

TABLA DE CONTENIDO

1. Introducción.....	1
1.1 Motivación de la tesis.....	1
1.2 Objetivos	1
1.2.1 Objetivo general	1
1.2.2 Objetivos específicos.....	2
1.3 Alcances	2
1.4 Estado del arte	2
1.5 Estructura de la tesis.....	3
2. A fast method to find smooth economic envelopes for block and panel caving mines	5
2.1 Abstract	5
2.2 Introduction.....	6
2.3 Literature Review	8
2.3.1 Economic Envelope Optimization in Block/Panel Caving	8
2.3.2 Geometric properties in mining optimization	9
2.4 Methodology	11
2.4.1 Economic evaluation of individual block.....	11
2.4.2 PCBC algorithm to compute the economic envelope.....	12
2.4.3 Smooth envelope optimization.....	13
2.4.4 Economic envelopes.....	15
2.5 Numerical experiences	16
2.5.1 Block models and technical parameters	16
2.5.2 Calibration of parameters	17
2.5.2.1 Shape function ρ	17
2.5.2.2 Maximum interaction height $h +$ and maximum height difference δ	17
2.5.3 Comparison of envelopes	18
2.6 Conclusions	21
3. Conclusiones generales, trabajos futuros y recomendaciones.....	22
3.1 Conclusiones generales	22
3.2 Trabajos futuros	22
3.3 Recomendaciones.....	23
4. Bibliografía.....	24
Anexos	30

Anexo A: Curriculum Vitae del alumno	30
Anexo B: Estado de publicación	32

INDICE DE TABLAS

Table 1. Summary of block models “D”	16
Table 2. Design and Planning Parameters	16
Table 3. Summary of results of block model “D”	18

INDICE DE FIGURAS

Figure 1. Main elements of the layout of a panel caving mine	6
Figure 2. Features to avoid in the form of a footprint	7
Figure 3. Steps for economic evaluation of blocks	11
Figure 4. Conceptual description of horizontal and vertical precedences arcs	14
Figure 5. Procedures for generating economic envelopes	15
Figure 6. Plan view of impact of the shape function on the contour of optimal envelopes	18
Figure 7. Isometric view of economic envelopes for different values of the maximum interaction height (h^+) and the maximum height difference (δ) in terms of the total column height H and the side of the block s	19
Figure 8. Comparison of economic envelopes	20
Figure 9. Selection of best initial point	20