

# Contents

<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Hypothesis . . . . .	4
1.2 Objectives . . . . .	5
1.2.1 General Objective . . . . .	5
1.2.2 Specific Objectives . . . . .	5
1.3 Contributions . . . . .	5
1.4 Document Structure . . . . .	5
<b>2 Literature Review</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1 Feature Extraction . . . . .	6
2.2 General Feature Extraction in Point Clouds . . . . .	7
2.2.1 Harris Corner Detector . . . . .	7
2.2.1.1 Harris Corner Basics . . . . .	8
2.2.1.2 Harris Corners in 3D Point Clouds . . . . .	9
2.2.2 Scale Invariant Features Transform (SIFT) . . . . .	10
2.2.2.1 SIFT Basics . . . . .	10
2.2.2.2 SIFT in 3D Point Clouds . . . . .	12
2.2.3 Intrinsic Shape Signatures (ISS) . . . . .	14
2.2.4 Why a general feature extractor is not suitable for LOAM? . . . . .	15
2.3 Feature Extraction algorithms commonly used in SLAM . . . . .	17
2.3.1 RANSAC . . . . .	17
2.3.2 Hough Transform . . . . .	17
2.3.3 Split-and-Merge . . . . .	19
2.3.4 Adaptive Curvature Estimation . . . . .	20
2.3.5 Curvature Scale Space . . . . .	22
2.3.6 Why not apply other feature extractors in LOAM? . . . . .	25
2.4 Adaptive Breakpoint Detector . . . . .	27
2.5 LiDAR Odometry and Mapping (LOAM) . . . . .	30
2.5.1 LiDAR Odometry Estimation . . . . .	31
2.5.1.1 LOAM's Feature Extraction algorithm . . . . .	31
2.5.1.2 Feature Point Correspondence . . . . .	31
2.5.1.3 Motion Estimation . . . . .	33

2.5.1.4	LiDAR Odometry Algorithm . . . . .	33
2.5.2	Why the LOAM's Feature Extractor can be improved? . . . . .	34
2.6	Summary . . . . .	39
<b>3</b>	<b>Methodology and Implementation</b>	<b>40</b>
3.1	Curvature Scale Space with Sparse Point Cloud Data: The New Feature Ex- tractor of the LOAM Algorithm . . . . .	40
3.2	Implementation of the Curvature Scale Space algorithm with 3D Sparse Point Cloud Data . . . . .	42
3.3	Summary . . . . .	52
<b>4</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>53</b>
4.1	The Optimal Sub-Pattern Assignment (OSPA) . . . . .	53
4.2	The Absolute Trajectory Error (ATE) . . . . .	54
4.3	Simulated Data . . . . .	54
4.3.1	Scenario with the LiDAR stationary . . . . .	55
4.3.2	Scenario with robot in motion . . . . .	60
4.4	Real Data . . . . .	69
4.5	KITTI Dataset . . . . .	73
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>88</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>90</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>KITTI Dataset Experiments</b>	<b>94</b>