

# Table of Content

<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. Motivation . . . . .	1
1.2. Hypothesis . . . . .	1
1.3. General Objectives . . . . .	2
1.4. Specific Objectives . . . . .	2
<b>2. Preliminaries</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1. Graphs . . . . .	3
2.2. Graph spectral theory . . . . .	4
2.2.1. Convolutions in Euclidean space . . . . .	4
2.2.2. Convolutions in graphs . . . . .	6
2.3. Graph Neural Networks . . . . .	9
2.3.1. Spatial Graph Neural Networks . . . . .	9
2.3.2. Spectral Graph Neural Networks . . . . .	10
2.3.3. Literature review . . . . .	13
2.4. Dynamic graphs . . . . .	17
2.5. Temporal Graph Neural Networks . . . . .	17
2.5.1. Literature review . . . . .	19
<b>3. Methods</b>	<b>23</b>
3.1. Polynomial Subspace Net (PSN) . . . . .	23
3.1.1. Further restrictions over the base filter . . . . .	24
3.2. Online Graph Nets (OGN) . . . . .	26
3.2.1. Complexity analysis . . . . .	28
3.2.2. On-edge prediction . . . . .	29
<b>4. Results and discussion</b>	<b>30</b>
4.1. Polynomial Subspace Net (PSN) . . . . .	30
4.1.1. Graph-level prediction tasks . . . . .	30
4.1.2. Node classification . . . . .	31
4.2. Where is the gain coming from? . . . . .	32
4.2.1. Ablation study . . . . .	33
4.2.2. The impact of restricting the parameters . . . . .	34
4.2.3. Spectral analysis . . . . .	34
4.2.4. Measuring oversmoothing . . . . .	35
4.2.5. Limitations . . . . .	35
4.3. Online Graph Nets (OGN) . . . . .	36
4.3.1. Temporal link prediction . . . . .	36

4.3.2. Node classification . . . . .	37
4.4. Ablation Studies . . . . .	38
4.4.1. Temporal GNNs . . . . .	38
4.4.2. OGN . . . . .	39
<b>5. Conclusions</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>Annex A. PSN</b>	<b>48</b>
A.1. Implementation details . . . . .	48
A.1.1. Datasets . . . . .	48
A.1.2. Evaluation setup . . . . .	49
A.1.3. Hardware . . . . .	49
A.2. Additional experiments . . . . .	51
A.2.1. Complexity . . . . .	51
A.2.2. Ablation study . . . . .	51
A.2.3. Measuring oversmoothing . . . . .	52
A.2.4. Gradients . . . . .	54
A.3. Proof of Proposition 1 . . . . .	54
A.4. Proof of Proposition 2 . . . . .	55
A.5. Proof of Proposition 3 . . . . .	56
A.6. Proof of Proposition 4 . . . . .	57
<b>Annex B. OGN</b>	<b>58</b>
B.1. Causal Anonymous Walk (CAW) . . . . .	58
B.1.1. The Bug: Attention over the batch instead of the walks . . . . .	58
B.2. Datasets . . . . .	59
B.2.1. Twitter dataset . . . . .	60
B.3. Implementation details . . . . .	60
B.3.1. Evaluation setup and hyperparameters . . . . .	61
B.4. Further Ablation Study . . . . .	61
B.4.1. Hardware . . . . .	62
B.5. More experiments . . . . .	62
B.6. Further intuition behind the weighted average . . . . .	62
B.7. Proof: tractable aggregation . . . . .	63