

# Table of Content

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Hypothesis and Objectives . . . . .	6
1.2	Methodology . . . . .	6
1.3	Contribution of this Work . . . . .	7
1.4	Document Structure . . . . .	9
<b>2</b>	<b>Preliminaries</b>	<b>10</b>
2.1	Crisis Management . . . . .	10
2.2	Data Analysis Tools . . . . .	11
2.2.1	Classification . . . . .	12
2.2.2	Support Vector Machine Algorithm . . . . .	13
2.2.3	Decision Tree . . . . .	14
2.2.4	Random Forest . . . . .	15
2.2.5	Neural Networks . . . . .	15
2.3	Clustering . . . . .	16
2.3.1	Partitional Models . . . . .	17
2.3.2	Density Models . . . . .	19
2.3.3	Evaluation Methods and Metrics . . . . .	19
2.4	Natural Language Processing (NLP) . . . . .	21
2.4.1	Word Representation . . . . .	22
2.4.2	Sequence Labeling . . . . .	23

2.5	Network Analysis . . . . .	25
2.5.1	Basic Concepts of Network Analysis . . . . .	26
2.5.2	Network and Node Descriptives . . . . .	28
2.5.3	Subgroups and Community Detection Algorithms . . . . .	29
<b>3</b>	<b>Related Work</b>	<b>31</b>
3.1	Social Media Messages in Mass Emergency . . . . .	31
3.1.1	Crisis Characterization . . . . .	32
3.1.2	Crisis Classification . . . . .	35
3.1.3	Crisis Detection . . . . .	37
3.2	Group Polarization in Social Media . . . . .	39
3.2.1	Content-based Polarization Analysis . . . . .	40
3.2.2	Network-based Polarization Analysis . . . . .	40
<b>4</b>	<b>A Domain-Independent Crisis Detection Approach</b>	<b>44</b>
4.1	Proposed Approach . . . . .	45
4.1.1	Dataset Description . . . . .	46
4.1.2	Data Pre-Processing . . . . .	47
4.1.3	Signal Creation . . . . .	48
4.1.4	Time-Window . . . . .	51
4.1.5	Geographic Spread . . . . .	52
4.2	Methodology . . . . .	53
4.2.1	Independent Analysis of Hierarchies . . . . .	55
4.2.2	Dependent Analysis of Hierarchies . . . . .	56
4.2.3	Geographic Spread Analysis . . . . .	57
4.2.4	Crisis Detections in the Wild . . . . .	58
4.3	Discussion . . . . .	60
<b>5</b>	<b>Cross-Lingual and Cross-Domain Crisis Message Classification</b>	<b>62</b>

5.1	Proposed Approach . . . . .	63
5.1.1	Transfer-Learning Scenarios . . . . .	63
5.1.2	Data Representations . . . . .	65
5.2	Unified Dataset Construction . . . . .	66
5.2.1	Label Merging . . . . .	67
5.2.2	Crisis Categorization . . . . .	67
5.2.3	Unified Dataset Description . . . . .	68
5.3	Experimental Setup . . . . .	68
5.3.1	Model Training . . . . .	69
5.3.2	Model Testing . . . . .	70
5.4	Results . . . . .	71
5.4.1	English Classification . . . . .	71
5.4.2	Spanish Classification . . . . .	73
5.4.3	Italian Classification . . . . .	74
5.4.4	Results by classification scenario . . . . .	75
5.5	Discussion . . . . .	76
<b>6</b>	<b>A Comparative Study of Communication Patterns Across Crisis Events</b>	<b>78</b>
6.1	Crisis Event Dataset Creation . . . . .	79
6.1.1	Dataset Description . . . . .	79
6.1.2	Annotation of Crisis Dimensions . . . . .	80
6.2	Feature Extraction . . . . .	81
6.3	Crisis Analysis . . . . .	83
6.3.1	Crisis Similarity . . . . .	84
6.3.2	Crisis Evaluation . . . . .	85
6.4	Discussion . . . . .	88
<b>7</b>	<b>Discussion Analysis during Crises</b>	<b>93</b>

7.1	The 2019 Chilean Social Unrest Movement . . . . .	94
7.2	Dataset . . . . .	95
7.3	Proposed Approach . . . . .	96
7.3.1	Detecting communities . . . . .	96
7.3.2	Framing assignment . . . . .	98
7.3.3	Content analysis among communities . . . . .	100
7.4	Discussion . . . . .	104
<b>8</b>	<b>Conclusions and Future Directions</b>	<b>108</b>
8.1	Conclusions . . . . .	108
8.2	Evaluation of the Thesis Objectives . . . . .	109
8.3	Future Directions . . . . .	111
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>129</b>