

List of Tables

- 2.1 Software process lines as product lines. 17
- 3.1 Decisions for Rhiscom’s variable process elements. 29
- 3.2 Matching between UMA and eSPEM elements of ManagedContent. 44
- 3.3 Matching between UMA and eSPEM elements of Core. 45
- 3.4 Matching between UMA and eSPEM elements of MethodPlugin. 45
- 3.5 Matching between UMA and eSPEM elements of MethodContent. 45
- 3.6 Matching between UMA and eSPEM elements of ProcessStructure. 46
- 4.1 Domain concepts. 61
- 4.2 Semantic: Transformation Rules Language. 65
- 4.2 Semantic: Transformation Rules Language. 66
- 4.2 Semantic: Transformation Rules Language. 67
- 4.2 Semantic: Transformation Rules Language. 68
- 4.3 Concrete Syntax: Transformation Rules Language. 69
- 5.1 Summary of HOTs (adapted and updated from Tisi et al. [156]). 97
- 7.1 Characteristics of the software companies. 118
- 7.2 Rhiscom’s organizational context 120
- 7.3 Rhiscom’s predefined contexts 120
- 7.4 Mobius’ organizational context 122
- 7.5 Mobius’ predefined contexts 123
- 7.6 Summary of sessions 124
- 7.7 Rhiscom’s organizational software process reviewed. 125
- 7.8 Tailoring decisions for variable elements of Rhiscom’s software process. 126
- 7.9 Decisions for Mobius’ variable process elements. 127
- 7.10 Rhiscom’s experimental project contexts. 129
- 7.11 Mobius’ experimental project contexts. 130
- 7.12 Size of software processes. 130
- 7.13 The weights of Rhiscom’s context attributes. 131
- 7.14 Similarities between predefined and experimental contexts in Rhiscom. 131
- 7.15 Mobius’s organizational context model. 135
- 7.16 Similarities between predefined and experimental contexts in Mobius. 135
- 7.17 Comparison of the whole Mobius’s process tasks in both strategies. 137
- 7.18 Comparison of the whole Rhiscom process tasks in both strategies. 138

8.1	Validation factors of ATAGeTT.	143
8.2	Validation factors of DL.	143
8.3	Characteristics of Ki teknologi.	144
8.4	Number of employees of Ki teknologi.	145
8.5	Status of artifacts in Ki teknologi.	146
8.6	Summary of work sessions.	147
8.7	Summary of revisions of Ki teknologi's organizational software process.	147
8.8	Organizational context of Ki teknologi	149
8.9	Tailoring Decisions of Ki teknologi	149
8.10	Characteristics of data gathering.	158
8.11	Activity timing for adapting the Ki agile software process to a concrete project context.	161
8.12	Evaluation of timing for each activity that is used for the adapting Ki agile software process.	162