## TABLA DE CONTENIDO

I.	Introducción	.1
1	.1. Objetivos	3
	Objetivos Generales	3
	Objetivos Específicos	3
II.	Artículo para publicación	4
III	. Conclusiones	17
IV.	Bibliografía4	19

## ÍNDICE DE TABLAS

Table 1: Models included in the analysis	
--	--

## ÍNDICE DE FIGURAS

Figure 1: General description of study zone: (a) reference map of Chile; (b) longitudinal variation		
of mean annual precipitation (black dots) and elevation (brown area) for latitudes displayed		
as black lines in next panels; (c) elevation map; (d) spatial distribution of CR2METV2.0 (d)		
precipitation, (e) maximum and (f) minimum temperature for period 1979-20187		
Figure 2: Schematics of the methodology: (i) spatial and temporal data aggregation (blue and red		
lines represents observed and modeled time series for an arbitrary pixel); (ii) diagnostics prior		
to the downscaling process; (iii) application of Quantile Delta Mapping (QDM) and		
Multivariate Bias-Correction (MBCn); and (iv) diagnostics after downscaling process)9		
Figure 3: Illustration of our ranking methodology. First, each metric is calculated for all variables		
and GCMs: as an example, the panel (a) shows rcycle values obtained with for an arbitrary		
model. Then, empirical CDF is obtained from the results of (a), and the area between the CDF		
and the desire value of the metric is calculated, represented with the gray area in panel (b).		
The ranking is calculated based on this area (smaller area is associated with better		
performance), and this process is repeated for all metrics (panel c). Then (d) the ranking per		
variable is calculated by averaging the rankings calculated for each metric. Finally, (e) the		
total ranking is obtained as the mean of ranks per variable. In the ranking, blue (red) dots		
represent the best (worst) models, and green triangles represent the best model 11		
Figure 4: Ranking pre-downscaling for each resolution: (a) overall ranking per model and (b)		
overall ranking (first row) and ranking per metric (last four rows) calculated for precipitation.		
Colors and numbers represent the position of the ranking for a given resolution, GCM and		
metric		
Figure 5: (a) Overall ranking per model prior (Raw) and posterior (QDM and MBCn) downscaling		
process. (b) Overall ranking (first row) and ranking per metric (last four rows) calculated for		
minimum temperature, prior (Raw) and posterior to downscaling process (QDM and MBCn).		
Colors and numbers represent the position of the ranking for a given resolution, GCM and		
metric14		
Figure 6: (a) Observed climatological mean of annual precipitation at a 0.25° and for (b) raw GCMs		
and (c) statistically downscaled GCM output using the MBCn method. Results are displayed		
for three models sorted by their position in the raw GCM ranking: EC.Earth3 (first position);		
TaiESM1 (14 <sup>th</sup> position); and INM.CM5 (28 <sup>th</sup> position)16		