



OPEN

FMR1 mRNA from full mutation alleles is associated with ABC-C_{FX} scores in males with fragile X syndrome

Emma K. Baker^{1,2,3}, Marta Arpone^{1,2,4}, Claudine Kraan^{1,2}, Minh Bui⁵, Carolyn Rogers⁶, Michael Field⁶, Lesley Bretherton¹, Ling Ling¹, Alexandra Ure^{2,7,8,9}, Jonathan Cohen^{10,11}, Matthew F. Hunter¹², Lorena Santa María¹³, Victor Faundes¹³, Bianca Curotto¹³, Paulina Morales¹³, Cesar Trigo¹³, Isabel Salas¹³, Angelica Alliende¹³, David J. Amor^{2,7,14} & David E. Godler^{1,2,14}✉

Fragile X syndrome (FXS) is caused by a hypermethylated full mutation (FM) expansion with ≥ 200 CGG repeats, and a decrease in *FMR1* mRNA and its protein. However, incomplete silencing from FM alleles has been associated with more severe autism features in FXS males. This study compared scores on the Aberrant Behavior Checklist-Community-FXS version (ABC-C_{FX}) in 62 males affected with FXS (3 to 32 years) stratified based on presence or absence of mosaicism and/or *FMR1* mRNA silencing. Associations between ABC-C_{FX} subscales and *FMR1* mRNA levels, assessed using real-time PCR relative standard curve method, were also examined. The FXS group mosaic for premutation (PM: 55–199 CGGs) and FM alleles had lower irritability ($p = 0.014$) and inappropriate speech ($p < 0.001$) scores compared to males with only FM alleles and complete loss of *FMR1* mRNA. The PM/FM mosaic group also showed lower inappropriate speech scores compared to the incomplete silencing ($p = 0.002$) group. Increased *FMR1* mRNA levels were associated with greater irritability ($p < 0.001$), and lower health-related quality of life scores ($p = 0.004$), but only in the incomplete silencing FM-only group. The findings suggest that stratification based on CGG sizing and *FMR1* mRNA levels may be warranted in future research and clinical trials utilising ABC-C_{FX} subscales as outcome measures.

Fragile X syndrome (FXS) is caused by a large trinucleotide CGG expansion (≥ 200 repeats), termed full mutation (FM), in the promoter region of the Fragile X Mental Retardation 1 (*FMR1*) gene¹. FM alleles are associated with DNA methylation (DNAm) changes to the *FMR1* promoter, resulting in decreased transcription² and little to no production of the Fragile X Mental Retardation Protein (FMRP). FXS is the leading single gene cause of intellectual disability (ID), with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) features also commonly occurring. Smaller premutation (PM) expansions (CGG: 55–199 repeats) typically have an unmethylated *FMR1* promoter, but

¹Diagnosis and Development, Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Royal Children's Hospital, 50 Flemington Road, Parkville, VIC 3052, Australia. ²Department of Paediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry and Health Sciences, University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC, Australia. ³School of Psychology and Public Health, La Trobe University, Bundoora, VIC, Australia. ⁴Brain and Mind, Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne, VIC, Australia. ⁵Centre for Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, University of Melbourne, Carlton, VIC, Australia. ⁶Genetics of Learning Disability Service, Hunter Genetics, Hunter New England Health, Waratah, NSW, Australia. ⁷Neurodisability and Rehabilitation, Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne, VIC, Australia. ⁸Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne, VIC, Australia. ⁹Department of Pediatrics, Monash University, Clayton, VIC, Australia. ¹⁰Fragile X Alliance Inc, North Caulfield, VIC, Australia. ¹¹Centre for Developmental Disability Health Victoria, Monash University, Clayton, VIC, Australia. ¹²Monash Genetics, Monash Health, Clayton, VIC, Australia. ¹³Laboratory of Molecular Cytogenetics, Department of Genetics and Metabolic Diseases, Institute of Nutrition and Food Technology (INTA), University of Chile, Santiago, Chile. ¹⁴These authors contributed equally: David J. Amor and David E. Godler ✉email: david.godler@mcri.edu.au

elevated mRNA levels^{3,4}. This elevated *FMR1* mRNA has been associated with “RNA gain of function” toxicity in some PM carriers and has been implicated in late onset disorders such as Fragile X-associated Tremor/Ataxia Syndrome (FXTAS)⁵. Rare unmethylated FM (UFM) alleles in adult individuals have been associated with neurodegeneration observed as FXTAS, hypothesised to be related to “RNA gain of function” toxicity originating from UFM alleles^{6–8}.

There is now evidence from independent studies^{9–11}, demonstrating that in the majority of FM males, *FMR1* mRNA is not completely silenced (i.e., there is still residual transcription, even in the presence of FM alleles). These studies have reported that between 44 and 60% of FXS males express *FMR1* mRNA^{4,10,11}, with this incomplete silencing more recently associated with elevated ASD features in FM-only males, but not intellectual functioning deficits¹¹. This suggests that two reciprocal mechanisms, RNA toxicity and FMRP deficiency, may contribute to overlapping aspects of FXS, specifically ID and ASD features. This theory is supported by research demonstrating significant associations between *FMR1* methylation and FMRP, and intellectual functioning parameters^{12–14}. However, relationships between *FMR1* molecular variables and maladaptive behaviours assessed using the Aberrant Behavior Checklist-Community fragile X version (ABC-C_{FX})¹⁵—a tool often used as an outcome measure in clinical trials, have not been thoroughly investigated.

This study aimed to determine if maladaptive behaviours are increased, as measured by the ABC-C_{FX}, in males affected with FXS with complete and incomplete silencing of FM alleles, as compared to males mosaic for PM and FM alleles. The study also explored relationships between the levels of *FMR1* mRNA (if not completely silenced) in Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells (PBMCs) and each of the ABC-C_{FX} subscale scores, total score, and the utility index. Based on our previous study¹¹, it was hypothesised that FM-only males with incomplete silencing of FM alleles would have elevated scores on the ABC-C_{FX} compared to males with complete silencing of FM alleles.

Methods

Participants. Participants were Australian and Chilean males with FXS aged between 3 and 32 years old recruited into previous studies^{11,14}. All participants had undergone fragile X genetic testing prior to recruitment using CGG PCR sizing and Southern blot analysis. Briefly, routine FXS testing involved first-line PCR-based assessment of CGG repeat size (± 1 CGG) with the upper limit of detection being 330 CGG and 170 CGG repeats for the Chilean¹⁶ and Australian¹⁷ samples, respectively. DNA samples from all males who showed a CGG size in the PM range or failed to show a PCR product, were reflexed for methylation sensitive Southern to confirm molecular diagnosis of FXS^{18,19}. Exclusionary criteria for the study included any other genetic conditions of known clinical significance, if they had any significant medical conditions (e.g., stroke, head trauma), and/or if they had inadequately controlled seizures.

Sample processing. PBMCs were isolated from 5 ml of blood collected in EDTA tubes, using Ficoll gradient separation. RNA was then extracted from the isolated PBMCs using RNeasy kit as per manufacturer’s instructions (Qiagen, Global) for gene expression analyses.

***FMR1* mRNA analysis.** RNA (10 nanograms per sample) was reverse transcribed using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription kit, as per manufacturer’s instructions (Thermo Fisher scientific, Global). The ViiaTM 7 system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Global) was then used to analyse gene expression using the relative standard curve method²⁰. Specifically, a series of doubling dilutions of RNA (160–0.5 ng/ μ l) of a selected PBMC sample was performed for *FMR1* 5’ and 3’ mRNA assays and the two internal control genes (*EIF4A2* and *SDHA*), previously shown to be the optimal control gene combination of gene expression normalization in *FMR1* related disorders²¹. Previously published sequences were used for real-time PCR primers and probes for: *FMR1* 5’ assay targeting exon3/4 junction²²; and *FMR1* 3’ assay targeting the exon13/exon14 junction²³. *FMR1* primers and probes were used at 18 μ M and 2 μ M, respectively. *EIF4A2* and *SDHA* primer/probe mixes were obtained from PrimerDesign (PerfectProbe gePP-12-hu kit) and used at concentration of 2 μ M. Each sample was assayed in triplicate in a total volume of 10ul master-mix reaction. The *FMR1* targeting reaction consisted of 5 μ l of 2 \times SensiFAST Probe Low-rox Mix from SensiFAST™ Probe Low-ROX Kit (Bioline, Australia), 2.5 μ l of RNase free water, 0.5 μ l of TaqMan probe and 0.5 2 μ M I forward and 0.5 2 μ M I reverse primers, and 1 μ l of the reverse transcription (cDNA) reaction. While *EIF4A2* and *SDHA* qPCR reaction is made of 5 μ l of 2 \times SensiFAST Probe Low-rox Mix from SensiFAST™ Probe Low-ROX Kit (Bioline, Australia), 3 μ l of RNase free water, 1 μ l Primer/Probe mix, and 1 μ l of cDNA reaction. The annealing temperature for thermal cycling protocol was 60 °C for 40 cycles. Samples were quantified in arbitrary units (au) in relation to the standard curves performed on each plate with mean of three technical replicates being the representative value of relative *FMR1* mRNA normalized by average internal control gene levels for each sample analysed.

Intellectual functioning. Intellectual functioning was determined using an age- and language- (English or Spanish) appropriate Wechsler intelligence scale. Specifically, children aged 3 years 11 months completed the Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence-3rd Edition (WPPSI-III) Australian²⁴ and Mexican²⁵ Editions. Australian children aged 7 years to 16 years, 11 months completed the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-4th edition (WISC-IV) Australian standardised edition²⁶ and Chilean children of the same age range completed the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-3rd edition (WISC-III) Chilean edition²⁷. Participants aged 17+ years completed the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-4th (WAIS-IV) Australian and New Zealand²⁸ and Chilean²⁹ editions.

Maladaptive behaviours. Maladaptive behaviours were assessed using the ABC-C_{FX}¹⁵. The ABC-C_{FX} has six subscales which measure irritability, lethargy, stereotypy, hyperactivity, inappropriate speech, social avoid-

	FM-only with complete silencing		FM-only with incomplete silencing		PM/FM mosaic		p^1	p^2	p^3	p^4
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i> ± <i>SD</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i> ± <i>SD</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i> ± <i>SD</i>				
Age	19	14.6 ± 9.48	29	13.3 ± 7.29	14	16.8 ± 14.8	0.562	0.679	0.519	0.285
FSIQ	9	48.6 ± 13.4	18	43.9 ± 5.74	9	52.6 ± 9.06	0.067	0.211	0.351	0.024
ADOS CSS	17	6.06 ± 2.38	26	7.50 ± 1.45	12	6.67 ± 1.83	0.050	0.016*	0.390	0.205
Seizures (% lifetime presence)	19	10.5%	29	17.2%	12	0.0%	0.383	0.687	0.510	0.298
Country (% Australian)	19	15.8%	29	37.9%	14	42.9%	0.178	0.119	0.122	0.999
Medicated (% ≥ 1 medication)	19	52.6%	29	58.6%	14	28.6%	0.190	0.770	0.286	0.104
Stimulant	19	31.6%	29	27.6%	14	14.3%	0.566	0.999	0.416	0.456
SSRI	19	21.1%	29	27.6%	14	7.1%	0.318	0.739	0.366	0.231
Antipsychotic	19	5.3%	29	17.2%	14	7.1%	0.512	0.381	0.999	0.645
AAA	19	5.3%	29	0.0%	14	7.1%	0.279	0.396	0.999	0.326
SNRI	19	0.0%	29	0.0%	14	7.1%	0.226	NA	0.424	0.326
Benzodiazepine	19	0.0%	29	0.0%	14	7.1%	0.226	NA	0.424	0.326

Table 1. Demographic and clinical information. P-values highlighted in bold were significant prior to adjustment for multiple comparisons. *FM* full mutation, *PM* premutation, *M* mean, *SD* standard deviation, *NA* not applicable, *FSIQ* full scale IQ, *ADOS CSS* autism diagnostic observation schedule calibrated severity score, *SSRI* selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor, *AAA* alpha adrenergic agonist, *SNRI* selective norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor. p -value (p) for comparing the means between ¹three groups; ²FM-only with complete silencing and FM-only with incomplete silencing; ³FM-only with complete silencing and PM/FM Mosaic; ⁴FM-only with incomplete silencing and PM/FM mosaic. *P-value remained < 0.05 after adjusting for multiple comparison using Bonferroni correction method.

ance. An overall total score can also be calculated by summing up the scores obtained in each subscale. In the current sample these subscales demonstrated good internal consistency (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.82$ – 0.94). The utility index (UI) to determine FXS health-related quality of life was also used³⁰. Higher scores on the subscales indicate greater impairment, while lower scores on the UI indicate poorer health-related quality of life.

Procedure. Participants attended an appointment for assessment and venous blood collection. Parents/caregivers completed the ABC-C at the time of assessment with the assistance of a research team member, if required. All procedures were approved by The Royal Children's Hospital and INTA Human Research Ethics Committees (HREC #33066 and #15, respectively). All procedures were performed in accordance with these ethics approvals. All parents/caregivers provided written informed consent and those participants deemed cognitively able also provided written informed consent.

Statistical analysis. Distribution for each demographic variable and maladaptive behaviours were normally distributed in each of three male groups, namely FM-only with complete silencing, FM-only with incomplete silencing and PM/FM mosaic, and therefore the mean and standard deviation were presented as summary statistics, and analysis of variance was used to compare the difference. Whereas for *FMRI* mRNA, the distribution was not normally distributed in each group, the non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis test was used to compare the difference between the three subgroups or Mann–Whitney U test for pairwise comparisons. For binary data (seizures, country and medication used) the percentage was given, and Fisher's exact test was used for comparisons. While for maladaptive behaviours, analysis of covariance was used for comparisons, adjusting for age. Robust regression with robust standard error was used to assess the relationship between each maladaptive behaviour score (outcome) and *FMRI* mRNA (predictor) for the combined data, adjusted for age and allelic class, and separately for FM-only with incomplete silencing and PM/FM mosaic, adjusted for age only. The difference in the relationship between these two groups was tested using an interaction term between subgroup (binary) and *FMRI* mRNA levels. Significance of the interaction term indicated that the relationship was different between two subgroups. The Bonferroni correction method was used to correct for multiple testing. All analyses were conducted using Stata (<https://www.stata.com>).

Results

The cohort of 62 males with FXS were split into three classifications: FM-only with complete *FMRI* silencing, FM-only with incomplete *FMRI* silencing, and PM/FM mosaics. These three groups did not significantly differ on age, intellectual functioning, and medication use (Table 1). One FM-only male displayed extremely elevated ABC-C_{FX} scores that were atypical in comparison to the remainder of the group. This individual was excluded from the analyses so as not to affect the generalisability of the results. Key demographic and clinical information for those included in the analyses are in Table 1.




Figure 1. Intergroup comparisons and relationship between *FMR1* mRNA levels in PBMCs and ABC-C_{FX} sub-scales in FM only and PM/FM mosaic males. Intergroup comparisons for (A) normalized *FMR1* mRNA levels; Note: Broken parallel lines represent minimum (MIN), maximum (MAX) and median (MED) mRNA values from TD controls ($n = 14$), from a previous study¹¹. Intergroup comparisons for (B) ABC-C_{FX} Inappropriate speech scores; and (C) ABC-C_{FX} Irritability scores between FM only and PM/FM mosaic males. (D) Relationship between normalized *FMR1* mRNA levels and ABC-C_{FX} Irritability score, with open and closed circles representing PM/FM mosaic and FM only incomplete silencing groups, respectively.

Comparison between the three FXS groups on *FMR1* mRNA and ABC-C_{FX} scores. All three groups significantly differed from each other on *FMR1* mRNA levels (Fig. 1A). The two FM-only groups did not significantly differ on any of the ABC-C_{FX} scores, though there was a trend towards more stereotyped behaviours in the complete silencing group (Table 2; Fig. 1B,C). The PM/FM mosaic group had significantly lower scores on the Irritability domain (Table 2; Fig. 1C) and ABC-C_{FX} total score, as well as a significantly higher UI compared to the FM-only group with complete silencing (Table 2). Both FM-only groups had significantly elevated scores on the Inappropriate Speech domain compared to the PM/FM mosaic group (Table 2; Fig. 1B).

Relationships between *FMR1* mRNA and ABC-C_{FX} scores. When the incomplete mRNA silencing and PM/FM mosaic groups were combined, no significant associations between *FMR1* mRNA and ABC-C_{FX} scores were observed (Table 3; Fig. 1D). When examining the specific allelic sub-groups, *FMR1* mRNA was not significantly associated with any of the ABC-C_{FX} scores in the PM/FM mosaic male group (Table 3). In the incomplete silencing FM-only group, after Bonferroni correction, *FMR1* mRNA was significantly associated with scores on the Irritability subscale, ABC-C_{FX} Total and ABC-C_{FX} UI (Table 3; Fig. 1D), and these relationships were all significantly different from that of the PM/FM mosaic group ($p < 0.001$, 0.005 and 0.001, respectively; Table 3).

Discussion

This study for the first time reports intergroup comparisons of the behavioural phenotype, as measured by the ABC-C_{FX}, between FXS males stratified based on the presence or absence of *FMR1* mRNA and CGG size mosaicism. It also reports novel associations between *FMR1* mRNA and ABC-C_{FX} scores in FXS. One of the key findings of this study is that stratification of the FM-only incomplete *FMR1* silencing and PM/FM mosaic groups revealed significant associations between mRNA levels and Irritability scores, ABC-C_{FX} total scores, and the UI, while no significant associations were observed when these two groups were combined. The study found elevated *FMR1* mRNA levels were associated with more severe irritability symptoms and maladaptive behaviours

	FM-only with complete silencing (N = 19)		FM-only with incomplete silencing (N = 29)		PM/FM mosaic (N = 14)		p^1	p^2	p^3	p^4
	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>				
Irritability	16.9	11.8	14.7	9.36	8.07	5.36	0.045	0.350	0.014*	0.066
Lethargy	5.79	3.78	6.93	4.64	4.93	3.75	0.472	0.414	0.700	0.252
Stereotypy	6.37	5.14	4.28	3.45	3.93	4.20	0.132	0.055	0.139	0.884
Hyperactivity	13.0	8.37	10.2	7.04	7.29	5.90	0.082	0.094	0.034	0.424
Inappropriate speech	6.11	2.38	4.76	3.09	2.21	2.52	<0.001*	0.119	<0.001*	0.002*
Social avoidance	3.95	3.19	3.69	2.02	2.93	3.32	0.595	0.732	0.318	0.442
ABC total	52.1	27.4	44.5	18.6	29.4	19.8	0.020	0.158	0.005*	0.074
ABC UI	0.57	0.19	0.60	0.14	0.72	0.13	0.030	0.415	0.010*	0.037

Table 2. Comparison between complete and incomplete silencing *FMRI* mRNA in FM-only males and PM/FM mosaics on maladaptive behaviours. P-values highlighted in bold were significant prior to adjustment for multiple comparisons. Comparisons were conducted using analysis of covariance, adjusted for age; *FM* full mutation, *PM* premutation, *M* mean, *SD* standard deviation, *ABC* aberrant behavior checklist, *UI* utility index. p -value (p) for comparing the means between ¹three groups; ²FM-only with complete silencing and FM-only with incomplete silencing; ³FM-only with complete silencing and PM/FM Mosaic; ⁴FM-only with incomplete silencing and PM/FM mosaic. * p -value remained <0.05 after adjusting for multiple comparison of the three pairwise tests using Bonferroni correction method.

	All (n = 43)			FM-only with incomplete silencing (n = 29)			PM/FM mosaic (n = 14)		
	β	s.e	p	β	s.e	p	<i>B</i>	s.e	p
Irritability	3.78	2.95	0.199	22.7	3.17	<0.001*	- 1.38	1.69	0.415
Lethargy	0.28	0.80	0.723	1.44	2.08	0.489	- 0.03	0.86	0.969
Stereotypy	- 1.17	1.80	0.517	- 4.27	1.66	0.010	0.01	3.97	0.997
Hyperactivity	- 0.15	1.80	0.932	2.58	5.96	0.665	- 1.12	1.24	0.367
Inappropriate speech	- 1.69	1.57	0.282	0.66	4.59	0.886	- 1.41	1.19	0.239
Social avoidance	0.09	1.15	0.935	1.52	1.61	0.343	- 0.45	1.39	0.744
ABC total	1.93	5.72	0.736	22.4	7.11	0.002*	- 3.66	5.96	0.539
ABC UI	- 0.03	0.05	0.560	- 0.22	0.08	0.004*	0.01	0.05	0.870

Table 3. Relationship between each maladaptive behaviours (outcome) and *FMRI* mRNA (predictor) by allelic sub-group. P-values highlighted in bold were significant prior to adjustment for multiple comparisons. Analyses were conducted using robust regression, adjusted for age and allelic class for combined data ("All" = FM only with incomplete silencing + PM/FM mosaic), while adjusted for age only in each of allelic subgroup; *FM* full mutation, *PM* premutation, β estimated regression coefficient, *se* standard error, *ABC* aberrant behavior checklist, *UI* utility index. * p -value (p) remained <0.05 after adjusting for multiple comparison using Bonferroni correction method.

generally (ABC- C_{FX} total scores) and lower parent reported health-related quality of life (ABC- C_{FX} UI) in males with incompletely silenced FM alleles more specifically.

The findings in the incomplete silencing group suggest reactivation of large expanded alleles may have a toxic gain of function, particularly in terms of irritability. Taken together with our previous findings¹¹, it is evident that residual mRNA from transcribed FM alleles has negative implications for behavioural outcomes in males with FM-only alleles. It is also possible that this may be explained by some of the individuals in the incomplete silencing group, harbouring a portion of PM or unmethylated FM alleles that are expressed and may be toxic in some cells. Further studies in larger, independent cohorts would assist in furthering our understanding of the impact of residual *FMRI* mRNA on the FXS behavioural phenotype. The findings also highlight that combining PM/FM mosaic and FM-only males with incomplete silencing may 'wash out' any relationships observed between *FMRI* mRNA levels and ABC- C_{FX} -related clinical data, and also any effect of medications used in clinical trials.

This has been exemplified in the randomised placebo controlled trial of mavoglurant, an mGluR5 antagonist. Interestingly, this earlier study demonstrated no significant effects between mavoglurant and placebo from baseline to follow up on ABC- C_{FX} total scores in 30 males (18–36 years) with FXS³¹. However, when those individuals with a fully methylated promoter and *FMRI* mRNA silencing ($n = 7$) were analysed separately as a sub-group, significant improvements were seen from baseline to day 19 or 20 of treatment on ABC- C_{FX} total scores, for all these patients. In examining those with partial methylation, some individuals showed improvement and others demonstrated a worsening of maladaptive behaviours. The authors theorised that the variation in treatment response among those with partial methylation may be explained by the variation in *FMRI* mRNA and FMRP

expression and that dosage may need to be reduced in these cases. Nonetheless, Berry-Kravis et al.³¹, reported the results of two randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials on over 300 FXS patients using the same stratification method, and found no improvements in either group.

Of note in all these clinical trials participant inclusion criteria was a diagnosis of FXS described as either “confirmed by genetic testing”³¹, without further specification of the assays used and the CGG allelic class results, or simply defined as the presence of >200 CGG repeats or a positive cytogenetic test accompanied by family history of FXS³². Therefore these trials may have included individuals with CGG size mosaicism. Jacquemont and colleagues³² noted that two FM males who were found to have *FMRI* mRNA levels within the control range may have in fact been PM/FM mosaic, but CGG sizing was not performed to confirm this. However, this assumption may only be partially true. As shown in Fig. 1, the *FMRI* mRNA levels in our cohort of males with PM/FM mosaicism partially overlapped with both the control and incomplete silencing FM-only groups. Therefore, there may have been more than two males with undetected PM/FM mosaicism in the Jacquemont cohort. Thus, results in these clinical trials may have differed if participants were stratified based on *FMRI* mRNA levels and presence or absence of PM/FM mosaicism.

Although it was expected that males with incomplete *FMRI* mRNA silencing would have significantly elevated scores on the ABC-C_{FX} compared to those males with complete *FMRI* mRNA silencing, this was not confirmed. This hypothesis was based on our previous findings demonstrating elevated ASD features, specifically more social affect difficulties, based on the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule-2nd edition (ADOS-2) in the incomplete silencing group¹¹. However, no statistically significant differences were observed between the two FM-only groups. Instead the results demonstrated that the FM-only complete silencing group had significantly elevated scores on the Irritability and Inappropriate Speech subscales and ABC-C_{FX} total score compared to the PM/FM mosaic group. Additionally, the complete silencing group had a significantly lower ABC-C_{FX} UI, indicating poorer parent reported health-related quality of life. The incomplete *FMRI* silencing group also had significantly higher scores on the Inappropriate Speech subscale compared to the PM/FM mosaic group. Several factors may have contributed to the differences observed between this study and our previous study.

While both assessments relate to behavioural features that are commonly attributed to FXS, the ADOS-2 is undertaken by a trained clinician while the ABC-C is completed by the parent/caregiver. ADOS-2 assessors are required to undertake specialist training to identify autistic behaviours, whereas the ABC-C is completed by a parent/caregiver who may be less cognisant of the types and severity of these behaviours. Moreover, parent-report measures, such as the ABC-C, may be biased by the prognostic information that is given at the time of diagnosis. In a larger sample of FXS males from which this cohort was drawn, no significant differences were found on ADOS-2 scores between FM-only and PM/FM mosaic males¹¹ and with the current sample the PM/FM mosaic group did not significantly differ to the two FM-only groups on ADOS calibrated severity scores (CSS), where ADOS-2 assessors were blinded to the allelic classification of the person being assessed. Nevertheless, the discrepancies in group differences in behavioural findings could also be underpinned by weak associations between ABC-C_{FX} scores and ADOS-2 CSS. Although both measures target overall similar behavioural problems, the ABC-C_{FX} subscales encompass some maladaptive behaviours which are not fully captured by the ADOS-2 CSS and vice versa.

The use of the ABC-C_{FX} may also contribute towards a lack of demonstrable efficacy in clinical trials. There are likely issues with biases based on the prognostic information parents are given about their child. It is plausible that the lower baseline scores on the ABC-C_{FX} for PM/FM mosaic males, as seen in the current study, may reduce the ability to observe clinically significant changes post treatment and may reduce effect sizes when combined with FM-only males, particularly if differences in ABC-C_{FX} scores at baseline are not accounted for in statistical analyses.

Another issue is the use of an ABC-C total score. The original developers of this measure highlight that a total score was never recommended, with explicit instructions in the manual stating “it is inappropriate to compute a total aberrant score based on summation of all 58 items, as the subscales are largely independent”³³. Thus, compilation of a total score represents no specific construct³⁴. While in the current study a significant association was observed between *FMRI* mRNA and the total score in the incomplete silencing group this is predominantly driven by the Irritability subscale. The Irritability subscale of the ABC-C_{FX} has been classified in the moderate to strong category of outcome measures for FXS and is increasingly used in clinical trials³⁵. However, this subscale itself was shown to encompass four latent factors including tantrums, self-harm, verbal outbursts, and negative affect in a large sample of adolescents with idiopathic ASD³⁶.

While parent-reports have their utility, development of objective outcome measures that complement and extend on parent reports are required. Furthermore, rather than using adapted versions of measures that were generated for general ID or other neurodevelopmental disorders, establishing FXS specific parent and clinician-report measures would be more appropriate. While such processes are time consuming, this may ultimately lead to more sensitive measures for FXS clinical trials. Moreover, development of objective assessments that can be administered repeatedly without ‘learned’ effects would be advantageous.

Limitations. One of the main limitations of the current study is the inclusion of participants who were taking a psychoactive medication which may have impacted parent reports of behaviour. Intended and side effects of specific medications may also impact the specific behaviours that are reported on in the ABC-C³⁷. However, the proportion of participants taking a psychoactive medication did not significantly differ between the three groups, and this same limitation is also applicable to most previous FXS studies. Future studies will explore relationships of ABC-C_{FX} with other molecular variables including *FMRP*, *ASFMR1* and *FMRI* promoter methylation, to further explain heterogeneity in the phenotypes and underlying biological mechanisms in different sub-groups of FXS.

Another limitation in the current study is the use of peripheral blood to analyse *FMR1* mRNA levels. While peripheral tissues, such as blood, are a relatively non-invasive way to examine gene expression, such tissues may not be entirely reflective of gene expression in the brain. Nonetheless, the findings reported here, as well as our previous findings demonstrating associations between *FMR1* mRNA in PBMCs with autistic features¹¹ and *FMR1* methylation in buccal epithelial cells and intellectual functioning in males with FXS¹⁴, highlight the utility of using peripheral tissues to examine genotype–phenotype relationships.

Conclusions

Despite advances in the understanding of the molecular underpinnings of FXS, clinical trials are yet to demonstrate efficacy in humans. As technological advances are being made, the understanding of the biology of FXS becomes more complex, with the likelihood that many sub-groups of FXS exist and will emerge. This study highlights how different sub-groups (FM-only with complete *FMR1* silencing, FM-only with incomplete *FMR1* silencing, and PM/FM mosaics) demonstrate different associations and intergroup differences between molecular and clinical outcomes. Although associations could not be undertaken for the complete silencing group (all *FMR1* mRNA values = 0), a large degree of variability was still seen on ABC-C_{FX} scores, suggesting that factors other than loss of *FMR1* mRNA are contributing to the phenotype heterogeneity and/or that molecular analyses in blood do not always reflect molecular changes observed in the brain and other tissues. Differences observed between FM only and PM/FM mosaic males on the ABC-C_{FX}, in addition to the lack of associations with *FMR1* mRNA levels and ABC-C_{FX} scores in the PM/FM mosaic group highlight that patient stratification by presence or absence of PM/FM size mosaicism *and/or* incomplete silencing of FM allele mRNA may be valuable for participant stratification in future research and clinical trials.

Received: 9 April 2020; Accepted: 22 June 2020

Published online: 16 July 2020

References

1. Verkerk, A. J. *et al.* Identification of a gene (FMR-1) containing a CGG repeat coincident with a breakpoint cluster region exhibiting length variation in fragile X syndrome. *Cell* **65**(5), 905–914 (1991).
2. Pieretti, M. *et al.* Absence of expression of the FMR-1 gene in fragile X syndrome. *Cell* **66**(4), 817–822 (1991).
3. Primerano, B. *et al.* Reduced FMR1 mRNA translation efficiency in fragile X patients with premutations. *RNA* **8**(12), 1482–1488 (2002).
4. Tassone, F., Hagerman, R. J., Chamberlain, W. D. & Hagerman, P. J. Transcription of the FMR1 gene in individuals with fragile X syndrome. *Am. J. Med. Genet.* **97**(3), 195–203 (2000).
5. Hagerman, R. J. *et al.* Intention tremor, parkinsonism, and generalized brain atrophy in male carriers of fragile X. *Neurology*. **57**(1), 127–130 (2001).
6. Santa María, L. *et al.* FXTAS in an unmethylated mosaic male with fragile X syndrome from Chile. *Clin. Genet.* **86**(4), 378–382 (2014).
7. Loesch, D. Z. *et al.* Fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia phenotype in a male carrier of unmethylated full mutation in the FMR1 gene. *Clin. Genet.* **82**(1), 88–92 (2012).
8. Pretto, D. I. *et al.* Intranuclear inclusions in a fragile X mosaic male. *Transl. Neurodegener.* **2**(1), 10 (2013).
9. Tassone, F., Hagerman, R. J., Taylor, A. K. & Hagerman, P. J. A majority of fragile X males with methylated, full mutation alleles have significant levels of FMR1 messenger RNA. *J. Med. Genet.* **38**(7), 453–456 (2001).
10. Stoger, R. *et al.* Testing the FMR1 promoter for mosaicism in DNA methylation among CpG sites, strands, and cells in FMR1-expressing males with fragile X syndrome. *PLoS ONE* **6**(8), e23648 (2011).
11. Baker, E. K. *et al.* Incomplete silencing of full mutation alleles in males with fragile X syndrome is associated with autistic features. *Mol. Autism*. **10**(1), 21 (2019).
12. Kaufmann, W. E., Abrams, M. T., Chen, W. & Reiss, A. L. Genotype, molecular phenotype, and cognitive phenotype: correlations in fragile X syndrome. *Am. J. Med. Genet.* **83**(4), 286–295 (1999).
13. Tassone, F. *et al.* FMRP expression as a potential prognostic indicator in fragile X syndrome. *Am. J. Med. Genet.* **84**(3), 250–261 (1999).
14. Arpone, M. *et al.* Intragenic DNA methylation in buccal epithelial cells and intellectual functioning in a paediatric cohort of males with fragile X. *Sci. Rep.* **8**(1), 3644 (2018).
15. Sansone, S. M. *et al.* Psychometric study of the aberrant behavior checklist in fragile X syndrome and implications for targeted treatment. *J. Autism Dev. Disord.* **42**(7), 1377–1392 (2012).
16. Saluto, A. *et al.* An enhanced polymerase chain reaction assay to detect pre- and full mutation alleles of the fragile X mental retardation 1 gene. *J. Mol. Diagn.* **7**(5), 605–612 (2005).
17. Khaniani, M. S., Kalitsis, P., Burgess, T. & Slater, H. R. An improved diagnostic PCR assay for identification of cryptic heterozygosity for CGG triplet repeat alleles in the fragile X gene (FMR1). *Mol. Cytogenet.* **1**, 5 (2008).
18. Allende, M. A. *et al.* Direct molecular analysis of FMR-1 gene mutation in patients with fragile Xq syndrome and their families. *Rev. Med. Chil.* **126**(12), 1435–1446 (1998).
19. Francis, D., Burgess, T., Mitchell, J. & Slater, H. Identification of small FRAXA premutations. *Mol. Diagn.* **5**(3), 221–225 (2000).
20. Godler, D. E. *et al.* Improved methodology for assessment of mRNA levels in blood of patients with FMR1 related disorders. *BMC Clin. Pathol.* **9**, 5 (2009).
21. Kraan, C. M. *et al.* β -glucuronidase mRNA levels are correlated with gait and working memory in premutation females: Understanding the role of FMR1 premutation alleles. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 29366 (2016).
22. Tassone, F. *et al.* Elevated levels of FMR1 mRNA in carrier males: A new mechanism of involvement in the fragile-X syndrome. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **66**(1), 6–15 (2000).
23. Pietrobono, R. *et al.* Molecular dissection of the events leading to inactivation of the FMR1 gene. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **14**(2), 267–277 (2005).
24. Wechsler, D. *Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence—Third Edition Australian Standardised* (NCS Pearson Inc., Minneapolis, 2004).
25. Wechsler, D. *Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence—Third Edition Mexican Edition* (Harcourt Assessment, San Antonio, 2002).
26. Wechsler, D. *Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children—Fourth Edition Australian Standardised* (NCS Pearson Inc., Minneapolis, 2003).

27. Wechsler, D. *Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children—Third Edition Chilean Edition* (The Psychological Corporation, New York, 2007).
28. Wechsler, D. *Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale—Fourth Edition Australian and New Zealand Language Adaptation* (NCS Pearson Inc., Minneapolis, 2008).
29. Wechsler, D. *Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale—Fourth Edition Chilean Edition* (NCS Pearson Inc., Minneapolis, 2008).
30. Kerr, C. *et al.* Developing a utility index for the Aberrant Behavior Checklist (ABC-C) for fragile X syndrome. *Qual Life Res.* **24**(2), 305–314 (2015).
31. Berry-Kravis, E. *et al.* Mavoglurant in fragile X syndrome: Results of two randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials. *Sci Transl Med.* **8**(321), 321ra5 (2016).
32. Jacquemont, S. *et al.* Epigenetic modification of the FMR1 gene in fragile X syndrome is associated with differential response to the mGluR5 antagonist AFQ056. *Sci Transl Med.* **3**(64), 64ra1 (2011).
33. Aman, M. G., Singh, N. N., Stewart, A. W. & Field, C. J. The aberrant behavior checklist: A behavior rating scale for the assessment of treatment effects. *Am. J. Ment. Defic.* **89**(5), 485–491 (1985).
34. Aman, M. G. Aberrant behavior checklist: Current identity and future developments. *J. Clin. Exp. Pharmacol.* **2**(3), e114 (2012).
35. Budimirovic, D. B. *et al.* Updated report on tools to measure outcomes of clinical trials in fragile X syndrome. *J. Neurodev. Disord.* **9**, 14 (2017).
36. Stoddard, J., Zik, J., Mazefsky, C.A., DeChant, B. & Gabriels, R. The internal structure of the aberrant behavior checklist irritability subscale: Implications for studies of irritability in treatment-seeking youth with autism spectrum disorders. *Behav. Ther.* 2019:undefined-undefined.
37. Berry-Kravis, E., Sumis, A., Hervey, C. & Mathur, S. Clinic-based retrospective analysis of psychopharmacology for behavior in fragile x syndrome. *Int. J. Pediatr.* **2012**, 843016 (2012).

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank all the study participants and their families for being involved in the study. We would also like to thank Justine Elliott and Chriselle Hickerton for their assistance with recruitment and Solange Aliaga for confirmatory testing of FXS participants. We would also like to thank the following individuals for their assistance with the administration and coding of IQ and/or ADOS assessments: Cherie Green, Nusrat Ahmed, Annabelle May Marsh, Jaqueline Maya, and Pura Ballester-Navarro. This study was supported by the Victorian Government's Operational Infrastructure Support Program, with the salaries supported by NHMRC project grants (no. 1049299 and no. 1103389 to D.E.G. and no. 1103389 to C.M.K.); Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Royal Children's Hospital Foundation (D.E.G.); Next Generation Clinical Researchers Program - Career Development Fellowship, funded by the Medical Research Future Fund (MRF1141334 to D.E.G.); and the Financial Markets Foundation for Children (Australia) (no. 2017 – 361 to D.E.G. C.M.K. and D.J.A.); M.J.F. and C.R. were supported by the Genetics of Learning Disability (GOLD) Service. M.A. was supported by an Australian Postgraduate Award, the International Postgraduate Research Scholarships (IPRS) and the Research Training Program Fee offset scholarship funded by the Australian Government and awarded by the University of Melbourne, and in part by the Diagnosis and Development group of the Murdoch Children's Research Institute.

Author contributions

E.K.B., M.A., C.K., D.J.A. and D.E.G. all contributed to the conception and design of the study, data acquisition, data analysis and interpretation of data. M.B., E.K.B. and D.E.G. conducted data analysis and interpretation of the data. L.B., A.U. and D.E.G. provided supervision of assessments. E.K.B., M.A., C.R., M.F., L.L., J.C., M.F.H., L.S.M., V.F., B.C., P.M., C.T., I.S., and A.A. all contributed to patient recruitment/acquisition of data. All authors have been involved in the drafting of the manuscript and/or revising it critically for important intellectual content, and have read and approved the final manuscript. All authors had complete access to the study data that support the publication.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to D.E.G.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2020