

## Tabla de contenido

Capítulo 1 .....	1
1.1 Motivación .....	1
1.2 Estructura de la tesis.....	2
1.3 Problemática ambiental de AMD.....	2
1.4 Sulfato en AMD .....	5
1.5 Tecnología DAS .....	7
1.6 Contexto chileno .....	9
1.7 Objetivos .....	10
1.7.1 Hipótesis de trabajo .....	10
1.7.2 Objetivo general.....	10
1.7.3 Objetivos específicos.....	10
1.8 Diseño experimental.....	11
Capítulo 2 .....	12
2.1 INTRODUCTION.....	13
2.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	18
2.2.1 Chilean and Argentinian AMDs as possible low acidity AMD proxies .....	18
2.2.2 Experimental design.....	18
2.2.3 Sampling and analysis .....	20
2.2.4 Hydrogeochemical Model.....	21
2.3 Results and Discussions .....	21
2.3.1 Assessment of CaCO <sub>3</sub> rich residues from agri-food industries treating an Andean AMD with intermediate metals and sulfate concentrations .....	21
2.3.2 Evaluation of the long-term removal of different loads of sulfate using BaCO <sub>3</sub> -DAS .....	25
2.3.4 Implications, concluding remarks and future challenges .....	28
2.4. Acknowledgments.....	30
2.5. Bibliography .....	30
Capítulo 3 .....	33
Material suplementario .....	33
3.1 Columns and decantation ponds setups.....	33
3.2 X-Ray Diffraction mineral phases identifications and semiquantitative analyses.....	36
3.3 Geochemical model .....	38
3.3.1 Dynamic leaching tests and scenarios .....	38

3.4 Assessment of CaCO <sub>3</sub> rich residues from agri-food industries treating an Andean AMD with intermediate metals and sulfate concentrations .....	41
3.5 Evaluation of the long-term removal of different loads of sulfate using BaCO <sub>3</sub> -DAS .....	43
Capítulo 4 .....	45
4.1 Conclusiones .....	45
4.2 Bibliografía .....	47

## Índice de figuras

Figure 1: Molécula de sulfato (SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> ) .....	5
Figure 2: Planta HDS, utilizando maquinaria y un input de energía importante. Fuente: Taylor et al, 20056	
Figure 3: Comparación de acidez neta (percentiles 25%, 75% y promedio) de aguas tratadas con métodos pasivos convencionales (80 muestras) y la acidez de la cuenca Odiel (ubicada en la península ibérica, 245 muestras). AnW anaerobic wetlands, VFW vertical flow wetlands (RAPS), ALD Anoxic limestone drains, OLC oxic limestone channels, LSB limestone leach beds. Fuente: Ayora et al (2013).....	7
Figure 4: Experimental design showing the three different experimental setups implemented. The composition of the AMD (mark as x on the graphic) is shown in detail on Table 5 (Chapter 3). This composition is multiplied by 2 to generate the second AMD reservoir.....	19
Figure 5: All the results correspond to the mussel shell-DAS column at experiment B.2. A) Spatial and temporal evolution of some representative operational parameters along the column, and B) Results obtained in the geochemical model performed with Phreeqc. ....	23
Figure 6: Mineralogical and chemical evidences of the presence of malachite within mussel shell-DAS column at experiment B.2. A) Stacked X-Ray diffractograms and mineral peaks assignment; B) Mineral semi-quantification using fluorite as internal standard; and C) EDS pattern and SEM electron backscattered image of a malachite single particle. ....	24
Figure 7: Raw (A) and modeled (B) hydrochemical depth profiles of the main operational parameters and elements within the BaCO <sub>3</sub> -DAS column in experiment B.1. Notice that modeled precipitation profiles of barite (BaSO <sub>4</sub> ) and aragonite (CaCO <sub>3</sub> ) are shown in B), where positive values correspond to precipitated amount of mineral. ....	26
Figure 8: A) Accumulated received sulfate load and B) accumulated removed sulfate load in the two tested BaCO <sub>3</sub> -DAS columns (i.e., B.1 and B.2) and the experiment by Torres et al., 2018. The circles colored in yellow and red mark the moments when the output sulfate concentrations reached values higher than 500 mg/L and 1000 mg/L, respectively. The dotted line in Torres et al., 2018 correspond to modeled data. ....	28
Figure 9: DAS column before starting the experiment (mixture of wood shavings and clam shells). On the left side, 9 sampling ports can be observed (blue three way valves). The distance between sampling ports was 5 cm. ....	33
Figure 10: General picture of the experimental set up. The red line represents the hydraulic level, while the blue arrows represent the path of water from the input (upper right) to the output (left side). ....	34
Figure 11: Detection limits reported by ActLabs for their analytical package ICP-MS and ICP-OES S0200 for natural waters. ....	35

Figure 12: X-Ray diffractograms for samples B.1 (core zone, upper graphic) and B.1_Wall (wall zone, lower graphic). .....	37
Figure 13: X-Ray diffractograms for samples B.2 (core zone, upper graphic) and B.2_Wall (wall zone, lower graphic). .....	37
Figure 14: Results for pH, Fe and Al for each reactive material used. A: Calcite column, B: Clam shell column, C: Mussel shell column, D: Eggshell column .....	41
Figure 15: Mussel shell-DAS column at experiment B.2 right before the solid sampling campaigns. The iron (orange) and aluminum (white) precipitation fronts can be observed. Notice that some schwertmannite (orange precipitates) reached deeper in the column using some preferential paths created on the walls but the two precipitation fronts were better defined in the column core (away from the walls). .....	42
Figure 16: Picture of a grain covered by green crystals obtained with a magnification binocular microscope. ....	43
Figure 17: Time evolution of the chemical depth profiles for the BaCO <sub>3</sub> -DAS columns in experiments: A) B.1 = 1.9 kg/day of sulfate load and B) B.2 = 13.3 kg/day of sulfate load.....	43
Figure 18: Witherite Column at the end of the experiment. ....	44

## Índice de tablas

Table 1: Historical operational conditions and performance of DAS-type treatment systems .....	16
Table 2: Main operational conditions of the different columns setups in the experiments including a BaCO <sub>3</sub> -DAS step .....	20
Table 3: Mean output water quality parameters from the 4 experiments performed to test different CaCO <sub>3</sub> reagents. The values recommended by the World health Organization (WHO, 2008) and the US environmental Protection Agency (USEPA, 2017) are also listed as references.....	22
Table 4: Mineralogical identification and semi-quantification of selected samples along the depth profile of the BaCO <sub>3</sub> -DAS columns in experiments B.1 and B.2.....	27
Table 5: Input synthetic AMD (x in Fig. 4) .....	34
Table 6: Main characteristics of the leaching solutions and the granular materials considered in the geochemical model. ....	38
Table 7: Kinetics and equilibrium phases imposed.....	40
Table 8: Dynamic characteristics of cells for transport conditions. ....	40
Table 9: Comparison of net acidity, Al, Cu and Al/Cu ratios between different DAS experiences. ....	42
Table 10: Accumulated sulfate load received and retained by the BaCO <sub>3</sub> -DAS columns.....	44