

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Introduction . . . . .	1
1.2	Motivation . . . . .	3
1.3	Aim of the work . . . . .	3
1.3.1	General objective . . . . .	3
1.3.2	Specific objectives . . . . .	3
1.4	Resources available for this Thesis . . . . .	4
1.5	Structure of the work . . . . .	4
<b>2</b>	<b>Methodology</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Theoretical Background</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1	Machine Learning . . . . .	6
3.1.1	Supervised learning . . . . .	6
3.1.2	Regression and classification tasks . . . . .	7
3.2	Deep Learning . . . . .	7
3.2.1	Artificial Neural Networks . . . . .	7
3.2.2	Convolutional neural networks . . . . .	8
3.2.3	Recurrent neural networks . . . . .	9
3.2.4	Training of Neural Networks . . . . .	12
3.3	Bayesian inference and Bayes By Backprop . . . . .	13
3.3.1	Weight perturbations . . . . .	16
3.3.1.1	Flipout . . . . .	16
<b>4</b>	<b>Proposed approach of Bayesian Recurrent Neural Networks</b>	<b>18</b>
4.1	Proposed framework . . . . .	18
4.2	Cell operations . . . . .	19
4.3	Training and implementation of Bayesian Neural Networks . . . . .	21
4.3.1	Regression metrics . . . . .	21
4.3.2	Classification metrics . . . . .	21
<b>5</b>	<b>Study cases</b>	<b>22</b>
5.1	Datasets for regression tasks . . . . .	22
5.1.1	NASA: C-MAPSS . . . . .	22
5.1.1.1	Data description . . . . .	22
5.1.1.2	Pre processing . . . . .	24
5.1.1.3	Architecture . . . . .	25
5.1.2	Maryland: Crack Lines . . . . .	26
5.1.2.1	Data description . . . . .	26
5.1.2.2	Pre processing . . . . .	27
5.1.2.3	Architecture . . . . .	28
5.1.3	GPM Systems: Wind Turbine Data . . . . .	29

5.1.3.1	Data description . . . . .	29
5.1.3.2	Pre processing . . . . .	30
5.1.3.3	Architecture . . . . .	31
5.2	Datasets for classification tasks . . . . .	33
5.2.1	Ottawa: Bearing vibration Data . . . . .	33
5.2.1.1	Data description . . . . .	33
5.2.1.2	Pre processing . . . . .	34
5.2.1.3	Architecture . . . . .	35
5.2.2	Politecnico di Torino: Rolling bearing Data . . . . .	36
5.2.2.1	Data description . . . . .	36
5.2.2.2	Pre processing . . . . .	38
5.2.2.3	Architecture . . . . .	39
<b>6</b>	<b>Results and discussion</b>	<b>40</b>
6.1	Regression tasks . . . . .	40
6.1.1	NASA: C-MAPSS . . . . .	40
6.1.2	Maryland: Crack Lines . . . . .	47
6.1.3	GPM Systems: Wind Turbine Data . . . . .	50
6.2	Classification tasks . . . . .	53
6.2.1	Ottawa: Bearing vibration Data . . . . .	53
6.2.2	Politecnico di Torino: Rolling bearing Data . . . . .	55
<b>7</b>	<b>Concluding remarks and Future work</b>	<b>58</b>
7.1	Concluding remarks . . . . .	58
7.2	Future work . . . . .	59
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>60</b>
	<b>Appendix</b>	
A	Statistical parameters extracted from signals as features . . . . .	i
B	Results of Bayesian Recurrent Neural Networks, C-MAPSS dataset. . . . .	ii
C	Plots of the results of Bayesian RNN, C-MAPSS. . . . .	iii
D	Comparison tables of the number of trainable parameters in Frequentist and Bayesian models for C-MAPSS Dataset. . . . .	vii
E	Results of Frequentist Recurrent Neural networks, C-MAPSS dataset. . . . .	ix
F	Results of MC Dropout Recurrent Neural networks, C-MAPSS dataset. . . . .	ix
G	Results of Bayesian Recurrent Neural networks, Maryland cracklines dataset. . . . .	xi
H	Results of Bayesian Recurrent Neural networks, GPM Wind turbine dataset. . . . .	xviii
I	Results of Bayesian Recurrent Neural networks, Ottawa Bearing dataset. . . . .	xviii
J	Results of Bayesian Recurrent Neural networks, Politecnico di Torino Bearing dataset. . . . .	xxi