents the system of linear equations of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{K u}=\mathbf{f} \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, the coefficients of the Global Stiffness Matrix $\mathbf{K}$ and the Force Vector $\mathbf{f}$ are given, respectively, by :

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{\mathrm{i} j}=D \int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} N_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial x^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} N_{j}}{\partial x^{2}}+\nu\left(\frac{\partial^{2} N_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial x^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} N_{j}}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} N_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial y^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} N_{j}}{\partial x^{2}}\right)+\frac{\partial^{2} N_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial y^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} N_{j}}{\partial y^{2}}+2(1-\nu) \frac{\partial^{2} N_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial x \partial y} \frac{\partial^{2} N_{j}}{\partial x \partial y}\right] d \Omega  \tag{2.41}\\
f_{j}=\int_{\Omega} q N_{j} d \Omega+\int_{\partial \Omega} \bar{q} N_{j} d \partial \Omega-\int_{\partial \Omega} \bar{m}_{n m} \frac{\partial N_{j}}{\partial n} d \partial \Omega-\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{N}\left[R_{P_{\mathrm{i}}} N_{j}\right]_{P_{\mathrm{i}}} \tag{2.42}
\end{gather*}
$$

The coefficients of the global stiffness matrix can also be denoted by the following matrix product [13]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathrm{i} j}=\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{i}}^{T} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{B}_{j} d \Omega \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The stress-displacement vector is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{i}}^{T}=\left\{-\frac{\partial^{2} N_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial x^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} N_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial y^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} N_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial x \partial y}\right\}^{T} \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The elasticity matrix $\mathbf{D}$ is given by:

$$
\mathbf{D}=\frac{E h^{3}}{12\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \nu & 0  \tag{2.45}\\
\nu & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{(1-\nu)}{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

When going from a global coordinate system to a local one, we have the approximate solution $w(\xi, \eta)$ and the coefficients of the local stiffness matrix are given, respectively, by [12]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
w^{\mathrm{e}}(\xi, \eta)=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{n} u_{\mathrm{i}} N_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{e}}(\xi, \eta)  \tag{2.46}\\
K_{\mathrm{i} j}^{\mathrm{e}}=\int_{\Omega^{\mathrm{e}}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{e} T} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{B}_{j}^{\mathrm{e}} \operatorname{det}(\mathbf{J}) d \xi d \eta \tag{2.47}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where the elementary stress-displacement vector $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{e}}$ is composed of the second order derivatives of the local base functions $\left\{N_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{e}}(\xi, \eta)\right\}_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{n}$.

### 2.4.4 Numerical Analysis of Free Vibrations

The general equation of motion for a solid body is given by [14]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M} \ddot{\mathbf{u}}+\mathbf{C} \dot{\mathbf{u}}+\mathbf{K} \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{f} \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\mathbf{u}$ is the displacement vector, $\mathbf{M}$ is the Global Mass Matrix, $\mathbf{C}$ is the Global Damping Matrix, $\mathbf{K}$ is the Global Stiffness Matrix and $\mathbf{f}$ is the vector of external forces. Considering that there is no damping or external loads acting on the solid, the equation of motion in matrix form is reduced to [15]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M} \ddot{\mathbf{u}}+\mathbf{K} \mathbf{u}=0 \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general solution of Eq. 2.49) that describes the unforced and undamped vibrations of a solid is [13]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}=\overline{\mathbf{u}} \exp (\mathrm{i} \omega t) \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this equation, $i$ is the imaginary unit, $\omega$ is the natural frequency, $t$ is the time and $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$ is the eigenvector associated to $\omega$. Replacing this solution in the Eq. (2.50), you get [15]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{K}-\omega^{2} \mathbf{M}\right) \overline{\mathbf{u}}=0 \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation represents a problem of eigenvalues, which allows to obtain the natural frequencies $\omega$ and their respective eigenvectors. The equation of eigenvalues has a non-trivial solution $(\mathbf{u}=0)$ when it is fulfilled that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{K}-\omega^{2} \mathbf{M}\right)=0 \tag{2.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

This last equation is fulfilled for a discrete set of eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}=\omega_{i}^{2}$, with $i=1,2,3, \ldots$; where each $\lambda_{i}$ has an associated vector $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{i}}$, as shown in the following equation [8]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{K}-\lambda_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{M}\right) \overline{\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{i}}}=0 \tag{2.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the case of a plate, the Global Stiffness Matrix $\mathbf{K}$ is obtained from Eq. (2.41) or (2.43) presented in the previous section, while the Global Mass Matrix M and its coefficients are given by [15]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{M}=\int_{\Omega} \rho h \mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{N} d \Omega  \tag{2.54}\\
& M_{\mathrm{i} j}=\int_{\Omega} \rho h N_{\mathrm{i}} N_{j} d \Omega \tag{2.55}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $\rho$ is the density of the material, $h$ is the thickness of the plate, $\mathbf{N}$ is the vector that contains the basis functions and $N_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the i-th basis function that approximates the solution. Analogous to the Global Stiffness Matrix, you move from a global coordinate system to a local system as shown below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\mathrm{i} j}^{\mathrm{e}}=\int_{\Omega^{\mathrm{e}}} \rho h N_{\mathrm{i}}(\xi, \eta) N_{j}(\xi, \eta) \operatorname{det}(\mathbf{J}) d \xi d \eta \tag{2.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Chapter 3

## Methodology

The methodology of this thesis work is based on the implementation of the Geometry Independent Field approximaTion (GIFT) to study the static and dynamic response of thin plates, based on the Kirchhoff-Love Plate Theory (KLPT). To fulfill this, the following stages are established:

1. Literature Review: This first stage consists of carrying out a review of the bibliography related to the main concepts of this thesis work: Finite Element Method (FEM), IsoGeometric Analysis (IGA), Geometry Independent Field approximaTion (GIFT) and Kirchhoff-Love Plate Theory (KLPT).
2. Characterization of Plates to study: It consists in defining the geometry, its NURBS parameterization and material characteristics of the plates to be studied.
3. GIFT Algorithm: This stage consists in studying the algorithm developed in [3], which is programmed in $\mathrm{C}++$ language, and which allows to implement the GIFT to study 2-dimensional plate problems.
4. Adaptation of the GIFT Code: this stage consists in adapting the aforementioned code so that it is capable of generating different plate geometries.
5. Application of the GIFT to the Plate Study: this stage consists of extending the computer code, now available for Poisson's equation, for the study of plates, to obtain numerically the response, both static and dynamic, of each of the configurations studied in this report.
6. Reference Solutions of the KLPT: this stage consists in finding analytical solutions of vibrations and deflections, for those geometries where solutions exist. For complex geometries, reference solutions are the FEM solutions, obtained in ANSYS.
7. Analysis and Comparison of Results: This stage consists of comparing, for each one of the geometries studied, the static and dynamic response obtained by GIFT with the reference solutions.

The methodology is illustrated in Figure 3.1 .


Figure 3.1: Methodology of this thesis work.

### 3.1 Resources

The resources needed to develop this thesis work are of the non-pecuniary type and are mainly related to the computational software used to develop it. These correspond to:

- Literature and additional bibliography.
- A computer code, programmed in $\mathrm{C}++$ language and generated with the Code::Blocks program, which is a free C++ IDE available for Windows, OS X and Linux operating system. This program also allows the implementation of GIFT.
- Mathematical software, such as Maple or Wolfram Mathematica, to solve the KLPT equations.
- For the data analysis and the Post-Processing of the results obtained with the GIFT code, the software Matlab® will be used, which is a not free numerical computing software, available for Windows, OS X and Linux operating system.


## Chapter 4

## Definition of the Problems

This thesis work seeks to demonstrate the applicability and performance of the GIFT method to solve plate vibration problems, an important area of solid mechanics. For this, 3 plates with different characteristics and complexities will be studied.

The first problem corresponds to the problem of a clamped circular plate subjected to a constant external load and whose geometry is generated from a single patch. The second problem is identical to the previous one, but with the difference that the circular geometry of the plate is generated with 2 patches. Finally, the last problem to study consists of a square plate clamped in all its boundaries and with a complicated shape hole in its center, whose geometry is generated by 8 patches.

The objectives of each of the problems are:

- Problem 1: The problem seeks to demonstrate the applicability and accuracy of the GIFT method to resolve a simple problem with an analytical solution known. The particular objective is to compare NURBS and B-Splines for the field approximation.
- Problem 2: Together with the aforementioned, this problem also seeks to demonstrate that in the framework of GIFT, the two-patch NURBS geometry can be paired with the one-patch B-Splines solution field, where the necessary continuity of the basis functions can be enforced directly on the solution basis without any coupling conditions between the geometry patches, unlike it is done in the standard IGA.
- Problem 3: This problem, in addition to the aforementioned, seeks to demonstrate the effectiveness of the GIFT method to solve problems with more complex and multipatches geometries.

To compare the numerical results obtained with the GIFT method to the analytical ones, we will use the error measure given by the $L^{2}$-error, defined in the Eq. 4.1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w-w^{*}\right\|_{L^{2}}=\left[\int_{\Omega}\left(w-w^{*}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $w$ represented the numerical displacement obtained with the GIFT method and $w^{*}$ is the displacement predicted by the theory.

### 4.1 Problem 1: Clamped Circular Plate with One-Patch Parameterization

The first problem consists in studying the symmetrical bending and free vibrations of a circular plate of radius $a$ clamped around the edge with the geometry generated with a single patch.

The mechanical and physical properties of the plate material and the dimensions used to study the unforced deformations and vibrations of this plate are presented in Table 4.1.16.

Table 4.1: Dimensions, mechanical and physical properties of the Circular Plate [16].

| Property | Value | Unit of Measure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E$ | 200 | $G P a$ |
| $\nu$ | 0.3 | - |
| $\rho$ | 7850 | $\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| $a$ | 0.5 | m |
| $h$ | 0.01 | m |

### 4.1.1 Geometry

The knot vectors and the control points used to generate the geometry of a circular plate with $p=q=2$ are given, respectively, by the Eq. (4.2) and Table 4.2 [17] [18].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi=\{0,0,0,1,1,1\} \quad H=\{0,0,0,1,1,1\} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 4.2: Control Points and respective weights to represent a circular plate of radius $a=0.5[m]$ [17] [18].

| i | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}$ | $-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ | $-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ | $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ | $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}$ |
| $y_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}$ | 0 | $-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}$ | $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ | 0 | $-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ | $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ | 0 | $-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}$ |
| $w_{\mathrm{i}}$ | 1 | $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ | 1 | $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ | 1 |

These parameters allow one to generate a circle, as shown in Figure 4.17, where one can see the geometry elements and the control points [17]. According to the GIFT method, in all following calculations, the original (coarse) geometry parameterization is used without any changes. However, in some study cases the solution is approximated by NURBS basis functions, derived from the geometry basis by $p$ - and $h$ - refinements. Such refined geometries are demonstrated in Figures 4.1p and 4.2 [19].


Figure 4.1: Coarse mesh and control points of a circular plate for some polynomial degrees $p$ [17].


Figure 4.2: A $10 \times 10$ uniform meshed circular plate [19].

### 4.1.2 Analytical Solution for Symmetric Bending

The bending of a circular plate, using the KLPT, can be studied by analytical resolution of the governing equation, see Eq. 2.32 , with the appropriate boundary conditions. In the case of bending, Eq. 2.32 can be re-written in cylindrical coordinates as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \nabla^{2} \nabla^{2} w(r, \theta)=q \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the case of a circular plate subjected to a symmetric external load, $w(r, \theta)=w(r)$, so the equation is reduced to [20]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} \nabla^{2} w(r)=\frac{1}{r} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} r}\left[r \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} r}\left\{\frac{1}{r} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} r}\left(r \frac{\mathrm{~d} w}{\mathrm{~d} r}\right)\right\}\right]=\frac{q}{D} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a particular case of a constant load, i.e. $q=-|q|=-q_{o}$, the solution of the ordinary differential equation $(4.4)$ is obtained by direct integration, i.e:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(r)=-\frac{q_{o} r^{4}}{64 D}+C_{1} \ln (r)+C_{2} r^{2}+C_{3} r^{2} \ln (r)+C_{4} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where the integration constants $C_{\mathrm{i}}$, with $\mathrm{i}=1,2,3,4$; are determined by the boundary conditions. In the case of a complete circular plate, it is required that the deflections are finite at any point of it, so it must be imposed that $C_{1}=0$; since $\ln (r)$ tends to $-\infty$ in $r=0$.

Considering the case of a clamped circular plate in the edge $(r=a)$, the boundary conditions correspond to:

- $w(a)=0$.
- $\frac{\partial w}{\partial r}(a)=0$.

Imposing both boundary conditions, we obtain the equation that governs the behavior of a circular plate clamped in its radius $a$ and holds under a constant and symmetrical external load [21]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(r)=-\frac{q_{o}}{64 D}\left(r^{2}-a^{2}\right)^{2} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.1.3 Analytical Solution for Free Vibrations

For free vibrations, the external load $q$ is zero and the dynamic governing equation, see Eq. (2.33), is reduced to [10]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \nabla^{2} \nabla^{2} w+\rho h \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}=0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what following, we quickly recall the derivation of the analytical solution given in 10 .
Applying separation of variables to solve this partial differential equation, the solution has the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(r, \theta, t)=W(r, \theta) T(t) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting in the Eq. 4.7, the following two differential equations are obtained:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} T}{\mathrm{~d} t^{2}}+\omega^{2} T=0  \tag{4.9}\\
\nabla^{4} W(r, \theta)-\lambda^{4} W(r, \theta)=\left(\nabla^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right)\left(\nabla^{2}-\lambda^{2}\right) W(r, \theta)=0 \tag{4.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{4}=w^{2} \frac{\rho h}{D} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Eq. 4.10 generates two partial differential equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial W}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial \theta^{2}}+\lambda^{2} W=0  \tag{4.12}\\
& \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial W}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial \theta^{2}}-\lambda^{2} W=0 \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying again the separation of variables, $W(r, \theta)=R(r) \Theta(\theta)$, the following ordinary differential equations are obtained:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \Theta}{\mathrm{~d} \theta^{2}}+\alpha^{2} \Theta=0  \tag{4.14}\\
r^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} R}{\mathrm{~d} r^{2}}+r \frac{\mathrm{~d} R}{\mathrm{~d} r}-\left( \pm \lambda^{2} r^{2}-\alpha^{2}\right) R=0 \tag{4.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where $\alpha^{2}$ is a constant and an integer, so $\alpha=m=0,1,2,3, \ldots$ The solutions of the differential equations 4.14 and 4.15 are, respectively:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Theta(\theta)=A \cos (m \theta)+B \sin (m \theta)  \tag{4.16}\\
R(r)=C_{1} J_{m}(\lambda r)+C_{2} Y_{m}(\lambda r)+C_{3} I_{m}(\lambda r)+C_{4} K_{m}(\lambda r) \tag{4.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where $J_{m}$ and $Y_{m}$ are the Bessel Functions of First and Second Kind, while $I_{m}$ and $K_{m}$ are the Modified Bessel Functions of First and Second Kind, respectively.

The general solution of spatial variables is given by [14]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(r, \theta)=\left[C_{1} J_{m}(\lambda r)+C_{2} Y_{m}(\lambda r)+C_{3} I_{m}(\lambda r)+C_{4} K_{m}(\lambda r)\right]\{A \cos (m \theta)+B \sin (m \theta)\} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering that the solutions of $W(r, \theta)$ must be finite in any part of the circular plate, it must be imposed that $C_{2}$ and $C_{4}$ are equal to 0 because the Bessel functions $Y_{m}$ and $K_{m}$ tend to infinity at $r=0$. In addition, for the case where the plate is embedded in the edge $r=a$, the boundary conditions are the following:

$$
\begin{gather*}
W(a, \theta)=0  \tag{4.19}\\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} W}{\mathrm{~d} r}(a, \theta)=0 \tag{4.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

By imposing the boundary condition given by the Eq. 4.20, you get [11]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{m}(\lambda a) I_{m+1}(\lambda a)+J_{m+1}(\lambda a) I_{m}(\lambda a)=0 \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

This corresponds to the characteristic equation of a clamped circular plate, whose roots allow to obtain the natural frequencies of the different vibration modes of the plate; as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{m n}=\omega_{m n} \sqrt{\frac{\rho h}{D}} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this in the Eq. 4.18, the spatial equation is given by:

$$
W_{m n}(r, \theta)=\left[J_{m}\left(\lambda_{m n} r\right) I_{m}\left(\lambda_{m n} a\right)-J_{m}\left(\lambda_{m n} a\right) I_{m}\left(\lambda_{m n} r\right)\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\cos (m \theta)  \tag{4.23}\\
\sin (m \theta)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

On the other hand, the solution of the Eq. 4.9 is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{m n}(t)=D_{m n}^{1} \cos \left(\omega_{m n} t\right)+E_{m n}^{1} \cos \left(\omega_{m n} t\right) \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general equation for free vibrations of a clamped circular plate is given by [10]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& w(r, \theta, t)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\{\left[J_{m}\left(\lambda_{m n} r\right) I_{m}\left(\lambda_{m n} a\right)-J_{m}\left(\lambda_{m n} a\right) I_{m}\left(\lambda_{m n} r\right)\right] \cos (m \theta)\right\}\left(A_{m n}^{1} \cos \left(\omega_{m n} t\right)+A_{m n}^{2} \sin \left(\omega_{m n} t\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\{\left[J_{m}\left(\lambda_{m n} r\right) I_{m}\left(\lambda_{m n} a\right)-J_{m}\left(\lambda_{m n} a\right) I_{m}\left(\lambda_{m n} r\right)\right] \sin (m \theta)\right\}\left(A_{m n}^{3} \cos \left(\omega_{m n} t\right)+A_{m n}^{4} \sin \left(\omega_{m n} t\right)\right) \tag{4.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Where the constants $A_{m n}^{\mathrm{i}}$, with i $=1,2,3,4$, are determined by the initial conditions.

### 4.2 Problem 2: Clamped Circular Plate with Two-Patch Parameterization

The second problem consists only in the symmetrical bending of a circular plate embedded around the edge, parameterized by two patches in three different ways, in which the divisions between the patches can be classified as regular or irregular.

The mechanical and physical properties of the plate material and the dimensions used to study the bending problem of this plate are the same that it was presented in Table 4.1 for the first problem.

### 4.2.1 Geometry

In this case, the division of the circle can be regular or irregular. The regular division implies the division of the circle into two equal halves, while the irregular division generates two halves of a circle that are not equal to each other.

## Regular Division with 2 Patches

The knot vectors of each of the patches are given, respectively, by the Eq. 4.26 and 4.27 , and the control points are tabulated in Table 4.3 .

- Patch 1: Left Half of a Circle.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi=\left\{0,0,0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right\} \quad H=\left\{0,0,0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}, 1,1,1\right\} \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Patch 2: Right Half of a Circle.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi=\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1,1,1\right\} \quad H=\left\{0,0,0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}, 1,1,1\right\} \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

These parameters allow generating a circle divided into two equal parts, as shown in Figure 4.3, where one can see the coarse mesh and the control points of each of the parts. In addition, in Figure 4.3, one can see the distribution of control points on the surface of the circle and the physical mesh generated for a certain refinement of the geometry. Though note, that in all numerical calculations, the original coarse geometry is used.

Table 4.3: Control Points of each of the semicircles.

| Left Half Circle |  |  |  | Right Half Circle |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i | $x_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $y_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $w_{\mathrm{i}}$ | i | $x_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $y_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $w_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| 1 | -0.35355 | 0.35355 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.85355 |
| 2 | -0.42099 | 0.28612 | 0.92678 | 2 | 0 | 0.375 | 0.85355 |
| 3 | -0.5 | 0.10355 | 0.85355 | 3 | 0 | 0.125 | 0.85355 |
| 4 | -0.5 | -0.10355 | 0.85355 | 4 | 0 | -0.125 | 0.85355 |
| 5 | -0.42099 | -0.28612 | 0.92678 | 5 | 0 | -0.375 | 0.85355 |
| 6 | -0.35355 | -0.35355 | 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.5 | 0.85355 |
| 7 | -0.20711 | 0.5 | 0.85355 | 7 | 0.20711 | 0.5 | 0.85355 |
| 8 | -0.22855 | 0.375 | 0.85355 | 8 | 0.22855 | 0.375 | 0.85355 |
| 9 | -0.25 | 0.125 | 0.85355 | 9 | 0.25 | 0.125 | 0.85355 |
| 10 | -0.25 | -0.125 | 0.85355 | 10 | 0.25 | -0.125 | 0.85355 |
| 11 | -0.22855 | -0.375 | 0.85355 | 11 | 0.22855 | -0.375 | 0.85355 |
| 12 | -0.20711 | -0.5 | 0.85355 | 12 | 0.20711 | -0.5 | 0.85355 |
| 13 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.85355 | 13 | 0.35355 | 0.35355 | 1 |
| 14 | 0 | 0.375 | 0.85355 | 14 | 0.42099 | 0.28612 | 0.92678 |
| 15 | 0 | 0.125 | 0.85355 | 15 | 0.5 | 0.10355 | 0.85355 |
| 16 | 0 | -0.125 | 0.85355 | 16 | 0.5 | -0.10355 | 0.85355 |
| 17 | 0 | -0.375 | 0.85355 | 17 | 0.42099 | -0.28612 | 0.92678 |
| 18 | 0 | -0.5 | 0.85355 | 18 | 0.35355 | -0.35355 | 1 |


(a) Coarse Geometry.

(b) Refined Geometry.

Figure 4.3: Coarse and refined distribution of the 2 generating patches of a circle together with their respective physical mesh.

## Irregular Division with 2 Patches

In this section, two ways of generating the circular geometry of 2 patches with an irregular division are described: the $\succ$-shape and the $l$-shape.

The knot vectors used to generate each of the parts of the aforementioned cases correspond to the same ones already used to construct the circular geometry with a regular division, that
is, those given by the Eq. 4.26) and (4.27). The control points of each of the parts for the $\succ$-shape and the 2 -shape parameterizations, are given, respectively, by the Tables 4.4 and 4.5 .

In the Figures 4.4 and 4.5, the distributions of the control points are shown in a coarse and refined way for each of the cases mentioned above. Note, that in the calculations, only the coarse geometry is used.

Table 4.4: Control Points of each of the semicircles of radius $a=0.5[m]$ for the $\succ$-shape parameterization.

| Left Half Circle |  |  |  | Right Half Circle |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i | $x_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $y_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $w_{\mathrm{i}}$ | i | $x_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $y_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $w_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| 1 | -0.35355 | 0.35355 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.85355 |
| 2 | -0.42099 | 0.28612 | 0.92678 | 2 | -0.15 | 0.25 | 0.85355 |
| 3 | -0.5 | 0.10355 | 0.85355 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0.85355 |
| 4 | -0.5 | -0.10355 | 0.85355 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0.85355 |
| 5 | -0.42099 | -0.28612 | 0.92678 | 5 | -0.15 | -0.25 | 0.85355 |
| 6 | -0.35355 | -0.35355 | 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.5 | 0.85355 |
| 7 | -0.20711 | 0.5 | 0.85355 | 7 | 0.20711 | 0.5 | 0.85355 |
| 8 | -0.22855 | 0.375 | 0.85355 | 8 | 0.22855 | 0.375 | 0.85355 |
| 9 | -0.25 | 0.125 | 0.85355 | 9 | 0.25 | 0.125 | 0.85355 |
| 10 | -0.25 | -0.125 | 0.85355 | 10 | 0.25 | -0.125 | 0.85355 |
| 11 | -0.22855 | -0.375 | 0.85355 | 11 | 0.22855 | -0.375 | 0.85355 |
| 12 | -0.20711 | -0.5 | 0.85355 | 12 | 0.20711 | -0.5 | 0.85355 |
| 13 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.85355 | 13 | 0.35355 | 0.35355 | 1 |
| 14 | -0.15 | 0.25 | 0.85355 | 14 | 0.42099 | 0.28612 | 0.92678 |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 0.85355 | 15 | 0.5 | 0.10355 | 0.85355 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0.85355 | 16 | 0.5 | -0.10355 | 0.85355 |
| 17 | -0.15 | -0.25 | 0.85355 | 17 | 0.42099 | -0.28612 | 0.92678 |
| 18 | 0 | -0.5 | 0.85355 | 18 | 0.35355 | -0.35355 | 1 |



Figure 4.4: Coarse and refined geometry with the $\succ$-shape parameterization.

Table 4.5: Control Points of each of the semicircles of radius $a=0.5[\mathrm{~m}]$ for the $l$-shape parameterization.

| Left Half Circle |  |  |  | Right Half Circle |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i | $x_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $y_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $w_{\mathrm{i}}$ | i | $x_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $y_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $w_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| 1 | -0.35355 | 0.35355 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.85355 |
| 2 | -0.42099 | 0.28612 | 0.92678 | 2 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.85355 |
| 3 | -0.5 | 0.10355 | 0.85355 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0.85355 |
| 4 | -0.5 | -0.10355 | 0.85355 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0.85355 |
| 5 | -0.42099 | -0.28612 | 0.92678 | 5 | -0.15 | -0.25 | 0.85355 |
| 6 | -0.35355 | -0.35355 | 1 | 6 | 0 | -0.5 | 0.85355 |
| 7 | -0.20711 | 0.5 | 0.85355 | 7 | 0.20711 | 0.5 | 0.85355 |
| 8 | -0.22855 | 0.375 | 0.85355 | 8 | 0.22855 | 0.375 | 0.85355 |
| 9 | -0.25 | 0.125 | 0.85355 | 9 | 0.25 | 0.125 | 0.85355 |
| 10 | -0.25 | -0.125 | 0.85355 | 10 | 0.25 | -0.125 | 0.85355 |
| 11 | -0.22855 | -0.375 | 0.85355 | 11 | 0.22855 | -0.375 | 0.85355 |
| 12 | -0.20711 | -0.5 | 0.85355 | 12 | 0.20711 | -0.5 | 0.85355 |
| 13 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.85355 | 13 | 0.35355 | 0.35355 | 1 |
| 14 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.85355 | 14 | 0.42099 | 0.28612 | 0.92678 |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 0.85355 | 15 | 0.5 | 0.10355 | 0.85355 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0.85355 | 16 | 0.5 | -0.10355 | 0.85355 |
| 17 | -0.15 | -0.25 | 0.85355 | 17 | 0.42099 | -0.28612 | 0.92678 |
| 18 | 0 | -0.5 | 0.85355 | 18 | 0.35355 | -0.35355 | 1 |



Figure 4.5: Coarse and refined geometry with the 2 -shape parameterization.

### 4.3 Problem 3: Clamped Square Plate with a cut-out of Complicated Shape, composed by 8 Patches

The last problem of this work corresponds to studying the deflection and free vibrations of a square plate clamped at all its edges and that has a hole of a complicated shape. For this problem, the results for the bending are compared with the ANSYS FEM model and for the vibrations it is compared with the results obtained in [8] and [22]:

### 4.3.1 Geometry

The dimensions and the 8 patches that make up the square plate with a heart-shaped hole can be seen in Figure 4.6. The physical and mechanical properties of the plate material are shown in Table 4.6


Figure 4.6: Dimensions and the 8 patches of the square plate with a complicated shaped hole [8].

Table 4.6: Physical and mechanical properties of the Square Plate with a Complicated Hole.

| Property | Value | Units of Measurement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E$ | 200 | $G P a$ |
| $\nu$ | 0.3 | - |
| $\rho$ | 8000 | $\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| $h$ | 0.05 | m |

The knot vectors and the order of the polynomials of the NURBS basis functions used for each of the patches are presented in Table 4.7, while the control points together with the respective weights of each of the patches are tabulated in Table 4.8.

Table 4.7: Degree of the polynomials and knot vectors of the 8 patches of the geometry of the plate [8].

| Patch | $\xi$ | $\eta$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} p=2 \\ \Xi=\left\{0,0,0, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}\right\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} q=1 \\ H=\{0,0,1,1\} \end{gathered}$ |
| 2 | $\begin{gathered} p=2 \\ \Xi=\left\{\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{2}{8}, \frac{2}{8}, \frac{2}{8}\right\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} q=1 \\ H=\{0,0,1,1\} \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | $\begin{gathered} p=2 \\ \Xi=\left\{\frac{2}{8}, \frac{2}{8}, \frac{2}{8}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{3}{8}\right\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} q=1 \\ H=\{0,0,1,1\} \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 | $\begin{gathered} p=2 \\ \Xi=\left\{\frac{3}{8}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{4}{8}, \frac{4}{8}, \frac{4}{8}\right\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} q=1 \\ H=\{0,0,1,1\} \end{gathered}$ |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} p=1 \\ \Xi=\left\{\frac{4}{8}, \frac{4}{8}, \frac{5}{8}, \frac{5}{8}\right\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} q=1 \\ H=\{0,0,1,1\} \end{gathered}$ |
| 6 | $\Xi=\begin{aligned} & p=1 \\ & \left\{\frac{5}{8}, \frac{5}{8}, \frac{6}{8}, \frac{6}{8}\right\} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} q=1 \\ H=\{0,0,1,1\} \end{gathered}$ |
| 7 | $\begin{gathered} p=2 \\ \Xi=\left\{\frac{6}{8}, \frac{6}{8}, \frac{6}{8}, \frac{7}{8}, \frac{7}{8}, \frac{7}{8}\right\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} q=1 \\ H=\{0,0,1,1\} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 8 | $\begin{gathered} p=2 \\ \Xi=\left[\frac{7}{8}, \frac{7}{8}, \frac{7}{8}, 1,1,1\right] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} q=1 \\ H=\{0,0,1,1\} \end{gathered}$ |

These parameters allow one to generate a square plate with a heart shaped hole, as shown in Figure 4.7, where one can see the coarse meshing and the control points for NURBS of third and forth degrees and different levels of $h$-refinement [23].


Figure 4.7: Control mesh and physical mesh of the plate with a hole of complicated shape. (a) Quadratic NURBS basis functions with 880 control points and 640 elements. (b) Cubic NURBS basis functions with 576 control points and 192 elements [23].

Table 4.8: Control Points of the 8 patches of the square plate [8].

| Patch | i | $j$ | $x_{\text {i }}$ | $y_{\text {i }}$ | $w_{\text {i }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | $\sqrt{2} / 2$ |
|  | 3 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 1 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 8 | 4 | $\sqrt{2} / 2$ |
|  | 3 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 1 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 1 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 1 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 1 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 10 | 8 | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 8 | $6+2 \tan \left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)$ | $\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)$ |
|  | 3 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 1 |
|  | 3 | 2 | $6+\sqrt{2}$ | $6+\sqrt{2}$ | 1 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 1 |
|  | 1 | 2 | $6+\sqrt{2}$ | $6+\sqrt{2}$ | 1 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 8 | 10 | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | $6+2 \tan \left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)$ | 8 | $\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)$ |
|  | 3 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 1 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 1 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 1 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 1 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 1 |
| 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 1 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 1 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 2 | $4-2 \tan \left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)$ | $\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)$ |
|  | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 3 | 2 | $4-\sqrt{2}$ | $4-\sqrt{2}$ | 1 |
| 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 1 | 2 | $4-\sqrt{2}$ | $4-\sqrt{2}$ | 1 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 2 | 2 | $4-2 \tan \left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)$ | 2 | $\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)$ |
|  | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 |

## Chapter 5

## Results

In this section the results obtained in numerical and analytical form for each of the problems of this thesis work are presented.

### 5.1 Problem 1: Clamped Circular Plate with One-Patch Parameterization.

### 5.1.1 Solution Bases

To study this plate, the geometry was generated using NURBS basis functions given in Table 4.2 and Eq. (4.2), and the corresponding result is shown in Figure 5.1. To approximate the solution, both NURBS and B-Splines functions were used. In order to construct the solution bases, the same knot vectors as for the geometry parameterization are first selected:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma=\{0,0,0,1,1,1\}, \quad \Pi=\{0,0,0,1,1,1\} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the NURBS basis of degree $p=q=2$ is chosen to be the same as in the geometry parameterization given in Table 4.2. The degree of the NURBS basis is subsequently raised using the algorithm of degree elevation to $p=q=3,4,5$. In what follows, the corresponding bases are denoted as $N_{p, q}$. The bases are then refined using the algorithm of knot insertion.

The B-Spline solution basis of degree $p=q=2$ is constructed on knot vectors, see Eq. (5.1), by setting all weights to be equal to 1 . The degree of the B-Splines basis is subsequently raised using the algorithm of degree elevation to $p=q=3,4,5$. In what follows, the corresponding bases are denoted as $B_{p, q}$. Then the $h$-refinement by knot insertion is performed.


Figure 5.1: Geometry of a circular plate of radius $a=0.5[\mathrm{~m}]$ generated with NURBS functions.

### 5.1.2 Bending Symmetric Problem

Considering the case of the clamped plate subjected to a symmetrical and constant external load of $q=q_{o}=1[k P a]$, it follows from the analytical solution, see Eq. (4.6), that the maximum deflection is reached at the center $r=0$ and has a theoretical value of [20]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\max }=-\frac{q_{o} a^{4}}{64 D}=-0.0533[\mathrm{~mm}] \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this case, in Figures 5.2 and 5.3 , the deformed shape is shown, obtained both theoretically and numerically. The numerical results, shown graphically in Figure 5.3, were obtained by using basis $N_{5,5}$ and 1024 elements.

Figure 5.4 shows the comparison between the theoretical displacement and the numerical solution obtained using $N_{5,5}$ and 1024 elements.

Figure 5.5 shows the convergence curves obtained for the different degrees of polynomials and NURBS and B-Splines basis functions for the solution approximation, namely $N_{2,2}, B_{2,2}$, $N_{3,3}, B_{3,3}, N_{4,4}, B_{4,4}, N_{5,5}$ and $B_{5,5}$.

Table 5.1 shows the slopes for the different cases of convergence studied and presented graphically in Figure 5.5.


Figure 5.2: Theoretical transverse displacement of a clamped circular plate.


Figure 5.3: Numerical transverse displacement of a clamped circular plate.

Table 5.1: Convergence rates for each approximation function of the solution.

| $(p, q)$ | Theory | NURBS | B-Splines |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $[24]$ |  |  |
| $(2,2)$ | 3 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| $(3,3)$ | 4 | 5.11 | 5.15 |
| $(4,4)$ | 5 | 5.96 | 6 |
| $(5,5)$ | 6 | 7.48 | 7.53 |



Figure 5.4: Absolute difference between the theoretical displacement and the numerical solution obtained using $N_{5,5}$ and 1024 elements.


Figure 5.5: Convergence curves of the different cases studied for a clamped circular plate.

### 5.1.3 Free Vibrations Problem

The natural dimensionless frequencies $\beta_{m n}$ are given analytically by [16]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{m n}=\lambda_{m n} a=\left(\omega_{m n}^{2} a^{4} \frac{\rho h}{D}\right)^{1 / 4} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the Tables 5.2 and 5.3 , the theoretical values of the natural dimensionless frequencies $\beta_{m n}$, from [10], are listed together with the corresponding numerical results, obtained using $B_{2,2}$ and $B_{5,5}$ with and 1024 elements, respectively.

In Tables 5.4 and 5.5 the results obtained with NURBS bases, i.e. $N_{2,2}$ and $N_{5,5}$ with 1024 elements are listed.

Table 5.2: Dimensionless Natural Frequencies $\beta_{m n}$ of a Clamped Circular Plate using basis $B_{2,2}$ with 1024 elements.

| $m$ Nodal <br> Diameters | Results | $n$ Nodal Circles |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |
| 0 | Exact | 3.196217 | 4.6109 | 5.905929 | 7.144228 |  |
|  | Numerical | 3.198069 | 4.616915 | 5.911821 | 7.166465 |  |
|  | Error (\%) | $5.79 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $1.3 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $9.98 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $3.11 \cdot 10^{-1}$ |  |
| 1 | Exact | 6.306425 | 7.798718 | 9.196739 | 10.53613 |  |
|  | Numerical | 6.321035 | 7.826841 | 9.221098 | 10.60179 |  |
|  | Error (\%) | $2.32 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $3.61 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $2.65 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $6.23 \cdot 10^{-1}$ |  |
| 2 | Exact | 9.439492 | 10.9581 | 12.40202 | 13.79493 |  |
|  | Numerical | 9.487346 | 11.03392 | 12.46293 | 13.9296 |  |
|  | Error (\%) | $1.82 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $6.92 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $4.91 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $9.76 \cdot 10^{-1}$ |  |
| 3 | Exact | 12.577108 | 14.10886 | 15.57915 | 17.005 |  |
|  | Numerical | 12.55427 | 13.9296 | 15.45366 | 17.07051 |  |
|  | Error (\%) | $1.82 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | 1.27 | $8.05 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $3.85 \cdot 10^{-1}$ |  |
| 4 | Exact | 15.71639 | 17.25601 | 18.74513 | 20.19208 |  |
|  | Numerical | 15.70346 | 17.23816 | 18.73814 | 20.17515 |  |
|  | Error (\%) | $8.23 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $1.03 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $3.73 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $8.38 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |  |

Table 5.3: Dimensionless Natural Frequencies $\beta_{m n}$ of a Clamped Circular Plate using basis $B_{5,5}$ with 1024 elements.

| $m$ Nodal <br> Diameters | Results | $n$ Nodal Circles |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |
| 0 | Exact | 3.196217 | 4.6109 | 5.905929 | 7.144228 |  |
|  | Numerical | 3.196221 | 4.6109 | 5.905678 | 7.143531 |  |
|  | Error (\%) | $1.25 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | 0 | $4.25 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $9.76 \cdot 10^{-3}$ |  |
| 1 | Exact | 6.306425 | 7.798718 | 9.196739 | 10.53613 |  |
|  | Numerical | 6.306437 | 7.799274 | 9.196883 | 10.53667 |  |
|  | Error (\%) | $1.9 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $7.13 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $1.57 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $5.13 \cdot 10^{-3}$ |  |
| 2 | Exact | 9.439492 | 10.9581 | 12.40202 | 13.79493 |  |
|  | Numerical | 9.439499 | 10.95807 | 12.40222 | 13.79506 |  |
|  | Error (\%) | $7.42 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $2.74 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $1.61 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $9.42 \cdot 10^{-4}$ |  |
| 3 | Exact | 12.577108 | 14.10886 | 15.57915 | 17.005 |  |
|  | Numerical | 12.57713 | 14.10883 | 15.57949 | 17.0053 |  |
|  | Error (\%) | $1.75 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $1.63 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $2.18 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $1.76 \cdot 10^{-3}$ |  |
| 4 | Exact | 15.71639 | 17.25601 | 18.74513 | 20.19208 |  |
|  | Numerical | 15.71644 | 17.25573 | 18.74397 | 20.19234 |  |
|  | Error (\%) | $3.18 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $1.62 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $6.19 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $1.29 \cdot 10^{-3}$ |  |

Table 5.4: Dimensionless Natural Frequencies $\beta_{m n}$ of a Clamped Circular Plate obtained using basis $N_{2,2}$ with 1024 elements.

| Nodal <br> Diameters | Results | $n$ Nodal Circles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 0 | Exact | 3.196217 | 4.6109 | 5.905929 | 7.144228 |
|  | Numerical | 3.198081 | 4.616927 | 5.911779 | 7.166362 |
|  | Error (\%) | $5.83 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $1.31 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $9.91 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $3.1 \cdot 10^{-1}$ |
| 1 | Exact | 6.306425 | 7.798718 | 9.196739 | 10.53613 |
|  | Numerical | 6.32111 | 7.82693 | 9.221159 | 10.60182 |
|  | Error (\%) | $2.33 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $3.62 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $2.66 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $6.23 \cdot 10^{-1}$ |
| 2 | Exact | 9.439492 | 10.9581 | 12.40202 | 13.79493 |
|  | Numerical | 9.487488 | 11.03408 | 12.46293 | 13.92975 |
|  | Error (\%) | $5.08 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $6.93 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $4.91 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $9.77 \cdot 10^{-1}$ |
| 3 | Exact | 12.577108 | 14.10886 | 15.57915 | 17.005 |
|  | Numerical | 12.55442 | 13.92975 | 15.45366 | 17.07051 |
|  | Error (\%) | $1.82 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | 1.27 | $8.05 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $3.85 \cdot 10^{-1}$ |
| 4 | Exact | 15.71639 | 17.25601 | 18.74513 | 20.19208 |
|  | Numerical | 15.70346 | 17.23816 | 18.73814 | 20.17515 |
|  | Error (\%) | $8.23 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $1.03 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $3.73 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $8.38 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |

Table 5.5: Dimensionless Natural Frequencies $\beta_{m n}$ of a Clamped Circular Plate obtained using basis $N_{5,5}$ with 1024 elements.

| $m$ Nodal <br> Diameters | Results |  | $n$ Nodal Circles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Exact | 3.196217 | 4.6109 | 5.905929 |  |
| 0 | Numerical | 3.196221 | 4.6109 | 5.905678 | 7.14322831 |  |
|  | Error (\%) | $1.25 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | 0 | $4.25 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $9.76 \cdot 10^{-3}$ |  |
| 1 | Exact | 6.306425 | 7.798718 | 9.196739 | 10.53613 |  |
|  | Numerical | 6.306437 | 7.799274 | 9.196883 | 10.53667 |  |
|  | Error (\%) | $1.9 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $7.13 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $1.57 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $5.13 \cdot 10^{-3}$ |  |
| 2 | Exact | 9.439492 | 10.9581 | 12.40202 | 13.79493 |  |
|  | Numerical | 9.439499 | 10.95807 | 12.40222 | 13.79506 |  |
|  | Error (\%) | $7.42 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $2.74 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $1.61 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $9.42 \cdot 10^{-4}$ |  |
| 3 | Exact | 12.577108 | 14.10886 | 15.57915 | 17.005 |  |
|  | Numerical | 12.57713 | 14.10883 | 15.57949 | 17.0053 |  |
|  | Error (\%) | $1.75 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $1.63 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $2.18 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $1.76 \cdot 10^{-3}$ |  |
| 4 | Exact | 15.71639 | 17.25601 | 18.74513 | 20.19208 |  |
|  | Numerical | 15.71644 | 17.25573 | 18.74397 | 20.19234 |  |
|  | Error (\%) | $3.18 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $1.62 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $6.19 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $1.29 \cdot 10^{-3}$ |  |

In Table 5.6 one can see the values of the first 20 dimensionless natural frequencies $\beta_{m n}$ obtained with basis $B_{5,5}$ with 1024 elements. In Figure 5.6 several vibration modes are shown. The obtained results are in good agreement with the analytical solution, given by Eq. (4.23).

Table 5.6: The first 20 vibration modes with their respective natural dimensionless frequencies.

| Mode | Value $\beta_{m n}$ | Mode | Value $\beta_{m n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3.196221 | 11 | 8.346606 |
| 2 | 4.6109 | 12 | 8.346606 |
| 3 | 4.6109 | 13 | 9.196883 |
| 4 | 5.905678 | 14 | 9.196883 |
| 5 | 5.905678 | 15 | 9.439499 |
| 6 | 6.306437 | 16 | 9.525701 |
| 7 | 7.143531 | 17 | 9.525701 |
| 8 | 7.143531 | 18 | 10.53667 |
| 9 | 7.799274 | 19 | 10.53667 |
| 10 | 7.799274 | 20 | 10.68703 |



Figure 5.6: Some of the vibration modes of a clamped circular plate.

### 5.2 Problem 2: Clamped Circular Plate with Two-Patch Parameterization.

### 5.2.1 Solution Bases for Regular Division

To study this plate in a first instance, the geometry was generated using NURBS basis functions given in Table 4.3 and Eq. (4.26) and (4.27); the corresponding result is shown in Figure 5.7. To approximate the solution, B-Splines functions were used. In order to construct the solution bases, the knot vectors used were:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma=\left\{0,0,0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, 1,1,1\right\}, \Pi=\left\{0,0,0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{2}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, 1,1,1\right\} . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The B-Spline solution basis of degree $p=q=2$ is constructed on knot vectors, see Eq. (5.4), by setting all weights to be equal to 1 . The degree of the B-Splines basis is subsequently raised using the algorithm of degree elevation to $p=q=3,4,5$. In what follows, the corresponding bases are denoted as $B_{p, q}^{k}$, where $k$ denotes the patch number used to generate the geometry. Then the $h$-refinement by knot insertion is performed.


Figure 5.7: Geometry of a circular plate of radius $a=0.5[\mathrm{~m}]$ of 2 patches generated with NURBS functions.

### 5.2.2 Bending Symmetric Problem for a Regular Division

For this case, in Figures 5.8 and 5.9 , the deformed shape is shown, obtained both theoretically and numerically. The numerical results, shown graphically in Figure 5.9, were obtained by using basis $B_{5,5}^{2}$ and 1230 elements.


Figure 5.8: Theoretical transverse displacement of a clamped circular plate generated with 2 patches and with a regular division.


Figure 5.9: Numerical transverse displacement of a clamped circular plate generated with 2 patches and with a regular division.

Figure 5.10 shows the comparison between the theoretical displacement and the numerical solution obtained using $B_{5,5}^{2}$ and 1230 elements.

Figure 5.11 shows the convergence curves obtained for the different degrees of polynomials and B-Splines basis functions for the solution approximation, namely $B_{2,2}^{1}, B_{2,2}^{2}, B_{3,3}^{1}, B_{3,3}^{2}$, $B_{4,4}^{1}, B_{4,4}^{2}, B_{5,5}^{1}$ y $B_{5,5}^{2}$.


Figure 5.10: Absolute difference between the theoretical displacement and the numerical solution obtained using $B_{5,5}^{2}$ and 1230 elements.

Convergence Curves for a Clamped Circular Plate


Figure 5.11: Convergence curves of the different cases studied for a clamped circular plate with 1 pacth and 2 patches regularly divided.

Table 5.7 shows the slopes for the different cases of convergence studied and presented graphically in Figure 5.11 .

Table 5.7: Convergence rates for the cases studied.

| Theory | 1 Patch | 2 Patches |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $[26]$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $(2,2)$ | 3 | 2.2 | 2.13 |
| $(3,3)$ | 4 | 5.15 | 4.89 |
| $(4,4)$ | 5 | 6 | 6.26 |
| $(5,5)$ | 6 | 7.53 | 7.97 |

### 5.2.3 Solution Bases for Irregular Divisions

To study how different parameterizations may affect the results already obtained, 2 irregular ways of dividing the circle were studied: the $\succ$-shape and the $\langle$-shape. For both cases, the geometry was generated using NURBS basis functions given in Eq. (4.26) and (4.27), and in Tables 4.4 and 4.5, respectively; the corresponding result for each parameterization is shown in Figure 5.12. To approximate the solution, B-Splines functions were used. In order to construct the solution bases, the same knot vectors used in the first part of this problem section are used, i.e, see Eq. (5.4).


Figure 5.12: The two parameterizations of a circle with an irregular division using NURBS functions.

The B-Spline solution basis of degree $p=q=2$ is constructed on knot vectors, see Eq. (5.4), by setting all weights to be equal to 1 . The degree of the B-Splines basis is subsequently raised using the algorithm of degree elevation to $p=q=3,4,5$. In what follows, the corresponding bases are denoted as $B_{p, q}^{S}$, where $S$ denotes the type of irregular parametrization used to generate the geometry: $S=S C$ corresponds to $\succ$-shape and $S=S S$ to $l$-shape. Then the $h$-refinement by knot insertion is performed.

### 5.2.4 Bending Symmetric for Irregular Divisions

For this case, in Figures 5.13 and 5.14 , the deformed shape obtained numerically is shown. The numerical results, shown graphically in Figure 5.13 were obtained by using basis $B_{5,5}^{S C}$ and 1230 elements; while in Figure 5.14 , the results were obtained using basis $B_{5,5}^{S S}$ and the same number of elements.


Figure 5.13: Numerical transverse displacement of a clamped circular plate generated with $\succ$-shape parameterization.


Figure 5.14: Numerical transverse displacement of a clamped circular plate generated with l-shape parameterization.

Figure 5.15 shows the comparison between the theoretical displacement and the numerical solution for both irregular parameterizations. The numeral results were obtained using $B_{5,5}^{S C}$ and $B_{5,5}^{S S}$, for $\succ$-shape and $\langle$-shape parameterization, respectively; and 1230 elements.


Figure 5.15: Absolute difference between the theoretical displacement and the numerical solution obtained using $B_{5,5}^{S C}$ and $B_{5,5}^{S S}$, for $\succ$-shape and l-shape parameterization, respectively, and 1230 elements.

Figure 5.16 shows the convergence curves obtained for the different degrees of polynomials and B-Splines basis functions for the solution approximation, namely $B_{2,2}^{S C}, B_{2,2}^{S S}, B_{3,3}^{S C}, B_{3,3}^{S S}$, $B_{4,4}^{S C}, B_{4,4}^{S S}, B_{5,5}^{S C}$ y $B_{5,5}^{S S}$.


Figure 5.16: Convergence curves of the different cases studied for a clamped circular plate generated with the 2 irregular parameterizations: $\succ$-shape and l-shape.

### 5.3 Problem 3: Clamped Square Plate with a cut-out of Complicated Shape, composed by 8 Patches

### 5.3.1 Solution Bases

To study this plate, the geometry was generated using NURBS basis functions given in Table 4.8, and the corresponding result is shown in Figure 5.17. To approximate the solution, B-Splines functions were used. In order to construct the solution bases, the knot vectors used were:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma=\left\{0,0,0, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{2}{8}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{4}{8}, \frac{5}{8}, \frac{6}{8}, \frac{7}{8}, 1,1,1\right\}, \Pi=\{0,0,0,1,1,1\} . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 5.17: Geometry of an Square Plate with a Complicated Hole of 8 patches using NURBS functions and the control points distribution represented by green points.

The B-Spline solution basis of degree $p=q=2$ is constructed on knot vectors, see Eq. (5.5), by setting all weights to be equal to 1 . The degree of the B-Splines basis is subsequently raised using the algorithm of degree elevation to $p=q=3$, 4. In what follows, the corresponding bases are denoted as $B_{p, q}$. Then the $h$-refinement by knot insertion is performed.

### 5.3.2 Bending Problem

For this case, in Figures 5.18 and 5.19 , the deformed shape obtained numerically is shown. The numerical results, shown graphically in Figure 5.18, were obtained by using basis $B_{4,4}$ and 624 elements; while in Figure 5.19, the results were obtaining by an ANSYS simulation.


Figure 5.18: Numerical transverse displacement of a clamped square plate with a hole with complicated shape.

(b) Numerical transverse displacement of the plate obtained with ANSYS.

Figure 5.19: Model and transverse displacement obtained with ANSYS.

### 5.3.3 Free Vibrations Problem

The natural dimensionless frequencies for this case are defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}=\left(w_{\mathrm{i}}^{2} L^{4} \frac{\rho h}{D}\right)^{1 / 4} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 5.8 presents the results obtained for the first 10 dimensionless natural frequencies with the present method using basis $B_{4,4}$ with 624 elements and other methods to compare the accuracy of this. The results coming from the other methods come from: Shuohui Yin et al. [22] using isogeometric approach based on the first order shear deformation plate theory (FSDT), Xinkang Li et al. [27] using IGA based on the third order shear deformation plate
theory (TSDT), X. Y. Cui et al. [28] using the radial point interpolation method with edgebased smoothing operations (ES-RPIM), Khuong D. Nguyen et al. [8] using isogeometric finite element for three-dimensional functionally graded material plate structure (3D FGM), S-FSDT based on IGA [22], Kirchhoff on the IGA [13], MKI method [29], EFG method [30] and node-based smoothing RPIM (NS-RPIM) method [28].

To compare the accuracy of the results listed in Table 5.8, the percentage difference is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\%_{D \mathrm{i} f \text { ference }}=\left|\frac{\lambda_{\mathrm{i}, G I F T}-\lambda_{\mathrm{i}, M_{j}}}{\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{i}, G I F T}+\lambda_{\mathrm{i}, M_{j}}\right)}\right| \cdot 100 \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, $\lambda_{\mathrm{i}, \text { GIFT }}$ and $\lambda_{\mathrm{i}, M_{j}}$ represent the $\mathrm{i}-$ th dimensionless natural frequency obtained with the GIFT method and the $j$-th method mentioned above, respectively.

In Table 5.8 one can see the values of the first 10 dimensionless natural frequencies $\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}$ obtained with basis $B_{4,4}$ with 624 elements. In Figure 5.20, the first 10 vibration modes are shown.
Table 5.8: Comparison of the dimensionless natural frequency $\lambda_{i}$ of an isotropic thin square plate with a complicated shape hole and clamped in its edges.

| Mode |  | GIFT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3D FGM } \\ & \text { based IGA } 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kirchhoff } \\ \text { based IGA [13] } \end{gathered}$ | EPG 30 | NS-RPM 28 | ES-RPM [28] | FSDT based IGA 22 | $\begin{gathered} \text { S-FSDT } \\ \text { based IGA } 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TSDT } \\ \text { based IGA } 27 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Value | 7.905 | 7.448 | 7.621 | 7.548 | 7.410 | 7.423 | 7.453 | 7.431 | 7.558 |
|  | $\%_{\text {Difference }}$ | - | 5.954 | 3.659 | 4.621 | 6.465 | 6.290 | 5.887 | 6.182 | 4.489 |
| 2 | Value | 9.722 | 9.817 | 9.810 | 10.764 | 9.726 | 9.770 | 9.825 | 9.880 | 10.056 |
|  | $\%_{\text {Difference }}$ | - | 0.973 | 0.902 | 10.173 | 0.042 | 0.493 | 1.054 | 1.613 | 3.378 |
| 3 | Value | 9.824 | 9.849 | 9.948 | 11.113 | 9.764 | 9.797 | 9.845 | 9.992 | 10.106 |
|  | $\%_{\text {Difference }}$ | - | 0.255 | 1.255 | 12.314 | 0.613 | 0.276 | 0.214 | 1.696 | 2.830 |
| 4 | Value | 10.901 | 10.952 | 11.135 | 11.328 | 10.964 | 10.927 | 10.964 | 11.077 | 11.191 |
|  | $\%_{\text {Difference }}$ | - | 0.467 | 2.124 | 3.842 | 0.577 | 0.239 | 0.577 | 1.602 | 2.626 |
| 5 | Value | 11.106 | 11.172 | 11.216 | 12.862 | 11.165 | 11.137 | 11.165 | 11.254 | 11.361 |
|  | $\%_{\text {Difference }}$ | - | 0.593 | 0.986 | 14.653 | 0.530 | 0.279 | 0.530 | 1.324 | 2.270 |
| 6 | Value | 12.305 | 12.348 | 12.482 | 13.300 | 12.381 | 12.363 | 12.381 | 12.424 | 12.529 |
|  | $\%_{\text {Difference }}$ | - | 0.349 | 1.429 | 7.772 | 0.616 | 0.471 | 0.616 | 0.963 | 1.804 |
| 7 | Value | 12.471 | 12.871 | 12.872 | 14.168 | 12.953 | 12.822 | 12.953 | 12.862 | 13.110 |
|  | $\%_{\text {Difference }}$ | - | 3.157 | 3.165 | 12.741 | 3.792 | 2.776 | 3.792 | 3.087 | 4.996 |
| 8 | Value | 13.413 | 13.505 | 13.650 | 15.369 | 13.721 | 13.428 | 13.721 | 13.678 | 13.763 |
|  | $\%_{\text {Difference }}$ | - | 0.684 | 1.752 | 13.592 | 2.271 | 0.112 | 2.271 | 1.957 | 2.576 |
| 9 | Value | 14.510 | 14.457 | 14.676 | 16.205 | 14.511 | 14.508 | 14.511 | 14.227 | 14.645 |
|  | \% ${ }_{\text {Difference }}$ | - | 0.366 | 1.138 | 11.037 | 0.007 | 0.014 | 0.007 | 1.970 | 0.927 |
| 10 | Value | 14.819 | 14.730 | 14.738 | 17.137 | 14.792 | 14.789 | 14.792 | 14.613 | 14.913 |
|  | $\%_{\text {Difference }}$ | - | 0.603 | 0.549 | 14.508 | 0.183 | 0.203 | 0.183 | 1.400 | 0.633 |



Figure 5.20: First 10 modes of vibration of a clamped square plate with a hole of complicated shape.

## Chapter 6

## Analysis and Discussion

In this chapter, the results obtained for three problems of bending and vibration of the KLPT plates will be analyzed and discussed.

### 6.1 Problem 1: Clamped Circular Plate with 1 Patch

In the first problem, symmetric bending and free vibration problems were studied for a clamped circular plate, whose geometry was generated with 1 patch.

From Figures 5.2 and 5.3, it can be noted that the numerical results obtained with the GIFT method for the problem of bending of a circular plate clamped at the edge are quite similar to those predicted by the theory. Inclusively, in Figure 5.4 it can be seen that the absolute difference between the numerical values $w_{N}$ and analytical $w_{A}$, when using NURBS functions and polynomials of degree 5 with $h$-refinement, are of the order of $\left|w_{N}-w_{A}\right| \approx$ $10^{-15}[\mathrm{~m}]$.

From the convergence curves plotted in Figure 5.5, it can be seen that the finer the mesh or higher the order of the basis functions (NURBS or B-Splines), more accurate the results are, as expected. From Figure 5.5 and Table 5.1, it can be seen that for the same polynomial order $p=q=2,3,4,5$ the convergence results obtained with NURBS bases are quasi identical to the results, obtained by the B-Splines.

As discussed in [24], [25] and [26], the theoretical convergence rate for this type of problem is $p+1$. Comparing the convergence rates obtained theoretically and numerically for each degree of polynomial $p=q$, using both NURBS and B-Splines functions, and presented in Table 5.1, it can be seen that the numerical value of the slope for the case $p=q=2$ is less than the theoretical and for the rest of the cases studied, they are larger, which contradicts what was expected by the theory.

But this error with the theory is due to the computational limitations of this thesis work and not to errors in the implementation of the GIFT method, because as can be seen in

Figure 5.5, the convergence curves show a monotonously decreasing behavior as the size of the element $h$ decreases, where the convergence rate also decreases with this and tends to the theoretical values expected, but given the computational limitations, the results for finer meshes that show the rate can not be obtained to which, for each degree of polynomial, the curve presents an asymptotic behavior.

From the results on the dimensionless natural frequencies $\beta_{m n}$ tabulated in 5.2 5.5, we can see that when using polynomials of grade $p=q=2$ with $h$-refinement, percentage errors are obtained within the range $\left[10^{-1}, 1\right]$, while that by using polynomials of degree $p=q=5$ with $h$-refinement, the percentage error decreases and is in the range $\left[10^{-5}, 10^{-3}\right]$. In addition, it can be noted that the results obtained using NURBS and B-Splines for the same case, are similar in magnitude. These results coincide with what was expected by increasing the degree of the polynomial of the basis functions and refining the mesh.

### 6.2 Problem 2: Clamped Circular Plate with 2 Patches

In the second problem, only the symmetrical bending was studied for circular plate, whose geometry was generated with 2 patches of 3 different forms, which can be classified as regular and irregular.

In the Figures 5.7 and 5.12, one can see the 3 different ways in which the geometry of the circular plate was generated. Out of these, Figure 5.7 shows a division of the regular type, while the Figure 5.12 are of the irregular type.

Analyzing the problem of symmetrical bending for the case in which the geometry is generated with a regular division of the 2 patches, it can be observed from the Figures 5.8 and 5.9 that the deflections obtained numerically with the GIFT are quite similar to those predicted by the theory. Additionally, in Figure 5.10 it can be seen that the absolute difference between the numerical values $w_{N}$ and analytical $w_{A}$, when using B-Splines functions and polynomials of degree 5 with $h$-refinement, are of the order of $\left|w_{N}-w_{A}\right| \approx 10^{-13}[\mathrm{~m}]$.

From Figure 5.11 and Table 5.7, we can see that the convergence curves and the slopes corresponding to the same solution bases of $p=q=2,3,4,5$ but different (one-patch and two-patch) geometry parameterizations, are quite similar. The overall error for the onepatch geometry is smaller than for the two-patch parameterization, but this slight difference in the accuracy comes with the significant advantage, that the original smooth two-patch parameterization can be used directly, without any coupling between patches, as well as without elevating its degree.

As discussed in the work of [24], [25] and [26]; the theoretical convergence ratio for this type of problem is $p+1$. Comparing the convergence rates obtained theoretically and numerically for each degree of polynomial $p=q$, using B-Splines functions, and presented in Table 5.7, it can be seen that the numerical value of the slope for the case $p=q=2$ is less than the theoretical and for the rest of the cases studied, they are larger, which contradicts what was expected by the theory.

Similar to the analysis performed in Problem 1, this error with the theory is due to the computational limitations of this thesis work and not to errors in the implementation of the GIFT method, because as can be seen in Figure 5.11, the convergence curves show a monotonously decreasing behavior as the size of the element $h$ decreases, where the convergence rate also decreases with this and tends to the theoretical values expected, but given the computational limitations, the results for finer meshes that show the rate can not be obtained to which, for each degree of polynomial, the curve presents an asymptotic behavior.

For the case in which the problem of bending of the circular plate generated with the 2 irregular parameterizations, shown in Figure 5.12 is studied, it can be seen in Figures 5.13 and 5.14 that the maximum deflection reached by both has a value of $w_{\max } \approx-0.048[\mathrm{~mm}]$, which represents approximately an $10 \%$ of error compared to the expected theoretical value given by the Eq. (5.2). The latter can also be observed in Figures 5.15a and 5.15p, the absolute differences between the numerical values $w_{N}$ and analytical $w_{A}$ for both parameterizations: $\succ$-shape and $\langle$-shape, respectively; when using B-Splines functions and polynomials of degree 5 with $h$-refinement for both cases, are of the order of $\left|w_{N}-w_{A}\right| \approx 10^{-6}[\mathrm{~m}]$.

Additionally, in Figures 5.15a and 5.15b, you can observer that the maximum errors for both parameterizations, $\succ$-shape and 2 -shape, are concentrated in the irregular joint of the patches.

In Figure 5.16, the convergence curves for both irregular parameterizations of the circle tend to a constant value if we keep refining the solution field, which indicates that the GIFT method does not allow obtaining an accurate solution for this type of geometry parameterization. This zero convergence rate for each of the cases studied is due to the repetition of control points in the center of the circle to generate $\succ$-shape and $\imath$-shape, and as can be observed in the physical meshes generated in Figures 4.4 and 4.5, distortions of the mesh and discontinuities of the basis functions occur at the border joining both patches; which does not allow to obtain an accurate solution for this problem. However, note, that such irregular parameterizations present the same difficulty for a standard IGA and are avoided in practice.

### 6.3 Problem 3: Clamped Square Plate with a cut-out of Complicated Shape, composed by 8 Patches

In the last problem of this work, symmetric bending and free vibration problems were studied for a clamped square plate with a complicated shape hole, whose geometry was generated with 8 patches, as shown in Figure 5.17.

Comparing the results obtained for the bending problem of this plate with the GIFT method and the ANSYS simulation, results that are presented graphically in Figures 5.18 and 5.19, it can be seen that for the maximum displacement of the plate there is a percentage difference between the both methods of approximately $33 \%$. This may be due to the low continuity of the geometry parameterization across the boundary between the patches, analogously to the situation with the irregular two-patch parameterizations of the circle in Problem 2.

In Table 5.20, the numerical values of the dimensionless natural frequencies $\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}$ obtained by the GIFT method are compared with the results available in the literature and obtained by other methods. It can be seen that the GIFT yields the results which are very similar to those obtained by the others authors.

### 6.4 Discussion

Based on the results obtained in this work, the following can be observed:

- GIFT, in general, can be used for problems of bending and vibration of Kirchoff-Love plates, described by PDEs of 4 th order.
- The numerical results obtained for all cases with smooth geometry parameterization are accurate and consistent with the reference solutions.
- For other cases, where the geometry parameterizations have low continuity, the method yields lower convergence rates and the error is accumulated around irregular points, analogously to the situations commonly observed in the standard IGA.
- The difference between the results, obtained with the NURBS bases (for the solution approximation) derived from the original geometry parameterizations and the results obtained with the B-Splines bases are quasi identical (comparing the NURBS and BSplines bases of the same degree). Note, that in the latter case, during the solution refinement process, there is no need to refine the weights and therefore this approach has a potential to provide significant computational savings, when applied to large scale problems.
- It is demonstrated that the multi-patch geometries can be paired with one-patch solution basis, given by B-Splines. In this cases, no additional coupling is required between the geometry patches. Based on the obtained results, the smooth geometry parameterization provides the most accurate results. However, the use of geometry parameterization with low continuity is still possible and yields solutions of acceptable precision.


## Conclusions

In this work, the application of the new method, proposed in [3, to problems of bending and vibration of Kirchhof-Love plates, has been demonstrated. The performance of the method was studied in three different problems, which take into account various one and multi-patch geometry parameterizations and the solution field bases. The obtained results are compared with the reference solutions. The limitations of the method are also discussed.

The GIFT method, as already presented in this thesis work, presents a series of characteristics that surpass it by traditional methods of resolution, such as IGA and FEM. This allows it to be shown as a feasible alternative to solve various problems present in current engineering, such as: failure analysis of equipment components, fracture study and propagation of cracks, heat transfer, structural analysis, among others; and all this thanks to the fact that the method employs resources efficiently, it presents greater flexibility and adaptability that allow it to work with more complex geometries without losing accuracy of the solution.

Given the incipient of the method, the future work has to develop consists in showing the applicability of the method to solve other physical phenomena and engineering problems, also to further develop the GIFT to increase the accuracy of the results obtained for problems where the geometry of the object presents significant discontinuities.
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