

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Spatial characterization of a rock mass	1
1.2. Limitations of Geostatistics in Geotechnics	5
1.2.1. Direction dependence (directionality)	5
1.2.2. Lack of additivity	9
1.3. Spatial correlation modeling on spheres	12
1.4. Spatial correlation modeling on spheres crossed with Euclidean spaces	14
MODELING OF DIRECTIONAL VARIABLES IN A 5D SPACE	15
2.1. Spatial correlation modeling	16
2.1.1. Modeling in the three-dimensional Euclidean space	16
2.1.2. Modeling in the two-dimensional sphere	17
2.1.3. Modeling in the product of the 3D Euclidean space and 2D sphere	18
2.2. Multivariate modeling	19
2.3. Non-conditional simulation	20
2.4. Conditional simulation	23
2.5. Multivariate simulation	24
APPLICATION IN GEOTECHNICS TO THE SIMULATION OF THE LINEAR DISCONTINUITY FREQUENCY	26
Abstract	27
3.1. Introduction	27
3.2. Methodology	30
3.2.1. Representation of directional variables in a five-dimensional space	30
3.2.2. Spatial correlation modeling	30
3.2.3. Conditional simulation	33
3.3. Case study	34
3.3.1. Data presentation	34
3.3.2. Data modeling	36
3.3.3. Conditional simulation	39
3.3.4. Regionalized azimuthal projections	40
3.3.5. Checking the model hypotheses	41
3.3.6. Validation of the results	43
3.3.7. Importance of a spatial correlation model that accounts for directionality 44	
3.4. Conclusions	45

Appendix A.....	46
Appendix B.....	47
Appendix C	48
GEOSTATISTICAL MODELING OF ROCK QUALITY DESIGNATION (RQD) AND GEOTECHNICAL ZONING ACCOUNTING FOR DIRECTIONAL DEPENDENCE AND SCALE EFFECT	49
Abstract.....	50
4.1. Introduction	50
4.2. Background: directional dependence and upscaling of RQD	52
4.2.1. Directional dependence	53
4.2.2. Upscaling.....	55
4.3. Methodology: geostatistical modeling and simulation.....	56
4.4. Case study: jointed rock mass in a polymetallic deposit.....	57
4.4.1. Geological setting and data preparation	57
4.4.2. RQD modeling	59
4.4.3. Conditional simulation.....	64
4.4.4. Change of support (upscaling).....	67
4.4.5. Geotechnical zoning	72
4.5. Discussion.....	74
4.6. Conclusions and perspectives.....	75
Appendix A.....	77
A.1. Traditional approach: modeling RQD in the 3D Euclidean space	77
A.2. Directional approach: modeling in a 5D product space	78
SLOPE CONSTRUCTABILITY ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA FOR OPEN PIT MINE DESIGN: A CASE STUDY ON A CHILEAN COPPER MINE	80
Abstract.....	81
5.1. Introduction	81
5.2. Empirical classification systems	84
5.2.1. Rock mass rating (RMR)	84
5.2.2. Slope mass rating (SMR).....	86
5.3. Geostatistical modeling applied to geotechnics.....	89
5.3.1. Regionalizing geotechnical variables in a five-dimensional space.....	89
5.3.2. Spatial structure identification	90
5.3.3. Conditional simulation.....	90
5.4. Case Study.....	91
5.4.1. Research area and structural domain.....	91
5.4.2. Presentation of data.....	92

5.4.3. Spatial structure analysis.....	94
5.4.4. Conditional simulation of RQD, JC and IRS	96
5.4.5. SMR calculation.....	97
5.4.6. Results.....	100
5.4.7. Discussion	105
5.5. Conclusions.....	107
GENERAL DISCUSSION	109
CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORKS.....	114
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	117