

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/370862085>

# Moving Patagonia

Chapter · May 2023

DOI: 10.4324/9781003321163-15

---

CITATIONS

0

---

READS

62

3 authors, including:



**Pablo Mansilla Quiñones**

Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso

66 PUBLICATIONS 568 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



**Walter Imilan**

Universidad Central

51 PUBLICATIONS 605 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

# **Mobilities in Remote Places**

**Edited by Phillip Vannini**

First published 2024  
by Routledge  
4 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN

and by Routledge  
605 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10158

*Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business*

© 2024 selection and editorial matter, Phillip Vannini; individual chapters, the contributors

The right of Phillip Vannini to be identified as the author of the editorial material, and of the authors for their individual chapters, has been asserted in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

*Trademark notice:* Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

*British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data*

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

*Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data*

A catalog record has been requested for this book

ISBN: 978-1-032-34244-3 (hbk)

ISBN: 978-1-032-34245-0 (pbk)

ISBN: 978-1-003-32116-3 (ebk)

DOI: 10.4324/9781003321163

Typeset in Times New Roman

by Deanta Global Publishing Services, Chennai, India

# Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	viii
<i>List of Contributors</i>	ix
<b>1 Mobilities in Remote Places: Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
PHILLIP VANNINI	
<b>PART 1</b>	
<b>Rhythms</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>2 Making Remoteness through Pandemic Im/mobilities on the Isle of Coll, Scotland</b>	<b>17</b>
CHRISTINA BOSBACH	
<b>3 Lavorare dal Sud: Return to Southern Italy and Remote Work in Pandemic Times</b>	<b>29</b>
FLAVIA CANGIÀ	
<b>4 Cards, Memories, and Places: Exploring Remoteness and Place in Rural Denmark</b>	<b>42</b>
CLAUS LASSEN, LEA HOLST LAURSEN, OLE B. JENSEN, AND MAX FRANK	
<b>PART 2</b>	
<b>Routes</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>5 From Trade Corridor to Dead End?: Eastern Afghanistan As a Remote Borderland</b>	<b>59</b>
TOBIAS MARSCHALL	

<b>6</b>	<b>Bigsy vs the Mice: Tiny Airports Flying in the Face of a Compromised Fate</b>	71
	LYN GALLACHER	
<b>7</b>	<b>Mobilities on the Margins: The Becoming of Melrakkaslétta as a Tourist Destination</b>	86
	BÓRNÝ BARÐADÓTTIR, GUNNAR THÓR JÓHANNESSON, AND KATRÍN ANNA LUND	
<b>PART 3</b>		
	<b>Speeds</b>	<b>101</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>When the Road Came: Remoteness, Mobility, and Social Change among Youth in Kaasa, Ghana</b>	103
	LINA ADEETUK AND DAVID BUTZ	
<b>9</b>	<b>Where Media Technology Is Not Fully Available: Sound-Based Means of Transport as Local Media</b>	116
	MARIA ALICE DE FARIA NOGUEIRA AND ROSIANE DOS SANTOS SOUZA	
<b>PART 4</b>		
	<b>Frictions</b>	<b>129</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>The Immobilities of Non-automobile Residents of Rural Spain</b>	131
	LUIS CAMARERO, JESÚS OLIVA, AND JULIO A. DEL PINO	
<b>11</b>	<b>Moving Patagonia: Contemporary Rural Dwelling through <i>estancias</i>, <i>puestos</i>, and <i>puesteros</i></b>	144
	PABLO MANSILLA-QUIÑONES, PAOLA JIRÓN-MARTÍNEZ, AND WALTER IMILAN-OJEDA	
<b>PART 5</b>		
	<b>Feels</b>	<b>159</b>
<b>12</b>	<b>Slowness, Sense of Community, and Changing Perceptions of Mobility in Tajikistan's Bartang Valley</b>	161
	SUZY BLONDIN AND SULTONBEK AKSAKOLOV	
<b>13</b>	<b>Ancient Paths, New Forms of Movement in the Peruvian Andes</b>	175
	INDIRA NAHOMI VIANA CABALLERO	

<b>PART 6</b>	
<b>Motives</b>	<b>187</b>
<b>14 The Lure of Immobility: Living and Coworking in Rural France</b>	<b>189</b>
NATHALIE ORTAR AND AURORE FLIPO	
<b>15 Mobilizing and Practicing Remoteness in Iceland's Westfjords</b>	<b>201</b>
ANNA WOJTYŃSKA, UNNUR DÍSKAPTADÓTTIR, AND PAMELA INNES	
<b>16 The Groundhog Trail: The Geographies of Habitat and Daily Life for Mobile Workers at the Romaine River Hydroelectric Site</b>	<b>214</b>
LAURIE GUIMOND AND ALEXIA DESMEULES	
<i>Index</i>	<b>229</b>

# Figures

3.1	Castelbuono, Province of Palermo, Sicily. Photo by Flavia Cangia	36
4.1 and 4.2	The four members of the Canasta card club in Bindslev	46
4.3	Historical diagram visualizing Bindslev’s development	53
5.1	International borders (dotted: unsettled claims) and main roads surrounding the Afghan Pamirs. © Tobias Marschall	61
5.2	International borders. © Tobias Marschall	62
6.1	Representation of all available aircraft landing areas in Australia	76
6.2	Representation showing only aerodromes in Australia	78
6.3	Representation showing only aerodromes in Victoria	79
7.1	The Arctic Henge ©Þórný Barðadóttir 2021	87
7.2	Map of Iceland. Roads and the “historical” and “new” Melrakkaslétta drawn by authors via Felt.com	89
7.3	The road connections of Melrakkaslétta and northeast Iceland. Drawn by authors via Felt.com	92
9.1	Map showing Afuá and the study’s locations	118
9.2	Sound bicycle	119
10.1	Location of the remote rural areas studied	132
11.1	Mobile puesto of a puestero who works as a fencer of rural properties. Its lower part has wheels that allow it to move through the rural fields	149
11.2	Sheep farmers herding a thousand sheep during the period called indicated or señalada	151
11.3	Carlos and another shepherd working with sheep during la señalada	152
13.1	Bass drum player in collective work for the opening of a road Andamarca 2010. Photograph by Indira Caballero	179
16.1	Primary infrastructure of the Romaine River hydroelectric project. Source: Original map by Hydro-Québec—Romaine project modified by Mourad Djaballah, GÉOLAB, Geography Department, UQAM. Reproduced with authorization	216
16.2	The Murailles workcamp (kilometer 36), August 2014	221

# Contributors

**Lina Adeetuk** completed her MA in Geography at Brock University, Canada, in December 2021. Her MA thesis research focused on the implications of a newly constructed road for the daily lives and prospects of young people in Zaagsa, a remote rural community in Ghana's Upper East Region.

**Sultonbek Aksakolov** is a historian at the School of Arts and Sciences, University of Central Asia, Khorog, Tajikistan. Sultonbek's research focuses on the history of Soviet Badakhshan, migration of the Pamiri populations, and identity and perceptions of "homeland" in this context. His publications include articles on the history of Ismailis, religious education, and development initiatives in Tajikistan.

**Þórný Barðadóttir** is a researcher at the Icelandic Tourism Research Centre and a PhD student at the University of Iceland. Þórný's research interests are in rural tourism and the role of tourism in regional development. Her previous research and publications include research on cruise visits in coastal communities.

**Suzy Blondin** is a geographer based in Switzerland (University of Neuchâtel/HEP Fribourg) whose work mostly examines the intersections between climate change and im/mobilities in rural/mountainous contexts, especially in Central Asia. Her recent work has been published in the *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, *Mobilities*, and *Geoforum*.

**Christina Bosbach** is a PhD candidate in Anthropology at the University of Aberdeen in Scotland. Her doctoral project focuses on uncertainty, island life, and im/mobilities during the COVID-19 pandemic. It is based on a year of fieldwork on the small Scottish Island of Coll, completed in summer 2021.

**David Butz** is Professor of Geography at Brock University, Canada, and Editor-in-Chief of *Studies in Social Justice*. He has published widely on road construction, mobility, and social change in mountainous northern Pakistan. In 2019 he co-edited *Mobilities, Mobility Justice and Social Justice* and in 2020 published *Road Construction, Mobility and Social Change in a Wakhi Village*.

**Indira Nahomi Viana Caballero** earned her PhD and master's degree at the National Museum Postgraduate Program in Social Anthropology, at the



Federal University of Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ). She conducted long-term ethnographic research in the Peruvian Andes between 2009 and 2011. She is currently Postdoctoral Fellow at the Postgraduate Program in Social Anthropology at the Federal University of Goiás (UFG), Brazil, funded by PNPd/Capes.

**Luis Camarero** is Professor of Sociology at the National University of Distance Education (Spain). His research activity centers on the rural population and their living conditions. His latest project addresses the rural–urban gap and the issue of rural inhabitants’ access to the welfare society.

**Flavia Cangià** is a social anthropologist studying mobility with a specific interest in work transitions, imagination, digitalization, and precarity. She is Senior Researcher at the University of Fribourg (Switzerland) and conducts research on migration, mobility, and career trajectories as part of the Swiss Centre of Expertise in Life Course Research (LIVES).

**Julio A. del Pino** is Associate Professor of Sociology at the National University of Distance Education (Spain). His research career has been mainly oriented to the study of spatial issues, using both quantitative and qualitative methods.

**Alexia Desmeules** is a research coordinator in the Geography Department at the University of Quebec, Montreal, Canada. She is the author of a master’s thesis in Geography that explores the effects of the Romaine hydroelectric project on the relationship with the territory, both material and ideal, of the Innu of the Ekuanitshit community. She also collaborates in other intercultural research projects on the North Shore.

**Aurore Flipo** is Sociologist and Associate Researcher at PACTE, University of Grenoble Alpes (France). Her research has been focusing on mobility as a socially stratified practice of negotiating spatial resources and constraints. Since 2018, she focuses on the specificities of rural areas and the issue of social change and sustainability in energy practices.

**Max Frank** is Research and Teaching Assistant in Mobilities and Urban Studies in the Department of Architecture, Design and Media Technology at Aalborg University. Max holds a master’s degree in Mobilities and Urban Studies from Aalborg University. He is currently researching urban mobilities history and has interests in mobilities design, urban planning, and transport.

**Lyn Gallacher** is a radio producer for the Australian Broadcasting Corporation. She is also an academic and pilot. Her first PhD, on Compositional Linguistics, was awarded through the English Department at La Trobe University, but she has since submitted a second, in Geography, at the University of Melbourne.

**Laurie Guimond** is Professor in the Geography Department at Université du Québec à Montréal (Québec, Canada), Adjunct Research Fellow at the Nulungu Research Institute at the University of Notre Dame Australia (Broome Campus), and Research Fellow at Edith Cowan University. Her research

revolves around enhancing the essential role of intercultural relations in the territorial development of northern Québec.

**Walter Imilan-Ojeda** is a researcher at Universidad Central de Chile. He is an anthropologist from Universidad de Chile and received a doctoral degree in Planning at TU Berlin. He works on dwelling processes with different social groups focusing on indigenous people and their territory-making practices. He researches from an ethnographic perspective stressing contestations and identity-building processes.

**Pamela Innes** is Associate Professor of Linguistic Anthropology at the University of Wyoming, USA. She studies the effects of language policy on immigrants in Iceland. She has published articles and book chapters about teaching Icelandic as a second language to adults and on Icelandic language policy.

**Ole B. Jensen** is Sociologist and Professor of Urban Theory at the Department of Architecture, Design and Media Technology at Aalborg University, Denmark. He is Deputy Director and Co-founder of the Center for Mobilities and Urban Studies (C-MUS). His main research interests are in mobilities design and social exclusion, power, and design.

**Paola Jirón-Martínez** is an academic at the Faculty of Architecture and Urbanism, Universidad de Chile. She holds a PhD in Urban and Regional Planning from The London School of Economics (LSE-UK). Her main areas of research focus on urban/territorial inequalities from an everyday life, feminist, and mobilities approach. She is currently working on the spatialization of mobile care relations.

**Gunnar Thór Jóhannesson** is Professor in the Department of Geography and Tourism at the University of Iceland. His recent research has been on destination dynamics, mobilities, and placemaking with a focus on the entanglement of nature and culture. This has involved studies on entrepreneurship, innovation, tourism controversies, and policymaking in the context of the Arctic and European High North. He has published his research in various books and journals. He is Vice Lead of UArctic Thematic Network on Northern Tourism and a founding member of AIRTH—Alliance for Innovators and Researchers in Tourism.

**Claus Lassen** is Associate Professor at the Department of Architecture, Design, and Media Technology and Director of the interdisciplinary C-MUS Centre of Mobility and Urban Studies at Aalborg University. His research focuses on changing social relations in light of international air travel, and he has published several articles and book chapters on business travel, aeromobilities, and airports.

**Lea Holst Laursen** is Associate Professor in Urban Design and Head of the Architecture and Urban Design Section at the Department of Architecture, Design and Media Technology, Aalborg University. Her research concerns

urban and rural transformation with a place-based, human-centered objective. Of recent major publications can be mentioned: *Mobilising Place Management* (Routledge, 2021).

**Katrín Anna Lund** is Professor in Geography and Tourism Studies at the University of Iceland and is an anthropologist whose main research focus has been on the phenomenology of landscapes with an emphasis on the moving body and materiality. Recently her focus has been on creative processes of placemaking by exploring the links between tourism, landscapes, and material culture in relation to more-than-human everyday entanglements.

**Pablo Mansilla-Quñones** is Adjunct Professor at the Institute of Geography. Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Chile. He holds a PhD in Geography from the Universidad Federal Fluminense, Brazil. His area of research is social and cultural geography, with a focus on decolonial and critical Latin American thought. He directs the research group Territorios Alternativos (www.territoriosalternativos.cl).

**Tobias Marschall** received his PhD in anthropology from the Graduate Institute of International Relations and Development Studies. His dissertation is titled “The Image of Remoteness: Alterity and Mobility in Eastern Afghanistan.” His research focuses on the visual analysis of remote frontier imaginaries and the politics and poetics of endangerment sensibilities.

**Maria Alice de Faria Nogueira** holds a PhD degree in History, Politics, and Culture (CPDOC-FGV). She is Professor at the Escola de Comunicação–Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (ECO-UFRJ), and her research focuses on the interconnection of (im)mobility, communication, advertising, and marketing. She recently edited two books: *Brazilian Mobilities* (Nogueira & Moraes, 2020) and *Alternative (Im)mobilities* (Nogueira, 2022).

**Jesús Oliva** is Professor of Sociology at the Public University of Navarra (Spain). His research work focuses on mobilities, regional development, and planning. He is currently conducting a four-year research project on the role of everyday mobility in rural sustainability and territorial cohesion.

**Nathalie Ortar** is Senior Researcher in Anthropology at the ENTPE at the University of Lyon. Her research has mainly focused on energy, materiality, routines, dwelling, and spatial mobility. Publications include *Energy Futures* (2023), *Becoming Urban Cyclist* (2022), and *Ethnographies of Power* (2021).

**Unnur Dís Skaptadóttir** is Professor of Anthropology at the University of Iceland. Her main research interests are in the areas of migration, transnational ties, and questions of borders and boundaries. She has conducted research among diverse groups of migrants in Iceland. Currently she is conducting research on migration to rural areas in Iceland.

**Rosiane dos Santos Souza** is Media Specialist, graduated in Media Studies at Universidade Estácio de Sá–UNESA (Rio de Janeiro, Brazil). Since 2016, she

has been working as a media planner for the Brazilian Ministry of Health, among other clients. Specialized in alternative means of communication, mainly in remote places, Souza takes advantage of her professional experience to investigate the circulation of public benefit campaigns through popular and community communication practices in the bordering regions of Brazil. Her research interests are in media advertising, communication and marketing strategies, mobility of information, and people and commodities.

**Phillip Vannini** is Professor in the School of Communication & Culture at Royal Roads University in Victoria, BC, Canada. He has conducted research on BC Ferries, off-grid living, small island cultures and communities, natural heritage, everyday life, the cultural aspects of the human senses, food and culture, and sense of place. His latest research project examines the natural heritage and wildness and has resulted in the books *Inhabited* (2021) and *In the Name of Wild* (2022) as well as the award-winning documentary film *Inhabited*. He is the author of *Ferry Tales* (Routledge, 2012) and *Doing Public Ethnography* (Routledge, 2018) and co-author of *The Senses in Self, Society, and Culture* (Routledge, 2011), *Off the Grid* (Routledge, 2014), and *Wilderness* (Routledge, 2016). He is also editor of *The Cultures of Alternative Mobilities* (Routledge, 2009), *Non-Representational Methodologies* (Routledge, 2015), and *The Routledge International Handbook of Ethnographic Film and Video* (Routledge, 2020) and co-editor of *Body/Embodiment* (Routledge, 2006), *Authenticity in Culture, Self, and Culture* (Routledge, 2009), and *Popular Culture as Everyday Life* (Routledge, 2015).

**Anna Wojtyńska** has a PhD in anthropology and is currently working as Postdoctoral Researcher at the University of Iceland, studying migration to rural areas. Her main interest is in migration, particularly migration from Poland to Iceland, with a focus on the labor market and transnational practices.

# 11 Moving Patagonia

## Contemporary Rural Dwelling through *estancias, puestos, and puesteros*

*Pablo Mansilla-Quiñones, Paola Jirón-Martínez,  
and Walter Imilan-Ojeda*

Remote Patagonia, a territory shared by Chile and Argentina, possesses a unique character that stands out on a global scale for its geographical exceptionality and the ways of life that its inhabitants have developed over the years. Its vast territorial extension, low population density, poor connectivity, and geographical isolation would lead one to think that its inhabitants tend to be immobile. However, distinct movements of people, goods, and information make up a fundamental dimension of Patagonian life (Vázquez, 2017). In this chapter, we show how Patagonia's territory is characterized by a mobile and relational nature generated by the mobilities of people, animals, goods, and ideas. However, rural dwellers' mobility and accessibility are historically conditioned by the latifundary system of sheep farming, which has extended from the nineteenth century to the present. As pointed out by Bascopé Julio (2008), these structures of economic power determine Patagonia's territoriality and mobility. In his words: "Animal, human and mercantile circulation was the basis of the cattle empire" (2008, p. 30).

A latifundium is "a great landed estate with primitive agriculture and labor often in a state of partial servitude" (Merriam-Webster dictionary). In Patagonia, the high concentration of land in the private hands of sheep production latifundia—called *estancias*—has made it impossible to create human settlements that provide rural dwellers access to housing and land. This generates a condition of rural underpopulation, which hinders the possibility of increasing the number of inhabitants in rural settlements (Martinic, 2006). As the economic decline of these forms of rural work and generational changes affect work practices, the rural territories of Patagonia currently face processes of significant depopulation (Uribe and Mansilla, 2022). A considerable number of young rural Patagonians and their families migrate to larger cities in search of opportunities and this determines not only demographic decreases but also a form of shrinking rural dwelling (Mansilla et al., 2021).

Rural workers who lack access to land or means of production use their mobility as capital to access employment, creating unique ways of inhabiting a rural territory, which we argue to be "in motion" (see Kaufman et al., 2004). In this chapter, we describe how such territory is in motion by narrating the mobile territorialities of the inhabitants of rural Patagonia called *puesteros*. *Puesteros* are

rural workers who dwell in remote areas inside sheep production fields and are responsible for tending to the interior fields of *estancias* to care for sheep and the fields. Their work, a form of mobile dwelling, shows that mobility and immobility are key to understanding the ways in which Patagonia has been inhabited and crucial to stop the ongoing processes of depopulation and rural shrinkage.

Between 2018 and 2020, in the context of a broader fieldwork project titled “‘*undwelling*’ the *extremes: new ways of inhabiting the rural* in Magallanes,” we worked with an ethnographic research team composed of geographers and anthropologists in the rural territories of Magallanes undergoing a depopulation process. From an ethnographic perspective, and through a territorial and mobility approach, we describe the processes of deterritorialization and reterritorialization experienced by the inhabitants of rural human settlements as a result of accelerated depopulation.

The collective ethnography (Jirón and Imilan, 2018) took place in the districts of Laguna Blanca, San Gregorio, and Timaukel in Tierra del Fuego where we interviewed over 70 inhabitants of rural areas. In addition to interviews, we carried out participant observation, whereby a group of ethnographers lived for a period of one month in two rural settlements in San Gregorio and Timaukel, sharing and learning from rural daily practices.

### **Theoretical Framework**

The mobility approach goes beyond describing how subjects move from a place of origin to one of destination as it aims to understand movement as a significant part of the space-time experience of dwelling in the world (Jirón and Mansilla-Quiñones, 2014). Thus, the ways of inhabiting a territory are relationally constituted through movement and fluidity (Cresswell, 2011; Sheller and Urry, 2006). Understanding territories as relational (Massey, 2012) implies seeing them as more than mere containers of activities, spaces to be analyzed from above, or a set of geo-referenced indicators on a map to be controlled from a distance or to be used in predictions of population behaviors (Jirón and Imilan, 2018). Understanding territory as relational implies recognizing that we are affected by them just as we affect them with our practices and our bodies (Mansilla and Imilan, 2019). It means that what happens in one place impacts another, even if from a distance and sometimes imperceptibly. It also implies understanding that the territorial experience is not the same for everyone and that different people dwell on them differently according to socioeconomic status, gender, age, life cycle, ethnicity, abilities, etc. (Imilan et al., 2020). In other words, territorial experiences are multiple and differentiated and must be observed as intersectional (Hopkins, 2018). Above all, it means that the concept of territory is dynamic, not fixed, and that those who inhabit it, humans and non-humans, dwell in constant movement. Mobilities refer not only to the ways in which people, their bodies, things, and diseases move but also to the impact that these mobilities have on the lives of those who move (Jirón and Imilán, 2018). That is, the way people’s lives are interrelated and interdependent with mobility allows us to move in today’s

world (Jirón and Gómez, 2018). Specifically, everything moves and, at the same time, these mobilities are inextricably linked to multiple forms of immobility.

Undwelling, the abandonment of rural settlements, can be considered one of the characteristics of our time and one of the transformations in the forms of land use that occur in the context of environmental change (McLeman, 2011). In the context of the Anthropocene, the practice of undwelling is increasingly recurrent, millions of people worldwide are more or less forced to move and leave their territories due to drastic socio-ecological transformations (Uribe-Sierra et al., 2022).

Deepening the understanding of this situation requires going beyond a simple demographic perspective (Uribe and Mansilla, 2022). For Giglia (2012, p. 13), dwelling is related to the practices and spatial representations of subjects in spaces: “It is the process by which the subject is placed at the center of space-time coordinates, through his perception and his relationship with the environment that surrounds him [sic].” In a complementary way, authors such as Imilan, Jirón, and Iturra (2018) point out the relevance of integrating a dynamic notion of dwelling that investigates the way in which inhabitants produce space in a mobile way, as well as the experiences that emerge from this movement.

Given the above, we propose a phenomenological approach to depopulation through the concept of “undwelling.” The concept helps us understand the phenomenon from the perspective of the spatiality of everyday life, namely the way in which inhabitants socio-spatially experience, perceive, and represent the abandonment of their life territories. This perspective opens questions such as: What stories and geographies of daily life are behind the negative figures of demographic decline? How are forms of undwelling marked by relations of power and agency in the face of deterritorialization? And how relevant are practices of mobility and the formation of mobile territorialities in these remote places?

To understand the power relations that affect undwelling, it is important to understand the processes of deterritorialization formed through inhabitants’ loss of material and symbolic control over their life spaces (Haesbaert, 2014). At the same time, we need to understand reterritorialization as the ways in which people carry out practices of territorial reconfiguration (Mansilla and Imilan, 2019).

### **Patagonia Is a Mobile Territory, and Its Inhabitants Are Passengers**

A rapid historical review of the forms of land use in Patagonia shows that mobility has always been a central aspect of the local ways of life. First, it emerged in the territorial practices of native peoples such as the Chonos, Kawéskar, Yaganes, Selk’nam, and Aónikenk, who moved by foot or navigation as a way to subsist in these territories. Subsequently, in the face of the advance of European colonialism and the formation of the modern capitalist world system, global maritime mobility through the Strait of Magellan was imposed and dramatically affected other territorialities including those of original peoples. Its main consequence was the establishment of a particular form of settlement colonialism, focused on sheep exploitation for wool and meat



production and export to international markets. The process of accumulation by dispossession that accompanied this period implied a necropolitical action through the massive annihilation of native peoples, considering them a threat to sheep production (Harambour, 2017). In a third moment, which extended from the mid-nineteenth century to the twentieth century, new mobilities emerged from the trajectories of Chiloé and Croatian migrants who came to inhabit these territories in search of work and their reterritorialization practices forged a significant part of the Patagonian territorial identity (Molina, 2011). A fourth dynamic of dwelling in motion comprises the role that mobility practices have historically had in relation to the transboundary condition of Patagonia between Chile and Argentina (Harambour, 2012).

The current dynamic extends from the mid-twentieth century to the present, associated with energy exploitation in Patagonia, starting with oil exploitation, continuing with the extraction of gas and methanol, and more recently, with the exploitation of green hydrogen. These last dynamics generate the commuting of workers who move in shift systems from cities to the areas of extraction. At the same time, tourism has acquired a preponderant condition in the mobility of thousands of global tourists who come to visit these remote places.

The current socio-spatial formation of Patagonia is a clear example of the way in which economic power operates over territory, mobilities, and territorialities.<sup>1</sup> At the end of the nineteenth century, Patagonia was introduced into the modern capitalist world system, feeding part of the demand for sheep meat and wool by the British Empire (Harambour, 2017). This economic configuration represents a fundamental mark in the social production of the rural territories of Patagonia. Through the figure of the *estancia*, a latifundary socio-spatial formation was installed which emulated the British sheep production farm.

The imposition of *estancias* in Patagonia was established through violent mechanisms of accumulation by dispossession, which destroyed previous forms of territoriality of the native peoples, since their nomadic territorial practices transgressed the limits imposed by the fences of the latifundia (Harambour, 2012). Although over time *estancia* owners have experienced change, the latifundary configuration introduced in Patagonia has been extended to the present time as one of the main forms of the structuring of rural property. As Bascopé Julio (2008) points out, the configuration of the *estanciero* system constitutes a biopolitical power that falls on the territory and its inhabitants, transforming workers into *pasajeros rurales* or rural *passengers*, an expression used in rural jargon to indicate landless workers who were in a state of permanent mobility wandering on rural roads between *estancias* and *puestos*.<sup>2</sup> As Bascopé Julio (2008) points out:

Unlike what happened and happens in other latitudes, in the Patagonian steppe migration did not have cycles: in particular for Europeans, although among nationals it was progressively, the end of the season did not mark the return home. The migrant thus became nomadic.

(Bascopé Julio, 2008, p. 19)



During this time, the hiring system in the *estancias* was in the form of *trabajadores sueltos* or loose workers, that is, people who moved individually between different *estancias* where they offered their labor. As Bascopé Julio (2008) points out, this type of work had a marked seasonality according to the rural work calendar. After the shearing seasons, and especially during the winter, workers were left wandering in rural areas. Other working passengers were welcomed by the stallholders. Due to the remoteness of locations, and the fact that they were never visited by the *estancias'* owners, the *puestos* became a hideout for these workers who lived in constant movement. This generated a social problem and an economic cost for *estancias*. Faced with this situation, *estancias'* administrators were forced to offer accommodation and food to passengers during these periods to avoid further damage to their property.

As we have been able to verify through our ethnographic work, rural passengers have now disappeared from rural territories, present only in the memory of rural inhabitants. However, there are other configurations of rural dwellings in motion.

Yes, they no longer allow them there ... only for a while ... If one passed by in passing, they would give him accommodation for one night and the next day, good bye as if they were not even there. Now I think that the "stays" or accommodation give people, some sides no longer give ... A lot has been lost. For example, before, the same, in the wires, all the wires in the corners, in the ... where the ... The wires were left doors for passengers to pass. Not now, all wires are blind, not anymore ... They do not make door for passengers as before, and there is no longer a passenger on the road either, if one starts to look ... It no longer walks, the farmers who herd do it with their own people no more than the "estancias," and no longer hire passengers per day as they did before. He has missed many things.

(Juan, Wiring of "estancias," 58 years old,  
Commune of Timaukel, Tierra del Fuego, Chile)

The size of *estancias* can vary considerably. We observed *estancias* that reached 100,000 hectares of land with more than 30,000 sheep under the control of a single owner. At present, there is evidence of a reterritorialization of traditional rural settlements in the form of corporate territories (Silveira, 2007), structured by large extractive companies, where the inhabitants only pass through these places and do not generate a relationship of dwelling. Some are based on extractive dynamics associated with oil, methanol, and green hydrogen, configuring corporate territories which mobilize commuting workers. Authors such as Mandujano-Bustamente et al. (2016) have described other recent dynamics of reterritorialization such as residential mobility generated by the purchase of second homes in cities or localities equipped with infrastructure (promoting the emigration of families from rural areas for educational purposes and for the search for opportunities); youth migration from urban centers to the national and regional capitals; and labor mobility by commuting, which includes travel to Argentina (see also the work of Soza-Amigo

and Aroca, 2010). Some of the unique practices of daily mobility that are deployed in the rural territories of Argentine Patagonia have been described in the work of Vázquez (2017).

### “Puesteros” (In)mobility within Rural Territories

A particular form of territorial occupation takes place in small cabins called *puestos*, inhabited by workers called *puesteros*, whose task is taking care of a group of animals. *Puestos* are located several kilometers away from the center—or *hull*—rancher. They are very small and equipped with the essentials to spend a night or, at maximum, work season. They can be very old cabins reoccupied and refitted, or more currently, be built by the same workers. Often *puestos* are criticized by rural workers themselves due to their precarious conditions (lack of electricity, sewage, or gas heating). These conditions, on top of the lack of telephone and internet connectivity, discourage young people and families from residing in them, which partly explains the processes of rural depopulation.

*Puestos* are designed as mobile homes as they are installed on sleds or metal rail-type structures or on metal or pneumatic wheels that facilitate dragging. They are usually pushed by tractors, formerly by horses or oxen, to the work points where they can remain for years. *Puestos* move in relation to the work rhythms of cattle, according to the systems of field rotation in place to maintain the soil and fatten animals. Environmental transformations of Patagonia due to climate change have caused significant modifications of socio-ecological systems that have had effects on fields’ yield and on rural settlement systems.



Figure 11.1 Mobile puesto of a puestero who works as a fencer of rural properties. Its lower part has wheels that allow it to move through the rural fields.

There are also fixed *puestos*, which are maintained in a sector of the field that is used permanently. The fixed *puestos* in disuse are usually abandoned, which is why it is possible to see some in a state of deterioration on the roads. A *puesto* is delimited by a wooden and wire fence, which constitutes as a smaller paddock, in which there is also a manger and kennels for sheepdogs.

*Puestos* are supplied with facilities for feeding workers on a monthly basis, typically by another worker or by the administrator from the *casco* or ranch center. Dwelling and working in these remote places makes *puesteros* a solitary and sacrificial worker of *estancias*, valued for their effort by other rural workers. *Puesteros* go down to the city every one or two months. According to them, they tend to acquire a taste for solitude that remote life provides them, transforming themselves into a kind of hermit. *Puesteros* do not own these homes, which are owned by the owner or company. However, due to their remoteness, owners never visit these places.

### ***The Story of a Young “Puestero” and His Rural Reterritorialization***

Carlos is a young *puestero* who works as a shepherd. He is 28 years old and comes from the city of Punta Arenas, Chile. He currently lives inside the fields of the Laguna Blanca estancia in the district of Laguna Blanca, Chile, with his wife and two small children aged two and six. Along with his family, he represents a special case in Patagonia, as today few young people dwell and work in rural territories. Carlos points out that *estancia* owners have discouraged young families from coming, since they only tend to hire single men without a family, which partly explains the rural depopulation. He notes:

Every now and then I would look for notices that would let me be with of my children and my wife ... Working in the field you spend too much time away from the family a lot, similar to the fishing system, they get away from the family, and I was looking for ... I tried to look for a job that could let me be with my family, because there are owners of *estancias* that do not receive marriages in the *puestos*, as they say, and less so with children, and here the ease was that ... first of all, they accepted me with my wife, they accepted my young son and my daughter to school, so ... And here in the village at least there is the school, the boarding school ... the Rural Health Center. So, there is quite a bit of comfort, at least in this sector.

Carlos says he is the first generation in his family who lives and works in a rural area. His decision was based on his close bond with horses. This deep relationship with horses is common among young people who dwell in rural places. Most young people who work in rural territories participate in animal dressage parties called *jineteadas* and move through rural settlements of Chilean and Argentinean Patagonia in various rural festivals (Mansilla et al., 2021). The possibility of being with their herds of horses in rural establishments is a key motivation to dwell in rural territories.

The rural *puestos* of the *estancia* are located at a significant distance from the town and the sheds where the shearing work is carried out. To reach the *puestos*, you must travel on dirt roads and sometimes by horse ride.

Of course, because of the fields, being in the *estancia* as a fixed point, in the heart of the *estancia*, as they say, would take too much time to get to where we are, for example from the *estancia* to where we are now would take two to three hours on horseback just to come one way, besides from having to go through the fields, then there to do it in one day, that's why they make different sectors, different *puestos*, and each *puestero* is in charge of his field.

Sheep farmers' daily mobility practices are linked to their rural work, particularly to the rhythms both of nature in climatic terms and of the animals they work with (Mansilla-Quiñones & Moreira-Muñoz, 2023). In rural areas, the annual work schedule is established by different times of sheep care and shearing. This calendar begins in the month of January with the *señalada*, when the ears of the animals are marked, the males are castrated, and their tails are cut. In February, lamb weaning takes place, when the young are separated from their mothers. The *esquila de ojos*, or eye shearing, is carried out between the months of April and May. This is when the wool concentrated around the eyes of the animals is extracted. Between the months of August–September–October, the pre-calving shearing is carried out to produce improvements in the pregnant sheep and their offspring.

In a regular period of work, when they are not in the shearing processes, *puesteros* begin their work early, leaving at 8:00 in the morning to tour the fields in their charge.



Figure 11.2 Sheep farmers herding a thousand sheep during the period called indicated or *señalada*.

The route we make varies, because ... as one says to make runs in his field, looking out for dead animals. Where foxes beefs, then we have to go behind cleaning up the fields, if you find a dead animal you strip out the skin, you have to bring it to your *puesto*, so that the field doesn't get dirty with the wool because just like *caranchos* (bird), you know it, it eats a lot, and pecks, then it scatters the wool, and it dirties the field a lot (Carlos).

Carlos told us that he meets with another *puestero* to run the field under his care with him, because it is too big, based on an imaginary subdivision (see Figure 11.3).

(Interviewer): In time, how much, more or less ...?

(Carlos): Until I get to the top, around two hours on horseback ... An hour and a half, two hours, on top of the time of going through different fields ... so then I go through Potrero and Vega Dos, of San Francisco, those are the three fields that I run.

(Int.): And how often do you make that run?

(Carlos): Every day.

(Int.): And that's basically looking for *mortecina* (dead animals)]?

(Carlos): Looking for *mortecino*, that the wires are fine, because the neighboring fields, still have animals, then animals pass here or ours can pass over there, it depends on the wiring. The fences, at least ours, we have them all in good condition, it's just the neighboring field that has a herd of *caballos*



Figure 11.3 Carlos and another shepherd working with sheep during la *señalada*

*baguales* (wild horses), which is the one I run, the San Francisco Grande above, and there you have to be careful because, of course, since the foals fight, break wires, horses pass over here, and you have to be checking those wires frequently.

During shearing periods, *puesteros* must take thousands of sheep to the shearing sheds located at the center or hull of the estancia, travelling great distances while maintaining control of the group. For example, Carlos says that

For the *señalada*, we have a meeting schedule for rodeo, and the meeting time for all sheep farmers is at seven in the morning, but for me, to reach this point at that time, I must leave at 4:30 in the morning, at the latest at 5, it depends on the field in which I have to work on.

Interestingly, not everyone can fulfill the role of “shepherd” or *puestero*. The capacity to perform this function depends on the person’s personality and rhythms. The person has to be calm and slow and know how to keep up with the sheep when they are herded from one place to another without losing patience and rushing them. Similarly, they must know how to coordinate the rhythms of the sheepdogs and their horse in the work practices and daily mobility. In this sense, sheep farmer’s mobilities are arranged in multispecies relationships:

The dog helps a lot because, firstly, he is the main one, because without the dog you can’t carry a group of sheep, and more so if they are lambs, because lambs are complicated, on one side, because when one runs away they all do, not like sheep, with sheep you are able to herd them and a sheep opens to you, as they say, and you leave it alone, the dog returns it quickly, or if not the sheep returns on its own. But not lamb, they run away, and they don’t stop.

Finally, the ethnographic work made it possible to recognize the relevance of cross-border mobility practices of rural dwellers. Although there is a tendency to make a distinction between Chilean and Argentinean Patagonia, the territorial limits become blurred from a cultural point of view because, in their rural ways, they broadly maintain unitary identity and social practices. For example, Carlos notes the mobilities of work tools and objects and the constant need to be in contact with Argentina:

I don’t know, there are plenty of things that are brought from Argentina. For instance, nowadays you don’t see much of the old knives, an example, Eskilstuna, you see them, but they don’t sell them like they used to, with the real Eskilstuna blade which is firm, you machete a wire and you won’t see it chipped, nothing. Not now, the ones they sell are like tins. So, I bought this one in Argentina that is heaving to be able to work, it has a proper weight.



In the same way, Carlos as well as several rural young people move on both sides of the national borders in order to participate in wild horse dressage parties, where young riders from Chile and Argentina share rural experiences and compete in skill-based games. These festivals are fundamental for the exchange of knowledge that moves through Patagonia and the maintenance of rural ways of dwelling.

### **Final Reflections: Remote Places and (Un)dwelling**

The process of rural undwelling is often related to the conditions of remoteness and isolation, as people seek to be increasingly connected and closer to urban settlements. In addition, the generational replacement of rural workers is becoming a crucial element, since at present young people resist the prospect of living in rural territories due to the efforts involved in dwelling in a remote and isolated place disconnected from families, technology, and basic infrastructures. These conditions have caused a significant number of families in rural localities to migrate to larger cities in search of opportunities. In this context, it is necessary to consider young people from rural territories as fundamental in the dynamics of rural reterritorialization of Patagonia (Mansilla et al., 2021).

A quick interpretation of the situation would lead to the conclusion that better provision of transport infrastructure and connectivity improvement would generate some level of rural repopulation in Patagonia. However, as shown through our research, the depopulation of remote places requires a more complex understanding that recognizes the multiplicity and interlinkage of the mobilities involved in such places. This complexity requires identifying the concepts of remoteness (Gibson et al., 2010; Botne, 2012), and the focus of mobilities (Sheller and Urry, 2006; Jirón and Imilán, 2018).

However, as Bocco (2016) points out, defining what is understood by remoteness is something complex, which cannot be reduced only to a standardized technical measure. As we have proposed in this chapter, it is necessary to approach from the perspective of the proximity or remoteness that is perceived by the inhabitants themselves.

Additionally, it is fundamental for the study of remote places and undwelling practices to incorporate a mobility perspective (Jirón and Imilan, 2018). As we have recognized in this chapter, the mobilities of rural inhabitants and the relational territories that make it up, as well as the new mobilities of corporate territories, represent reterritorialization in a context of crisis of rural undwelling. Even the living experiences of the *puesteros* demonstrate that rural inhabitants deploy mobility practices at different spatial and temporal scales, which allow them to live their lives in remote places. At the same time, they demonstrate how remote places are connected, configuring relational territories through the mobilities of people, animals, objects, and places.

Finally, it seems important to reflect on the approach of mobility, the forms of speed and rhythm found in remote places, particularly from the perspective of slowness (Vannini, 2014),

But what does slowness mean in the remote Patagonian context? Slowness in such places occurs in contradicting ways. It means recognizing the extractive economic industries that operate at quite fast rhythms in comparison to the slow rhythms of everyday shepherding. These contradicting paces also involve the relational nature of territories, where the decisions being made at times take place miles away, yet where territorial transformations are experienced in the bodies of *puesteros* inside the estancias. It also means that these bodies, in relation to their animals (including sheep, lamb, horses, dogs), the objects they move with (including knives, machines, phones, pots and pans), and the knowledges that are constantly moving, are also part of the territories being created, at times slowly, at times at paces that we can no longer keep track of. These are some of the keys that from the perspective of the everyday mobility approach in Patagonia could give sustainability to the forms of rural dwelling in remote places.

### Acknowledgments

We thank the anthropologists Consuelo Tardones and Nicolas Aguila for their accompaniment in the fieldwork. We thank the projects that have funded this research: ANID FONDECYT n° 448055 Wiñolnampulkafe: Mapuche mobilities and their territorialities; ANID FONDECYT n° 551448 Trajectories towards Socio-ecological Collapse or Recovery in Patagonia: A Multispecies Mobility Approach.

### Notes

- 1 A distinction is made between the concept of territory and territoriality. The latter refers to the spatial expression of the lived spaces of subjects (Bonnemaison, 2002). Territoriality comprises the relationship between subject and territory in an inseparable way, as a continuum (Mansilla and Imilan, 2019).
- 2 Puestos correspond to commonly mobile homes that are installed inside the estancia in places marked by difficult accessibility. In these places, a worker also known as *puestero* is sent to be responsible for caring for the animals and the field.

### References

- Bascopé Julio, J. (2008). Pasajeros del Poder Propietario. La Sociedad Explotadora de Tierra del Fuego y la Biopolítica Estanciera (1890–1920). *Magallania*, 36(2), 19–44. Retrieved from <http://www.magallania.cl/index.php/magallania/article/view/305>
- Bocco, G. (2016). Remoteness and remote places. A geographic perspective. *Geoforum*, 77, 178–181. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2016.11.003>
- Bonnemaison, J. (2002). Viagem em torno do território. In L. Correa & Z. Rosendahl (Eds.), *Geografia cultural: um século* (pp. 83–132). UERJ.
- Botne, R. (2012, May 21). Remoteness distinctions. In R.I. Binnick (Ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of Tense and Aspect*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195381979.013.0018>
- Cresswell, T. (2011). Mobilities I: Catching up. *Progress in Human Geography*, 35(4), 550–558. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132510383348>

AU: Please provide publisher location for the reference Bonnemaison 2002.



- Gibson, C., Luckman, S., & Willoughby-Smith, J. (2010). Creativity without Borders? Rethinking remoteness and proximity. *Australian Geographer*, 41(1), 25–38. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00049180903535543>
- Giglia, A. (2012). *El habitar y la cultura: Perspectivas teóricas y de investigación*. Barcelona: Anthropos Editorial.
- Haesbaert, R. (2014). *Viver no limite: território e multi/transterritorialidade em tempos de in-segurança e contenção*. (1st ed.). Rio de Janeiro: Bertrand Brasil.
- Harambour, A.A. (2012). *Borderland Sovereignities. Postcolonial Colonialism and State Making in Patagonia. Argentina and Chile, 1840s–1922*. The Graduate School. Retrieved from <https://ir.stonybrook.edu/xmlui/handle/11401/71255>
- Harambour, A. (2017). Soberanía y corrupción. La construcción del Estado y la propiedad en Patagonia austral (Argentina y Chile, 1840–1920)1. *Historia (Santiago)*, 50(2), 555–596. <https://doi.org/10.4067/s0717-71942017000200555>
- Hopkins, P. (2018). Feminist geographies and intersectionality. *Gender, Place & Culture*, 25(4), 585–590.
- Imilan, W., Jirón, P., & Iturra, L. (2018). Más allá del barrio: Habitar Santiago en la movilidad cotidiana. *Antropologías Del Sur*, 2(3). <https://doi.org/10.25074/ads.v2i3.833>
- Imilán, W., Osterling, E., Mansilla, P., & Jirón, P. (2020). El campamento en relación con la ciudad: informalidad y movilidades residenciales de habitantes de Alto Hospicio. *Revista INVI*, 35(99), 57–80.
- Jirón, P., & Mansilla, P. (2014). Las consecuencias del urbanismo fragmentador en la vida cotidiana de habitantes de la ciudad de Santiago de Chile. *EURE (Santiago)*, 40(121), 5–28. <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0250-71612014000300001>
- Jirón, P., & Gómez, J. (2018). Interdependencia, cuidado y género desde las estrategias de movilidad en la ciudad de Santiago. *Tempo Social*, 30, 55–72.
- Jirón, P., & Imilan, W.A. (2018). Moviendo los estudios urbanos. La movilidad como objeto de estudio o como enfoque para comprender la ciudad contemporánea. *Quid 16: Revista Del Área de Estudios Urbanos*, 10, 17–36.
- Kaufmann, V., Bergman, M.M., & Joye, D. (2004). Motility: Mobility as capital. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 28(4), 745–756.
- Mandujano-Bustamante, F., Rodriguez-Torrent, J. C., & Reyes-Herrera, S. (2016). El Estado chileno y la Patagonia: una tensa relación en la última frontera interior de América Latina. *Bitácora Urbano Territorial*, 26(1), 83. <https://doi.org/10.15446/bitacora.v26n1.47440>
- Mansilla Quiñones, P., & Imilán, W.A. (2019). Reterritorializaciones migrantes a través del cuerpo y su expresividad. *Estudios Atacameños*, 60, 241–256.
- Mansilla-Quinones, P., Cortes-Morales, S., & Moreira-Muñoz, A. (2021). Depopulation and rural shrinkage in Subantarctic Biosphere Reserves: Envisioning re-territorialization by young people. *ECO MONT-JOURNAL ON PROTECTED MOUNTAIN AREAS RESEARCH*, 13(SI), 108–114. <https://doi.org/10.1553/eco.mont-13-sis108>
- Mansilla-Quiñones, P., & Moreira-Muñoz, A. (2023). Movilidad animal. In D. Sebastián Zunino Singh, P. Jirón, & G. Giucci (Eds.), *Nuevos términos clave para los estudios de movilidad en América Latina* (1st ed., pp. 243–258). Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires: Teseo.
- Martinic, M. (2006). El poblamiento rural en Magallanes durante el siglo XX. Realidad y Utopía. *MAGALLANIA*, 34(1), 5–20. Retrieved from <https://scielo.conicyt.cl/pdf/magallania/v34n1/art01.pdf>

- Massey, D. (2012). La filosofía y la política de la espacialidad: Algunas Consideraciones. In *Doreen Massey. Un Sentido Global de Lugar* (1st ed., pp. 156–181). Barcelona: Icaria.
- McLeman, R.A. (2011). Settlement abandonment in the context of global environmental change. *Global Environmental Change*, 21, S108–S120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2011.08.004>
- Molina, W. (2011). Identidad regional en Magallanes, sus expresiones simbólicas y territoriales. *Magallania (Punta Arenas)*, 39(1), 59–69. <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-22442011000100003>
- Sheller, M., & Urry, J. (2006). The new mobilities paradigm. *Environment and Planning A*, 38(2), 207–226. <https://doi.org/10.1068/a37268>
- Silveira, M.L. (2007). Los Territorios Corporativos de La Globalización. *Geograficando*. <http://www.memoria.fahce.unlp.edu.ar/library?a=d&c=arti&d=Jpr3665>.
- Soza-Amigo, S., & Aroca González, P. (2010). Oportunidades Perdidas en Magallanes. *Magallania*, 38(2), 89–101. <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-22442010000200005>
- Uribe-Sierra, S.E., & Mansilla-Quiñones, P. (2022). Estudios del despoblamiento rural en Chile: aproximaciones hacia un marco analítico desde la ecología política latinoamericana. *Revista Brasileira de Estudos de População*, 39, 1–23.
- Uribe-Sierra, S.E., Mansilla-Quiñones, P., & Mora-Rojas, A.I. (2022). Latent rural depopulation in Latin American open-pit mining scenarios. *Land*, 11(8), 1342.
- Vannini, P. (2014). Slowness and deceleration. In *The Routledge handbook of mobilities* (pp. 136–144). Routledge.
- Vázquez, A. (2017). Lógicas espaciales campesinas en la estepa Patagónica. *MAGALLANIA*, 45(2), 273–297. Retrieved from <http://www.redalyc.org/pdf/506/50654025014.pdf>