Iron, Zinc, and Copper: Contents in Common Chilean Foods and Daily Intakes in Santiago, Chile

Manuel Olivares, MD, Fernando Pizarro, MT, Saturnino de Pablo, MSc, Magdalena Araya, MD, PhD, and Ricardo Uauy, MD, PhD From the Institute of Nutrition and Food Technology, University of Chile, Santiago, Chile

OBJECTIVES: We determined the iron (Fe), zinc (Zn), and copper (Cu) contents of common Chilean foods and assessed the intakes of these elements in a population living in Santiago, Chile.

METHODS: We selected foods most consumed by a Chilean population (n = 108). We performed wet digestion of the sample by using nitric, perchloric, and sulfuric acids. Fe, Zn, and Cu were determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry. Fe, Zn, and Cu intakes were evaluated by a dietary survey (24-h recall questionnaire for 4 non-consecutive days) in a representative sample of the population of Santiago, Chile (n = 252 subjects).

RESULTS: Fe intakes (mg/d) were 7.8 ± 9.6 (in infants), 8.1 ± 5.3 (in 1- to 10-y-olds), 15.1 ± 7.3 (11to 19-y-old males), 9.5 ± 4.3 (11- to 19-y-old females), 13.5 ± 6.5 (20- to 64-y-old males), 9.1 ± 3.9 (20- to 64-y-old females), 11.4 ± 4.9 (\geq 65-y-old males), and 11.3 ± 5.0 (\geq 65-y-old females). Zn intakes (mg/d) were 3.8 ± 1.8 (infants), 6.2 ± 3.1 (1- to 10-y-old subjects), 8.9 ± 4.1 (11- to 19-y-old males), 5.7 ± 2.0 (11- to 19-y-old females), 7.6 ± 3.4 (20- to 64-y-old males), 6.4 ± 3.5 (20- to 64-y-old females), 6.6 ± 2.9 (\geq 65-y-old males), and 6.9 ± 2.4 (\geq 65-y-old females). Cu intakes (mg/d) were 0.5 ± 0.3 (infants), 0.8 ± 0.5 (1- to 10-y-old subjects), 1.4 ± 0.7 (11- to 19-y-old males), 1.2 ± 0.3 (11- to 19-y-old females), 0.9 ± 0.4 (20- to 64-y-old males), 1.0 ± 0.4 (20- to 64-y-old females), 1.1 ± 0.3 (\geq 65-y-old males), and 0.9 ± 0.4 (\geq 65-y-old females).

CONCLUSIONS: Fe deficiency was greater in infants and women of fertile age. All age and sex groups had a high risk of Zn deficiency, whereas adults of both sexes had a moderate increased risk of Cu deficiency. *Nutrition* 2004;20:205–212. ©Elsevier Inc. 2004

KEY WORDS: iron, zinc, copper, human, deficiency, foods, composition

INTRODUCTION

Iron (Fe), zinc (Zn), and copper (Cu) are involved in the function of several enzymes and are essential for maintaining health throughout life.^{1,2} Trace mineral deficiencies constitute the largest nutrition and health problem that affects populations in developed and developing countries. There is an increasing recognition of the coexistence of multiple micronutrient deficiencies in developing countries. Fe deficiency is the most prevalent single nutritional deficiency in the world and is the main cause of anemia in infants, children, adolescents, and women of childbearing age.³ Zn deficiency may be widespread in developing countries, but it is under-recognized due to lack of sensitive biomarkers of Zn status.⁴ Cu deficiency is less frequent and has been described mainly in premature infants and children recovering from malnutrition.⁵

Food normally accounts for a high proportion of macro and trace mineral intakes in adults and children.⁴ Mineral intakes and their interactions with other components of the diet influence the susceptibility to dietary trace mineral deficiency or excess.¹ Concentrations of Fe, Zn, and Cu in foods vary depending on inherent

(varieties, maturity, genetics, and age) and environmental (soil, geographic location, season, water source, and use of fertilizers) conditions of plants and animals and on methods of handling, processing, and cooking.^{1,3,6} As for most developing countries, the contents of essential minerals in Chilean foods are not known. In addition, there is scarce information on Fe, Zn, and Cu intakes in our population. Further, in the studies available, foreign food composition tables have been used to calculate the intakes of these trace minerals.

The purpose of the study was to assess the Fe, Zn, and Cu intakes in a population living in Santiago (Chile) and to generate information about Fe, Zn, and Cu contents in foods frequently consumed by our population. This information is crucial to assess the risks of deficiency and toxicity at different ages and in both sexes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Food Composition Analysis

Fe, Zn, and Cu contents of 108 food items were measured. Food selection was based mainly on food-frequency consumption by the Chilean population. The main source of information was data obtained from the study's dietary survey. In addition, native foods were included, even if they were not listed in the high-frequency consumption list, because there was no information with regard to their Fe, Cu, and Zn contents. Sampling procedure followed the guidelines of the International Network of Food Data Systems (INFOODS).^{7,8} Sample size was determined according to Cochran's equation⁷ using coefficients of variation calculated from

This research was supported by the Copper Risk Assessment Research Program in Chile, managed by the Chilean Center for Mining and Metallurgy Research and the International Copper Association in the form of an unrestricted research grant.

Correspondence to: Manuel Olivares, MD, Institute of Nutrition and Food Technology, University of Chile, Macul 5540, Casilla 138-11, Santiago, Chile. E-mail: molivare@inta.cl

data published by Pennington et al.⁶ Criteria for calculating the number of samples to be analyzed were as follows: t = 1.96 ($\alpha =$ 0.05) and r = 0.1, 0.2, and 0.3 when the reported coefficients of variation were $\leq 33\%$, >33% to $\leq 66\%$ and >66%, respectively. In addition, a maximum of 54 samples was collected and analyzed even when the calculated number was larger than 54. No composite samples were prepared. A sampling plan was designed for each food item. Depending on the food, up to 10 brands or varieties were collected. The food items were purchased at commercial settings such as supermarkets or grocery stores in the Santiago Metropolitan Region. Foods having a seasonal production were analyzed in their respective seasons. Different sources such as sales database of a supermarket representing the middleclass population in the metropolitan region, weekly technical bulletins from the Office of Agricultural Planning, or interviews with managers from the major city markets were used to define sampling distribution according to commercial brands or varieties. The purchased food items were immediately taken to the laboratory. Food items such as vegetables, fruits, meats, fish, and shellfish that were not prepackaged when purchased were packed by us in double polyethylene bags before being transported. The remaining food items were kept in their original packages. Food items were processed in a laboratory area class 10,000 according to the US Federal Standard 209-E. All food items, fresh and processed, were analyzed without further preparation. With the exception of green beans, legumes were dry mature seeds. Nuts such as almonds, peanuts, and walnuts were dry and without shell. Legumes, vegetables, and fruits were carefully washed with distilled, deionized water. Fruits, fish, shellfish, roots or tubers, and poultry were peeled with a polypropylene knife. All cuts were done on a polypropylene table. Meats, dairy products, and eggs were processed for analysis as purchased. All food handling was done with hands covered by non-powdered latex gloves. The sample preparation protocol did not include the recording of the refuse weight. One to five grams of each nonhomogenized food item was weighed, according to its estimated theoretical Cu content, and weights of the selected portions were recorded. Wet digestion of the sample was performed with nitric, perchloric, and sulfuric acids.9 Fe, Zn, and Cu were determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry (model 2280, Perkin Elmer, Norwalk, CT, USA). The lower detection limit for Fe, Zn, and Cu was 0.1 μ g/g. The objective of the study did not include the analysis of other food components.

Each selected food item was considered as one batch. For each batch, the following control samples were simultaneously analyzed: analytical blanks, enriched samples (spiked), and certified reference food items (bovine liver, non-fat dry milk, or wheat flour; National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, US). Control samples were measured on a daily basis. All control analyses and one sample per batch were done in duplicate. Control charts were kept to ensure minimization of analytical error as a source of variability. Recoveries were within acceptable values (mean recoveries for Fe, Zn, and Cu ranged from 96% to 102%), and good agreement was found between certified and analyzed values. The coefficient of variation for multiple analyses of the standard reference materials ranged from 7.1% to 10.4% for Fe, Zn, and Cu. The relative difference between duplicate samples was 3.1%.

Dietary Survey

This was a one-time survey. The sample was designed to be statistically representative of the population of Santiago in 1997, consisting of 5 173 158 people living in 1 131 142 households. Sampling procedures are described fully elsewhere.¹⁰ The sample was sufficiently large to represent the socioeconomic levels of Chilean society and the following age groups (with an absolute error of 6.2%): younger than 1-y-old, 1–10-y-olds, 11–19-y-olds,

20-64-y-olds, and older than 64-y-old. The absolute error designed for the sample determined a sample size of 250 subjects. Because infants are more sensitive to trace mineral deficiency and excess, additional subjects younger than 1 y were included.

After informed written consent was obtained, a 24-h recall questionnaire for each selected individual was filled out by a trained research dietitian on 4 non-consecutive days (two in spring and summer and two in fall and winter) between December 1997 and December 1998. Intake of each food item was calculated per serving portion. Food models and common household measuring utensils were used to estimate portion sizes. Serving portions were based on information published by Gattas and Aguayo.¹¹ Each serving portion was converted to grams according to a home measure table.11 Fe, Zn, and Cu intakes were calculated by applying the information on trace mineral composition obtained in this study. The US Department of Agriculture food composition table was used for those foods for which there was no information of trace mineral content in the data compiled by Gatas and Aguayo.12 Mixed foods were divided into constituent parts to determine their contribution to Fe, Zn, and Cu intakes.

To assess the magnitude of inadequate intakes of Fe, Zn, and Cu, we used the estimated average requirement (EAR) cutoff points proposed by the US Institute of Medicine.¹

The study was reviewed by and was in agreement with the standards set by the Institute of Nutrition and Food Technology's Ethics Committee on Human Research.

Statistical Analyses

All continuous data were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation. Statistical analyses were performed with Statistica 4.5 for Windows (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK, USA). The *t* test for independent samples was used for comparison between two groups. These pairwise comparisons were confirmed by non-parametric analysis using the Mann-Whitney *U* test to ensure that any observed differences were not attributable to differences in population distribution. Categorical variables were tested for statistical significance with the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. $P \leq 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The Fe, Z, and Cu contents of the analyzed food items, including mean values, standard deviations, and coefficients of variation, are presented in Table I.

A total of 252 subjects completed the dietary survey. There were statistically significant differences in Fe, Zn, and Cu intakes by sex at ages 11 to 19 y and 20 to 64 y. Men had higher Fe intake at ages 11 to 19 y and 20 to 64 y, higher Zn and Cu intakes at ages 11 to 19 y, and lower Cu intake at ages 20 to 64 y (Table II). Nutrient density was not statistically significant different by sex, except for Cu density at ages 20 to 64 y (Table III).

Percentages of subjects with Fe, Zn, and Cu intakes below the EARs are shown in Table IV. A high proportion of infants and women between the ages of 11 and 64 y had Fe intakes less than EARs. A high frequency of Zn intake inadequacy was found in all age and sex groups. Cu intake inadequacy was most common in women older than 19 y. Fe, Zn, and Cu intakes over the upper limits were infrequent (Table IV).

Cereals and legumes were the main food groups contributing to Cu and Fe intakes. For Zn, the main contributors were cereals, legumes, and animal flesh (Table V).

DISCUSSION

In Chile, information about Fe, Cu, and Zn dietary intakes is scarce; the generally available studies use foreign food composi-

| | II | RON, ZINC, AND C | OPPER IN CH | ILEAN FOODS | | | |
|---|----|---|-------------|-------------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----------|
| | | Iron | | Zinc | | Copper | |
| Food group | п | $\frac{\text{Mean} \pm \text{SD}}{(\text{mg}/100 \text{ g})}$ | CV (%) | Mean ± SD (mg/100 g) | CV (%) | Mean ± SD (mg/100 g) | CV (%) |
| Vegetables, leafy | | | | | | | |
| Beets green, raw | 21 | 1.293 ± 0.641 | 49.6 | 0.558 ± 0.600 | 107.5 | 0.091 ± 0.044 | 47.9 |
| Celery, raw | 45 | 0.070 ± 0.038 | 54.7 | 0.066 ± 0.023 | 35.5 | 0.019 ± 0.007 | 37.2 |
| Spinach, raw | 22 | 0.070 ± 0.124 | 176.0 | 0.577 ± 0.191 | 33.2 | 0.158 ± 0.027 | 17.1 |
| Lettuce, raw | 36 | 0.534 ± 0.270 | 50.6 | 0.263 ± 0.250 | 95.0 | 0.065 ± 0.051 | 79.2 |
| Vegetables, beans/peas | | | | | | | |
| Green peas, canned | 26 | 0.954 ± 0.248 | 26.0 | 0.634 ± 0.195 | 30.8 | 0.260 ± 0.049 | 19.0 |
| Lentils, dry mature seeds | 21 | 6.573 ± 0.410 | 6.2 | 3.370 ± 0.353 | 10.5 | 0.792 ± 0.090 | 11.4 |
| White beans, dry mature seeds | 21 | 2.101 ± 0.294 | 14.0 | 0.978 ± 0.155 | 15.8 | 0.313 ± 0.170 | 54.3 |
| Pinto beans, dry mature seeds | 22 | 7.212 ± 1.930 | 26.8 | 2.489 ± 0.437 | 17.5 | 0.864 ± 0.152 | 17.5 |
| Green beans, wet, raw | 21 | 0.645 ± 0.103 | 16.0 | 0.286 ± 0.058 | 20.3 | 0.073 ± 0.019 | 25.5 |
| Vegetables, root/tuber | | | | | | | |
| Onion, raw | 22 | 0.186 ± 0.034 | 18.3 | 0.124 ± 0.067 | 54.4 | 0.039 ± 0.009 | 23.7 |
| Potatoes, peeled, raw | 11 | 0.245 ± 0.047 | 19.1 | 0.216 ± 0.078 | 36.0 | 0.110 ± 0.024 | 22.1 |
| French-fried potatoes | 23 | 0.756 ± 0.720 | 95.2 | 0.557 ± 0.149 | 26.7 | 0.194 ± 0.070 | 36.1 |
| Carrots, raw | 19 | 0.114 ± 0.043 | 37.9 | 0.078 ± 0.026 | 33.3 | 0.047 ± 0.013 | 26.6 |
| Vegetables, other | | | | | | | |
| Mushrooms, raw | 21 | 0.209 ± 0.097 | 46.4 | 0.413 ± 0.127 | 30.7 | 0.244 ± 0.092 | 37.6 |
| White corn, raw | 43 | 0.312 ± 0.056 | 17.9 | 0.355 ± 0.080 | 22.5 | 0.049 ± 0.015 | 30.4 |
| Seaweed, dry (cochayuyo) | 21 | 1.588 ± 0.385 | 24.2 | 0.585 ± 0.132 | 22.6 | 0.136 ± 0.073 | 53.2 |
| Avocado, raw | 28 | 0.478 ± 0.090 | 18.8 | 0.653 ± 0.174 | 26.6 | 0.325 ± 0.161 | 49.4 |
| Green/red pepper, raw | 21 | 0.277 ± 0.097 | 34.9 | 0.160 ± 0.027 | 16.6 | 0.023 ± 0.016 | 68.4 |
| Tomato, red ripe, raw | 21 | 0.183 ± 0.046 | 25.2 | 0.113 ± 0.026 | 23.0 | 0.037 ± 0.017 | 46.7 |
| Summer squash, raw | 12 | 0.310 ± 0.063 | 20.2 | 0.154 ± 0.088 | 57.1 | 0.079 ± 0.032 | 41.3 |
| Fruits | | | | | | | |
| Peach with syrup canned | 32 | 0.337 ± 0.346 | 102.5 | 0.056 ± 0.020 | 35.8 | 0.020 ± 0.029 | 149.5 |
| Peach, peeled, raw | 31 | 0.152 ± 0.035 | 22.9 | 0.083 ± 0.033 | 39.8 | 0.081 ± 0.026 | 32.0 |
| Lemon, juice | 25 | 0.011 ± 0.056 | 500.0 | 0.055 ± 0.019 | 34.0 | 0.006 ± 0.009 | 148.8 |
| Apple, peeled, raw | 24 | 0.159 ± 0.063 | 39.5 | 0.006 ± 0.009 | 159.2 | 0.025 ± 0.015 | 59.6 |
| Melon, raw | 21 | 0.186 ± 0.046 | 24.9 | 0.085 ± 0.029 | 34.4 | 0.010 ± 0.010 | 100.2 |
| Orange, raw | 27 | 0.056 ± 0.023 | 41.3 | 0.075 ± 0.017 | 22.1 | 0.051 ± 0.015 | 28.9 |
| Raisins, peeled, raw | 13 | 1.847 ± 0.744 | 40.3 | 0.140 ± 0.123 | 88.1 | 0.348 ± 0.110 | 31.5 |
| Pear, peeled, raw | 23 | 0.157 ± 0.060 | 38.3 | 0.061 ± 0.036 | 59.4 | 0.078 ± 0.034 | 44.3 |
| Banana, raw | 18 | 0.237 ± 0.067 | 28.3 | 0.094 ± 0.039 | 42.0 | 0.100 ± 0.016 | 16.1 |
| Grapes, raw | 25 | 0.088 ± 0.095 | 107.6 | 0.029 ± 0.022 | 76.2 | 0.046 ± 0.016 | 34.9 |
| Grain products | | | | | | | |
| Rice, white, dry | 39 | 0.590 ± 0.100 | 17.0 | 1.035 ± 0.153 | 14.8 | 0.095 ± 0.016 | 16.7 |
| Cookies, sugar, commercially prepared | 18 | 0.723 ± 0.288 | 39.9 | 0.543 ± 0.126 | 23.2 | 0.069 ± 0.040 | 58.5 |
| Cookies, chocolate sandwich with cream filling | 21 | 2.096 ± 0.711 | 33.9 | 0.399 ± 0.119 | 29.8 | 0.107 ± 0.065 | 60.4 |

TABLE I.

tion tables, and these have been taken from non-representative samples. Despite the variability that this implies, in our study the contents of these minerals were comparable to those described in the US composition data. In this study we provide information of the Fe, Zn, and Cu compositions of 108 food-stuffs frequently consumed by the Chilean population, plus the content of native products that do not appear in any other table. This information allows a more accurate calculation of micronutrient intakes in this population. As a contribution to the dissemination of food composition, these data we will provide updated information to the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) and LATINFOODS Regional Food Composition Table (http://www.inta.cl/latinfoods or http://www.rlc.fao.org/bases/alimento/default.htm).

Fe intake was slightly lower than the reported values for developed countries in all age and sex groups, except in infants whose Fe intake was markedly low.^{1,13–19} The fact that wheat flour in Chile has been fortified since 1952 with 30 mg of Fe/kg as ferrous sulfate and that bread consumption in our population is high except in infants may explain our findings. Zn intake was considerably low in all groups when compared with data obtained in industrialized countries,^{1,4,13–18,20–23} whereas Cu intake was more comparable to values obtained in the United States and Europe.^{1,4,13–18,20–22,24} Limitations of the dietary assessment methods may be partly responsible for the suboptimal trace element intakes estimated from self-reported food intake. However, because we measured trace element intake for only 4 non-consecutive days, we cannot exclude the effect of daily variations

| CONTINUED | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-------------------------|--------|---|--------|-------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | | Iron | 1 | Zinc | | Copper | | | | |
| Food group | n | Mean ± SD (mg/100 g) | CV (%) | $\frac{\text{Mean} \pm \text{SD}}{(\text{mg}/100 \text{ g})}$ | CV (%) | Mean ± SD (mg/100 g) | CV (%) | | | |
| Cracker, saltine, soda | 21 | 0.617 ± 0.186 | 30.1 | 0.720 ± 0.162 | 22.6 | 0.194 ± 0.031 | 15.8 | | | |
| Flour wheat | 37 | 1.160 ± 0.441 | 38.0 | 0.529 ± 0.183 | 34.7 | 0.212 ± 0.107 | 50.2 | | | |
| Bread, French | 51 | 2.868 ± 0.890 | 31.0 | 1.256 ± 0.185 | 14.7 | 0.254 ± 0.042 | 16.3 | | | |
| Bread, white, commercially prepared | 21 | 1.399 ± 0.203 | 14.5 | 0.570 ± 0.149 | 26.1 | 0.077 ± 0.033 | 42.2 | | | |
| Bread, whole wheat, commercially prepared | 21 | 1.602 ± 0.358 | 22.3 | 0.897 ± 0.156 | 17.4 | 0.164 ± 0.046 | 27.8 | | | |
| Spaghetti, dried | 19 | 3.092 ± 0.581 | 18.8 | 1.509 ± 0.142 | 9.4 | 0.263 ± 0.023 | 8.7 | | | |
| Nuts | | | | | | | | | | |
| Almonds, dry | 13 | 2.015 ± 0.799 | 39.6 | 2.438 ± 0.470 | 19.3 | 1.035 ± 0.278 | 26.9 | | | |
| Peanuts, dry | 13 | 1.240 ± 0.141 | 11.4 | 2.887 ± 0.322 | 11.1 | 0.846 ± 0.182 | 21.5 | | | |
| Walnuts, dry | 13 | 2.613 ± 0.434 | 16.6 | 2.982 ± 0.791 | 26.5 | 1.768 ± 0.584 | 33.0 | | | |
| Eggs | | | | | | | | | | |
| Eggs (chicken), raw | 32 | 2.207 ± 2.269 | 102.8 | 1.331 ± 1.367 | 102.7 | 0.737 ± 1.160 | 157.5 | | | |
| Dairy products | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sweet condensed milk | 22 | 0.419 ± 0.050 | 12.0 | 0.971 ± 0.078 | 8.0 | 0.059 ± 0.028 | 46.8 | | | |
| Milk, instant powdered | 25 | 0.098 ± 0.150 | 152.5 | 2.482 ± 0.173 | 7.0 | 0.113 ± 0.071 | 63.0 | | | |
| Cow's milk fortified, powdered | 17 | 7.226 ± 0.687 | 9.5 | 3.949 ± 0.891 | 22.6 | 0.413 ± 0.072 | 17.5 | | | |
| Milk, fluid | 29 | 0.039 ± 0.021 | 55.2 | 0.356 ± 0.017 | 4.9 | 0.011 ± 0.004 | 34.8 | | | |
| Milk chocolate, fluid | 15 | 0.252 ± 0.043 | 17.1 | 0.318 ± 0.041 | 12.9 | 0.060 ± 0.005 | 7.7 | | | |
| Cheese, pasteurized, aged (chanco) | 25 | 0.012 ± 0.032 | 276.4 | 2.580 ± 0.300 | 11.6 | 0.007 ± 0.023 | 348.7 | | | |
| Cheese, pasteurized, fresh | 19 | 0.101 ± 0.109 | 108.5 | 1.346 ± 0.210 | 15.6 | 0.018 ± 0.022 | 121.2 | | | |
| Cheese, gouda | 29 | 0.051 ± 0.073 | 142.5 | 3.051 ± 0.372 | 12.2 | 0.014 ± 0.052 | 374.6 | | | |
| Yogurt, whole milk, flavored | 24 | 0.067 ± 0.096 | 143.5 | 0.316 ± 0.072 | 22.9 | 0.000 ± 0.000 | | | | |
| Animal flesh, fish | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tuna, canned | 32 | 0.971 ± 0.237 | 24.4 | 0.431 ± 0.138 | 32.1 | 0.000 ± 0.000 | | | | |
| Sea eel, raw with skin | 25 | 0.205 ± 0.097 | 47.2 | 0.344 ± 0.136 | 39.5 | 0.019 ± 0.007 | 38.1 | | | |
| Sea fish, jurel, canned | 29 | 0.907 ± 0.761 | 83.9 | 0.417 ± 0.228 | 54.8 | 0.071 ± 0.064 | 89.4 | | | |
| Haddock, raw | 25 | 0.151 ± 0.027 | 18.1 | 0.125 ± 0.016 | 12.8 | 0.034 ± 0.010 | 31.0 | | | |
| Animal flesh, shell fish | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mollusks, clam, raw | 25 | 1.522 ± 0.806 | 53.0 | 1.051 ± 0.202 | 19.2 | 0.138 ± 0.074 | 53.8 | | | |
| Shrimps, raw | 25 | 0.314 ± 0.388 | 123.4 | 0.853 ± 0.157 | 18.5 | 0.444 ± 0.163 | 36.6 | | | |
| Mussels, raw | 25 | 1.637 ± 0.665 | 40.6 | 1.996 ± 0.733 | 36.7 | 0.106 ± 0.045 | 42.6 | | | |
| Mollusks, macha, raw | 25 | 3.114 ± 2.736 | 87.9 | 1.219 ± 0.308 | 25.3 | 0.168 ± 0.106 | 63.1 | | | |
| Oysters, raw | 25 | 3.972 ± 2.450 | 61.7 | 1.298 ± 0.416 | 32.1 | 1.126 ± 0.386 | 34.3 | | | |
| Animal flesh, poultry | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chicken, breast, raw | 25 | 0.084 ± 0.074 | 87.5 | 0.543 ± 0.098 | 18.0 | 0.096 ± 0.029 | 30.5 | | | |
| Chicken, drum stick, raw | 26 | 0.056 ± 0.065 | 115.9 | 0.879 ± 0.273 | 31.0 | 0.088 ± 0.021 | 23.4 | | | |
| Turkey, breast, raw | 18 | 0.256 ± 0.133 | 52.0 | 0.857 ± 0.178 | 20.8 | 0.039 ± 0.040 | 103.5 | | | |

in nutrient intake. Despite these considerations, this study provides an image of the Fe, Zn, and Cu intakes of the population of Santiago, which may be useful for other countries in Latin America that have similar patterns of food intake.

For all age and sex groups except infants, cereals and legumes were the most important food category accounting for Fe and Cu intake. Commercial foods for infants and vegetables were the main contributors to the Fe nutriture of infants, and legumes and cereals were the main food categories relevant to Cu intake. Animal flesh was the main contributor to Zn nutriture at all ages.

Drinking water may contribute to the total intake of some essential trace elements. The Cu exposure from drinking water in the same representative sample of the population of Santiago has been published recently.¹⁰ The average daily Cu intakes provided by water, for the different age groups, were 0.025 in 0- to 12-moold individuals, 0.094 mg in 1- to 10-y-old individuals, 0.10 mg in 11- to 19-y-old individuals, 0.12 mg in 20- to 64-y-old individuals, and 0.09 in individuals 65 y and older. Thus, drinking water provides only a modest contribution to Cu intake in the population assessed.

According to the Institute of Medicine, the proportion of the population estimated to have a nutrient intake below the EAR may provide an approximation of the prevalence of nutrient inadequacy.¹ However, this information alone is not sufficient to determine when diets are inadequate because the balance of dietary inhibitors and enhancers of absorption influence Fe, Zn, and Cu bioavailabilities and, hence, determine absorption efficiency.^{1,4} Further, humans can regulate absorption and/or excretion of the micromineral,^{1,4} depending on its availability in the diet. For this reason, subjects with a low micro-mineral intake can adapt to a micronutrient intake below their requirements. Therefore, problems identified by dietary assessment need to be confirmed by clinical, biochemical, and functional studies, which were not included in the present protocol.

Fe inadequacy was particularly high in infants and women between the ages of 11 and 64 y. The prevalence of inadequacy

| FABLE I. | |
|----------|--|
|----------|--|

| | CONTINUED | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-------------------------|--------|-------------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Iron | | Zino | 2 | Copper | | | | | |
| Food group | n | Mean ± SD (mg/100 g) | CV (%) | Mean ± SD (mg/100 g) | CV (%) | Mean ± SD (mg/100 g) | CV (%) | | | | |
| Animal flesh, meat | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Beef, brisket, whole, boneless, raw | 25 | 1.364 ± 0.344 | 25.2 | 3.898 ± 1.144 | 29.3 | 0.059 ± 0.045 | 76.3 | | | | |
| Ground beef, raw | 21 | 1.456 ± 0.277 | 19.0 | 3.063 ± 0.353 | 11.5 | 0.104 ± 0.037 | 35.4 | | | | |
| Chop, beef, separable lean and fat, raw | 11 | 0.445 ± 0.225 | 50.5 | 2.073 ± 0.365 | 17.6 | 0.248 ± 0.030 | 12.2 | | | | |
| Chop, pork, separable lean and fat, raw | 10 | 0.275 ± 0.158 | 57.3 | 0.863 ± 0.198 | 22.9 | 0.089 ± 0.009 | 10.2 | | | | |
| Hamburger, raw | 22 | 2.377 ± 0.267 | 11.2 | 2.856 ± 0.743 | 26.0 | 0.045 ± 0.055 | 122.1 | | | | |
| Ham, raw | 22 | 0.890 ± 0.246 | 27.6 | 1.231 ± 0.444 | 36.0 | 0.168 ± 0.098 | 58.3 | | | | |
| Pork, loin, raw | 25 | 0.579 ± 0.347 | 60.0 | 1.428 ± 0.538 | 37.7 | 0.092 ± 0.042 | 46.1 | | | | |
| Pork sausage, raw | 25 | 2.138 ± 0.855 | 40.0 | 1.609 ± 0.342 | 21.3 | 0.099 ± 0.057 | 57.7 | | | | |
| Vienna sausage, raw | 22 | 1.530 ± 0.226 | 14.8 | 1.452 ± 0.479 | 33.0 | 0.126 ± 0.034 | 26.6 | | | | |
| Mortadella, raw | 25 | 1.140 ± 0.268 | 23.5 | 1.507 ± 0.436 | 28.9 | 0.106 ± 0.039 | 36.3 | | | | |
| Pate, bovine, commercially prepared | 22 | 2.567 ± 0.913 | 35.6 | 2.015 ± 0.608 | 30.2 | 0.813 ± 0.977 | 120.3 | | | | |
| Liver, bovine, raw | 24 | 5.746 ± 3.957 | 68.9 | 3.962 ± 1.525 | 38.5 | 4.827 ± 2.868 | 59.4 | | | | |
| Beef, shank cross cut, separable lean | 25 | 1.558 ± 0.350 | 22.5 | 4.627 ± 1.005 | 21.7 | 0.101 ± 0.056 | 55.6 | | | | |
| and fat, raw | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Beef, top round steak, raw | 25 | 1.000 ± 0.498 | 49.8 | 3.685 ± 1.125 | 30.5 | 0.089 ± 0.037 | 41.6 | | | | |
| Mixed, dishes | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Soup (chicken and rice), powder | 26 | 0.421 ± 0.276 | 65.6 | 0.825 ± 0.159 | 19.3 | 0.070 ± 0.074 | 104.8 | | | | |
| Dessert, dairy based | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Milk-based pudding with chocolate | 9 | 0.564 ± 0.159 | 28.3 | 0.295 ± 0.089 | 30.3 | 0.247 ± 0.039 | 15.8 | | | | |
| Milk-based pudding | 30 | 0.387 ± 0.183 | 47.3 | 0.256 ± 0.071 | 27.8 | 0.088 ± 0.033 | 37.4 | | | | |
| Ice cream, chocolate | 26 | 0.459 ± 0.363 | 79.1 | 0.524 ± 0.163 | 31.1 | 0.220 ± 0.048 | 22.0 | | | | |
| Ice cream, vanilla | 22 | 0.000 ± 0.000 | | 0.280 ± 0.111 | 39.7 | 0.094 ± 0.033 | 35.0 | | | | |
| Sweet condensed milk, cooked | 26 | 0.025 ± 0.056 | 223.9 | 0.834 ± 0.129 | 15.5 | 0.003 ± 0.018 | 509.9 | | | | |
| Dessert, grain based | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cake, commercially prepared | 38 | 0.844 ± 0.385 | 45.6 | 0.353 ± 0.204 | 57.7 | 0.068 ± 0.061 | 89.4 | | | | |
| Dessert, others | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Flavored gelatin, powder | 28 | 0.000 ± 0.000 | | 0.014 ± 0.050 | 367.2 | 0.034 ± 0.047 | 136.7 | | | | |
| Sweeteners | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sugar, granulated | 21 | 0.172 ± 0.118 | 68.7 | 0.009 ± 0.028 | 315.9 | 0.000 ± 0.000 | | | | | |
| Chocolate | 20 | 3.969 ± 7.503 | 189.0 | 0.899 ± 1.728 | 192.3 | 0.512 ± 0.711 | 138.9 | | | | |
| Sweet chocolate powder* | 12 | 0.204 ± 0.218 | 106.9 | 1.686 ± 0.128 | 7.6 | 0.976 ± 0.057 | 5.8 | | | | |
| Sweet chocolate powder* | 12 | 0.812 ± 0.576 | 71.0 | 1.397 ± 0.102 | 7.3 | 0.464 ± 0.051 | 11.0 | | | | |
| Marmalade | 26 | 0.903 ± 0.153 | 17.0 | 0.478 ± 0.074 | 15.5 | 0.099 ± 0.030 | 30.0 | | | | |
| Fats and dressings | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vegetable oil | 33 | 0.000 ± 0.000 | | 0.000 ± 0.000 | | 0.011 ± 0.020 | 179.7 | | | | |
| Margarine | 60 | 0.079 ± 0.097 | 123.0 | 0.000 ± 0.000 | | 0.006 ± 0.018 | 303.8 | | | | |
| Mayonnaise | 31 | 0.013 ± 0.055 | 437.1 | 0.009 ± 0.027 | 311.2 | 0.003 ± 0.015 | 556.8 | | | | |
| | 51 | 0.010 = 0.000 | | 0.007 = 0.027 | 0.11.2 | 0.000 - 0.015 | 220.0 | | | | |

* Two brands of sweet chocolate powder analyzed.

CV, coefficient of variation; SD, standard deviation

was moderate in children and mild in adult and adolescent males. These results are consistent with findings of several studies performed in Chile, which demonstrated a high prevalence of Fe deficiency anemia only in infants and women at fertile age.^{25,26} After we completed this study in 1999, the powdered milk provided free of cost to all infants by the National Complementary Feeding Program was fortified with 10 mg of Fe, 5 mg of Zn, 0.5 mg of Cu, and 70 mg of ascorbic acid per 100 g of powder. It will be interesting to assess the impact of these fortifications on Fe, Zn, and Cu nutrition status in the affected population.

A high prevalence of Zn inadequacy was observed in all age groups. Because there is no accepted sensitive indicator of Zn deficiency, the favorable response to Zn supplementation is used to measure the prevalence of Zn deficiency. Studies performed in Chile have shown a positive effect of Zn supplementation on growth velocity in infants, children, and adolescents.^{27–29} The changes implemented by the National Complementary Feeding Program should allow a stricter evaluation of Zn deficiency in our population.

Cu inadequacy was of importance only in adult males between the ages of 20 and 64 y and females older than 19 y. Data from the literature have shown that biochemical or clinical Cu deficiency is prevalent only in infants recovering from malnutrition or children with chronic diarrhea.^{30,31} More recent studies carried out by us have not demonstrated deficiency in an apparently healthy adult population by the traditional indicators of Cu status (serum Cu and ceruloplasmin protein or oxidase activity).^{32,33}

Individuals with Fe, Zn, and Cu intakes over the upper limit seem to be infrequent; no subjects older than 10 y had such intakes, and low percentages of infants and children younger than 10 y presented high Fe and Cu intakes. However, 40% of infants had a Zn intake above the upper limit.

| | CONTINUED | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|--------|-------------------------|--------|-------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Iron | | Zinc | : | Copper | | | | | |
| Food group | n | Mean ± SD (mg/100 g) | CV (%) | Mean ± SD (mg/100 g) | CV (%) | Mean ± SD (mg/100 g) | CV (%) | | | | |
| Beverages | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Softdrink, Bilz | 25 | 0.088 ± 0.022 | 25.5 | 0.044 ± 0.014 | 32.7 | 0.000 ± 0.000 | | | | | |
| Beer | 28 | 0.075 ± 0.058 | 78.0 | 0.000 ± 0.000 | | 0.008 ± 0.027 | 321.1 | | | | |
| Mineral water | 30 | 0.013 ± 0.033 | 254.3 | 0.027 ± 0.037 | 138.2 | 0.008 ± 0.012 | 143.4 | | | | |
| Coca Cola | 48 | 0.058 ± 0.056 | 97.3 | 0.057 ± 0.043 | 75.8 | 0.017 ± 0.023 | 134.4 | | | | |
| Distilled grape liquor (pisco) | 10 | 0.008 ± 0.010 | 129.1 | 0.012 ± 0.014 | 117.5 | 0.298 ± 0.165 | 55.2 | | | | |
| Coffee, instant powder | 19 | 2.619 ± 0.672 | 25.7 | 0.262 ± 0.110 | 42.0 | 0.016 ± 0.015 | 91.8 | | | | |
| Flavored beverage, powder | 34 | 0.000 ± 0.000 | | 0.021 ± 0.039 | 183.6 | 0.003 ± 0.016 | 583.1 | | | | |
| Tea, ground leaves, bag | 27 | 5.444 ± 1.977 | 36.3 | 2.445 ± 0.602 | 24.6 | 1.969 ± 1.831 | 93.0 | | | | |
| Wine, red | 13 | 0.431 ± 0.065 | 15.0 | 0.037 ± 0.023 | 62.1 | 0.030 ± 0.013 | 42.7 | | | | |
| Non-fat sauces | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ketchup | 29 | 0.778 ± 0.269 | 34.6 | 0.154 ± 0.080 | 51.9 | 0.188 ± 0.049 | 26.0 | | | | |
| Tomato sauce | 31 | 1.036 ± 0.303 | 29.2 | 0.188 ± 0.089 | 47.0 | 0.167 ± 0.040 | 24.1 | | | | |
| Strained/junior cereals | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cereals, oats, dry | 14 | 2.662 ± 0.223 | 8.4 | 1.633 ± 0.199 | 12.2 | 0.342 ± 0.054 | 15.7 | | | | |
| Breakfast cereal with chocolate | 12 | 6.363 ± 1.246 | 19.6 | 13.539 ± 2.380 | 17.6 | 0.617 ± 0.080 | 13.0 | | | | |
| Breakfast cereal | 19 | 6.597 ± 1.125 | 17.0 | 11.452 ± 2.487 | 21.7 | 0.030 ± 0.037 | 123.3 | | | | |

TABLE I.

CV, coefficient of variation; SD, standard deviation

From the nutritional standpoint, in the group reported here, cereals and legumes represented the main sources of calories and of Fe and Cu, in agreement with current (including Chilean) dietary recommendations; however, this finding should not lead to the conclusion that merely increasing consumption of these items will make nutritional deficiency of microminerals disappear, because legumes and cereals contain high levels of inhibitors of mineral absorption, so bioavailability is low. An alternative is to increase consumption of meats, in which bioavailability of minerals is high, but economic restrictions makes this possibility less feasible, and concerns about cardiovascular risk also restrict

its use and favor lean meats. Current expert advice coincides in recommending fortifying staples in the diet to prevent deficiencies of Fe and Zn. Fortifications of milk, wheat flour, corn flour, weaning foods, and other foods have been used in different settings.

In summary, the results of this study suggest that the population in Santiago is at risk of Fe, Zn, and Cu deficiencies rather than overloads. All age and sex groups had a high risk of Zn deficiency, and the risk of Fe deficiency was found mainly in infants and women of fertile age. Adults of both sexes had a moderate increase in the risk of Cu deficiency. Data generated on the food content of

| | DAILY IRON, ZINC, AND COPI | PER INTAKES IN THE DIF | FERENT GROUPS BY | AGE AND SEX* | |
|---------------|------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Age | Sex | Energy (kcal/d) | Fe (mg/d) | Zn (mg/d) | Cu (mg/d) |
| 0–12 mo | Males and females $(n = 17)$ | 834.4 ± 452.8 | 7.8 ± 9.6 | 3.8 ± 1.8 | 0.5 ± 0.3 |
| 1–10 y | Males and females $(n = 43)$ | 1391.2 ± 478.3 | 8.1 ± 5.3 | 6.2 ± 3.1 | 0.8 ± 0.5 |
| 11–19 y | Males $(n = 23)$ | 2409.0 ± 861.7 | 15.1 ± 7.3 | 8.9 ± 4.1 | 1.4 ± 0.7 |
| P^{\dagger} | | 0.017 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.035 |
| | Females $(n = 16)$ | 1597.0 ± 508.5 | 9.5 ± 4.3 | 5.7 ± 2.0 | 1.2 ± 0.3 |
| 20–64 y | Males $(n = 55)$ | 1877.3 ± 588.4 | 13.5 ± 6.5 | 7.6 ± 3.4 | 0.9 ± 0.4 |
| P^{\dagger} | | 0.00001 | 0.00001 | NS | 0.014 |
| | Females $(n = 66)$ | 1382.8 ± 442.0 | 9.1 ± 3.9 | 6.4 ± 3.5 | 1.0 ± 0.4 |
| ≥65 y | Males $(n = 13)$ | 1503.1 ± 488.0 | 11.4 ± 4.9 | 6.6 ± 2.9 | 1.1 ± 0.3 |
| P^{\dagger} | | | NS | NS | NS |
| | Females $(n = 19)$ | 1467.0 ± 463.3 | 11.3 ± 5.0 | 6.9 ± 2.4 | 0.9 ± 0.4 |

DAILY IRON, ZINC, AND COPPER INTAKES IN THE DIFFERENT GROUPS BY AGE AND SEX*

* Mean nutrient intake per day was based on the average of 4 non-consecutive days. Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation.

[†] Student's *t* test for independent samples (male versus female).

Cu, copper; Fe, iron; NS, not significant; Zn, zinc

TABLE III.

| IRON, ZINC, AND COPPER DENSITIES IN THE DIFFERENT |
|---|
| GROUPS BY AGE AND SEX* |

| Age | Sex | Fe† | Zn† | Cu† |
|-----------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| 0–12 mo | Males and females $(n = 17)$ | 9.6 ± 8.0 | 6.1 ± 6.2 | 0.8 ± 0.6 |
| 1–10 y | Males and females $(n = 43)$ | 5.5 ± 2.4 | 4.5 ± 2.2 | 0.6 ± 0.2 |
| 11–19 y <i>P</i> ‡ | Males $(n = 23)$ | 6.1 ± 1.6 NS | 3.7 ± 1.2 NS | 0.6 ± 0.2 NS |
| | Females $(n = 16)$ | 5.7 ± 1.5 | 3.6 ± 0.8 | 0.7 ± 0.1 |
| 20–64 y P‡ | Males $(n = 55)$ Females $(n = 66)$ | 7.0 ± 2.0 NS 6.6 ± 2.4 | 4.1 ± 1.3 NS 4.6 ± 1.9 | 0.6 ± 0.1 =0.04 0.7 ± 0.3 |
| ≥65 y P‡ | Males $(n = 13)$ Females $(n = 19)$ | 7.5 ± 1.7 NS 7.6 ± 1.6 | 4.4 ± 1.2 NS 4.9 ± 1.4 | 0.7 ± 0.2 NS 0.7 ± 0.3 |
| | Temates $(n = 19)$ | 7.0 ± 1.0 | -7.7 ± 1.4 | 0.7 ± 0.3 |

* Mean nutrient intake per day was based on the average of 4 nonconsecutive days. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. † Density was measured as milligrams per 1000 kcal per day.

‡ Student's *t* test for independent samples (male versus female).

Cu, copper; Fe, iron; NS, not significant; Zn, zinc

| ΤA | BL | Æ | Г | V | |
|----|----|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | | |

PERCENTAGE OF SUBJECTS BELOW THE EAR AND ABOVE THE UL IN THE DIFFERENT GROUPS BY AGE AND SEX

| | | Fe (| %) | Zn (| (%) | Cu (%) | |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------|-----|---------------|------|--------------|-----|
| Age | Sex | EAR | UL | EAR | UL | EAR | UL |
| 7–12 mo | Males and females $(n = 13)$ | 76.9 | 5.9 | 30.8 | 41.2 | | |
| 1–10 у | Males and females $(n = 43)$ | 14.0 | 0.0 | 18.6 | 11.6 | 2.3 | 7.0 |
| 11–19 y P* | Males $(n = 23)$ | 4.3 0.013 | 0.0 | 43.5 0.008 | 0.0 | 8.7 NS | 0.0 |
| | Females $(n = 16)$ | 37.5 | 0.0 | 87.5 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.0 |
| 20–64 y <i>P</i> † | Males $(n = 55)$ | 10.9 0.01 | 0.0 | 80.0 NS | 0.0 | 16.4 0.05 | 0.0 |
| | Females $(n = 66)$ | 31.8 | 0.0 | 71.2 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 |
| ≥65 y <i>P</i> * | Males $(n = 13)$ | 7.7 NS | 0.0 | 84.6 NS | 0.0 | 7.7 NS | 0.0 |
| | Females $(n = 19)$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.9 | 0.0 | 21.1 | 0.0 |

* Male versus female, Fisher's exact test.

† Male versus female, chi-square test.

Cu, copper; EAR, estimated average requirement; Fe, iron; UL, upper limit; Zn, zinc

TABLE V.

| CONTRIBUTION OF FOOD GROUPS TO IRON, ZINC, AND COPPER INTAKES IN ALL AGE GROUPS | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|
| Mineral | Food group | 0–12 mo (%) | 1–10 y (%) | 11–19 y (%) | 20–64 y (%) | ≥65 y (%) |
| Iron | Fruits | 1.6 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 3.3 |
| | Vegetables | 29.2 | 14.9 | 7.0 | 11.9 | 9.3 |
| | Cereals and legumes | 21.2 | 57.1 | 69.5 | 58.7 | 67.1 |
| | Milk and dairy products | 0.9 | 4.2 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| | Eggs | 0.3 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 0.9 |
| | Animal flesh | 7.0 | 8.8 | 9.5 | 13.1 | 11.1 |
| | Fish and sea foods | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.1 |
| | Oils and fats | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| | Other | 39.8 | 9.6 | 6.3 | 8.3 | 5.8 |
| Zinc | Fruits | 0.6 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| | Vegetables | 12.0 | 11.4 | 4.6 | 6.8 | 7.2 |
| | Cereals and legumes | 17.5 | 29.9 | 41.5 | 32.5 | 35.6 |
| | Milk and dairy products | 35.1 | 20.6 | 14.9 | 9.3 | 12.2 |
| | Eggs | 0.3 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 0.9 |
| | Animal flesh | 30.2 | 31.1 | 30.3 | 43.9 | 38.6 |
| | Fish and sea foods | 0.0 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.3 |
| | Oils and fats | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| | Other | 4.2 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| Copper | Fruits | 6.8 | 7.1 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 7.4 |
| | Vegetables | 32.7 | 17.4 | 10.1 | 13.3 | 13.2 |
| | Cereals and legumes | 31.6 | 42.0 | 47.4 | 42.4 | 47.6 |
| | Milk and dairy products | 14.5 | 8.0 | 5.7 | 2.4 | 3.0 |
| | Eggs | 1.4 | 5.4 | 10.0 | 8.3 | 3.4 |
| | Animal flesh | 7.8 | 11.5 | 9.3 | 16.8 | 15.1 |
| | Fish and sea foods | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| | Oils and fats | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| | Other | 5.0 | 7.7 | 10.1 | 9.2 | 9.3 |

Fe, Zn, and Cu will help to assess the nutrition status of these micronutrients.

REFERENCES

- Institute of Medicine, Food and Nutrition Board. Dietary reference intakes for vitamin A, vitamin K, arsenic, boron, chromium, copper, iodine, iron, manganese, molybdenum, nickel, silicon, vanadium, and zinc. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 2001
- Uauy R, Olivares M, González M. Essentiality of copper in humans. Am J Clin Nutr 1998;67:9528
- DeMaeyer E, Adiels-Tegman M. The prevalence of anaemia in the world. World Health Stat Q 1985;38:302
- FAO/WHO/IAEA. Trace elements in human nutrition and health. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1996
- Cordano A. Clinical manifestations of nutritional copper deficiency in infants and children. Am J Clin Nutr 1998;67:10128
- Pennington JT, Calloway DH. Copper content of foods. J Am Diet Assoc 1973;63:143
- Holden JM. Sampling strategies to assure representative values in food composition data. Food Nutr Agric 1994;12:12
- Morón C, Zacarías I, de Pablo S, eds. Producción y manejo de datos de composición química de alimentos en nutrición (Production and management of food composition data in nutrition). Santiago, Chile: Institute of Nutrition and Food Technology, 1997
- AOAC official methods of analysis. Washington, DC: Association of Official Analytical Chemist, 1997
- Lagos GE, Maggi LC, Peters D, Reveco F. Model for estimation of human exposure to copper in drinking water. Sci Total Environ 1999;239:49
- Gattas V, Aguayo M. Tabla de pesos y medidas prácticas de alimentos, su equivalencia en gramos y aporte nutritivo. Santiago: Instituto de Nutrición y Tecnología de los Alimentos, Universidad de Chile, 1977
- 12. Nutrient database standard reference, release 12. Riverdale, MD: United States Department of Agriculture, 1998
- 13. Hunt CD, Meacham SL. Aluminum, boron, calcium, copper, iron, magnesium, manganese, molybdenum, phosphorus, potassium, sodium, and zinc: concentrations in common Western foods and estimated daily intakes by infants; toddlers; and male and female adolescents, adults, and seniors in the United States. J Am Diet Diet Assoc 2001;101:1058
- Pennington JAT, Schoen SA. Total diet study: estimated dietary intakes of nutritional elements, 1982–1991. Int J Vitam Nutr Res 1996;66:350
- Pennington JAT, Young BE. Nutritional elements in U.S. diets: results from the Total Diet Study, 1982 to 1986. J Am Diet Diet Assoc 1989;89:659
- Wright HS, Guthrie HA, Qi Wang M, Bernardo V. The1987–88 nationwide food consumption survey: an update on the nutrient intake of respondents. Nutr Today 1991;26:21

- Bailey AL, Maisey S, Southon S, Wright AJA, Finglas PM, Fulcher RA. Relationships between micronutrient intake and biochemical indicators of nutrient adequacy in a 'free-living' elderly UK population. Br J Nutr 1997;77:225
- Schulze MB, Linseisen J, Krobe A, Boening H. Macronutrient, vitamin, and mineral intakes in the EPIC-Germany cohorts. Ann Nutr Metab 2001;45:181
- Fleming DJ, Jacques PF, Dallal GE, Tucker KL, Wilson PWF, Wood RJ. Dietary determinants of iron stores in a free-living elderly population: the Framingham heart study. Am J Clin Nutr 1998;67:722
- Schuhmacher M, Domingo JL, Llobet JM, Corbella J. Dietary intake of copper, chromium and zinc in Tarragona Province, Spain. Sci Total Environ 1993;132:3
- Laryea MD, Schnittert B, Kersting M, Wilhelm M, Lombeck I. Macronutrient, copper, and zinc intakes of young German children as determined by duplicate food samples and diet records. Ann Nutr Metab 1995;39:271
- Ma J, Betts NM. Zinc and copper intakes and their major food sources for older adults in the 1994–96 continuing survey of food intakes by individuals (CSFII). J Nutr 2000;130:2838
- Moser-Veillon PB. Zinc. Consumption patterns and dietary recommendations. J Am Diet Assoc 1990;90:1089
- Köning JS, Elmadfa I. Plasma copper concentration as marker of copper intake from food. Ann Nutr Metab 2000;44:129
- Ríos E, Olivares M, Amar M, Chadud P, Pizarro F, Stekel A. Evaluation of iron status and prevalence of iron deficiency in infants in Chile. In: Underwood BA, ed. *Nutrition interventions strategies in national development*. New York: Academic Press, 1983:273
- Olivares M, Pizarro F, Hertrampf E, Walter T, Arredondo M, Letelier A. Fortificación de alimentos con hierro en Chile. Rev Chil Nutr 2000;27:340
- Castillo-Durán C, Rodríguez A, Venegas G, Alvarez P, Icaza G. Zinc supplementation and growth of infants born small for gestational age. J Pediatr 1995; 127:206
- Ruz M, Castillo-Durán C, Lara X, Codoceo J, Rebolledo A, Atalah E. A 14-mo zinc-supplementation trial in apparently healthy Chilean preschool children. Am J Clin Nutr 1997;66:1406
- Castillo-Durán C, Gracía H, Venegas P, et al. Zinc supplementation increases growth velocity of male children and adolescents with short stature. Acta Paediatr 1994;83:833
- Castillo-Durán C, Fisberg M, Valenzuela A, Egaña JI, Uauy R. Controlled trial of copper supplementation during the recovery of marasmus. Am J Clin Nutr 1983;37:898
- Rodríguez A, Soto G, Torres S, Venegas G, Castillo-Durán C. Zinc and copper in hair and plasma of children with chronic diarrhea. Acta Paediatr Scand 1985;74:770
- 32. Araya M, Olivares M, Pizarro F, González M, Speisky H, Uauy R. Gastrointestinal symptoms and indicators of copper status in apparently healthy adults undergoing controlled copper exposure. Am J Clin Nutr 2003;77:646
- Araya M, Olivares M, Pizarro F, González M, Speisky H, Uauy R. Copper exposure and potential biomarkers of copper metabolism. Biometals 2003;16:199