Contents

1 Introduction **1**
- 1.1 Prognostics and Health Management . . . 2
- 1.2 Machine Learning and Deep Learning . . . 2
- 1.3 Motivation . . . 3
- 1.4 Objectives . . . 4
  - 1.4.1 General Objective . . . 4
  - 1.4.2 Specific Objectives . . . 4
- 1.5 Statement and Thesis Scope . . . 5

2 Methodology **6**
- 2.1 Literature review . . . 6
- 2.2 Framework proposal . . . 6
- 2.3 Framework coding and implementation . . . 7
- 2.4 Case study validation . . . 7
- 2.5 Results analysis and concluding remarks . . . 7

3 General background **8**
- 3.1 Journal review . . . 8
  - 3.1.1 ANNs for RUL prognostics . . . 8
  - 3.1.2 Degradation and health estimation on physical assets . . . 10
- 3.2 Artificial Neural Networks . . . 10
  - 3.2.1 Multi-layer Perceptron . . . 11
  - 3.2.2 Recurrent Neural Networks . . . 12
  - 3.2.3 Convolutional Neural Networks . . . 14
  - 3.2.4 Convolutional-RNN . . . 16
- 3.3 Neural networks training process . . . 17
  - 3.3.1 Training validation . . . 17
  - 3.3.2 Regularization techniques . . . 18
- 3.4 System Health quantification . . . 18
  - 3.4.1 AutoEncoder approach . . . 18
  - 3.4.2 Mahalanobis Distance approach . . . 19

4 Proposed Framework for degradation start detection and RUL prognosis **21**
- 4.1 Main motivation and aim . . . 21
- 4.2 Degradation assessment stage . . . 22
  - 4.2.1 Iterative algorithm for Mahalanobis Space definition . . . 22

4.3 RUL prediction stage . . . 24
4.4 Framework implementation scheme . . . 24
4.5 General implementation remarks . . . 24
4.5.1 Dataset requirements . . . 26
4.5.2 Data preprocessing . . . 27
4.5.3 Hyperparameter optimization . . . 27
4.5.4 Framework coding . . . 28
4.5.5 Neural networks, training and optimization . . . 28
4.5.6 Computational resources . . . 28

5 Case Study Validation **29**
5.1 C-MAPSS dataset . . . 29
5.2 General remarks and preprocessing . . . 30
5.3 Degradation assessment stage . . . 32
5.3.1 Hyperparameter selection . . . 32
5.3.2 MS distribution . . . 33
5.3.3 MD curves and degradation detection . . . 34
5.4 RUL prognostic stage . . . 35
5.4.1 Network and hyperparameter selection . . . 35
5.4.2 Selected model . . . 38
5.5 Results and discussion . . . 39
5.5.1 Degradation assessment stage . . . 39
5.5.2 RUL prognostics stage . . . 44
5.5.3 Comparison with other approaches . . . 47
5.6 Concluding remarks . . . 48

6 FEMTO Case Study **49**
6.1 FEMTO dataset . . . 49
6.2 General remarks and data preprocessing . . . 51
6.3 Degradation assessment stage . . . 54
6.3.1 Hyperparameter selection . . . 54
6.3.2 MS and degradation start detection . . . 54
6.4 RUL prognostic stage . . . 57
6.4.1 Input data . . . 57
6.4.2 Network and hyperparameter selection . . . 57
6.4.3 Selected Model . . . 58
6.4.4 RUL estimation results . . . 60
6.5 Discussion and comparison . . . 62
6.6 Concluding Remarks . . . 62

7 Conclusion **64**
7.1 Future work . . . 65

Bibliography **69**