

# Table of Content

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Data in public transport passenger behavior modeling . . . . .	1
1.2	Previous studies of public transport passenger behavior using SC data . . . .	3
1.3	Analytical framework and research gaps for the analysis of passengers' route choice behavior using passive transport data . . . . .	6
1.4	Research Objective, Questions and Scope . . . . .	9
1.4.1	Research objective . . . . .	9
1.4.2	Research questions . . . . .	10
1.4.3	Research scope . . . . .	11
1.4.4	Basic definitions . . . . .	12
1.5	Research Contribution . . . . .	12
1.5.1	Scientific contribution . . . . .	12
1.5.2	Societal contribution . . . . .	14
1.6	Outline . . . . .	15
<b>2</b>	<b>Unveiling route choice strategy heterogeneity from smart card data in a large-scale public transport network</b>	<b>16</b>
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	17
2.2	Problem description . . . . .	19
2.2.1	Route Choice Strategy . . . . .	20
2.3	Data description and analysis . . . . .	22
2.3.1	Common lines analysis . . . . .	23
2.4	Assessment of discrete choice modeling approaches . . . . .	27
2.4.1	Consideration set construction . . . . .	27
2.4.2	Route choice modeling . . . . .	28
2.4.3	Consideration sets results . . . . .	31
2.4.4	Model estimates . . . . .	32
2.5	Discussion . . . . .	35
2.5.1	Travel time . . . . .	35
2.5.2	Waiting time . . . . .	36
2.5.3	Walking time . . . . .	36
2.5.4	Transfer . . . . .	36
2.5.5	Path Size Term . . . . .	37
2.5.6	Membership model of the latent class model . . . . .	37
2.6	Conclusions . . . . .	38

<b>3</b>	<b>Assessing feasible approaches for building the consideration set in public transport route choice modeling using smart card data</b>	<b>42</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	43
3.2	On The Theoretical support for feasible approaches to building the consideration set . . . . .	46
3.3	Case study: data sources and research methodology . . . . .	49
3.3.1	Route choice data from smart cards in Santiago, Chile . . . . .	49
3.3.2	Network representation . . . . .	50
3.3.3	Seven approaches for generating the consideration set . . . . .	50
3.3.4	Specification of route choice models . . . . .	52
3.3.5	Evaluation of methods . . . . .	53
3.4	Results . . . . .	53
3.4.1	Consideration set generation approaches . . . . .	53
3.4.2	Route choice models . . . . .	57
3.5	Discussion . . . . .	58
3.6	Conclusions . . . . .	62
<b>4</b>	<b>Evaluating the role of experience in a route choice context using smart card data in a large-scale public transport network</b>	<b>64</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	65
4.2	Data description and analysis . . . . .	67
4.2.1	Data description . . . . .	67
4.2.2	Effect of the new Metro line on mobility within Santiago and passengers' behavior . . . . .	68
4.3	Model Specification, Estimation and Results . . . . .	72
4.3.1	Consideration set construction . . . . .	72
4.3.2	Route choice modeling . . . . .	73
4.3.3	Consideration set results . . . . .	76
4.3.4	Model estimates . . . . .	79
4.4	Conclusions . . . . .	85
<b>5</b>	<b>The effect of economic incentives and cooperation messages on user participation in crowdsourced public transport technologies</b>	<b>87</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	88
5.2	Background information and method . . . . .	90
5.2.1	Background information . . . . .	90
5.2.2	Participants . . . . .	91
5.2.3	Experimental design and procedure . . . . .	91
5.2.4	Empirical strategy . . . . .	92
5.3	Results . . . . .	93
5.3.1	Participation rate . . . . .	93
5.3.2	Level of user contribution . . . . .	95
5.3.3	Effect over time . . . . .	98
5.4	Discussion and conclusions . . . . .	99
5.5	Appendices . . . . .	101
5.5.1	Notifications received by users on their phones . . . . .	101
5.5.2	Sample size . . . . .	102

5.5.3	Estimation of the effect of each experimental condition on participation rate using a linear probability model . . . . .	104
5.5.4	Estimation of the effect of each experimental condition on the level of user contribution using different models and different analyses . . . .	105
5.5.5	Estimation of the effect of each experimental condition on the level of user contribution using a Zero-inflated negative binomial model . . .	107
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusions and future research</b>	<b>110</b>
6.1	Main findings . . . . .	110
6.2	Recommendations for future research . . . . .	115
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>116</b>