



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Journal of Number Theory

www.elsevier.com/locate/jnt

Computational Section

Filling the gap in the table of smallest regulators up to degree  $7^{\,\, \Leftrightarrow}$ 



Eduardo Friedman<sup>a,\*</sup>, Gabriel Ramirez-Raposo<sup>b,\*</sup>

 <sup>a</sup> Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Chile, Casilla 653, Santiago, Chile
 <sup>b</sup> Facultad de Matemáticas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Vicuña Mackenna 4860, Macul, Santiago, Chile

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 30 May 2018 Received in revised form 23 June 2018 Accepted 28 August 2018 Available online 18 September 2018 Communicated by L. Smajlovic

Keywords: Regulator Units

### ABSTRACT

In 2016 Astudillo, Diaz y Diaz and Friedman published sharp lower bounds for regulators of number fields of all signatures up to degree seven, except for fields of degree seven having five real places. We deal with this signature, proving that the field with the first discriminant has minimal regulator. The new element in the proof is an extension of Pohst's geometric method from the totally real case to fields having one complex place.

© 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

Some thirty years ago, the number fields with smallest discriminant for signatures up to degree seven were all known [Od]. Recently [ADF] the same was established for

 $^{\star}\,$  Partially supported by Chilean FONDECYT grant 1170176.

\* Corresponding authors.

E-mail addresses: friedman@uchile.cl (E. Friedman), gcramirez@uc.cl (G. Ramirez-Raposo).

regulators, except that no sharp lower bounds were proved for one signature in degree seven. Here we close that gap.

**Theorem.** Let k be a number field of degree seven having five real embeddings. Then its regulator  $R_k$  satisfies  $R_k \ge R_{k_1} = 2.8846...$ , where  $k_1$  is the unique field of discriminant -2306599 in this signature.

More precisely, except for the three unique fields with discriminants  $-2\,306\,599$ ,  $-2\,369\,207$  and  $-2\,616\,839$ , in this signature all fields satisfy  $R_k > 3.2$ .

### 2. Proof

If  $z_1, ..., z_n$  are non-zero complex numbers, with  $|z_1| \leq \cdots \leq |z_n|$ , we let

$$P_n(z_1, ..., z_n) := \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} \left| 1 - \frac{z_i}{z_j} \right|^2 \qquad (|z_1| \le |z_2| \le \dots \le |z_n|).$$
(1)

The case that interests us is when the  $z_i$  are the *n* conjugates of a unit  $\varepsilon \in k$ , where  $k = \mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon)$  is a field of degree *n* having only one complex place. Throughout we shall denote the real elements by  $r_i$   $(1 \le i \le n-2)$  and the complex conjugate pair by  $xe^{i\theta}$  and  $xe^{-i\theta}$   $(\theta \in (0,\pi), x > 0)$ , arranging them so that

$$0 < |r_1| \le |r_2| \le \dots \le |r_{n-2}|, \qquad |r_t| \le x \le |r_{t+1}|.$$
(2)

In this case we can factor  $P_n$  as

$$P_n = P_{n-2}(r_1, \dots, r_{n-2}) \cdot |1 - e^{-2i\theta}|^2 \cdot \prod_{m=1}^{n-2} |1 - c_m e^{i\theta}|^4, \qquad c_m := \begin{cases} r_m/x & \text{if } m \le t, \\ x/r_m & \text{if } m > t. \end{cases}$$
(3)

Note that  $c_m \in [-1,1]$  and  $c_m \neq 0$   $(1 \leq m \leq n-2)$ . Since  $g(c) := |1 - c e^{i\theta}|^2 = 1 + c^2 - 2c \cos(\theta)$  has no local maximum for  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , endpoint checks give

$$|1 - c e^{i\theta}|^2 \le \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \le \theta \le \pi/3 \text{ and } 0 \le c \le 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } 2\pi/3 \le \theta \le \pi \text{ and } -1 \le c \le 0, \\ 2(1 - \cos(\theta)) & \text{if } \pi/3 \le \theta \le \pi \text{ and } 0 \le c \le 1, \\ 2(1 + \cos(\theta)) & \text{if } 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi/3 \text{ and } -1 \le c \le 0. \end{cases}$$
(4)

We shall also need the inequality

$$\left(1 - \cos^2(\theta)\right)^a \left(1 - \cos(\theta)\right)^b \le \frac{2^{2a+b}a^a(a+b)^{a+b}}{(2a+b)^{2a+b}} \qquad (a,b>0, \ \theta \in \mathbb{R}).$$
(5)

To prove it, for  $t \in [-1, 1]$ , let  $g(t) := (1 - t^2)^a (1 - t)^b$  and use elementary calculus.

**Lemma 1.** Assume  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $-1 \leq c_m \leq 1$  for  $1 \leq m \leq r$ . Let  $d_+$  be the number of  $c_m$  with  $c_m > 0$ , let  $d_-$  be the number of  $c_m$  with  $c_m < 0$ , and define

$$B_r = B_r(\theta, c_1, ..., c_r) := |1 - e^{-2i\theta}|^2 \prod_{m=1}^r |1 - c_m e^{i\theta}|^4.$$
(6)

Then, letting  $a := 1 + 2\min(d_+, d_-)$ ,  $b := 2|d_+ - d_-|$  and  $f := 2\max(d_+, d_-)$ ,

$$B_r \le \max\left(\frac{4^{2a+b}a^a(a+b)^{a+b}}{(2a+b)^{2a+b}}, \frac{4^{2+f}(1+f)^{1+f}}{(2+f)^{2+f}}\right).$$
(7)

**Proof.** Replacing  $\theta$  by  $-\theta$  if necessary, we can assume  $0 \le \theta \le \pi$ . We shall first show that if  $\pi/3 \le \theta \le 2\pi/3$ , then  $B_r$  is bounded by the first element inside the max in (7). Say  $d_+ \ge d_-$ , so that  $a = 1 + 2d_-$  and  $b = 2(d_+ - d_-)$ . Then, using (4),

$$B_{r} = 4(1 - \cos^{2}(\theta)) \left(\prod_{m=1}^{r} |(1 - c_{m}e^{i\theta})|^{2}\right)^{2}$$

$$\leq 4(1 - \cos^{2}(\theta)) \left(\prod_{\substack{m > 0 \\ c_{m} > 0}} 2(1 - \cos(\theta))\right)^{2} \left(\prod_{\substack{m < 0 \\ c_{m} < 0}} 2(1 + \cos(\theta))\right)^{2}$$

$$= 2^{2+2(d_{+} + d_{-})} (1 - \cos^{2}(\theta)) (1 - \cos(\theta))^{2d_{+}} (1 + \cos(\theta))^{2d_{-}}$$

$$= 2^{2a+b} (1 - \cos^{2}(\theta))^{1+2d_{-}} (1 - \cos(\theta))^{2(d_{+} - d_{-})}$$

$$= 2^{2a+b} (1 - \cos^{2}(\theta))^{a} (1 - \cos(\theta))^{b} \leq \frac{2^{2(2a+b)}a^{a}(a+b)^{a+b}}{(2a+b)^{2a+b}} \quad (\text{see } (5)),$$

proving (7) in this case. If  $d_+ < d_-$ , just write  $\theta = \pi - \theta'$  to reduce to the previous case. If  $0 \le \theta < \pi/3$ , or  $2\pi/3 < \theta \le \pi$ , a similar argument proves (7), but now the upper bound involves f in (7) rather than a and b.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2** (Pohst). For  $\alpha, \beta \in [-1, 1]$ , the following hold.

(i) if 
$$\alpha \ge 0$$
, then  $(1 - \alpha)(1 - \alpha\beta) \le 1$ .  
(ii)  $(1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta)(1 - \alpha\beta) \le 2$ .  
(iii) if  $|\alpha| \le |\beta|$  and  $\beta \ne 0$ , then  $(1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta)(1 - (\alpha/\beta)) \le 2$ .

**Proof.** Inequalities (i) and (ii) [Po, p. 468] can be proved by checking for critical points and the boundary. The last one follows from (ii), on replacing  $\alpha$  by  $\alpha/\beta$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.** Suppose n = 7 and  $c_1 > 0$  in (3), then  $P_7 < e^{12} < 162755$ .

**Proof.** We begin with (3),

$$P_7 = B_5 P_5 = B_5(\theta, c_1, ..., c_5) P_5(r_1, ..., r_5) \qquad (\text{see (1) and (6)}). \tag{8}$$

There are 16 possibilities for the signs of  $c_2, ..., c_5$ , which we divide into three cases:

- (1) Three of the  $c_m$  are of one sign and two have the opposite sign  $(1 \le m \le 5)$ . Hence, in the notation of Lemma 1, a = 5, b = 2 and f = 6.
- (2) One of the  $c_m$  is of one sign and four have the opposite sign. Hence a = 3, b = 6 and f = 8.
- (3) All of the  $c_m$  are positive.

In case (1), Lemma 1 gives  $B_5 < 4842.63$  and Pohst's inequality [Po, Satz IV] gives  $P_5 \leq 16$ . Now (8) yields  $P_7 < 77483$ , proving the lemma in case (1). In case (2), which includes 5 possible sign patterns for  $c_2, ..., c_5$ , Lemma 1 only gives  $B_5 < 40624$ , but we will improve Pohst's inequality [Po, Satz IV] to  $P_5 \leq 4$ , which will imply the lemma in this case. Following Pohst [Po, p. 467], for  $1 \leq i, \ell, \ell' \leq 4$  let

$$x_i := \frac{r_i}{r_{i+1}}, \quad y_{\ell,\ell'} := 1 - \prod_{i=\ell}^{\ell'} x_i = 1 - \frac{r_\ell}{r_{\ell'}}, \quad A = \prod_{1 \le \ell \le \ell' \le 4} y_{\ell,\ell'} = \sqrt{P_5(r_1, \dots, r_5)}.$$

Note that  $A = A(x_1, ..., x_4)$ ,  $-1 \le x_i \le 1$ ,  $0 \le y_{\ell,\ell'} \le 2$  and that the signs of the  $x_i$ 's are determined from those of the  $c_m$ 's and vice-versa, as we assumed  $c_1 > 0$ . Subcase (2a):  $sign(c_2, ..., c_5) = (+, +, +, -)$  or (-, -, -, -). Then  $sign(x_1, ..., x_4) = (+, +, +, -)$  or (-, +, +, +). Since  $A(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = A(x_4, x_3, x_2, x_1)$ , in this subcase it suffices to consider  $sign(x_1, ..., x_4) = (+, +, +, -)$ . Now,

$$A = y_{1,1}y_{2,2}y_{3,3}y_{4,4}y_{1,2}y_{2,3}y_{3,4}y_{1,3}y_{2,4}y_{1,4}$$
  
=  $(y_{1,1}y_{2,2}y_{1,2})(y_{3,3}y_{3,4})(y_{2,3}y_{2,4})(y_{1,3}y_{1,4})(y_{4,4})$ 

Since  $x_1, x_2, x_1x_2 \ge 0$ , we have trivially that  $y_{1,1}y_{2,2}y_{1,2} \le 1$ . By Lemma 2 (*i*), using  $x_3, x_2x_3, x_1x_2x_3 \ge 0$ , we have  $y_{3,3}y_{3,4} \le 1$ ,  $y_{2,3}y_{2,4} \le 1$  and  $y_{1,3}y_{1,4} \le 1$ . Finally  $y_{4,4} \le 2$ , and so  $A \le 2$  in subcase (2*a*).

Subcase (2b):  $\operatorname{sign}(c_2, ..., c_5) = (+, +, -, +)$  or (-, +, +, +). Then  $\operatorname{sign}(x_1, ..., x_4) = (+, +, -, -)$  or (-, -, +, +). Again, we may assume  $\operatorname{sign}(x_1, ..., x_4) = (+, +, -, -)$ . Grouping differently,  $A = (y_{1,1}y_{1,4}y_{2,4})(y_{2,2}y_{2,3})(y_{1,2}y_{1,3})(y_{3,3}y_{4,4}y_{3,4})$ . Trivially we have  $y_{1,1}y_{1,4}y_{2,4} \leq 1$ . By Lemma 2 (i), since  $x_2, x_1x_2 \geq 0$ , we have  $y_{2,2}y_{2,3} \leq 1$  and  $y_{1,2}y_{1,3} \leq 1$ . By Lemma 2 (ii),  $y_{3,3}y_{4,4}y_{3,4} \leq 2$ , and so again  $A \leq 2$ .

Subcase (2c): sign( $c_2, ..., c_5$ ) = (+, -, +, +). Then sign( $x_1, ..., x_4$ ) = (+, -, -, +). Write  $A = (y_{1,3}y_{1,4}y_{2,4})(y_{1,1}y_{1,2})(y_{4,4}y_{3,4})(y_{2,2}y_{3,3}y_{2,3})$ . Again trivially,  $y_{1,3}y_{1,4}y_{2,4} \leq 1$ . By Lemma 2 (i), since  $x_1, x_4 \geq 0$ , we have  $y_{1,1}y_{1,2} \leq 1$  and  $y_{4,4}y_{3,4} \leq 1$ . Finally, by Lemma 2 (ii), we have  $y_{2,2}y_{3,3}y_{2,3} \leq 2$ . Hence  $A \leq 2$ , finishing case (2).

In case (3) we have  $c_m > 0$ , and so  $r_m > 0$  for  $m = 1, \ldots, 5$ . We shall need

$$R_{\ell,\ell'} := (1+c_{\ell})(1+c_{\ell'}) \left(1-(r_{\ell}/r_{\ell'})\right) \le 2 \qquad (\ell < \ell').$$
(9)

To prove (9), we consider three possibilities according to the position of t in (2). If  $\ell' \leq t$ , then by (3),  $c_{\ell} = r_{\ell}/x$ ,  $c_{\ell'} = r_{\ell'}/x$ . Hence  $|c_{\ell}| \leq |c_{\ell'}|$  and so Lemma 2 (*iii*) yields (9) (on setting  $\alpha := -c_{\ell}$ ,  $\beta := -c_{\ell'}$ ). Similarly, if  $\ell > t$ ,  $c_{\ell} = x/r_{\ell}$ ,  $c_{\ell'} = x/r_{\ell'}$ , so  $|c_{\ell'}| \leq |c_{\ell}|$ and Lemma 2 (*iii*) yields (9) (with  $\alpha := -c_{\ell'}$ ,  $\beta := -c_{\ell}$ ). Lastly, if  $\ell \leq t < \ell'$ , then  $c_{\ell} = r_{\ell}/x$ ,  $c_{\ell'} = x/r_{\ell'}$ . Now (9) follows from Lemma 2 (*ii*).

In case (3), using  $0 \le 1 - \frac{\dot{r_{\ell}}}{r_{\ell'}} \le 1$  ( $\ell < \ell'$ ) and (9), we obtain  $P_7 \le 2^{12}$  from

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{P_7} &= |1 - e^{-2i\theta}| \cdot \prod_{1 \le \ell < \ell' \le 5} \left(1 - \frac{r_\ell}{r_{\ell'}}\right) \cdot \prod_{m=1}^5 |1 - c_m e^{i\theta}|^2 \\ &\le 2 \prod_{1 \le \ell < \ell' \le 5} \left(1 - \frac{r_\ell}{r_{\ell'}}\right) \cdot \prod_{m=1}^5 (1 + c_m)^2 = 2R_{1,2}R_{2,3}R_{3,4}R_{4,5}R_{1,5}\left(1 - \frac{r_1}{r_3}\right)\left(1 - \frac{r_1}{r_4}\right) \\ &\cdot \left(1 - \frac{r_2}{r_4}\right)\left(1 - \frac{r_2}{r_5}\right)\left(1 - \frac{r_3}{r_5}\right) \le 2R_{1,2}R_{2,3}R_{3,4}R_{4,5}R_{1,5} \le 2^6. \end{split}$$

We can now prove the Theorem in the Introduction, which we do not repeat here. Suppose  $R_k \leq 3.2$ , and let  $\varepsilon$  yield the positive minimum value of the Euclidean length  $m_k$  (see [ADF, eq. (1)]) on the units of k. Then  $k = \mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon)$ . Let  $r_1, ..., r_5$  be the five real conjugates of  $\varepsilon$ , ordered so that  $|r_1| \leq \cdots \leq |r_5|$ , and let  $xe^{\pm i\theta}$  be the two complex conjugates  $(x > 0, \theta \in (0, \pi))$ . Replacing  $\varepsilon$  by  $-\varepsilon$  if necessary, we may assume that  $r_1 > 0$ , so  $c_1 > 0$  with notation as in (3). Using the value  $\gamma_5 = \sqrt[5]{8}$  for Hermite's constant in dimension 5, we find  $m_k(\varepsilon) \leq (3.2\sqrt{6})^{1/5}\sqrt{\gamma_5} < 1.85847$  [ADF, eq. (5)]. A short calculation using Lemma 3 now yields  $\log |D_k| < 31.492$  (*cf.* the proof of [ADF, eq. (4)]).

This range of discriminant can be easily handled by the method of [ADF]. Namely, Table 2, Lemmas 4 and 5 in [ADF] can be used to show that  $R_k > 3.2$  in the range  $3\,030\,000 \le |D_k| \le e^{31.492}$ . Thus  $|D_k| < 3\,030\,000$ . We conclude the proof by examining the regulators of the seven fields in the range  $|D_k| < 3\,030\,000$  [DyD].

## References

- [ADF] S. Astudillo, F. Diaz y Diaz, E. Friedman, Sharp lower bounds for regulators of small-degree number fields, J. Number Theory 167 (2016) 232–258.
- [DyD] F. Diaz y Diaz, Discriminant minimal et petits discriminants des corps de nombres de degré 7 avec cinq places réelles, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 38 (1988) 33–46.
- [Od] A.M. Odlyzko, Bounds for discriminants and related estimates for class numbers, regulators and zeros of zeta functions: a survey of recent results, Sém. Théorie Nombres Bordeaux 2 (1990) 119–141.
- [Po] M. Pohst, Regulatorabschätzungen für total reelle algebraische Zahlkörper, J. Number Theory 9 (1977) 459–492.