

# Table of Content

<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Managing robotics complexity with Robotics Middleware . . . . .	1
1.2 Problem Statement . . . . .	2
1.3 Hypotheses and Goals . . . . .	3
1.4 Objectives . . . . .	4
1.4.1 Study clone activity in ROS Launch files . . . . .	4
1.4.2 Characterization of the collaboration dynamics in the ROS community	4
1.4.3 Identify the more important bottlenecks in contributions and provide	
recommendations to address them . . . . .	5
1.4.4 Recommend qualified members for knowledge contributions . . . . .	5
1.5 Contributions . . . . .	5
1.6 Associated Publications . . . . .	6
1.7 Outline of the thesis . . . . .	6
<b>2 Robotics Middleware and The Robot Operating System</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 Challenge of Robotics . . . . .	7
2.2 What is a Robotics Middleware? . . . . .	9
2.3 Popular Middleware . . . . .	11
2.3.1 OROCOS . . . . .	11
2.3.2 Orca . . . . .	12
2.3.3 MIRO . . . . .	13
2.3.4 YARP . . . . .	13
2.4 Robot Operating System (ROS) . . . . .	14
2.5 Open Issues and Final Remarks on Robotics Middleware . . . . .	19
<b>3 Code Duplication in ROS Launch Files</b>	<b>21</b>
3.1 Motivation . . . . .	21
3.2 Related Work . . . . .	22
3.3 ROS Internals . . . . .	23
3.4 ROS Launch files . . . . .	23
3.5 Methodology . . . . .	24

3.5.1	Software artifacts characterization . . . . .	24
3.5.2	Code Clone definition . . . . .	24
3.5.3	Metrics for Code Clone Study . . . . .	25
3.5.4	Data . . . . .	26
3.6	RQ1: What are the artifacts normally used to build robotics applications with ROS? . . . . .	27
3.7	RQ2: To what extent do ROS packages have code duplication in the ROS launch files? . . . . .	28
3.8	RQ3: What are the characteristics of the clones in such packages? . . . . .	29
3.9	RQ4: What is cloned in launch files with duplicated code? . . . . .	32
3.10	RQ5: What is the impact of code clone presence? . . . . .	33
3.11	Threats to Validity . . . . .	34
3.12	Conclusion . . . . .	35
<b>4</b>	<b>Understanding the Collaboration Dynamics of the ROS Ecosystem</b>	<b>36</b>
4.1	Methodology . . . . .	36
4.1.1	Interview Study . . . . .	36
4.1.2	Focus Group Activity . . . . .	37
4.1.3	Survey study . . . . .	37
4.2	Results . . . . .	38
4.2.1	Package Reuse Experience . . . . .	38
4.2.2	Integrating a package . . . . .	39
4.2.3	Why do users fail when reusing packages? . . . . .	39
4.2.4	Looking for help . . . . .	40
4.2.5	How do users contribute to the ROS ecosystem . . . . .	42
4.3	Limitations of this study . . . . .	43
4.4	Conclusions . . . . .	43
<b>5</b>	<b>Contribution Bottlenecks and Recommendations</b>	<b>45</b>
5.1	Bottlenecks in Contribution . . . . .	45
5.2	Package Abandonment . . . . .	47
5.2.1	Impact on Package Reuse and Contribution Dynamics . . . . .	47
5.2.2	How do users handle these threats today? . . . . .	48
5.3	Recommendations for overcoming contribution bottlenecks . . . . .	49
5.3.1	<i>Recommendation 1: Identify and Predict Abandoned Packages</i> . . . . .	49
5.3.2	<i>Recommendation 2: Provide an Informative Package Repository</i> . . . . .	51
5.3.3	<i>Recommendation 3: Recommend contribution opportunities to qualified community members</i> . . . . .	52
5.3.4	<i>Recommendation 4: Limit breaking changes</i> . . . . .	53
5.3.5	<i>Recommendation 5: Motivate and encourage community contributions</i> . . . . .	55
5.4	Conclusions . . . . .	56
<b>6</b>	<b>Distributing Community Efforts in Knowledge Sharing Platforms: the case of ROS Answers</b>	<b>58</b>
6.1	Motivation . . . . .	58
6.2	ROS Answers . . . . .	60
6.3	Related Work . . . . .	64

6.4	Approach . . . . .	66
6.4.1	Zhang's <i>et al.</i> Expert Recommendation System . . . . .	66
6.4.2	Adapting Text Matching Based Recommendation Algorithm to the Tag-Map Based Algorithm . . . . .	67
6.4.3	Tag Extension . . . . .	68
6.5	Evaluation Methodology . . . . .	70
6.5.1	Collected Data . . . . .	72
6.5.2	Calculation of $R_{uq}$ and $R_{ut}$ table . . . . .	72
6.6	RQ1: Does TMBA predict the actual answerer of a question? . . . . .	74
6.7	RQ2: Does TMBA recommend qualified users? . . . . .	76
6.8	RQ3: Does TMBA facilitate the workload distribution of answering questions? . . . . .	80
6.9	Discussion . . . . .	82
6.10	Conclusions . . . . .	83
<b>7</b>	<b>Final Remarks and Future Work</b>	<b>85</b>
7.1	Contributions . . . . .	85
7.1.1	Methodology and Evidence of Code Duplication in ROS Launch files . . . . .	85
7.1.2	Evidence on Socio-Technical aspects of the ROS Ecosystem . . . . .	86
7.1.3	Recommendations for addressing contribution bottlenecks . . . . .	86
7.1.4	Novel approach for actively distributing knowledge sharing workload in Software Ecosystems . . . . .	87
7.2	Discussion on the Objectives and Hypotheses . . . . .	88
7.3	Future Work . . . . .	90
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>92</b>
	<b>Annexes</b>	<b>103</b>
	<b>Annex A Interview Questionnaire</b>	<b>104</b>
	<b>Annex B Survey Questionnaire</b>	<b>106</b>
	<b>Annex C Recall@k figures</b>	<b>110</b>