

ERRATUM: “OPTICAL LIGHT CURVES OF THE TYPE Ia SUPERNOVAE SN 1990N AND SN 1991T”
 [ASTRON. J. 115, 234 (1998)]

P. LIRA, NICHOLAS B. SUNTZEFF, M. M. PHILLIPS, AND MARIO HAMUY
 Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory

JOSÉ MAZA
 Departamento de Astronomía, Universidad de Chile

R. A. SCHOMMER, R. C. SMITH, LISA A. WELLS, R. AVILÉS, J. A. BALDWIN, J. H. ELIAS, L. GONZÁLEZ,
 A. LAYDEN, M. NAVARRETE, P. UGARTE, ALISTAIR R. WALKER, AND GERARD M. WILLIGER
 Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory

F. K. BAGANOFF
 Center for Space Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology

ARLIN P. S. CROTTIS, R. MICHAEL RICH, AND N. D. TYSON
 Department of Astronomy, Columbia University

A. DEY
 Kitt Peak National Observatory

P. GUHATHAKURTA
 UCO/Lick Observatory

J. HIBBARD
 Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawaii

Y.-C. KIM
 Department of Astronomy, Yale University

DANIEL M. REHNER AND E. SICILIANO
 Space Telescope Science Institute

JOSHUA ROTH
 Sky Publishing Corporation

PATRICK SEITZER
 Department of Astronomy, University of Michigan

AND

T. B. WILLIAMS
 Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers University
 Received 1998 May 11

The following errors were brought to our attention by Brian Skiff of the Lowell Observatory.
 In Table 3, two local standard stars were omitted, leading to confusion between the stars listed and those shown in Figure 1 of the paper. We provide a corrected version of the table below.

TABLE 3
 PHOTOMETRIC SEQUENCE FOR SN 1990N

Star ^a	<i>n</i>	<i>m</i>	<i>V</i> (m.e.) (mag)	<i>B</i> − <i>V</i> (m.e.) (mag)	<i>V</i> − <i>R</i> (m.e.) (mag)	<i>R</i> − <i>I</i> (m.e.) (mag)	<i>U</i> − <i>B</i> (m.e.) (mag)
1	5	9	15.728 (005)	1.076 (009)	0.646 (006)	0.512 (011)	1.002 (026)
2	5	8	17.810 (003)	0.659 (007)	0.414 (004)	0.457 (009)	0.013 (012)
3	7	13	18.514 (004)	0.702 (005)	0.453 (005)	0.457 (006)	0.013 (021)
4	6	12	17.118 (004)	0.623 (006)	0.379 (005)	0.362 (006)	0.074 (009)
5	7	13	18.846 (005)	0.730 (007)	0.435 (006)	0.408 (009)	0.280 (033)
6	7	13	18.622 (005)	0.519 (008)	0.333 (006)	0.362 (009)	−0.086 (024)
7	6	12	17.707 (003)	0.735 (006)	0.414 (004)	0.402 (005)	0.068 (018)
8	3	6	17.626 (003)	1.468 (006)	0.940 (004)	0.933 (005)	1.256 (092)
9 ^b	7	12	19.543 (005)	0.479 (010)	0.377 (006)	0.466 (010)	−0.129 (021)
10 ^b	7	12	19.573 (010)	0.863 (026)	0.539 (013)	0.705 (014)	−0.269 (074)
11	5	9	16.580 (004)	0.615 (007)	0.385 (006)	0.351 (006)	0.040 (008)
12	7	13	19.140 (007)	1.484 (023)	1.104 (013)	1.509 (014)	0.601 (066)
13 ^b	7	12	19.571 (011)	1.074 (033)	0.543 (012)	0.588 (013)	−0.340 (055)
14	3	7	20.104 (024)	1.089 (045)	0.566 (025)	0.592 (031)	−0.283 (085)
15	5	9	18.467 (010)	1.285 (021)	0.860 (011)	0.871 (006)	0.941 (062)
16	4	9	16.602 (005)	1.455 (007)	0.955 (009)	0.996 (009)	1.072 (031)
17 ^c	3	6	13.392 (001)	0.607 (002)	0.356 (002)	0.341 (003)	0.031 (200)

^a See Fig. 1.
^b Object may be a galaxy.
^c GSC 0881-0282.

The coordinates for SN 1990N and SN 1991T quoted in the paper are in error. The correct coordinates for equinox J2000.0 are as follows: SN 1990N, R.A. = $12^{\text{h}}42^{\text{m}}56^{\text{s}}.74$, decl. = $+13^{\circ}15'24''.0$ (C. Pollas, IAU Circ. 5040 [1990]); SN 1991T, R.A. = $12^{\text{h}}34^{\text{m}}10^{\text{s}}.21$, decl. = $+02^{\circ}39'56''.6$ (R. H. McNaught, IAU Circ. 5239 [1991]). An image of SN 1991T approximately 12 days before maximum can be seen on the second-generation Digitized Sky Survey.