

Surveillance of *Candida* spp Bloodstream Infections: Epidemiological Trends and Risk Factors of Death in Two CrossMark **Mexican Tertiary Care Hospitals**



Dora E. Corzo-Leon¹, Tito Alvarado-Matute², Arnaldo L. Colombo³, Patricia Cornejo-Juarez⁴, Jorge Cortes⁵, Juan I. Echevarria⁶, Manuel Guzman-Blanco⁷, Aleiandro E. Macias¹, Marcio Nucci⁸, Luis Ostrosky-Zeichner⁹, Alfredo Ponce-de-Leon¹, Flavio Queiroz-Telles¹⁰, Maria E. Santolaya¹¹, Luis Thompson-Moya¹², Iris N. Tiraboschi¹³, Jeannete Zurita¹⁴, Jose Sifuentes-Osornio¹*

1 Department of Medicine, Salvador Zubiran National Institute of Medical Sciences and Nutrition, Mexico, City, Mexico, 2 Hospital Escuela, Tegucigalpa, Honduras, 3 Division of Infectious Diseases, Escola Paulista de Medicina, Universidade Federal de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, 4 Division of Infectious Diseases, National Cancer Institute, Mexico City, Mexico, 5 Department of Internal Medicine, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogota, Colombia, 6 Department of Medicine, Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia, Lima, Peru, 7 Infectious Diseases, Hospital Vargas de Caracas and Centro Medico de Caracas, Caracas, Venezuela, 8 University Hospital, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 9 Department of Medicine, Memorial Hermann Texas Medical Center, University of Texas Medical School at Houston. Houston, Texas, United States of America, 10 Hospital de Clinicas, Universidade Federal do Parana, Curitiba, Brazil, 11 Department of Pediatrics, Hospital Luis Calvo Mackenna, Faculty of Medicine, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile, 12 Department of Medicine, Clinica Alemana, Universidad del Desarrollo, Santiago, Chile, 13 Infectious Diseases Unit, Hospital de Clinicas Jose de San Martin, Buenos Aires, Argentina, 14 Hospital Vozandes, Facultad de Medicina, Pontificia Universidad Catolica del Ecuador, Quito, Ecuador

Abstract

Introduction: Larger populations at risk, broader use of antibiotics and longer hospital stays have impacted on the incidence of Candida sp. bloodstream infections (CBSI).

Objective: To determine clinical and epidemiologic characteristics of patients with CBSI in two tertiary care reference medical institutions in Mexico City.

Design: Prospective and observational laboratory-based surveillance study conducted from 07/2008 to 06/2010.

Methods: All patients with CBSI were included. Identification and antifungal susceptibility were performed using CLSI M27-A3 standard procedures. Frequencies, Mann-Whitney U test or T test were used as needed. Risk factors were determined with multivariable analysis and binary logistic regression analysis.

Results: CBSI represented 3.8% of nosocomial bloodstream infections. Cumulative incidence was 2.8 per 1000 discharges (incidence rate: 0.38 per 1000 patient-days). C. albicans was the predominant species (46%), followed by C. tropicalis (26%). C. glabrata was isolated from patients with diabetes (50%), and elderly patients. Sixty-four patients (86%) received antifungals. Amphotericin-B deoxycholate (AmBD) was the most commonly used agent (66%). Overall mortality rate reached 46%, and risk factors for death were APACHE II score ≥16 (OR=6.94, Cl_{95%}=2.34-20.58, p<0.0001), and liver disease (OR = 186.11, $Cl_{95\%}$ = 7.61–4550.20, p = 0.001). Full susceptibility to fluconazole, AmBD and echinocandins among C. albicans, C. tropicalis, and C. parapsilosis was observed.

Conclusions: The cumulative incidence rate in these centers was higher than other reports from tertiary care hospitals from Latin America. Knowledge of local epidemiologic patterns permits the design of more specific strategies for prevention and preemptive therapy of CBSI.

Citation: Corzo-Leon DE, Alvarado-Matute T, Colombo AL, Cornejo-Juarez P, Cortes J, et al. (2014) Surveillance of Candida spp Bloodstream Infections: Epidemiological Trends and Risk Factors of Death in Two Mexican Tertiary Care Hospitals. PLoS ONE 9(5): e97325. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0097325

Editor: David R. Andes, University of Wisconsin Medical School, United States of America

Received December 20, 2013; Accepted April 17, 2014; Published May 15, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Corzo-Leon et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This study was fully supported by Pfizer Inc. (institutional grant number INF-168). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have read the journal's policy and have the following conflicts: D. Corzo-Leon has no diclosures; A.L. Colombo has received research grants from Pfizer, MSD, United Medical and Luminex, medical education grants from Pfizer, MSD, United Medical and Astellas. He has also been a consultant for MSD, Pfizer, and Gilead; J.A. Cortes has received research grants and support to attend educational meetings from Pfizer and MSD; M. Nucci has received research grants from Pfizer and MSD and has acted as a consultant and speaker for Pfizer, MSD, Astellas and Gilead; P. Cornejo-Juarez has been a speaker for Merck, Stendhal and Astra Zeneca. She is in an advisory board in Astra-Zeneca and has received research grant from MSD; A Macias has no disclosures; F. de Queiroz-Telles has participated in Continuing education activities in laboratories for Astellas, MSD, Pfizer and United Medical, and in research activities in laboratories for Astellas, MSD and Pfizer; L. Ostrosky-Zeichner has received honoraria for speaking and consulting for Pfizer, Merck, Astellas. He has also recived research grants from Pfizer, Merck, and Astellas; I.N. Tiraboschi has been a speaker for Pfizer and Gilead; J. Zurita has been advisory board member and consultant for Pfizer and has received medical grants from Wyeth and MSD for participating in the SMART study; A. Ponce-de-Leon is speaker on behalf of MSD, Pfizer, Jannsen-Cilag, and Novartis, in addition, he received medical grants funded by MSD and Pfizer and has been an advisory board member for Pfizer, MSD and Jannsen-Cilag; J. Sifuentes-Osornio received grants from Astra-Zeneca, Senosian, Pfizer, MSD, and Sanofi-Pasteur, and is member of advisory board for Pfizer and MSD. This does not alter the authors' adherence to PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials.

* E-mail: jose.sifuenteso@innsz.mx

Introduction

Candida bloodstream infections (CBSI) are the fourth cause of nosocomial bloodstream infections in the United States [1–3], and account for up to 10% of all bloodstream infections in hospitalized patients [4–6]. The crude mortality rate of CBSI is about 40% (range 5 to 70%) [1,7]. Well recognized risk factors for invasive candidiasis are: critically ill patients in intensive care units, diabetes mellitus, immunosuppressive states, mechanical ventilation, neutropenia, recent surgical procedures and prematurity [2,6,8].

Surveillance programs for CBSI have provided data regarding the incidence and species distribution of *Candida* spp. across the world. In Latin America a recently published paper reported an incidence of 1.18 episodes of candidemia per 1,000 admissions, with *Candida albicans*, *C. tropicalis* and *C. parapsilosis* accounting for >80% of cases [9]. Reports of CBSI from Mexican institutions are scarce and necessary [10,11]. The objective of this study was to describe the incidence rate, the epidemiology of candidemia, and to assess factors associated with death among patients with CBSI hospitalized in two referral, tertiary care, medical institutions located in Mexico City.

Materials and Methods

Design, Patient Selection and Data Collection

We conducted a laboratory-based survey of CBSI in two tertiary care hospitals in Mexico, between July 2008 and June 2010: the Salvador Zubiran National Institute of Medical Sciences and Nutrition (INCMNSZ) and the National Cancer Institute (INCAN). We included all hospitalized patients with at least one positive blood culture for Candida sp. Clinical and demographic data were collected (age, gender, co-morbidities, use of antimicrobials and antifungals in the 3-months period prior to CBSI, previous use of glucocorticoids and chemotherapy within the 14 days before CBSI, neutropenia, invasive mechanical ventilation, total parenteral nutrition, fever, septic shock, APACHE II, and Karnosky score +/-72 hours of the date of the incident CBSI). Patients were followed up for 30 days after the CSBI episode or until death. A central venous catheter (CVC) related infection was defined as growth of >15 CFU from a 5-cm catheter tip by semiquantitative (roll-plate) culture and a positive blood culture [12].

Ethics statement. This study was reviewed and approved by the local IRB's [Comisión de Ética en Investigación (Commission of Ethics in Research) at INCMNSZ and Comisión de Investigación y Bioética (Commission of Research and Bioethics) at INCAN]. Informed consent was not requested to the patients. The IRB's waived the need for written informed consent from the participants, because of the observational nature of the study. The investigators did not intervene in the standard of care. Patient

records/information was kept confidential and all identifiers were erased prior to analysis.

Microbiological Procedures

All Candida isolates were cultured in Sabouraud cefoperazone media, underwent germ tube testing, and identified using Ybc-Vitek (bioMerieux, Lyon, France). After identification, antifungal susceptibility testing was performed in all isolates for amphotericin B deoxycholate (AmBD), 5-flucytosine, voriconazole, posaconazole, fluconazole, caspofungin, micafungin and anidulafungin, following the standardized recommendations of CLSI M27-A3 for broth microdilution method [13].

Statistical analysis

The incidence of CBSI was expressed in episodes per 1000-discharges and per 1000 patients-day. Categorical variables were analyzed by Pearson chi-square test or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate, and continuous variables by the Mann-Whitney U test. The p value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant. For the assessment of factors associated with 30-day mortality we compared patients who survived with those who died. Variables with a p value ≤ 0.1 by univariate analysis were included in a multivariate analysis using a logistic regression model. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 20.0 software.

Results

Data provided in this study came from hospital-based populations from two tertiary care medical centers, which are openaccess national referral institutions. Populations attended in both institutions are mainly complex medical and surgical problems with variable degrees of immunosuppression (cancer, rheumatic diseases, HIV, diabetes mellitus). During the study period, there were 25,857 hospital discharges (24,986 from general wards and 1,295 from ICU) and 24,110 blood cultures were processed for 10,045 patients (mean 2.4 blood cultures per patient), 4,542 blood cultures (19%) from 1,938 patients were positive. Among these 1,938 episodes, 74 proved to be cases of CBSI (3.8%). The incidence rate of CBSI was 2.8 cases per 1000 discharges and 0.38 cases per 1,000 patients/day. The incidence in the ICU was 17 cases per 1000 discharges and 2.2 cases per 1,000 patients/day, while in general wards the incidence was 2 cases per 1,000 discharges and 0.28 cases per 1,000 patients/day.

The mean age was 46 years (\pm 18), 29 patients (39%) had been hospitalized within the previous three months of the CBSI episode, and the median duration of hospitalization before the event was 16 days (0–149 days). At the occurrence of CBSI, 28 patients (38%) were on invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV), and 57 (77%) had received \geq 2 types of antibiotics during the previous two weeks. Sixty-nine patients had a CVC, which was removed, in 41 of

Table 1. Clinical and demographic characteristics of patients who survived or died within 30 days after candidemia.

Characteristics	Alive (n = 40)	Dead (n = 34)	All (n = 74)	Р
Mean age (Years) ± s.d. ^a	48±17	45±19	46±18	0.26
Cancer – n (%)	24 (60)	18 (53)	42 (56.8)	0.54
Liver disease	2 (5)	7 (20.6)	9 (12)	0.07
Acute kidney injury	15 (37)	21 (62)	36 (48)	0.06
Chronic kidney disease	5 (12.5)	10 (29.4)	15 (20.3)	0.088
All types of transplant	1 (2.5)	5 (14.7)	6 (8.1)	0.08
Chemotherapy	9 (22.5)	12 (35.3)	21(28)	0.32
Surgery	24 (60)	12 (35)	36 (49)	0.03
Cardiovascular disease	11 (28)	14 (41)	25 (34)	0.23
Diabetes mellitus	8 (20)	7 (21)	15 (20)	1
Autoimmune disease	7 (18)	7 (21)	14 (19)	0.77
Prior use of glucocorticoids	11 (27.5)	19 (55.9)	30 (40.5)	0.018
Neutropenia	9 (22.5)	12 (35.3)	21 (28)	0.3
TPN ^b	10 (25)	9 (26.5)	19 (25.7)	0.88
Mechanical ventilation	8 (20)	20 (58.8)	28 (37.8)	0.001
Antibiotics (more than 4 types) ^c	23 (57.5)	25 (73.5)	48 (64.9)	0.22
Fever	37 (92.5)	33 (97)	70 (94.6)	0.62
Severe sepsis	9 (22.5)	23 (67.6)	32 (43)	< 0.0001
Septic shock	2 (5)	17 (50)	19 (25.7)	< 0.0001
Candida deep infection	4 (10)	8 (23.5)	12 (16.2)	0.2
APACHE II Score	12 (3–25)	25 (12–36)	18 (3–36)	< 0.0001
Delayed start of treatment (days)	1 (2–6)	1 (0–8)	1 (2–8)	0.79
Duration of antifungal therapy	15 (1–55)	6 (1–41)	13 (1–55)	0.004
Untreated patients	3 (7.5)	7 (20)	10 (13.5)	0.17

as.d., Standard deviation; bTPN, Total parenteral nutrition; families of antibiotics were: broad–spectrum penicillins, broad-spectrum cephalosporins, carbapenems, aminoglycosides, glycopeptides, linezolid or quinolones. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0097325.t001

them, and the tips were sent for culture; only 14 of them (34%) had a positive culture. The other 28 CVCs were not removed. Other clinical characteristics are described in Table 1.

C. albicans was the most common species (n = 34, 46%). Among the non-albicans species, C. tropicalis was the most frequent (n = 19, 26%), followed by C. glabrata (n = 10, 13.5%), C. parapsilosis (n = 4, 5%), C. krusei (n = 4, 5%), C. guilliermondii (n = 2, 3%) and C. lipolytica (n = 1, 1%). C. glabrata was isolated most frequently in elderly patients (65 \pm 13 vs 44 \pm 17 years, p<0.0001) and in those with diabetes mellitus (50 vs 15%, p = 0.024). C. tropicalis was more commonly observed in patients with hematologic cancer and severe neutropenia (53% vs. 20%, p = 0.01).

Nineteen patients (26%) received antifungals prior to the CBSI (fluconazole [n=10], AmBD [n=8] and voriconazole [n=1]). They received any of these drugs for up to 12 days (median of 2 d) because of prophylaxis, prior fungal infection or preemptive therapy. Sixty-four patients (86%) received antifungal therapy to treat the episode of CBSI, AmBD was the most commonly used agent (n=42,66%), followed by echinocandins (n=13,20%), and fluconazole (n=8,13%). One patient received a combination of fluconazole and AmBD (1%). Twenty-nine of the 64 patients who received therapy died (45.3%), 17 of them within the first 7 days of antifungal treatment. Ten patients did not receive antifungal treatment, five died the day that blood samples were drawn, two

Table 2. Risk factors for death: Cox proportional-hazards regression model.

<u> </u>	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
Characteristic	Univariate HR (IC 95%)	Р	Multivariate* HR (IC 95%)	Р	
APACHE II Score ^a	6.94 (2.34–20.58)	< 0.0001	12.13 (1.89–77.5)	0.008	
Liver disease	11.25 (1.32–95.37)	0.026	186.11 (7.61–4550.20)	0.001	
Invasive mechanical ventilation	2.40 (0.91–6.29)	0.074			
CVC removal ^b	0.26 (0.08–0.81)	0.020			
Prior use of steroid	0.42 (0.16–1.08)	0.073			

^a≥16 points; ^b CVC, Central venous catheter. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0097325.t002

Table 3. Activity of antifungal agents among *Candida* species isolated during this study using CLSI M27-A3 BMD methods $(n = 67)^{a,b}$.

Species (No. tested)	Antifungal Agent	MIC (mcg/ml)			% Resistance
		Range	MIC ⁵⁰	MIC ⁹⁰	
C. albicans (n = 32)	Amphotericin	0.125–2	0.5	1	
	Anidulafungin ^c	0.015-0.06	0.015	0.03	0
	Caspofungin ^c	0.015-0.06	0.03	0.06	0
	Micafungin ^c	0.015-0.03	0.015	0.015	0
	Fluconazole	0.125–1	0.25	1	0
	Posaconazole	0.03-0.06	0.03	0.03	0
	Voriconazole	0.03-1	0.03	0.03	0
	Flucytosine	0.25-4	0.125	0.5	0
C. tropicalis (n = 18)	Amphotericin	0.25-2	0.5	1	
	Anidulafungin ^c	0.015-0.06	0.015	0.03	0
	Caspofungin ^c	0.015-0.25	0.06	0.125	0
	Micafungin ^c	0.015-0.03	0.015	0.03	0
	Fluconazole	0.125–2	0.25	1	0
	Posaconazole	0.03-0.06	0.03	0.03	0
	Voriconazole	0.03-0.25	0.03	0.06	0
	Flucytosine	0.125-64	0.125	0.5	0
C. glabrata (n = 9) ^d	Amphotericin	0.125–2	0.5	1	
	Anidulafungin ^c	0.015-0.06	0.015	0.03	0
	Caspofungin ^c	0.06-0.125	0.125	0.125	0
	Micafungin ^c	0.015-0.06	0.015	0.015	0
	Fluconazole	1–64	4	16	11
	Posaconazole	0.06–2	0.25	0.25	11
	Voriconazole	0.06-4	0.25	0.25	11
	Flucytosine	0.125-0.5	0.125	0.25	0
C. parapsilosis (n = 4)	Amphotericin	0.25-0.5	0.5	0.5	
	Anidulafungin ^c	0.06–1	0.5	1	0
	Caspofungin ^c	0.25	0.25	0.25	0
	Micafungin ^c	0.25-1	0.5	1	0
	Fluconazole	0.125-0.5	0.25	0.5	0
	Posaconazole	0.03	0.03	0.03	0
	Voriconazole	0.03	0.03	0.03	0
	Flucytosine	0.125	0.125	0.125	0
C. krusei (n = 2)	Amphotericin	1–2	1	2	
	Anidulafungin ^c	0.06-0.125	0.06	0.125	0
	Caspofungin ^c	0.25-0.5	0.25	0.5	0
	Micafungin ^c	0.06	0.06	0.06	0
	Fluconazole	16–32	16	32	
	Posaconazole	0.125	0.125	0.125	0
	Voriconazole	0.25	0.25	0.25	0
	Flucytosine	16–32	16	32	100

a. MICs determined based on CLSI M27-A3; b. The susceptibilities of 3 species are not shown (2-*C. guilliermondii*, and 1-*C. lipolytica*); c. Non-resistant isolates were identified for echinocandins; d. One *C. glabrata* isolate showed dose-dependent susceptibility (MIC = 16 mcg/ml) and susceptibility to voriconazole and posaconazole. One *C. glabrata* isolate was resistant to fluconazole (MIC = 64 mcg/ml), voriconazole (MIC = 4 mcg/ml) and posaconazole (MIC = 2 mcg/ml). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0097325.t003

died within the next three days of isolation, and the other three asked for voluntary discharge.

Overall, 28 of the 74 patients (38%) died within 15 days after diagnosis of CBSI and 34 (46%) within 30 days. There were no

differences in mortality between the different species of *Candida*. Likewise, no differences in mortality rates were observed among ICU and non-ICU patients or types of antifungal therapy. By contrast, variables associated with higher mortality rates by

univariate analysis were: prior use of glucocorticoids, liver disease, removal of CVC, IMV, low Karnofsky score, severe sepsis, septic shock, and APACHE II score ≥16 (Table 1).

Prognostic factors associated with 30-day mortality assessed by univariate and multivariate analysis are shown in Table 2. In multivariate analysis, independent variables associated with death were liver disease, and APACHE II score ≥16. Removal of CVC at anytime was associated with a favorable outcome in the univariate analysis, but it was not confirmed in the multivariate analysis. (Table 2).

Antifungal susceptibility data of 68 isolates were available (Table 3). All isolates were susceptible to echinocandins. For micafungin and anidulafungin, the MIC90 value was ≤0.06 mcg/ml, and for caspofungin was ≤0.25 mcg/ml. The MIC90 of voriconazole and posaconazole for all species was ≤0.125 mcg/ml. All isolates of *C. albicans*, *C. parapsilosis* and *C. tropicalis* were susceptible to fluconazole using CLSI M27-A3 guidelines. The MIC90 of fluconazole for *C. albicans* and *C. tropicalis* was 1 mcg/ml, and for *C. parapsilosis* 0.5 mcg/ml. Two of nine *C. glabrata* isolates tested, showed high MICs values for fluconazole, one showed resistance to all azoles, and the other one exhibited a high MIC value only for fluconazole. The two isolates of *C. guilliermondii* were susceptible to fluconazole (2 and 4 mcg/ml), and one *C. lipolytica* showed an MIC value of 2 mcg/mL for fluconazole (Table 3).

Discussion

This is the first surveillance study of CBSI performed in Mexico in which incidence rates, species distribution, antifungal therapy and predictors of outcome were analyzed. We observed a high incidence of CBSI. Common risk factors identified previously were confirmed. A high crude mortality rate was observed which was associated with high APACHE II scores and other severe conditions. All clinical isolates included in this report were fully susceptible to echinocandins.

The cumulated incidence (2.8 cases per 1000 discharges) and incidence rate (0.38 cases per 1000 patient-days) reported in this study are higher than the ones from other recent reports. Studies done in other tertiary care hospitals in the European Community and the USA have shown cumulated incidence between 0.17 and 1 case per 1000 discharges [14]. Nucci et al. recently reported lower incidence rates (1.18 cases per 1,000 discharges and 0.23 cases per 1,000 patients-day) in 21 tertiary care hospitals in 7 countries in South and Central America [9].

The incidence rate in this study was 0.38 cases per 1000 patient-days, which is similar to the incidence rate reported in the nationwide sentinel surveillance study done in Brazil (0.37 cases per 1000 patients/day) during last decade [5,7]. In Switzerland, after a 10-year surveillance in tertiary care centers, the overall incidence rate was 0.049 cases per 1,000 patient-days [14]. Including the findings of this study, the incidence rate seen in tertiary care hospitals in Latin America is almost 100 times greater than those seen in the European Community. In summary, we observed a higher cumulated incidence, and a higher incidence rate than in other regions, including Latin American countries.

C. albicans continues to be the most common species causing CBSI worldwide (»40% to 60% of cases) and it was the main agent (46%) in this study as well, even though it has been well documented that since the introduction of second-generation azoles there has been a steady increase in the isolation of non-albicans species [15].

In our study, *C. tropicalis* was the most common non-albicans agent (26%) followed by *C. glabrata* (13.5%), whereas *C. parapsilosis*

was an uncommon pathogen (5%). In the USA, the most common species, after *C. albicans*, has been *C. glabrata* (10 to 21%) [1,16]. *C. parapsilosis* is one of the most common isolates in Latin America (20%), in contrast, *C. glabrata* has been uncommon in Latin American reports (<6%) [5,16].

Different distribution patterns could be explained by three main factors, the intensity of use of fluconazole for prophylaxis, the age of the population at risk, and infection control practices. Prophylaxis with fluconazole has been associated with a decrease in the rate of CBSI among patients with hematologic malignancies; however, its use favors the selection of resistant species such as C. glabrata [8,17,18]. In the USA, prophylaxis with fluconazole is used more extensively than in Latin America, which may account for the difference in patterns. The second point has been recently raised since C. parapsilosis is the principal mucosal colonizer in the newborn population, whereas C. glabrata is more common in elderly patients [1,19]. Our study included adult population (mean age 46±18 years), whereas most of the Latin American studies have included newborn, pediatric, and adult populations [1,10,19]. Finally, there are strong differences in the infection control practices in the world and C. parapsilosis has been associated with outbreaks of CVC related CBSI. Therefore, high rates of C. parapsilosis recovery could indicate careless CVC handling in many settings [10,15,20,21]. The low prevalence of C. parapsilosis among the populations included in this study confirms the strict policy of CVC care, as seen in previous reports from both participant institutions [22,23].

Liver disease and APACHE II score ≥16 were the major risk factors of mortality. CBSI has been associated with the development of septic shock in 23% of the cases [4,24,25]. Increased mortality rates have been noticed in patients with CBSI who did not receive empirical/preemptive therapy within the first 24 hrs, mainly in patients with septic shock [4,26,27]. We observed a high mortality rate within the first 7 days, which may confirm indirectly the need of early and preemptive treatment in critically ill patients, as reported elsewhere in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients [28,29]. The majority of those who received treatment for less than 6 days were critically ill and died soon after CBSI diagnosis, which could have limited the efficacy of antifungals.In our study, not removing the CVC was not associated with a poorer outcome by multivariate analysis. However, our number of patients is small and therefore, a stronger recommendation regarding CVC management is not warranted [9,30,31].

Most of the clinical isolates were susceptible to all antifungal agents. In Latin America, AmBD and fluconazole are broadly used, with less extended use of echinocandins. The less extended use of echinocandins is mostly due to lack of access and high prices to these agents [30,32]. International studies have reported resistance to fluconazole in less than 1.5% of C. albicans, C. tropicalis and C. parapsilosis isolates [33]. In this study, C. albicans, C. tropicalis and C. parapsilosis were 100% susceptible to all kind of antifungal agents. However, potential resistant species were identified in 21% of our study population (C. glabrata 10, C. krusei 4, C. guilliermondii 2 and C. lipolytica 1). The main resistant species, reported worldwide, is C. glabrata, which was not as common as C. albicans, and C. tropicalis in our study. Usually, C. glabrata is associated with approximately 30% of resistance to fluconazole [33,34]; in this study, the rate of resistance of C. glabrata was lower than prior reports.

Despite the susceptibility patterns described in our report, continuous surveillance programs are needed in order to identify possible changes in the species distribution and antifungal susceptibility patterns of yeasts, particularly in Latin American hospitals [21,33].

This study has several limitations such as the low number of cases, the restricted geographic region where the study was performed, and the type and number of institutions included. Both institutions are open-access tertiary care referral centers, which attend only adult patients. These characteristics may limit the generalization of our findings to other geographic zones in Mexico and Latin America, and also, cannot be applied to other clinical settings, such as pediatric and newborn medical units. Finally, the number of isolates included could underestimate the antifungal resistance rate among the population studied.

In conclusion, in this study we described a higher incidence of CBSI than that of others countries, as well as differences in the epidemiology of CBSI in comparison with previous reports. The reasons of these differences are not clear. Nevertheless, longer and more comprehensive surveillance programs will allow us to have a

References

- Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ (2007) Epidemiology of invasive candidiasis: a persistent public health problem. Clin Microbiol Rev 20: 133–163.
- Leroy O, Gangneux JP, Montravers P, Mira JP, Gouin F, et al. (2009) Epidemiology, management, and risk factors for death of invasive Candida infections in critical care: a multicenter, prospective, observational study in France (2005–2006). Crit Care Med 37: 1612–1618.
- Lipsett PA (2006) Surgical critical care: fungal infections in surgical patients. Crit Care Med 34(9 Suppl): S215–24.
- Patel GP, Simon D, Scheetz M, Crank CW, Lodise T, et al. (2009) The effect of time to antifungal therapy on mortality in Candidemia associated septic shock. Am J Ther 16: 508–511.
- Colombo AL, Nucci M, Park BJ, Nouer SA, Arthington-Skaggs B, et al. (2006) Epidemiology of candidemia in Brazil: a nationwide sentinel surveillance of candidemia in eleven medical centers. J Clin Microbiol 44: 2816–2823.
- Horn DL, Neofytos D, Anaissie EJ, Fishman JA, Steinbach WJ, et al. (2009) Epidemiology and outcomes of candidemia in 2019 patients: data from the prospective antifungal therapy alliance registry. Clin Infect Dis 48: 1695–1703.
- prospective antifungal therapy alliance registry. Clin Infect Dis 48: 1695–1703.
 Nucci M, Queiroz-Telles F, Tobon AM, Restrepo A, Colombo AL (2010) Epidemiology of opportunistic fungal infections in Latin America. Clin Infect Dis 51: 561–570
- Shorr AF, Lazarus DR, Sherner JH, Jackson WL, Morrel M, et al. (2007) Do clinical features allow for accurate prediction of fungal pathogenesis in bloodstream infections? Potential implications of the increasing prevalence of non-albicans candidemia. Crit Care Med 35: 1077–1083.
- Nucci M, Queiroz-Telles F, Alvarado-Matute T, Tiraboschi IN, Cortes J, et al. (2013) Epidemiology of candidemia in Latin-America: a laboratory-based survey. PLos One 8: e59374. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0059373.
- Gonzalez GM, Elizondo M, Ayala J (2008) Trends in species distribution and susceptibility of bloodstream isolates of Candida collected in Monterrey, Mexico, to seven antifungal agents: results of a 3-year (2004 to 2007) surveillance study. J Clin Microbiol 46: 2902–2905.
- Ayala-Gaytan JJ, Aleman-Bocanegra MC, Guajardo-Lara CE, Valdovinos-Chavez SB (2010) Catheter-associated bloodstream infections. Review of fiveyear surveillance among hospitalized patients. Rev Med Inst Mex Seguro Soc 48: 145–50.
- Mermel LA, Allon M, Bouza E, Craven DE, Flynn P, et al. (2009) Clinical practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of intravascular catheterrelated infection: 2009 Update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis 49: 1–45.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (2008) Reference Method for Broth Dilution Antifungal Susceptibility Testing of Yeasts; Approved Standard—Third Edition. CLSI document M27-A3 (ISBN 1-56238-666-2). Third ed. Wayne, Pennsylvania, USA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.
- Marchetti O, Bille J, Fluckiger U, Eggimann P, Ruef C, et al. (2004) Epidemiology of candidemia in Swiss tertiary care hospitals: secular trends, 1991-2000. Clin Infect Dis 38: 311–320.
- Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Pappas PG (2006) Invasive candidiasis in the intensive care unit. Crit Care Med 34: 857-863.
- Colombo AL, Guimarães T, Silva LR, de Almeida-Monfardini LP, Cunha AK, et al. (2007) Prospective observational study of candidemia in Sao Paulo, Brazil: incidence rate, epidemiology, and predictors of mortality. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 28: 570–576.
- Chow JK, Golan Y, Ruthazer R, Karchmer AW, Carmeli Y, et al. (2008) Factors associated with candidemia caused by non-albicans Candida species versus Candida albicans in the intensive care unit. Clin Infect Dis 46: 1206– 1213.
- Faiz S, Neale B, Rios E, Campos T, Parsley E, et al. (2009) Risk-based fluconazole prophylaxis of Candida bloodstream infection in a medical intensive care unit. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 28: 689–692.

better understanding of the epidemiology and susceptibility patterns of CBSI in limited-resource countries.

Acknowledgments

Andrea Rangel-Cordero, Yvonne Villalobos, and Estrella Tovar from Salvador Zubiran National Institute of Medical Sciences and Nutrition, Mexico City, Mexico. Consuelo Velázquez-Acosta and Adriana Diaz from National Cancer Institute, Mexico City, Mexico.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: ALC MN JSO. Performed the experiments: DECL PCJ. Analyzed the data: DECL MN JSO TAM JC JIE MGB FQT MES LTM INT JZ. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: LOZ APL JSO MN ALC. Wrote the paper: DECL PCJ MEM APL MN LOZ JSO.

- Pappas PG, Rex JH, Lee J, Hamill RJ, Larsen RA, et al. (2003) A prospective observational study of candidemia: epidemiology, therapy, and influences on mortality in hospitalized adult and pediatric patients. Clin Infect Dis 37: 634– 643.
- Lortholary O, Desnos-Ollivier M, Sitbon K, Fontanet A, Bretagne S, et al. (2011) Recent exposure to caspofungin or fluconazole influences the epidemiology of candidemia: a prospective multicenter study involving 2,441 patients. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55: 532–538.
- 21. Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ, Jones RN, Sader HS, Fluit AC, et al. (2001) International surveillance of bloodstream infections due to Candida species: frequency of occurrence and in vitro susceptibilities to fluconazole, ravuconazole, and voriconazole of isolates collected from 1997 through 1999 in the SENTRY antimicrobial surveillance program. J Clin Microbiol 39: 3254–3259.
- Volkow P, Sanchez-Mejorada G, de la Vega SL, Vazquez C, Tellez O, et al. (1994) Experience of an intravenous therapy team at the Instituto Nacional de Cancerologia (Mexico) with a long-lasting, low-cost silastic venous catheter. Clin Infect Dis 18: 719–725.
- Macias AE, Huertas M, de Leon SP, Munoz JM, Chavez AR, et al. (2010) Contamination of intravenous fluids: a continuing cause of hospital bacteremia. Am J Infect Control 38: 217–221.
- Wenzel RP, Gennings C (2005) Bloodstream infections due to Candida species in the intensive care unit: identifying especially high-risk patients to determine prevention strategies. Clin Infect Dis (Suppl 6): S389–93.
- Bassetti M, Righi E, Costa A, Fasce R, Molinari MP, et al. (2006) Epidemiological trends in nosocomial candidemia in intensive care. BMC Infect Dis 6: 21. doi:10.1186/1471-2334-6-21
- Garey KW, Rege M, Pai MP, Mingo DE, Suda KJ, et al. (2006) Time to initiation of fluconazole therapy impacts mortality in patients with candidemia: a multi- institutional study. Clin Infect Dis 43: 25–31.
- Dimopoulos G, Karabinis A, Samonis G, Falagas ME (2007) Candidemia in immunocompromised and immunocompetent critically ill patients: a prospective comparative study. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 26: 377–384.
- Mikulska M, Del Bono V, Raiola AM, Bruno B, Gualandi F, et al. (2009) Blood stream infections in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients: reemergence of Gram-negative rods and increasing antibiotic resistance. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 15: 47–53.
- Mikulska M, Del Bono V, Raiola AM, Bruno B, Gualandi F, et al. (2012) Mortality after bloodstream infections in allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplant (HSCT) recipients. Infection 40: 271–278.
- Pappas PG, Kauffman CA, Andes D, Benjamin DK Jr, Calandra TF, et al. (2009) Clinical practice guidelines for the management of candidiasis: 2009 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis 48: 503– 535.
- Raad I, Hanna H, Boktour M, Girgawy E, Danawi H, et al. (2004) Management of central venous catheters in patients with cancer and candidemia. Clin Infect Dis 38: 1119–1127.
- Zaas AK, Dodds Ashley ES, Alexander BD, Johnson MD, Perfect JR (2006) Caspofungin for invasive candidiasis at a tertiary care medical center. Am J Med 119: 993.e1–993.e6.
- 33. Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ, Gibbs DL, Newell VA, Ellis D, et al. (2010) Results from the ARTEMIS DISK Global Antifungal Surveillance Study, 1997 to 2007: a 10.5-year analysis of susceptibilities of Candida Species to fluconazole and voriconazole as determined by CLSI standardized disk diffusion. J Clin Microbiol 48: 1366–1377.
- Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ (2012) Progress in antifungal susceptibility testing of Candida spp. by use of Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute broth microdilution methods, 2010 to 2012. J Clin Microbiol 50: 2846–2856.