

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|-------------|
| RESUMEN..... | I |
| ABSTRACT..... | II |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS..... | III |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS..... | IV |
| LIST OF TABLES..... | VIII |
| LIST OF FIGURES..... | IX |
| 1 INTRODUCTION..... | 1 |
| 1.1 ORDER AND CONTRACTOR MATCHING..... | 1 |
| 1.2 CASE STUDY..... | 2 |
| 1.2.1 OBJECTIVE..... | 2 |
| 1.2.2 SCOPE..... | 2 |
| 1.3 STRUCTURE..... | 3 |
| 2 LITERATURE AND BACKGROUND..... | 4 |
| 2.1.1 CORNERSHOP BACKGROUND..... | 4 |
| 2.1.2 DELIVERY SERVICE DESCRIPTION..... | 4 |
| 2.1.3 RIDE HAILING SIMILARITIES AND THE SOCIAL BENEFIT OF A DELIVERY SERVICE..... | 5 |
| 2.1.4 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ON EFFICIENT SHARED VEHICLE DELIVERY SERVICES..... | 9 |
| 2.2 PROBLEM DESCRIPTION..... | 9 |
| 2.3 INDUSTRY REFERENCES..... | 10 |
| 2.3.1 GENERALIZED ASSIGNMENT PROBLEM..... | 10 |
| 2.3.2 DEFERRED ACCEPTANCE..... | 12 |
| 3 PROBLEM..... | 14 |

| | | |
|------------|---|------------------|
| 3.1 | COMPANY PIPELINE MODEL FORMULATION | 14 |
| 3.2 | MATHEMATICAL OPTIMIZATION | 17 |
| 3.2.1 | SETS..... | 17 |
| 3.2.2 | DECISION VARIABLES | 19 |
| 3.2.3 | CONSTRAINTS..... | 19 |
| 3.2.4 | OBJECTIVE..... | 21 |
| 4 | <u>PROPOSAL EVALUATION</u> | <u>23</u> |
| 4.1 | SCENARIO SOURCES..... | 23 |
| 4.2 | MODEL RUN SETUP..... | 24 |
| 4.3 | MODEL VERSIONS | 25 |
| 4.3.1 | OPTIMAL ALLOCATION MODEL..... | 26 |
| 4.3.2 | THRESHOLD MODEL | 26 |
| 4.3.3 | FAIRNESS MODEL | 26 |
| 4.3.4 | FAIRNESS AND THRESHOLD MODEL | 27 |
| 4.3.5 | PIPELINE MODEL..... | 27 |
| 5 | <u>RESULTS</u> | <u>28</u> |
| 5.1 | RUN SCOPE..... | 28 |
| 5.1.1 | RESOURCES SCOPE..... | 28 |
| 5.1.2 | RESULTS EVALUATION ENVIRONMENT | 28 |
| 5.1.3 | LENGTH SCOPE | 29 |
| 5.1.4 | SIZE OF INSTANCES..... | 29 |
| 5.1.5 | RESULTS FORMAT | 30 |
| 5.2 | MEASUREMENT METRICS | 32 |
| 5.3 | SINGLE INSTANCE RUN..... | 32 |
| 5.3.1 | RESULTS | 32 |
| 5.4 | RUN RESULTS | 38 |

| | | |
|------------|---|------------------|
| 5.4.1 | SEQUENCE DATA..... | 38 |
| 5.4.2 | RESULTS | 38 |
| 5.5 | CITY MODEL | 54 |
| 5.6 | RESULTS ANALYSIS | 57 |
| 5.6.1 | INSTANCE RUNS..... | 57 |
| 5.6.2 | SEQUENCE RUNS | 57 |
| 5.6.3 | CITY MODEL | 59 |
| 6 | <u>CONCLUSION.....</u> | <u>61</u> |
| 6.1 | FURTHER DISCUSSIONS..... | 61 |
| 6.1.1 | NON-ALLOCATION COSTS IMPROVEMENTS | 61 |
| 6.1.2 | DELAYED ORDER ALLOCATION | 62 |
| 6.1.3 | FAIR SHOPPER SELECTION | 64 |
| 6.1.4 | CITY MODEL | 65 |
| 6.1.5 | RUNTIME AND ENGINEERING ASPECTS | 67 |
| 6.2 | PROPOSALS FOR FUTURE WORK | 69 |
| 6.2.1 | EXPLICIT ON-TIME ARRIVAL COSTS | 69 |
| 6.2.2 | STOCHASTIC OPTIMIZATION FOR FUTURE SHOPPER AVAILABILITY | 69 |
| 6.2.3 | REDUCED RUN FREQUENCY | 69 |
| 6.2.4 | ONLINE OPTIMIZATION HEURISTICS..... | 70 |
| 6.2.5 | FUTURE SCENARIO SETUP COSTS ON CURRENT MATCHES COST..... | 70 |
| 7 | <u>BIBLIOGRAPHY.....</u> | <u>72</u> |
| | <u>ANNEX.....</u> | <u>74</u> |
| | ANNEX A - PROPOSED OPTIMAL ALLOCATION MODEL 1..... | 74 |
| | ANNEX A.1 - SETS | 74 |
| | ANNEX A.2 - DECISION VARIABLES | 74 |
| | ANNEX A.3 - OBJECTIVE FUNCTION..... | 74 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| ANNEX A.4 - CONSTRAINTS | 74 |
| ANNEX B - EVALUATION RUNS SETUP | 75 |
| ANNEX C - RESULTS | 76 |
| ANNEX C.1 - SINGLE RUNS | 76 |
| ANNEX C.2 -SEQUENCE RUNS | 81 |
| ANNEX C.3 - CITY MODEL RUNS | 94 |