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#### Abstract

We show that any 2 -colouring of the 3 -uniform complete hypergraph $K_{n}^{(3)}$ on $n$ vertices contains two disjoint monochromatic tight cycles of distinct colours covering all but $o(n)$ vertices of $K_{n}^{(3)}$. The same result holds if we replace tight cycles with loose cycles.


Keywords: Monochromatic cycle partitioning, tight cycles.

## 1 Introduction

Given a complete $r$-edge-colouring of graph or hypergraph $\mathcal{K}$, the problem of partitioning the vertices of $\mathcal{K}$ into the smallest number of monochromatic cycles has received much attention. Central to this area has been an old conjecture of Lehel [2] stating that two monochromatic disjoint cycles in different colours are sufficient to partition the vertex set of the complete graph $\mathcal{K}_{n}$ on $n$ vertices, for all $n$. This was confirmed for large $n$ in [10] and [1], and more recently, for all $n$, by Bessy and Thomassé [3].

For $r \geq 3$, there exist $r$-edge-colourings of $\mathcal{K}_{n}$ which do not allow for a partition of the vertex set into $r$ monochromatic cycles [11]. On the other hand, the currently best bound (see [6]) shows that $100 r \log r$ monochromatic cycles are sufficient to partition the vertex set of $\mathcal{K}_{n}$.

The problem transforms in the obvious way to hypergraphs, considering $r$-edge-colourings of the $k$-uniform complete hypergraph $\mathcal{K}_{n}^{(k)}$ on $n$ vertices and partitions into one of the many notions of cycles in hypergraphs. Here we deal with loose and tight cycles. Loose cycles are uniform hypergraphs with a cyclic ordering of its edges such that consecutive edges intersect in exactly one vertex and nonconsecutive edges have empty intersection. On the other hand, tight cycles are $k$-uniform hypergraphs with a cyclic ordering of its vertices such that the edges are all the sets of $k$ consecutive vertices. For loose cycles, the best bound due to Sárközy in [12] shows that every $r$-edgecolouring of $\mathcal{K}_{n}^{(k)}$ admits a partition of its vertices into at most $50 r k \log (r k)$ monochromatic loose cycles. Concerning tight cycles, to our best knowledge, nothing is known. We refer the reader to [5] for related results.

Our main result establishes an approximate version of the problem for the case of 3 -uniform hypergraphs and two colours.

Theorem 1.1 For every $\eta>0$ there exists $n_{0}$ such that if $n \geq n_{0}$ then every 2 -coloring of the edges of the complete 3-uniform hypergraph $\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{n}^{(3)}$ admits two vertex-disjoint monochromatic tight cycles, of distinct colours, which cover all but at most $\eta n$ vertices.

We note that a 3 -uniform tight cycle on $n$ vertices contains a loose cycle if $n$ is even. The proof of Theorem 1.1 guarantees that the two tight cy-

[^0]cles obtained each have an even number of vertices. Hence, an analogue of Theorem 1.1 holds for loose cycles.

We believe that the error term $\eta n$ in the theorem can be improved and that every 2-colouring of the edges of $\mathcal{K}_{n}^{(3)}$ admits two disjoint monochromatic tight cycles which cover all but at most a constant number $c$ of vertices (for some $c$ independent of $n$ ). Furthermore, we believe that the previous statement holds for all $k$ and not just $k=3$. In a forthcoming article we confirm this for loose cycles, where the constant $c$ depends only on $k$.

## 2 Outline of the proof

Due to lack of space we only give a sketch of the argument, referring to [4] for full details for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

The argument is inspired by the work of Haxell et al. [8] and relies on an application of Łuczak's method [9]. This reduces the problem at hand to that of finding, in any 2 -colouring of the edges of an almost complete 3uniform hypergraph, two disjoint monochromatic connected matchings which cover almost all vertices.

Here, as usual, a matching $\mathcal{M}$ in a hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ is a set of pairwise disjoint edges and $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is called connected if between every pair e, $f \in \mathcal{M}$ there is a pseudo-path in $\mathcal{H}$ connecting $e$ and $f$, that is, there is a sequence $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{p}\right)$ of not necessarily distinct edges of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $e=e_{1}, f=e_{p}$ and $\left|e_{i} \cap e_{i+1}\right|=2$ for each $i \in[p-1]$. Now, we call a matching $\mathcal{M}$ in a 2-coloured hypergraph a monochromatic connected matching if $\mathcal{M}$ is a subhypergraph of a monochromatic component induced by the considered relation of connectedness.

Our main result is the following, which might be of independent interest.
Theorem 2.1 Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a 3-uniform hypergraph on $t$ vertices and $(1-\gamma)\binom{t}{3}$ edges. Then any two-colouring of the edges of $\mathcal{H}$ admits two disjoint monochromatic connected matchings covering all but o $(t)$ vertices of $\mathcal{H}$.

We first give an outline of the proof of Theorem 1.1 assuming that Theorem 2.1 holds, before dealing with Theorem 2.1 itself.

### 2.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1

For given $\eta>0$ we apply the Strong Regularity Lemma (see [8] for details) for 3 -uniform hypergraphs to $\mathcal{K}_{n}^{(3)}$ with suitable parameters to obtain a regular partition and the reduced hypergraph $\mathcal{K}$ on $t$ vertices and $(1-\gamma)\binom{t}{3}$
edges, where $\gamma$ depends on $\eta$. Consider the 2 -edge-colouring of $\mathcal{K}$ given by the majority colouring over the triples of the regular partition.

Next, apply Theorem 2.1 to $\mathcal{K}$ to obtain the monochromatic connected matchings $\mathcal{M}_{\text {red }}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\text {blue }}$ covering all but $o(t)$ vertices of $\mathcal{K}$.

By using $\mathcal{M}_{\text {red }}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\text {blue }}$ as a frame and applying a suitable embedding strategy (see [4]) we find find two monochromatic disjoint tight cycles of even length covering at least $(1-\eta) n$ vertices of $\mathcal{K}_{n}^{(3)}$, as desired.

### 2.2 Proof of Theorem 2.1

We will need the following result concerning the existence of perfect matchings in 3-uniform hypergraphs with high minimum vertex degree.

Theorem 2.2 ([7]) For all $\eta>0$ there is a $n_{0}=n_{0}(\eta)$ such that for all $n>n_{0}, n \in 3 \mathbb{Z}$, the following holds. Suppose $\mathcal{H}$ is 3 -uniform hypergraph on $n$ vertices such that every vertex is contained in at least $\left(\frac{5}{9}+\eta\right)\binom{n}{2}$ edges. Then $\mathcal{H}$ contains a perfect matching.

Denote by $\partial \mathcal{H}$ the shadow of $\mathcal{H}$, that is, the set of all pairs $x y$ for which there exists $z$ such that $x y z \in \mathcal{H}$. We call a pair of vertices $x y$ active if there is an edge of $\mathcal{H}$ containing $x$ and $y$. For convenience, we say that a set of vertices $U \subseteq V(\mathcal{H})$ is negligible in $\mathcal{H}$ if $|U| \leq 240 \gamma^{1 / 6}|V(\mathcal{H})|$.

Lemma 2.3 ([8]) Let $\gamma>0$ and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a 3 -uniform hypergraph on $t$ vertices and at least $(1-\gamma)\binom{t}{3}$ edges. Then $\mathcal{H}$ contains a subhypergraph $\mathcal{K}$ such that the following holds. Every vertex $x$ of $\mathcal{K}$ is in an active pair of $\mathcal{K}$, for all active pairs $x y$ there are at least $\left(1-10 \gamma^{1 / 6}\right) t$ edges in $\mathcal{K}$ containing both $x$ and $y$, and $V(\mathcal{H}) \backslash V(\mathcal{K})$ is negligible in $\mathcal{H}$.

For our proof of Theorem 2.1, suppose we are given a 2 -coloured 3 -uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_{\text {red }} \cup \mathcal{H}_{\text {blue }}$ on $t_{\mathcal{H}}$ vertices and $(1-\delta)\binom{t_{\mathcal{H}}}{3}$ edges. Apply Lemma 2.3 to $\mathcal{H}$, with parameter $\gamma$ depending on $\delta$, to obtain $\mathcal{K}$ with the properties stated in the lemma. We want to find two monochromatic connected matchings covering all but a negligible set of vertices in $\mathcal{K}$. Let $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}_{\text {red }} \cup \mathcal{K}_{\text {blue }}$ be the colouring of $\mathcal{K}$ inherited from $\mathcal{H}$.

Proposition 2.4 ([8]) The hypergraph $\mathcal{K}$ admits a partition $\left\{X, V_{\text {red }}, V_{\text {blue }}\right\}$ such that the following holds. The set $X$ is negligible in $\mathcal{K}$ and there is a red component $\mathcal{R}$ (a blue component $\mathcal{B}$ ) such that, for every $x \in V_{\text {red }}\left(x \in V_{\text {blue }}\right)$, there are at least $(1-\gamma)$ t vertices $y \in V(\mathcal{K})$ with $x y \in \partial R(x y \in \partial B)$.

We start by choosing two disjoint monochromatic connected matchings,
$\mathcal{M}_{\text {red }} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\text {blue }} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, where $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are components from Proposition 2.4, which together cover as many vertices as possible. Let $V_{\text {red }}^{\prime}=$ $V_{\text {red }} \backslash\left(V\left(\mathcal{M}_{\text {red }} \cup \mathcal{M}_{\text {blue }}\right)\right.$ and $V_{\text {blue }}^{\prime}=V_{\text {blue }} \backslash\left(V\left(\mathcal{M}_{\text {red }} \cup \mathcal{M}_{\text {blue }}\right)\right)$. Notice that if both $V_{\text {red }}^{\prime}$ and $V_{\text {blue }}^{\prime}$ are negligible in $\mathcal{K}$ we are done. Also, observe that
there is no edge $x y$ with $x \in V_{\text {red }}^{\prime}$ and $y \in V_{\text {blue }}^{\prime}$ such that $x y \in \partial \mathcal{R} \cap \partial \mathcal{B}$.

Indeed, any such edge $x y$ constitutes an active pair (by Lemma 2.3), and as $\left|V_{\text {red }}\right|>\delta t+2$, there must be a vertex $z \in V_{\text {red }}^{\prime}$ such that $x y z$. This yields a contradiction with the maximality of the matching $\mathcal{M}_{\text {red }} \cup \mathcal{M}_{\text {blue }}$.

We show that if $\left|V_{\text {red }}^{\prime}\right|$ and $\left|V_{\text {blue }}^{\prime}\right|$ are both greater than $2 \delta t$, then we can find a pair $x y$ contradicting (1). So we can assume, by symmetry of the argument, that $V_{\text {blue }}^{\prime}$ is negligible in $\mathcal{K}$.

Next, because of the maximality of $\mathcal{M}_{\text {red }} \cup \mathcal{M}_{\text {blue }}$, each edge having all its vertices in $V_{\text {red }}^{\prime}$ is blue. By Lemma 2.3, $V_{\text {red }}^{\prime}$ is negligible in $\mathcal{K}$ (in which case we are done), or $V_{\text {red }}^{\prime}$ is sufficiently large to induce a dense monochromatic blue component $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ such that $V_{\text {red }}^{\prime} \backslash V\left(\mathcal{B}^{\prime}\right)$ is negligible in $\mathcal{K}$ and satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 2.2. Therefore, the blue component $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ contains a perfect matching.

At this point, we have three disjoint monochromatic connected matchings, one in red $\left(\mathcal{M}_{\text {red }} \subseteq \mathcal{R}\right)$ and two in blue ( $\mathcal{M}_{\text {blue }} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ and $\left.\mathcal{M}_{\text {blue }}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{B}^{\prime}\right)$. Together, these matchings cover all but a negligible set of vertices in $\mathcal{K}$. Notice that $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ can not be the same component because of the maximality of $\mathcal{M}_{\text {red }} \cup \mathcal{M}_{\text {blue }}$.

Our aim now is to dissolve the blue matching $\mathcal{M}_{\text {blue }}$ and cover all but a negligible set (in $\mathcal{K}$ ) of $V\left(\mathcal{M}_{\text {blue }}\right)$ with edges in $\mathcal{R}$. To this end, we show that $V\left(\mathcal{M}_{\text {blue }}\right)$ is negligible in $\mathcal{K}$ (in which case we are done) or, as a consequence of Lemma 2.3, $V\left(\mathcal{M}_{\text {blue }}\right)$ is contained in $V_{\text {red }}$. Finally, by using the defect form of Hall's theorem, we cover the vertices of $\mathcal{M}_{\text {blue }}$ with a matching $\mathcal{M}_{\text {red }}^{\prime}$ in $\partial R$. In other words, $\mathcal{M}_{\text {red }} \cup \mathcal{M}_{\text {red }}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\text {blue }}^{\prime}$ are the two monochromatic connected matchings we had to find.
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